accorded. The only portion of the journey common to the two routes is that from Trichinopoly to Ammayanaikanur, and although ~~he~~ Mr Taylor did not "carry the horse with him", ~~Mr Taylor got the horse up~~ he procured it for his work on the Periyar, ~~which it~~ where ~~was necessary that he should~~ he was expected to join ready equipped for urgent duty, and it arrived ~~almost~~ immediately after him. The Govt. consider that under the circumstances this portion of the journey is ~~therefore held to be~~ covered by Section 12 of the Travelling Allowance Code, and the cost of conveyance of the ~~[illegible]~~ horse is sanctioned accordingly ~~to that extent~~

22. 3. 88

22/3/88

No. 1002 W. d/27th March 1888

To
The Supdt. of Works, Periyar
" Examiner P. W. Accts.

Despd
28/3

C.S.

Cw 23.3.88

R-26-3-88

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

This was he coming [illegible] a second horse

1,000—7-2-88.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1888. { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 5th March 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1159.

To

W.

I beg to solicit reconsideration of the orders passed in G.O. No. 553 W. dated 16th. February 1888. The conveyance from Madras to Ammayyanaikanoor at the public expense of Mr. Taylor's horse purchased at Madras while on transfer from West Coast to Periyar, is inadmissible under section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, new edition.

2. In the somewhat similar case of Mr. Foord, it was decided by E. W. that the conditions of section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, old edition, (section 12 of the present edition) could not be held applicable, vide E. W's. No. 37 C. dated 6th. January 1888.

3. Should Government on reconsideration hold that, even if Mr. Taylor's full claim is inadmissible,

the charge pending the orders of Government.
I have therefore to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained **if necessary**.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
H. S. Taylor
Executive Engineer
Periar Division

Ab.H

No. 602 P.R Thekady 3rd February 1888.
01.9

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W. D with the request that the sanction asked for by the Examiner of P.W. Accounts may be granted.

H. S. Taylor
For Superintending Engineer
Periar Project.

8. 689 P.R
3-2-88.

No. 936 P.P.

FROM,

H. S. Taylor Esquire

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

PERIAR DIVISION.

To,

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
Periar Project
~~SIXTH CIRCLE~~.

STATION Thekady

DATED 3rd February 1888.

DESPATCHED 9a

ENCLOSURES

Sir

In your letter No: 168 P.R dated the 24th September 1887 sanction, under G.O. No: 2471 W dated the 23rd September 1882, was accorded for the charge of the conveyance of one horse by rail from Madras to Ammayanakanoor on the occasion of my joining the Periar Division, but the Examiner of P.W. Accounts has disallowed the

No. 2471 W d/23.9.82
" 2984 W d/13.11.82
" 395 W d/14.2.84
" 2663 W d/12.10.86
" 144 bW d/26.5.87
" 2201 C d/1.7.87
" 1881 W d/13.7.87
" 2705 C d/13.8.87
" 37 C d/6.1.88
" 2540 W d/24.9.87

No. 553 W dated 16th Feby/88

Sanction is accorded, under section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, to the charge for conveyance of one horse by rail from Madras to Ammayanaikanur on the occasion of Mr. H.S. Taylor's joining the Periyar division.

13.2.88

13/2/88

17/2

S.E. Periyar Project

Ex. P.W.A.

16.11.88

17.11.88

PWC No. 1371

From,

The Supg. Engineer
Periyar Project

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 602 P.R

Dated 3d. February 188.

R/ 13.2.88

No. 553 W dated 16th February/88

Requests that sanction for the charge of the conveyance of one horse of H.S. Taylor esquire, Exec. Engineer, by Rail from Madras to Ammyanayakanur on the occasion of his joining the Periyar Dn.

With order

Encl. 19 G.O. 108 22/12

E.1

P.W. 10588/87

No. 1663 T.J

Supg. Engr's Office 6 Circle
Kurnvaunth 7: Decr./87
Despd 13th

From,

Lieut. Col. J. Pennycuick R.E
Supg. Engineer 6 Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

thro: the Examiner of P.W. Accounts

Sir,

I have the honor to report that in his letter No. 1917 dated 26th October 1887, Executive Engineer, Mr. Foord applied for sanction for conveying a horse by rail from St. Thomas Mount to Tanjore on his return to the latter Station on duty; and this was sanctioned by me in my No. 1453 T.J dated 3d. November 1887.

2. Again Mr. Foord, in his No. $\frac{1958}{1-11-87}$, applied for a similar sanction "for bringing a second horse

I horse by rail from Madras to
Tanjore under Code Para 548 A". He
subsequently reported that "the
"second horse was not his
"property when his Saman and
"first horse were sent to Tanjore
"from St Thomas Mount. It was
"obtained subsequently. The
"claim was submitted as under
"Code para 548 A, an executive
"engineer is entitled to carry two
"horses on transfer. The amount
"paid as rail fare for each
"horse was Rs 39-6-"

3. In my No $\frac{1541 \text{ T.J}}{19-11-87}$, I replied
that "the Superintending Engineer
"does not think that, under the
"circumstances stated, he is
"justified in passing the charge
"on his own authority, as there
"seems no reason why the second
"horse should not have been
"marched down by Road; but
"he will refer the matter to the
Chief

Vide G.O.
No $\frac{1881 \text{ W}}{13-7-87}$

5

"Chief Engineer if Mr Hoard
wishes it to letter No $\frac{5364}{27-11-87}$'

4. In h that, "when I was
he stated d to Chingleput Dn
"transferred t to Madras as
"I went d ignorant of where my
"I was i quarters were. The
"head qu as cut my travelling
"inner h as I did not get
"allowance Mount Station. It
"out at the impossible for me
"is almost my travelling equipage
"to keep up wance that I receive;
"on the all I do not claim all
"and so, if entitled to I am
"that I am e a loser on the travel
"certain to wance. This I feel
"ling allo is not the intention
"convinced ent. It is on these
"of Government at I have entered
"grounds th or a second horse
"the claim f as to Tanjore, as Code
"from Madr states that an executive
"para 548 A transfer is entitled to
"engineer on
carriage

15 DEC 1887
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

carriage for two horses."

5. It appears to me question-able whether the permission allowed by the rules for an executive engineer to take two horses applies to a second horse purchased and despatched after the transfer, but there is no question that in other directions, the existing rules press hardly upon officers & I therefore submit the matter for your orders.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obdt. servt.

Lieut. Col. R.E.

Supg. Engineer 6: Circle

P.W. ACCOUNTS
No. 356
15 DEC 1887
ENCLOSURES

From

Lieutt Col. J. Pennycuick R.E.
Supg Engineer 6th Circle

To, The Chief Engineer
P.W.D
thro' the Examiner P.W. Accts
Madras

Dated 7th } December 1887
Despd 13th }

No 1663 D.J.

Enclosure None.

