



Executive Engro Office

N^o 255. S.E. Trichinopoly. 31st January/87.

From.

The Executive Engineer

Trichinopoly D^o

To

The Superintending Engineer

6th Circle

Trichinopoly

Sir,

In reply to your endorsement N^o 566. J.C. dated 29th instant on G.O. dated 19th January N^o 150. W^o I have the honor to state that an Estimate amounting to Rs. 9,000, (the sum provided for the work in the budget) for providing brick in chimneys drains in the new lines Trichinopoly was submitted for sanction on the 3rd August 1886. This Estimate appears to have been sent to the Quarter Master General, because from the correspondence referred to in your N^o 277 dated 2nd September 1886, it is referred to

B. N^o 410 W a / 10 Feb / 87

Recd.
for
10.2.

to in that officer's letter No. 2803.B
dated 25th August addressed to the
General Officer, Commanding Bangalore.
There was no further reference made
to this office until the receipt of your
No. 378.J.C. dated 23rd October 1886
forwarding a copy of letter No. 3234^B
dated 16th October from the Quarter
Master General to the Officer command-
ing Trichinopoly requesting that an Es-
timate for stone drains may be sent
with a view to a comparison being
made between it and the Estimate
for brick in chumam drains. The Estimate
for stone drains amounted to Rs. 15,779.
and was despatched from this office
on the 24th November with my letter
No. 201. dated 23rd November/86.

I

I have &c.

/s/ J. W. Martin

De. Engineer

No. 597.T.C.

Copy forwarded to the Secretary
to Government D. D. W. with reference
to para 4 of G. O. No. 150 W and para
4 of G. O. No. 222 W. of respectively 19th & 24th
January/87

2. From a docket dated 31st January
1887, No. 20. Q, received from the Sta-
tion staff officer, Trichinopoly it is
observed that the plans and Estimates
for the drains in question, forwarded
to him from this office on the 25th Nov^r
1886 and transmitted by that officer
to the D. A. Q. Quarter Master General, Southern
District, were not received by the latter
officer. The Executive Engineer Trichinopoly
has

has been asked to send in duplicates,
as desired by the Station Staff Officer,
Trichinopoly, together with full expla-
-nation as to the delay of nearly 3
months in the submission of the
Estimate originally called for in this
office endorsement No: 73. S.C. of 12th
May/86. on G. O. No: 1084 W. of 29th
April/86.

Al Smith Major R.L.
Superintending Engineer
Trichinopoly 6th 6

7: February 1871 }

222 W. 21.1.87
150-1 W. 19.1.87 & file
3325 W. 17.12.86 1084 W. 27.4.86
2739 W. 8.11.86
2852 W. 27.10.86
1801.2 W. 16.7.86
1618 W. 25.7.86

B. No 4/13 W. d/10 Feb/87

Communicated
to the military Dept
with reference to para
4 of G.O. no 150 W
dated 19 January 1887
dated 14 January 1887

See 9/1/87.

P.W.C. No 10157

From.

The Supr Engineer
to be made.

To:

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No 597 J.C.

Dated 7: February 187
By 9 2.87

B. No 4/13 W. d/10-2.87

explains the delay
in the submission of
the estimate for
constructing stone-
drains for new
lines at Trichinopoly

understand

18/2
Mil Dept ✓
S. D. W. Circle ✓
S. D. W. Circle 18/2
S. D. W. Circle 18/2