Requesting orders as to whether the claim put forward by Executive Engineer Mr A.H. Foord for his second Horse despatched by rail after his transfer from St Thomas Mount to Tanjore is admis-sable according to rules.

No. 105 W dated 21st December 1887.

Letter No. 1663 J. dated 7th December 1887, received from the Superintending Engineer 6th Circle, is forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

2. The claim to carry at the public expense a horse purchased by Mr. A. M. Foord after his transfer appears inadmissible under the rules of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

Major R.E.
Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras.

21/12
21/12

Encs: One

No. 37 C of 6-1-1888

The conditions mentioned in Section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code cannot be held to be applicable to the case of Mr. Foord's second horse, and its conveyance by rail at the public expense from Madras to Tanjore cannot therefore be sanctioned.

MW
2.1.88

EW

Joll
5/1/88

6.1

S. E. VI Circle
Exe. P.W.A.

D
6/1/88

No. 2705 C of 13-8-87 tru

P.W.C. No. 10588

From

Major C. H. P. Christie R.E.
Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras.

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Departt.

Recd. 24-12-87

No. 37 C of 6-1-1888

Encs: One

No. 105 W dated 21st December /87

Mr. A. M. Foord, Executive Engineer —

Forwarding letter from the Superintending Engineer 6th Circle, regarding the claim of — to carry at the public expense a horse purchased by —

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1,000—7-2-88.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1888. P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 5th March 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1159

To

W.

I beg to solicit reconsideration of the orders passed in G.O. No. 553 W. dated 16th. February 1888. The conveyance from Madras to Ammayyanaikanoor at the public expense of Mr. Taylor's horse purchased at Madras while on transfer from West Coast to Periyar, is inadmissible under section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, new edition.

2. In the somewhat similar case of Mr. Foord, it was decided by E. W. that the conditions of section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, old edition, (section 12 of the present edition) could not be held applicable, vide E. W's. No. 37 C. dated 6th. January 1888.

3. Should Government on reconsideration hold that, even if Mr. Taylor's full claim is inadmissible,

[C. No. 8.]

No. 37 C of 6-1-1888

The conditions mentioned in Section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code cannot be held to be applicable to the case of Mr. Foord's second horse, and its conveyance by rail at the public expense from Madras to Tanjore cannot therefore be sanctioned.

MCW
2.1.88

EW

Jou
5/1/88

OMM
6.1

S. E. VI Circle
Exr. P.W.A.

D
6/1/88

No. 2705 C of 13-8-87 tra

There is the T.A. Code here but I suppose there is a difference between an offr. transferred to a Dist where a new branch & one transferred to a Presidency where [illegible] can always be procured.

Jou
12/9/87.

Iow

The condition prescribed in Sec 14 of the C. T. A. Code is that the circumstances of a journey must be such as to require an officer to proceed ready equipped for urgent duty to a distant place. This condition appears to have existed without question in Mr. Murray's case. He proceeded from the IV Circle, to a distant place — the I Circle. The circumstances of the I Circle are such, that if Mr. Murray had marched his horse (which itself would have been hardly practicable) he would have been practically inefficient until his horse reached him.

The same conditions are prescribed in the G. of I. letter printed with G.O. of 4.2.84, No 249 Financial.

Draft order submitted for approval. CW

6. 10.9.87.

13.9.87

To the Reference

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1000—12-5-87.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1887. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 8th September 1887.

OFFICE NOTE No. 518

To Circle one

W.

Please see Supg. Engineer's No. 1259 G. D. dated 12th. August 1887 (herewith) communicating to this office his sanction to the conveyance of Asstt. Engineer Mr. Murray's horse, by steamer, from Madras to Cocanada. The occasion seems to have been an ordinary transfer in which case such charges would be inadmissible. Under the circumstance I solicit orders of Govt. as to whether the sanction may be accepted and the charge admitted.

8/9
E. C.

8/9

8/9

G.O. 2471 W. 23.9.82
No 2705 C. 13-8.87
G.O. 1881 W. 13.7.87
No 2201 C. 1.7.87
" 1927 C. 8.6.87
B. No 1446 W. 26.5.87
" 1280 W. 11-5.87
" 2663 W. 12.10.86
No 2038 D. 16-11.84
B. No 395 W. 14.2.84
G.O. 2984 W. 13.11.82
No 2000 D. 10.11.84
" 1706 C. 20.10.84
" 1688 D. 20.9.84
G.O. 1597 W. 6.6.83.

O.A.I. 10653
M 28.9.87

C. 10.9.87. 23-9-87

P.W.C.N. 7043

(4)

R 9.9.87

E1.

[C. No. 8.]

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Office note from the Secy P.W.D. No 518 dated 8th Sept 1887.

The Govt consider that the Supdg Engr's sanction in the case in question was correctly given. Mr Murray was transferred from the IV Circle to a distant place - the I Circle, & the circumstances of the latter Circle are such as to require an officer to arrive ready equipped for duty. If Mr Murray had been required to march his horse from Ootacamund to the Godavari district, (~~which would have been~~ hardly

~~hardly possible~~) he would, during the long period of the horse's journey, have been practically inefficient in the I Circle.

Jou
20/9/87

23.9.87

Cu

O.L.S. 104. IX
Cu. 20.9.87
M. 21.9.87

Desp 23/9

S.E. I Circle. ✓ D
Sen. P.W. Accts. - ✓
with one encl. -

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1,000—7-2-88.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1888. P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 5th March 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1159.

To

W.

I beg to solicit reconsideration of the orders passed in G.O. No. 553 W. dated 16th. February 1888. The conveyance from Madras to Ammayyanaikanoor at the public expense of Mr. Taylor's horse purchased at Madras while on transfer from West Coast to Periyar, is inadmissible under section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, new edition.

2. In the somewhat similar case of Mr. Foord, it was decided by E. W. that the conditions of section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, old edition, (section 12 of the present edition) could not be held applicable, vide E. W's. No. 37 C. dated 6th. January 1888.

3. Should Government on reconsideration hold that, even if Mr. Taylor's full claim is inadmissible,

he is fairly entitled to a refund of the cost of conveyance for some part of the journey, e.g. that portion of the journey which is common to the two routes (from Madras and from the West Coast) or, as an alternative, the full cost of conveyance from the West Coast, the confirmation of the Government of India should I think be obtained, as a ruling to this effect would be an interpretation of the rule as to the point on which the letter of the rule is not clear.

C.

6/3.

No. 2471 W d/23.9.82
" 2984 W d/13.11.82
" 395 W d/14.2.84
" 2663 W d/12.10.86
" 1280 W d/11.5.87
" 1446 W d/26.5/87
" 2201 C d/1.7.87
" 1881 W d/13.7.87
" 2705 C d/13.8.87
" 37 C d/6.1.88
" 2540 W d/24.9.87
" 553 W d/16.2.88

P.W.C. No. 2209

12

Ry 6.3.88

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

ffice note from the Examiner P. W. Accts. No. 1159 dated 5th March /88.

As it was necessary that Mr Taylor should ~~have~~ arrive at the Periyar ready equipped for urgent duty, sanction was accorded under Section 12 of the Travelling Allowance Code, to the Conveyance of one horse by rail from Madras. The charge seems clearly to fall within the rule. If the Examiner thinks otherwise, the ground for ~~the~~ his opinion should be more definitely stated.

2. Mr Foord's case referred to in para 2 is not quite analogous. It was the carriage of a second horse that was in this case disallowed by the Chief Engineer; Mr Foord having previously

taken

In passing the former order I overlooked the fact that Mr T. did not proceed from Madras to Ammayanaikanur & therefore did not "carry the horse with him". The paper ought to have gone ~~to the Examr~~ for remarks first, but I read it as implying that the Examr merely required a formal sanction not that he had any objection.

Mr Foord's case turned on two points both fatal to his claim — (1) that noticed in this draft, (2) that he was practically a man stationed at Tanjore who got up a new horse from Madras — a state of things not at all covered by sec: 12.

Probably Mr Taylor took one horse with him and drew an allowance for it. If so the same two grounds apply to him also. If not we can only let him have sanction for the part of the journey over wh. he can be supposed to have carried the horse with him, i.e. from Trichy to Ammayanaikanur.

Better ask him if he took any other horse or horses & drew trainage on that account & also for the dates on wh. he reached Ammayanaikanur himself & when this horse reached it.

PPH
9 mar

1039C d/. 10.3.88.
553 W. 16.2.88
37C. 6.1.88
395W. 14.2.88
2705C. 13.8.87
2201C. 1-7-87
1446 W. 26.5.87
2683 W 12.10.88

Cd/ 10.3.88
Rcn 23.3.88
R.26.3.88

P.W.C. No 2604

From.
The Supdt of works.
Periyar Project

To:
The Chief Engineer
P: W. D

No 713.P.R
Dated 13th March 1888.

Rd 17.3.88

No 1002 W. d/27-3-88

Explains that the conveyance by rail of one horse only has been charged for, and states that he arrived at Ammayanayakanur on the 8th September 88 and the horse on or about the 10th Idem.

Hw,

The matter seems clear enough. Mr Taylor went from Calicut to Ammayanaikanur – the horse about the same time from Madras to the same destination. The horse was certainly not taken with him as far as Trichinopoly & I know of no provn wh: allows a man ordering a newly purchased horse up to his station to charge the rail fare to Govt. That seems to dispose of the general claim & to show that we sd have no case ~~wst~~ to lay before India.

But Mr Taylor doubtless got the horse up for his Periar work & might have stopped it at Trichy & taken it with him from thence. He may I think be treated as having done so, looking at the dates. The rule wd then cover that part of the charge wh: nt be sancd bcas the Exam. to apply to India if he chooses

PPH
26 mar

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

G. O. No 553 W. dated 16th February 1888
Office Note from the Examiner P. W. Accounts No 1159 d/ 5th March 1888.
Memo thereon No 1039 C. dated 10th March 1888.
Reply to the above No. 713 P.R d/ 13th – " — " —

FOR ORDERS.

The Examiner says in his Office Note No 1159 dated 5th March 1888, that the sanction given in G. O. No 553 W., dated 16th February 1888, is inadmissible, under section 12 of the Travelling Allowance Code, but does not explain definitely why he thinks so.

Section 12 reads as follows:- "An officer who is obliged to carry with him his camp equipment and horses by steamer or railway × × ×, under circumstances which require him to proceed very quickly, or ready equipped, for urgent duty, to a distant place, may, under the special sanction of Government recover × × × the actual cost of their carriage."

There

FOR ORDERS.

There seems nothing in Mr Taylor's case which places it beyond the provisions of this rule, and it is submitted that if the sanction of the Government of India has to be requested to the admission of the charge, the Examiner should be called on to state more fully the grounds on which he considers the application necessary.

Should it be decided to revise the sanction as suggested by the Honorable Member, and to admit the cost of conveyance for a portion of the journey only, - viz: Trichinopoly to Ammayanaikanur, - the sanction of the Government of India would still, in the Examiner's opinion, be necessary, on the ground that "a ruling to this effect would be an interpretation of the rule as to the point on which the letter of the rule is not clear."

It thus appears that while section 12 of the Code covers, so far as can be seen, the sanction as already given, any attempt to limit the sanction to a portion of the journey would involve an interpretation of rule requiring special reference to the Government of India.

In this connection reference is invited to section 7, rule 2, which reads as follows - "The local Government, for special recorded reasons, may permit travelling allowance to be calculated by a route other than the shortest or cheapest, provided that the journey is actually performed by such route."

Mr Taylor has reported in reply to the reference made to him that he only claims conveyance by rail for the one horse, the subject of the present reference.

19.3.08

19/3/08

It is perfectly clear that we can pass a charge for a horse carried with him. - If the Examr did not know the duties. All we care for is that the horse was necessary & carried with the officer.

Doesn't seem to apply

R.H.H. 24 Mar

Cir 20/3/88 }
R 22/3/88 } Recd 23.3.88
R. 26-3-88

H.W.

16

No 1002 W.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

~~UNDER date the~~ 27th March 1888

READ the following

Proceeding of the Public Works Department, }	G. O. No 553. W. dy 16th February 1888.
Office Note from the Examiner P. W. A.	" No 1159 dy 5th March 1888.
Memo to the Superintendent of Works, Periyar }	" No 1039. C. dy 10th March 1888.
Letter from the Superintendent of Works, Periyar Project }	" No 713. P. R. dy 13th March 1888.

=

It is observed that the application for sanction to the conveyance of Mr Taylor's horse on which G. O. No 553. W. dated 16th February 1888 is based, omitted to explain what has since been ascertained, viz:- that Mr Taylor's route was from Calicut to Ammayanaikanur, while his horse started from Madras to the same destination.

It thus becomes necessary to reconsider the sanction previously accorded recorded. The only portion of the journey common to the two routes is that from Trichinopoly to Ammayanaikanur, and although Mr Taylor did not carry the horse with him, ~~Mr Taylor got the horse up~~ he promised it for his work on the Periyar, where ~~which it~~ he was expected to ~~was necessary that he should~~ join ready equipped for urgent duty, and it arrived ~~almost~~ immediately after him. ~~The Govt. consider that under the circumstance~~ This portion of the journey is ~~therefore held to be~~ covered by Section 12 of the Travelling Allowance Code, and the cost of conveyance of the horse is sanctioned accordingly ~~to that extent~~

WCR
22.3.88

22/3/88

No. 1002 W. d/ 27th March 1888

To
The Supdt. of Works, Periyar
" Examiner P. W. Accts.

Despd
28/3

C. S.

Cw 23.3.88
R. 26-3-88

G. O. 1881 W. 13.7.87 Encl.
No 2201 C. 1.7.87
" 1927 C. 8.6.87
B. No 1446 W. 26.5.87
" 1280 W. 11.5.87
" 2663 W. 12.10.86
No 2038 D. 15.11.84
B. No 395 W. 14.2.84

G. O. 2984 W. 13.11.82
" 2471 W. 23.9.82
No 1706 C. 20.10.84
" 1688 D. 20.9.84
G. O. 1597 W. 6.6.83

No. 2705 C. of 13-8-87

~~Copies of the~~

Correspondence applied for by Mr Joyce cannot be given to him.

WCR
3.8.87

JCU
4/8/87

S. E. V Circle.

D
13/8

Dw L. S. 19/8
D 18/8

C. 17.8.87 R. 20.8.87
Govt. 3.8.87 R. 11.8.87
23.3.88

P. W. C. No. 5985 A

(2)

From,
The Superintending Engr.
5th Circle—

To,
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department—

Dated 30th July 1887.

Received 2-8-87

No. 2705 C. of 13-8-87

No. 1878.

Carriage of a Horse by rail from Madura to Madras.

Requesting permission if Mr Joyce may be furnished with copies of correspondence relative to the ——

Cannot be given
WCR
2.8.87

accorded. The only portion of the journey common to the two routes is that from Trichinopoly to Ammayanaikanur, and although Mr. Taylor ~~he~~ did not "carry the horse with him", ~~Mr. Taylor got the horse up~~ he procured it for his work on the Periyar, where he was expected to ~~which it was necessary that he should~~ join ready equipped for urgent duty, and it arrived ~~almost~~ immediately after him. The Govt. consider ~~that~~ under the circumstances a this portion of the journey is ~~therefore held to be~~ covered by Section 12 of the Travelling Allowance Code, and the cost of conveyance of the ~~[illegible]~~ horse is sanctioned accordingly ~~to that extent~~

22. 3. 88

22/3/88

~~The Hon'ble Mr. P. P.~~

~~the~~

No. 1002 W. d/ 29th March 1888

C.S.

Cw 23.3.88

R. 26-3-88

To

The Suptdt. of Works, Periyar
" Examiner P. W. Accts.

Desptd 28/3

No. 441 S.

P.W. Store Deptt.
Madras 27th July 1887.

From
A. Joyce Esqre.
General Superintendent
P.W. Stores.

To
The Superintending Engineer
5th Circle
Madras.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G.O. No. 1881 W dated July 13th 1887, and would beg, if you consider it in no way destructive of discipline, that you would kindly apply for permission for me to be furnished with copies of the correspondence on the subject in question.

I am asking this in order that I may, if possible, have my case re-considered.

I have the honor
Sd. A. Joyce
Genl. Superintendent
P.W. Stores.

No. 1878

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department

Department for orders whether
Mr. Joyce may be furnished
with copies of the correspond-
-ence he asks for

Meredith Vibart Coll. R.E.

Superintg. Engineer
5th Circle

Bangalore
30 July 1887

G.O. 1881 W. 13.7.87 Encl.
No. 2201C. 1.7.87
" 1927C. 8.6.87
B. No. 1446 W. 26.5.87
" 1280 W. 11.5.87
" 2663 W. 12.10.86
No. 2038 D. 15.11.84
B. No. 395 W. 14.2.84

G.O. 2984 W. 13.11.82
" 2471 W. 23.9.82.
No. 1706C. 20.10.84
" 1688D. 20.9.84
G.O. 1597 W. 6.6.83.

No. 2705 C of 13-8-87

Copies of the correspondence applied for by Mr. Joyce cannot be given to him.

MCN
3.8.87

Jell 4/8/87

S.E. V Circle.

D
13/8

Issue LS. 19/8
D 18/8

Govt. 3.8.87 R. 11.8.87

P.W.C. No. 5985 A

(2)

From,

The Superintending Engr.
5th Circle—

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department—

Dated 30' July 1887.

Received 2.8.87

No. 2705 C of 13-8-87

No. 1878.

Carriage of a Horse by rail from Madura to Madras.

Requesting permission if Mr. Joyce may be furnished with copies of Correspondence relative to the ———

Cannot be given
MCN
2.8.87

MCN
13.7

Recvd. 9/7/87
13.7.87

W. 14/7

Ch. 23.3.88

Govt. of [1887] Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 14/7/87

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 13th July 1887, No. 1881 W.

Carriage of a horse by rail

Disallowing the charge for the ——— by Mr. Joyce, Ex. Engineer, from Madura to Madras on the occasion of his joining his appointment as General Supt., P.W. Stores & Workshops

180
12

G.O. 1881 W. 13.7.87 [illegible]
No 2201 C. 1.7.87
" 1927 C. 8.6.87.
B. No 1446 W. 26.5.87
" 1280 W. 11.5.87
" 2663 W. 12.10.86
No 2038 D. 15.11.84
B. No 395 W. 14.2.84

G.O. 2984 W. 13.11.82
" 2471 W. 23.9.82.
No 1706 C. 20.10.84
" 1688 D. 20.9.84
G.O. 1597 W. 6.6.83.

No. 2705 C of 13-8-87

Copies of the correspondence applied for by Mr. Joyce cannot be given to him.

3.8.87

Jd 4/8/87

S.E. V Circle

D 13/8

Ivw S.S. 19/8

G.O. 17.8.87 R. 20.8.87
Govt. 3.8.87 R. 11.8.87.

PWC No 5985 A

(2)

From,
The Superintending Engr.
5th Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Dated 30th July 1887.
Received 2.8.87

No 2705 C of 13-8-87

No. 1878.

Carriage of a Horse by rail from Madura to Madras.

Requesting permission if Mr. Joyce may be furnished with copies of correspondence relative to the —

Cannot be given
2.8.87

13th July 1887, No. 1881 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 2nd July 1887, No. 33 W.

Order—dated 13th July 1887, No. 1881 W., Public Works.

On reconsideration, the Government agree with the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, that the circumstances in which Mr. Joyce was transferred from Madura to Madras do not fall within the special provisions of section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. The sanction accorded by the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the conveyance of Mr. Joyce's horse by railway at the public expense from Madura to Madras was incorrect, and the charge must be disallowed.

2. The attention of all Superintending Engineers will be drawn to the instructions of the Government of India conveyed in paragraph 3 of their letter recorded with proceedings, No. 395 W., dated 14th February 1884.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle.
To other Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 13th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th July 1887, No. 1881 W.

JULY.

Carriage of a Horse by Rail

Disallowing the charge for the — by Mr. Joyce, Executive Engineer, from Madura to Madras, on the occasion of his joining his appointment as General Superintendent, Public Works Stores and Workshops.

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

[C. No. 2.]

No. 334

From Major C. H. P. Christie R. E.
~~The~~ EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
Madras,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 2d. July 1887.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that Government may be moved to reconsider the orders passed in G. O. No. 1446 W. dated 26th. May 1887 regarding the charge for the carriage of Mr Joyce's horse from Madura to Madras on the occasion of his transfer to the charge of the Presidency Workshops.

2. The reasons given by the Superintending Engineer 5th. Circle for the sanction confirmed in the above quoted G. O. are

(a) that Mr Joyce required the means of travelling daily from his residence to his Office; and

(b) that Mr Joyce would have to travel at least once as far as Guindy, a distance of 9 miles from his Office, and probably about 6 miles from his residence.

3. With regard to the first reason I beg to point out that extra allowances for special expenses are only given in cases in which the ordinary travelling allowances are clearly insufficient to cover the expenditure to which an Officer is or will be necessarily put in respect of journeys made on duty, and it appears to me that such extra

allowances can only be admissible when the extra expenditure is incurred in connection with journeys — present or prospective — in respect of which travelling allowance is or will be admissible. When no travelling allowance whatever is admissible, i.e. when the prospective travelling is of a kind that an Officer is expected to pay for out of his salary, no charges can, in my opinion, be admissible under Section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. In Mr. Joyce's case no travelling allowance could be admissible for journeys to and fro between his residence and his Office; and, consequently, I am of opinion that payment of the carriage of Mr. Joyce's horse from Madura to Madras, on the ground that he would require to travel daily to and fro between his residence and his Office, is inadmissible under the Travelling Allowance Rules.

4. If the charge for the carriage of an Officer's horses is admissible, on the ground that, when he reaches his destination, he will have to attend at his Office every day, there can hardly be a case of transfer in which the charge will not be admissible.

5. With regard to the second reason given by the Superintending Engineer, I would invite attention to the orders of the Government of India communicated in G.O. No. 395 W. dated 14th. February 1884. It appears to me that the principle laid down in Mr Wilson's case precludes the sanction of charges under Section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, on account of one — or even two or three — possible prospective journeys between — to put the distance at its greatest — Royapuram and Guindy, a distance of 9 miles.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

Major R. E.

Examiner P. W. Accounts Madras.

G.P. 2/7

2/7

No 2201C d/ 1.7.87
" 1927C " 8.6.87
G.O. No 1446W " 26.5.87
" 1280W " 11.5.87
" 2663W " 12.10.86
No 2038W " 15.11.84
" 2000W " 16.11.84

No 1706C . 20.10.84
" 1688W . 20.9.84
G.O. No 395W " 14.2.84
G.O. 1397W . 6.6.83
" 2984W . 13.11.82
" 2471W 23.9.82.

The Govt are not prepared to reconsider the orders passed in G.O. No 1446 W dated 26th May 1887 — The Supt Engr of the circle to which an officer is transferred is in the opinion of the Govt the proper authority to decide whether it was necessary ~~[illegible]~~ for the transferred officer to take out his [illegible] destination, equipped [illegible] for [illegible] duty [illegible]

5.7.87

7/7/87.

6.5.7.87.

PWCN° 5085

(12)

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts

Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government

P. W. Department.

No. 33 W

Dated 2d ~~June~~ July 1887.

Recd. 4.7.87

2201 C

Regarding the charge
for the carriage of Mr. Joyce's
horse from Madura to Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

B/ene

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

From the Examr P.W. Accts: No. 33 W, d/ 2nd July 1887.

I consider that the Examiner is right. Mr Joyce drew travelling allowance for his journey to Madras at the usual rates. These are supposed to cover the expenses of all ordinary journeys, & men cannot always make money by travelling. ~~They are~~ There was nothing special or urgent in his journey to Madras or the work he was expected to do there. Everyone transferred to Madras has to provide himself with a horse or carriage. If this case is passed I agree with the Examr that no one can be refused the special & extraordinary concession intended to be provided by Sec 44

8 July

The Government are not prepared to reconsider the orders passed in G.O. No. 1446 W dated 26th May 1887.

2. The Supdg. Engineer of the Circle to which an officer is transferred, is, in the opinion of the Government, the proper authority to decide whether it was necessary for the trans-

transferred officer to arrive at his destination, equipped for urgent duties.

Jeu
7/7/87

O.S. 10.vii
Cn. 7.7.87

The Hon P. P. Hutchins

To
The Examr. P.W. Accts.

mm
10 July

~~Ftte~~ Genl
~~Estabt~~

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1881.W.

A.
Cw

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 13th July 1887

READ the following

From the / Exr P.W.A. No 832W d 2nd July 1887.

Issued

On reconsideration, the Govt. agree with the Exr. P.W. Accounts that the circumstances in which Mr. Joyce was transferred from Madura to Madras do not fall within the special provisions of Sec. 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. The sanction accorded by the Supdg. Engr. V Circle to to the Conveyance of Mr Joyce's horse by railway at the public expense from Madura to Madras was incorrect, and the charge must be disallowed.

2. The attention of all Supply Engineers will be drawn to the instructions of the Govt of India conveyed in para 3 of their letter recorded with Pros. No 395 W dated 14: July 1884.

JCW
9/7/87.

WCA
13.7.87

~~The Hon. R.P. Hutchins~~

13/7

CMM
13/7

DWJ
~~14~~ 14/7

To the S. S. V Circle
- Other Supply Engrs
- C.A. to Govt.
- Exr P.W.D.

14/7

Govt. of (1887) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 14/7/87

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 13th July 1887, No. 1881 W.

Carriage of a horse by rail

Disallowing the charge for the ——— by Mr Joyce, Ex. Engineer, from Madura to Madras on the occasion of his joining his appointment as General Supt, R.W. Stores & Workshops

180
12

to the Conveyance of
Mr. Peters' tents by
Railway as the public
expense from Kurnool
to Bellary was incurred
and the charge must
therefore be [illegible]

[illegible] attention of
the Supdg Engineer will
be drawn to the instruction
[illegible] Board's Proceedings
[illegible] 3 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] No. 33 [illegible]
[illegible] 188[illegible]

21/7

- Copy
Supdg Engineer
to G.O.

No. 1927 C. d/ 8.6.87
B. No. 1446 W " 26.5.87
" 1280 W " 11.5.87
" 2663 W " 12.10.86

5

No. 2201 C d/ 1st July 1887
C

There is no rule under which the cost of carriage of Mr. Peters' tents by road from Kurnool to Gooty can be charged to the State, an officer's ordinary travelling allowances must cover such charges. The carriage of the tents by rail from Gooty to Bellary is sanctioned with reference to section 14 of the C.S.A. Code.

There is no rule under which the cost of carriage of Mr Peters tents by road from Kurnool to Gooty can be charged to the State, an officers ordinary travelling allowances must cover such charges. The carriage of the tents by rail from Gooty to Bellary is sanctioned with reference to section 14 of the C.S.A. Code.

24.6.87

27/6/87

1.7

$\frac{III}{}$ C

2/7/87

4/7

169.VI

M. 27.6.87

24.6.87

13.7.87

P.W.C. No. 4793

(12) (7)

R, 24.6.87

En: 1

No. 2201 C d/ 1st July 1887

4793

Please see draft submitted. Is anything to be said regarding para 3 of C's note?

F.B.
24.6.87

I do not think anything need be said regarding para 3 of C's note.

24.6.87

No. 410 Camp Ramandroog

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE
~~Bellary~~ 30th May 1887.
Despd 7 June 87

FROM,
C.J. Peters Esqr.
~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE.

To,
THE Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honour to request you will be good enough to sanction the carriage of my tents by ×road Kurnool to Gooty and by rail from Gooty to Bellary on joining the III Circle.

× Vide rule 4 to section 27 of the Civil T.A. Code

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obdt. Servant
C.J. Peters
Supdg. Engineer
3rd Circle.

equipped for urgent duty, E.W. can

R.V. 144 d/ 10/6/87

No. 1927 C d/ 8th June 1887

To
C
For favor of remarks.

8.6.87

Pal 1

Mcles 4350/87

4793/87

EXAMINER
No. 4464
10 JUN 1887
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

From,
The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle.

To,
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 4 } May 1887.
Despd 7 }
Received 8/6/87

Enclosures.

No. 610

Requesting sanction for the carriage of Mr. Peters tents by road and rail from Kurnool to Gooty and from Gooty to Bellary respectively.

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1000—12-5-87.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1887. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 23rd June 1887.

OFFICE NOTE No. 241

Enclo: 1

To
W.

Your O. N. No. 1927 C. dated 8th June 1887.

Section 27, Rule 4, of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, quoted by the Supg. Engineer in support of his application for sanction to the carriage of his tents by road, does not apply. There is no rule with reference to which carriage of tents by road can be admitted. The mileage allowance drawn for the distance is intended to cover such charges, vide also G. O. No. 2663 W. dated 12th October 1886.

2. With reference to the Supg. Engineer's application for carriage of tents by rail from Gooty to Bellary, please see Section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. If the Supg. Engineer was required to proceed very quickly or ready equipped for urgent duty, E.W. can

sanction the charge; but I think if the urgency exists, it should always be stated in the order or notification of transfer.

3. I take this opportunity of explaining, with reference to my O.N. No. 68 dated 29th. April 1887 and G.O. No. 1280 W. dated 11th. May 1887 passed thereon, that I had no intention of suggesting the curtailment of the powers of Supg. Engineers in dealing with matters presumably within their knowledge; but it seems to me that the urgency, or otherwise, of a transfer is a matter which is ordinarily within the knowledge of the transferring authority only. I think that in cases of transfer if the sanction of the charge for carriage is not required to be a previous sanction, at any rate the necessity for travelling quickly and ready equipped should be established beforehand, and as the Supg. Engineer of a Circle from which an Officer is transferred, will seldom be in a position to know this, unless it is stated in the order of transfer, I still venture to think it would be more in accordance with the intention of the Code to keep the matter in the hands of the transferring authority.

C.

22/6

E.P.
23/6.

No 1927 C. d/ 8.6.87
B. No 1446 W " 26.5.87
" 1280 W " 11.5.87
" 2663 W " 12.10.86

No 2201 C d/ 1st July 1887

C

There is no rule under which the cost of carriage of Mr Peters' tents by road from Kurnool to Gooty can be charged to the State, an officer's ordinary travelling allowance must cover such charges. The carriage of the tents by rail from Gooty to Bellary is sanctioned with reference to section 14 of the C. T. A. Code.

WB
24.6.87

J.H.
27/6/87

J.H.
1.7

S.E. III C

Copy

DW
2/7/87

D 4/7

Fols. 169. VI
M. 27.6.87

P.W.C. No 4793

(12) (7)

R/ 24.6.87

En: 1
No 2201 C d/ 1st July 1887

B. No 1280 W d/ 11-5-87
A. " 2471 W d/ 23-10-82 orig
" " 2984 W d/ 13-11-82 "

D.W.

S.E.'s Explanation.

Is the charge to be passed or disallowed?

FB
18.5.87

Pass
WB
18.5.87

No 1446 W d/ 26th May /87

B

In the circumstances reported the sanction accorded by the Supg Engr, V Circle, to the carriage by rail at the public expense of Mr Joyce's horse is confirmed

WB
19.5.87

D 27/5

S.E. V C.
Secy P.W.D.
Ooty 19-5-87

M. 20.5.87

J.H.
21/5/87

Exc. 7/6

P.W.C. No 3783

No 868.

(3)

From
The Superintg Engr
5th Circle
To
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Dept.

Dated 17th } May 1887.
Read 18 }

No 1446 W d/ 26th May /87

Horse by Rail -

Explains the necessity under which Mr Joyce conveyed his from Madura to Madras "ready equipped for urgent duty" on the occasion of his joining his appointment as Gl Supt Pr Stores & Workshops

D.W.

arrival in Madras.

Further there is no residence for the General Superintendent at Royapuram and it was consequently necessary for Mr. Joyce to live at some distance from the Shops - and that to enable him to perform his work it was necessary for him to have a horse during the time he was receiving charge from Mr. O'Connell. If Mr. Joyce marched his horse up from Madura it would not have arrived in Madras until after Mr. O'Connell had left - and Mr. Joyce would have been under the necessity of having for the performance of his duty to keep one horse marching along the Madura Madras road - and another to do his work temporarily at Madras until the arrival of the former. As I hold he is not expected to keep more than one set of horses to do his work within 5 miles from his Office - I sanctioned his bringing his one animal by rail from Madura -

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

[illegible] Sibert Coll. R.E.
Superintg. Engr.
S. Circle -

Note - This letter was drafted by Colonel Burton:

B. No. 1280 W d/ 11-5-87
A. " 2471 W d/ 23-10-82 orig
" " 2984 W d/ 13-11-82 "

D.W.

S.E.'s explanation. Is the charge to be passed or disallowed?

FB
16.5.87

Pass
[illegible]
18.5.87

G.O. 1446 W d/ 26th May/87

B.

In the circumstances reported the sanction accorded by the Supg Engr, V Circle to the carriage by rail at the public expense of Mr Joyce's horse is confirmed

D 27/5
19.5.87

S E V C
Secy P.W.D.
dated 19-5-87

OLS 30.V
M. 20.5.87

21/5/87

Exe 26

P.W.C. No. 3783

No. 868.

(3)

From

The Superintg Engr
5th Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Deptt.

Dated 17th } May 1887.
Recd 18 }

G.O. 1446 W d/ 26th May/87

Horse by Rail -

Explains the necessity under which Mr Joyce conveyed his from Masulipatam to Madras "ready equipped for urgent duty" on the occasion of his joining his appointment as Gl Supdt. P.W. Stores & Workshops

D.W.

---

(6)

~~The Hon. P.P. Hutchins~~

B. No 1280 W d/ 11th May 88

OLS 30.V
Cw. 6.5.87
M. 7.5.87

C. 4.5.87 R. 9.5.87

18/5

Em
14/5

D.W.

No 1927 C. dd 8.6.87

C. No 3318 6.5.87

(6)

W

There is no doubt that in the case of Mr Joyce, sech 14 of the C.T.A. Code must be considerably strained to cover the charge referred to.

I do not think it is advisable to go back upon G.O. 2471 W of 23rd Septr 82 in which the Govt delegate their powers under Sectn 14 to Supdg Engrs but I think the S.E. V circle should be called upon to shew the necessity that existed for Mr Joyce to bring a horse with him from Madura for duty in connection with the P.W. Stores & Workshops.

4.5.87.

The Govt do not consider it necessary to curtail the powers conferred upon S.E.s in G.O. 2471 W of 23rd Septr 1882.

The S.E. V circle will explain the necessity that existed for Mr Joyce to proceed to Madras from Madura "readily equipped for urgent duty"

3[illegible]18.

D.W.

Orders are requested in regard to para 1 of the Examiner's letter.

With reference to para 2 attention is invited to the notes by D.W. W. and H.W. in the original file (2471. W. 23. 9.82) submitted.

FB
14/5/87

appointment as G.O. ap
P.W. Stores & Workshops D.W.

No. 1203. S.

From
Alfred Joyce Esqre
Executive Engineer

To
The Superintending Engineer
5th Circle

EXAMINER
No.
16 APR 87
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACC

Dated 11th March 1887

Sir

I have the honor to inform you that on my transfer from No. 1 Tank Division to your Circle, I brought my horse down by Rail. I would ask if it meets with your approval that you would kindly grant me sanction for doing the same at the public expense.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant
(Signed) Alfred Joyce.
Executive Engineer

No. 6164

Sanctioned, under section 14 Rule 1, of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code and G.O. No. 2984 W. dated 13th November 1882

14th March 1887 (Signed) W. H. Burton. Col: R.E.
Supg: Engineer 5th Circle.

(True Copy)

13th April 1887

Alfred Joyce
Genl Supdt P.W. Stores

be
advisable to limit the Supg. Engineers

927C. 8.6.87

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

No. 1
PW 3318/87

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT, OF MADRAS. 1887. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 29th April 1887

OFFICE NOTE No. 68

Encs: 1

To W.

Please see Supg. Engineer's 5th. Circle No. 6164 dated 14th. March 1887 sanctioning the carriage of one horse by rail by Mr. Joyce, Executive Engineer on the occasion of his joining his appointment as Suptt. P. W. Stores and Workshops from NO. I Tank Division. Mr. O'Connell who was previously in charge of the Stores and Workshops drew travelling allowance amounting to Rs. 10 only during the entire year 1886-87, from which it would seem that there was no necessity for Mr. Joyce to come to Madras "ready equipped for urgent duty". Please say whether under the circumstances the Supg. Engineer's sanction may be accepted.

2. I would also ask, with reference to G. O. No. 2471 W. dated 23rd. September 1882 whether it would not be advisable to limit the Supg. Engineers

power of sanctions to journeys, made within his Circle, and to make E.W. the sanctioning authority in cases of transfers from Circle to Circle, or even from Division to Division.

29/4

C.

29/4

G.O. 2471 W. d/ 23.9.82 Civil
" 2984 W " 13.11.82 do

G.O. 4.5.87. R. 9.5.87

P.W.C. No 3318

(b)

Ry 2.5.87

L:1

No. 1280 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 11th May 1887.

B/

READ the following

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts H.E. No. 68 d/ 29 April 1887.

The Government do not consider it necessary to curtail the powers conferred upon Suptg. Engineers in G.O. 2471.W. of 23rd September 1882.

2. The Suptg. Engineer, V circle, will explain the necessity that existed for Mr. Joyce to proceed to Madras from Madura "ready equipped for urgent duty."

4.5.87

6/5/87

To.
The Suptg. Engr V circle
~~other Suptg Engrs~~
The Exr. P.W. Accts

13/5

Recd 12.10.87

Obs 30.V
Enc 6.5.87
M. 7.5.87

C. 4.5.87 R. 9.5.87

(6)

~~The Hon. P.P. Hutchins~~

B. No 1280 W d/ 11th May 1887

Jd 18/5
Enc 14/5

Bd lores & Workbooks

No 2663 W d/ 12th October/86

Cno 7695 & 7852

B Mr. Foord's action in forwarding his camp equipment by road from Needamangalam to Tanjore was correct as section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code contemplates the conveyance of such articles by railway only in circumstances which require an officer to proceed very quickly or ready equipped for urgent duty to a distant place.

2. There is no rule under which the cost of conveying camp equipment by road can be admitted as a charge against the State. An officer's ordinary travelling allowances must cover such charges

S. E. VI Circle Jd. 9/10/86.
Exr. P.W. Acctt.
Recd 12.10.86 G.V. 14/10

P.W.C. No 7852

Recd: 9.10.86

Encls: 2

No 2663 W d/ 12th October/86

with order

(6)

~~To Mr. P.P. [illegible]~~

B. No 1280 W d/ 11th May 1887

O.S. 30.V

Enc 6.5.87

M. 7.5.87

Jd 18/5

En 14/5

C. 4.5.87 R. 9.5.87

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly. 2nd October 1886.

No. 932. T.J.

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Sir,

ENCLOSURE.

not recd.
4-10-86.

In forwarding the accompanying letter No 132 S.E. 62 dated 29th ultimo. relative to Mr Ford's Sr. Engineer Trav-allowance, I have the honor to bring to your notice that when Mr Ford was transferred from Mannargudi Sub-Division to Tanjore Sub Dn in May last, he sent his Camp Equipment by road to Tanjore and claimed Rs 6-12-0 being the sum he paid for the Conveyance of his Camp Equipment - the Examiner disallowed the Claim on the grounds that there is no rule under which the actual

actual Cost of Conveying Camp Equipment by road can be admitted. The case is briefly as follows. Mr Foord left Mannargudi on the 22nd and travelled by road to Needamungalam Railway station, and from there went to Negapatam to transact some business with the Ex. Engineer before he left the Division. From Negapatam he went by rail to Tanjore and arrived there on the 27th. Mileage rate for the journey by road from Mannargudi to Needamungalam was claimed for him and passed, and also the usual Railway rate for the journey from Needamungalam to Negapatam & from the latter to Tanjore; but he has not been paid anything for the Expense of Conveying his Camp Equipment from Needamungalam to Tanjore.

As Mr Foord was being transferred he might have sent, with the permission of the Supdtg Engineer which doubtless would have been given, his horse & Camp Equipment from Needamungalam to Tanjore by rail, and then the Expense would have been

Carriage for horse	Rs	3-9-0
— Do — tents &c	Rs	4-12-0
	Total	8-5-0

Mr Foord, under a misapprehension of the Trav. allce: Code rules, sent his Camp Equipment by road, but I do not think this is sufficient reason to make him bear the Expense, ~~of Conveying his things by road~~, particularly as his claim is less than he would be entitled to if he had sent them by rail. The Code merely excludes Carriage by road, but it does not lay down that under any circumstances claims for the Conveyance of Camp Equipment by road are inadmissable. In these circumstances I cannot believe that Govt will insist on Mr Foord bearing the Expense of Conveying his Camp Equipment from Needamungalam to Tanjore, &, therefore I request you will move Government to direct the Examiner to pass, as a special case, his claim for Rs 6-12-0; or if this is inadmissable, order the Examiner to pass the amount Mr Foord would be entitled to, if he had sent his things by rail.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt
L. W. Martin
Offg Supdtg Engineer 6th C

R442 of 4.10.86

No. 3457C dated 6th October/86

To 6

For favor of remarks.

2. Please put up with your reply, a copy of the letter quoted by the Supdg. Engineer.

5/10/86
D.W.

5/10/86

P.W.C. No 1785

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

1886
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

4 OCT 86
P.W. DEPARTMENT

No. 932 T.J

Dated 2nd Octr 1886.
Received

Enclosure. —

Requests for reasons stated that the Examiner P.W.A. may be directed to pass as a special Mr. Foord's claim for Rs 6-12-0 being the cost of conveying his camp equipment from Nidamangalam to Tanjore by Road.

W.
PT.

Copy of letter from the Examiner P.W. Accounts, Madras, to the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle. No. 132 S.E.6 dated 29th September 1886.

With reference to your letter No. 862 P.J. dated the 21st Instant, I have the honor to state that there is no rule under which the actual cost of ~~the~~ camp equipment by road can be admitted

(True Copy)

G. E. Statton
Supt. Correspondence Branch.

8/10

8/10

Encls: 1
P.W. 7857/86

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1886. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 8th October 1886.

OFFICE NOTE No. 496

To

W.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT P.W. DEPARTMENT
9 OCT 86

Your O. N. No. 3457 C. dated 6th. October 1886.

Herewith copy of letter quoted by the Supg. Engineer.

2. Section 14 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code admits the cost of conveyance of camp equipment and horses by Steamer or Railway under circumstances which require an Officer to proceed very quickly or ready equipped for urgent duty to a distance place. There is no rule under which the cost by road can be admitted.

C.

8/10

8/10

by Road.

No. 2663 W of 12th October/86

Nos. 7695 & 7852

B

Mr. Foord's action in forwarding his camp equipment by road from Needamangalam to Tanjore was correct as section 74 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code contemplates the conveyance of such articles by railway only in circumstances which require an officer to proceed very quickly or ready equipped for urgent duty to a distant place.

2. There is no rule under which the cost of conveying camp equipment by road can be admitted as a charge against the State. An officer's ordinary travelling allowances must cover such charges.

S. E. VI Circle

9/10/86

Ex. P.W. Acct.

Recd. 12. 10. 86 16/10

P.W.C. No. 7852

Recd: 9. 10. 86

Enclo: 2

No. 2663 W of 12th October/86

with order

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 13th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th Nov. 1882, No. 2984 W.

NOVEMBER.

Carriage of Tents and Horses

Passing orders on the replies of Superintending Engineers to the orders calling for their opinion as to the — *of officers at the public expense while travelling on duty.*

13th November 1882, No. 2984 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 84.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, dated 22nd October 1882, No. 354 G.L.
From ,, ,, Second ,, ,, 3rd ,, ,, ,, 258 G.L.
From ,, ,, Third ,, ,, 3rd ,, ,, ,, 1190.
From ,, ,, Fourth ,, ,, 18th ,, ,, ,, 444.
From ,, ,, Fifth ,, ,, 31st ,, ,, ,, 2710.
From ,, ,, Sixth ,, ,, 30th ,, ,, ,, 1366 T.J.
From the Consulting Architect to Government, ,, 2nd ,, ,, ,, 504.

No. 85.

Order—dated 13th November 1882, No. 2984 W., Public Works.

The letters quoted above are replies to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 2471 W., dated 23rd September 1882, requesting the opinions of Superintending Engineers as to the maximum weight of tents and number of horses to be carried by rail at the expense of Government by officers travelling on duty.

2. After consideration of the several recommendations made, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to prescribe the following as maximum weights of camp equipage :—

	LBS.
Superintending Engineers	2,000
Executive Engineers	1,500
Assistant Engineers	1,000
Upper Subordinates	750

3. Officers of the Engineer Establishment will be allowed to take two horses by rail and Upper Subordinates one horse.

4. These orders are not intended to relax the rules in force as to conveyance of tents and horses by rail. They simply prescribe maximum limits within which charges, otherwise admissible, may be passed by Superintending Engineers and the Chief Engineer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Exd. A. Moses.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 23rd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 23rd Sept. 1882, No. 2471 W.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 183-184.

Carriage of Horses and Tents.

Delegating to Superintending Engineers special powers of sanction for the — of officers subordinate to them, and to the Chief Engineer in respect to those of Superintending Engineers, and the latter requested to submit their views as to the number of horses and weight of tents to be carried by officers of each class.

5079-

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 183.

Read—the following paper :—

Office Note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 11th September 1882, No. 474.

With reference to G.O., No. 2160 W., dated 16th August 1882, I have the honor to bring to your notice, for the information of Government, that from July 1881 to July 1882 (inclusive) special sanctions have been obtained from Government for carriage of tents and horses belonging to the Superintending Engineer, Sixth Circle, for sums aggregating Rs. 543-8-0. The several amounts vary from Rs. 9-13-0 to Rs. 101-4-0 and have been submitted for sanction every month except April 1882.

2. It is I think a matter which requires the notice of Government, inasmuch as it can scarcely be intended by the code rules that such charges should recur month after month ; were it so, the circumstances would hardly be so *special* as to necessitate the required "special sanction of the Government," Code, paragraph 580, Standing Order No. 66 of 1882.

No. 184.

Order—dated 23rd September 1882, No. 2471 W., Public Works.

The Government do not consider any modification of the principle of the Code rule necessary. They resolve, however, to delegate their special powers of sanction to Superintending Engineers in the case of tents and horses of officers subordinate to them, and to the Chief Engineer of the Department in respect to those of Superintending Engineers.

2. It is now requisite that the maximum weight of tents and number of horses to be carried by officers of each class should be prescribed. Superintending Engineers will as early as practicable submit their views on these points.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies