4 W 13-6-86.
2 C 30-11-85
C 2808 C 17-8-86.
1916 C 21-5-86.
39 C 30-4-86.

382 W dy 9 July 87

Recorded in
Recorded in
ntn. of L.O. No:
nt" of L.O. No:
81 W dated
81. W, dated
November 1886
0th Novr 1886.

MCW
5.2.87

Jdu 8/2/87.

R07/487
Ent.

B. M. 382 W d/9-2-87

The Petitioner ✓ dlr
for the Petitioner 9/2/87
me in full [illegible]

Jd 17/3 Con.

(Resubmitted 4-6-7-8-86 14-11-5-87)

Mayavaram Sub Dr. Office

5.

To

The Chief Engineer D. P. W.

Madras.

The humble petition of Sayed Sahib Late Maistry D. P. W. Mayaveram Taluq Tanquebar.

Most Honoured Sir.

I humbly beg to bring to your Honours notice that I have been employed for the past 28 years in the D. P. W. and that I have discharged my duties to the entire satisfaction of the several superiors under whom I have served and who have certified to the same effect.

It has been a practice since the Danish times whenever the Vadyar gets flooded in the time of the freshes, for the Mirasudars whose lands adjoin the river to break the embankment and divert the water. This breach is known as the Allee breach and is a course of much loss to the government every year. Mr Baker the late Sub Division Officer frequently brought this circumstance to the notice of the Revenue officials with the view to the ~~detection~~ detection of the Culprits. It was through my exertions that the perpetrator was at last detected. But as it turned out that this man was ~~rich land owner of 40 valleys~~ the overseer Rajagopala Iyengar and his writer Ragava Iyengar tried to pre-

prejudiced the [illegible] refused [illegible] to do so the writer [illegible] as a punishment

Mayavaram Sub Dn Office

20th Aug 1885.

6th April 1885
Mayaveram

To
W. Mitoo Esqr
Superintending Engineer

The humble Petition of Syed Sahib late Maistry in the Engineering Department

Most respectfully sheweth

1. That the Petitioner was employed as a maistry in the Dept for 28 years and was latterly employed through the Superintending Engr. Assistant Engineer dismissed him from his service as stated in the issue No 430 on a gross charge of neglect in the Preparation of the Estimate and for misrepresentation of the work complete to work in the Road viz 12 breaches

2. That the repair of the breaches entrusted was duly executed by the Contractor under the supervision of the Petitioner and a Sister service writ against the first charge brought against the Petitioner

3. That while the Contractor received Rs 1000 for the preparation of the work the Petitioner did not receive directing him to spend the repairing Rs 85 the Petitioner had only written to breach of Contractor would not hear him & to desist on using goods only. If the Petitioner had received any direction on day against such a case he would have at once produced it to the Contractor and stopped the work. But as the Contractor has no for no of the Superintending Engineer's instructions to this effect. And this fact ought to be taken into consideration in the report

4. That the Petitioner submits that he was not given any opportunity to explain his conduct to the Superintending Engineer before he was dismissed from service. But it is only stated that the Petitioner was absent from duty without leave and that he was dismissed from service. The

7. That the Teachers would not have been so many in number if the Petitioners' report had drawn proper attention that the trees were not kept to the contractor in due time and so brought a great loss to the Govt.

8. That of the unmentioned reports should duly examined the Pet. would be found quite innocent. The Pet. therefore humbly begs your Honor to examine the subjoined reports and have to take state of affairs by personally hearing the Petitioner & the Supervisor together.

Reports

No 57. The affair of the Alla Breach — 30-8-84
52. The time to the work of the Bridge near Chinnavanakaly — 16-8-84.
98. The Construction of Manjavakal — 24-12-84
109. The trees not entrusted to Contractor — 19-1-85
70. The Loan and of the order Book — 19-10-84
75. The Manjavakal keeper's case — 31-10-84
85. The affair of Constable — 19-11-84
89. The Mandalay Breach — 7-12-84.
69. Water Communication — 15-12-84.
109. Building Embankment-talk over — 14-10-84
102. Vidiya used towards the keepers — 30-1-85
112-114. Canal opposite to Shennakum Bridge — 13-2-85
115. Cutting the trees in 90 & 4 Fasl — 28-2-85
118. Fish and also Brothers — 25-2-85
28. Narrowing the Course of Kundeda — 21-6-85

Work Reports

Destruction of the line by Cattle — 17-6-84
Kadal Alli Link — 19-6-84
Cutting the trees and branches — 18-9-84
Fine Rs 5 (five only) — 23-9-84
Loss of Branches & work Rs 5 — 21-1-85
The Contractor filling up the breach with sand — 10-2-85

The above mentioned reports were not duly considered by the present Supervisor, but he also reduced the pay of the Petitioner. The Petitioner executed the work by Superior order but by petty Contractor but the Supervisor lessened the rate of work and underrated it by falsely measuring it and would not settle the accounts. The Assistant Engineer of the day was also being ready to award the Supervisor was hopeless & his actions and accordingly dismissed the petitioner without Enquiry. The said Petitioner has also sent Petitions to the District Assistant Engineer, but the petitioner humbly begs your Honor to examine the reports and do him justice.

I beg to Remain Your Honors

Report No 57 — 30-8-84.

The Most Respected Tasildar.

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your Honor's issue dated 25th August 84. The Culprit does not admit her crime, although the case was clearly proved. A Criminal must be punished at once. In the Argt No 641, Tasildar says that the time became too late and therefore it cannot be taken into Consideration. The Collector has ordered the Deputy Tasildar and the Station officer of Tirukadaiyur to inquire the case. The Karnam & Patteam are also speak partially on behalf of the Mirasdars. By the un anxiety of the neighbouring villagers the matter was not brought out for a long time. I begged the Subdivision officer to order the Tasildar to charge the persons before the magistrate otherwise the Govt would have to Endure a great loss. The Mirasdar is like a Rakshasa and even thrashed an Ameen black & blue and was fined also for his Crime. I beg your Honor to hear the case and punish him. I have sent a petition to the Subdivision officer through the Superior, but the Superior did not forward it.

I beg your Honors
most obt servant

and his writer Raghava Iyengar used to prevail

Mayavaram Sub Dn. Office

20th Aug. 1885.

To

Colonel Meeton Esquire
Superintendent Engineer of
Tanjore.

The humble petition of Syed Sahib Late
Mastry attached to Mayaveram Sub
Division.

Most Respected Sir.

With due submission and respect
I, the undersigned humbly beg to bring the follow-
ing few lines to your Honour's benign consideration.
For 28 years I have served the Government with all
care and faithfulness and was never hitherto found
fault with by my superiors. But now I have been
unfortunate to lose my post owing to the ill will
of the Sub Division Officer who has been so misled
by the Supervisor and his writer that have pre-
judiced him against me as powerfully as it lay
in their power. I humbly represented that case to
your Honour through my petitions and was often
told that your Honour issued orders to the Division
Officer for enquiring my case and restoring me to
my post and finding me innocent. But I am un-
fortunate to be ever under the impression that all

and his writer Raghava Iyengar tried to prevail

orders and endorsements are merely in procession of the Sub Division Officer who wants to put me downwing to the instigation of the Supervisor. If your Honour would be good enough to peruse my previous petitions. Your Honour will at once perceive whether it is my own fault or the malice of my immediate superiors that was the cause of my dismissal.

Thugo standing thus, I most humbly beg your Honour to be greatly pleased to enquire case my well and restore me to my former situation taking pity on the poor large family which are almost starving now to death.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your Most Obedient
Servant
Mastry

No. 659. Through Sub Divisional Officer, Chingleput.

Through Superintendent, Bay Avenue, who should explain to petitioner what the Engr. has said, that is the reason why petitioner with the Engr's orders.
29-8-85.

Rec. 1875 forwarded.
(Signed) G. E. Manson.
Ex. Engineer.
28-8-85.

(Signed) T. R. Mead, for P.E.
Supdg. Engineer.

Endorsement
Rm. 842 T.J.
27/8/85.
28-8-85.

The Supdg. Eng. has already declined to interfere in the case. If must be left and disposed of as decided by the Ex. Engr. and it is helpless that this officer has already issued orders on it.

(Signed) T. R. Mead, for P.E.
Supdg. Engineer.

I
II
III

and his writer Raghava Iyengar tried to previa

Mayavaram Sub Dv. Office

26th July 1885.

No 562

From

The Sub Division officer
Mayavaram

To

The Division officer
Tanjore

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No 1529 of 15th Instant on the Supdg Engineer's No 5687 J. dt 11-7-85 on Maistry Syed Sahib's petition, I have the honor to report, that the way I disposed of the former petition, which was sent to me for disposal was to file it, as I did not feel, called on to re-instate a man whom I could put no reliance in, after having permitted pure sand to be put into a breach and the excuse he gave, was that the contractor was a big man and therefore he held his tongue, and on another occasion he submitted a bill

for

for 400 bandy loads of sand, and on enquiry was taken to a place which on measurement it was discovered that 1/4 that number could easily have carted the quantity, and he merely stated that his bills had always been accepted hitherto, without check, however true that might be. I thought a favourable opportunity had occurred for trying another Maistry, who had 23 years continuous service as Maistry but had been without employ for the last two years from a misunderstanding with my predecessor, and as he is working well it seemed a pity to turn him out again for a man I cannot trust. If there were lots of work going on, I would try this man somewhere else; but as I am turning out Maistries every month now, because there is nothing for them to do, and for no other reason, it seems unreasonable to take on a man who is not one jot better in experience than any of them and not half as capable as the great majority but simply claims the right of permanent employment from long service.

I have recently come across Mr Davidson's opinion of this man, which I here quote:— "Not much of a man his "influence is confined to the immediate "neighbourhood of Tanjore where he, however does not command much labor— "is too fond of working according to Diamond "instead of obeying orders."

I have &c

/Signed/ B.H. Young
Asst Engineer.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ W. Hughes
Exc: Engineer Tanjore Dn:

No 1388, T.J.

Encl: 2/.

/True copy/ Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D with reference to his endorsement No 1837C of 13th November /85 herewith returned.

The man was a temporary Maistry and the Superintending Engineer considers that Mr

Mr Young was fully justified in dispens-
-ing with the man's services and not
re-employing him -

W Wilson COLRE

Superintending Engineer 6th Circle

Trichinopoly
23/11/85.

and his writer Raghava Iyengar directed to proceed

No. 1992 Cy 30th November 85

The Chief Engr

The Chief Engr sees no reason to interfere with the action taken by the local P.W. officers in petitioner's case.

Sd
27/11/85

W.S.
27-11-85

Petitioners L.E. II 65

Dated 30-11-85

From.

The Supdg Engineer
6 Circle.

To.

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 1388 T.T.

Dated 23rd November/85

Ref 26

Melor/

No. 1992 Cy/30-11-85

Syed Saib, late
Mistry in the
Mayaveram Sub Dn

Reports on the
petition of—

With despatch

Sd
3/12/85

To

The Chief Engineer D. P. W.
Madras

The humble petition of Sayed Saheb, late
mistry D. P. W. Mayavaram Taluq Tranquebar

Most Honoured Sir,

I humbly beg to bring
to your honour's notice that I have been employed
for the past 28 years in the D. P. W and that I
have discharged my duties to the entire satis-
faction of the several superiors under whom
I have served, and who have certified to the
same effect.

It has been a practice since
the Danish times, whenever the Vadiyar gets
flooded in the time of the freshes, for the Mirasdars
whose lands adjoin the river to breach the embank-
ment and divert the course of the water. This
breach is known as the Allee breach and is a
source of much loss to the government every year.
Mr. Baker the late Subdivision officer frequen-
tly brought this circumstances to the notice of the
Revenue officials with a view to the detection
of the culprits. It was through my exertions that
the perpetrator was at last detected. But as it
turned out that this man was a rich land owner
of forty vallies, the Overseer Rajagopal Iyengar
and his writer Raghava Iyengar tried to prevail

upon me to let off the culprit by getting rid of my witnesses. Refusing however to yield to their entreaties I succeeded in bringing home the charge to the culprit by the evidence of three witnesses. Through the instigation of the Overseer, however, the Tahsildar of Mayavaram (a man of the same Caste as the Overseer) dismissed the Case on the ground of prescriptive right on the part of the prisoner to the embankment, bringing the same to the notice of the Subdivision officer in his Argi No 640. which was forwarded to me. I strongly urged upon the Subdivision officer in my Argi No 57 the necessity of making an example of the culprit whose practices I had brought to light with so much difficulty, but, as I subsequently learned, the Overseer failed to forward on my Argi to the Subdivision officer. Unfortunately for me Mr Baker the Subdivision officer was transferred from here about this time. In consequence of the above circumstances and other reports that I had at different times made, the Overseer, bearing an ill will towards me prejudiced the mind of Mr Young the newly appointed Subdivision officer against me, and sent me a notice of my dismissal. I represented my case to Mr Young but received no satisfaction from him. I next appealed to Mr Hughes the District Engineer, and saw him in person a few days afterwards. Mr Young happened to be staying there with Mr Hughes and a second time I was doomed to disappointment. I next made an appeal to Colonel Mead the Superintending Engineer whom I also visited at Madar Canal, Sheveray Hills. The following endorsement was made by him on my application

"No 121 To the executive Engineer Tanjore Division for disposal. It is hoped that both he and Mr Young will see that old hands are treated fairly. Perhaps he might be given another chance under another Subordinate."

I regret to inform your honor that although this endorsement brightened my hopes of getting justice at last, yet nothing favourable has transpired. Mr Hughes has perhaps been biassed against me, and perhaps Correspondence has passed against me of which I have been kept in ignorance, without a chance of defending myself.

I humbly beg to state that I have experienced very great injustice and that all my efforts to obtain satisfaction have proved fruitless. As a last resource I have appealed to your honor, begging that my

petitful case will excite your honor's compassion
and that your honor will make an investiga-
tion into the circumstances that led to my
dismissal. It will be unnecessary for me to
point out that if my case is again referred to
the local authorities as to have been so indifferent
towards arriving at the true state of affairs it
will be put off for months together, and that
I can hardly expect justice, for which I
crave more than for reinstatement into office.
Your honor will find that I have served
the government faithfully and that my
dismissal has been an act of cruel injustice.
I beg further to inform your
honor that I have not yet received payment
for work done and petty Contractors employed
under me in road No. 12, for repair of breaches
at Naudalar and for other petty works executed
under my Supervision.
I should be willing to forward
for your honor's perusal all the records connec-
ted with my sad and petiful Case, namely, the
several petitions I have made, endorsements &c.
I humbly beg to inform your
honour that I represented my case to your
honour's predecessor in office, but that officer
replied that he saw no reason to interfere with
the action taken by the local public officers

in my case. Where else can I expect justice?
Believing that the circumstances I have here
in represented will excite your honour's pity and
fully convinced that my appeal to your honor
as a last resource will not be in vain, I have
been bold enough, inspite of the recent order
that has been passed on my petition to appeal
again to your honor. As I have stated above
I have been employed in the department for
28 years. From March last, that is, for the
last nine months, I have been thrown out of
work, striving to get redress, but in vain. The
only hope now left to me is that I will receive it
at your honor's hands.
If your honor should unfortuna-
tely be inclined to think that your honor will not
be justified in interfering with the decisions of
the local authorities, I beg most respectfully to crave
that your honor will be at least pleased to direct
a re-engagement of my services in the depart-
ment or make some other provision for me.
If your honor is pleased to
inquire into things, your honour will find that
during my term of employment there was a great
gain to government annually, but now there is a
loss. I beg your honour to ascertain the truth of
my statement by calling for the records.
I beg to remain Most honoured Sir
Your most obedient servant.
(Signed) Sayed Sahib

Porair near Fauquebert
24 January 1886.

Rec'd 6/3

No. 456 C d/3-2-86

The Petitioner is referred to the endorsement on his previous petition dd 19-2 C d/30-11-85

2. His case was fully inquired into before that endorsement was made.

Li
2/2/86

Ll
2.2.86

Petitioner's Despd 4-2-86.
Pet.

Effd by 2

PETITION FROM

From

Sayedsaib Maistree
D. P. W. Department
Pontiyar Mayaveram Taluq
Tanjore District.

To

His Lordship, Grand Duff
Governor of Madras

Most humbly sheweth

As a last hope of getting satisfaction to his innocent mind your honours humble petitioner begs to bring the following few lines in to your Honor's deepest Consideration

Your Honour's humble petitioner have been employed as a maistree in D. P. W. Department for the last twenty eight years discharging his duties to the entire satisfaction of his superiors

1. Baker Esquire the late Sub Division Officer of this Taluq ordered your humble petitioner to find out by any means the long-standing custom of making a breach by the Miraasdars named Alli which causes immence loss to

the Government. With great difficulty your honour's humble petitioner brought that long-standing Custom to light. The culprit being a rich mirasdar of forty valies of land, Rajagobal Iyangar the Super visor at that time and his writer Rajagova Iyangar frightened me not to bring that case to the public. In spite of that I brought that case to the proof. While the circumstances was in this Condition Baker Esquire the Sub Division Officer was transfered unfortunately. Nothing was spoken afterwards about the matter

2. Rajagobal Ayangar the Super visor being deaf to my report No 109 and other such twenty reports which would bring a great Gain to the Government the loss to the Government thereby is incalculable. Rajagobal Iyangar thinking that your honour's humble petitioner will tell about the above mentioned facts to Young Esquire the successor of Baker Esquire invented all sorts of falsehood against your honour's humble petitioner and made the mind of Young Esquire that your honour's humble petitioner should be dismissed at once. When an order of dismissal came your honour's humble petitioner addressed a petition to Young Esquire stating on what ground your honour's humble petitioner have been dismissed and that the matter should be proved in his presence. Your honour's humble petitioner have written four petitions to Rose Esquire District Engineer Tanjore seven petitions to Price Esquire Superintendant Engineer Trichinopoly and at last two petitions to the Chief Engineer Madras to the same effect They all made light of your honour's humble petitioner's complaint

If such injustice has been done in this department to two poor like me it is unnecessary to write your Excellency what would be the state of your honour's humble petitioner whose earnest desire is to get justice. As to your Excellency being the sole master of this presidency your honour's humble petitioner further begs that justice should be done to him, and for which charity your honour's humble petitioner will be much obliged to your Excellency

I beg to remain Sir

Your most obedient servant

~~6th~~ February 1886
Trangubar

~~6th February 1886~~

PETITION from Sayed Saib Maricar of Tanjore Dt

Dated 1st March 1886.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

W. H. Macnaughton

Lieut.-Colonel,

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Madras.
6th March 1886.

Although I have showed in my petition the loss which is caused by the absence of me to the high officers they took no notice of it. I have served under this department for 28 Years without committing any mistake and I have done many works for this department.

Therefore I beg Your Excellency to direct the Collector of this District to examine into my pefiful case. I am quite sure that if my case be left in the hands of the D.P.W. I cannot Expect justice

I beg that Your Excellency will have pity on me and do me justice

P.S. I beg to forward a certificate given me by Mr. Baker the Sub. Division Officer from which Your Excellency will learn about my character

I remain
Your Excellency's
Most obedient and dutiful Servant
[illegible]

Testimonial given by Mr. Baker.

When I took charge of Trangambar Sub. Division in 1880/81 I found Moidin Saiyid Sahib in charge of Section of Naudalar, Virasholan and appear in the Vicinity of Tranquebar in which charge he has ever since remained including the eastern Section of road No. 12. I have always found Saiyid Sahib active and hardworking and anxious to please. He is a good irrigation Maistry and has a fair knowledge of masonary and road work. He performed his duties in a Satisfactory manner

Camp Mayaveram
24th October 1884

(Signed)
H. D. Baker
Asstt Engineer

Petn 1850 of

(188) DEPARTMENT.

No. 979 Cay 11th March 86

Recorded with reference to No. 456C dated 3rd February 1886.

9/3/86

11-3-86

No. 456 Cay 3-2-86
" 1992 Cay 30-11-85

From

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE RIGHT HON. THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

695

Dated 6 } March 1886.
Reed. 9 }

Re 979 Cay 11-3-86

ENCLOSURE.

No. 979 Cay 11th March 86

Subject.

Transfers a petition from Sayed Sait Master of Sanjore St praying that justice maybe done Herein.

Entd in N.R.

12th April 1886.

To.

His Excellency,
The Governor of Madras

The humble petition of
Sayyid Sahib, Naidoo, D. P. H.
Mayaveram Sub. Division

Your Excellency

I have written a petition to Your Excellency on the 1st March and I received a card from Your Excellency stating that my petition has been transferred to the Chief Secretary But I did not get any reply from the Chief Secretary up to this day. As I am a family man I am suffering from poverty. I was dismissed unjustly from the service by the present Sub. Division officer who does not know my character by the instigation of Rajagopala Ayengar the Supervisor Mayaveram Taluq out of some misunderstanding

No 1972 C 30-11-85

No 456 C 3-2-86

779 C 11-3-86

No 1639 C dt 30-6-86

Petitioner is informed that his petition dated 18th March 1886 was recorded with a reference to Endorsement No 456 C dated 3rd Feby 1886, to which Petitioner is now referred

Sd
18/4/86

W
20-4-86

Dead
30/4/86

Registered 46 19-4-86
W. 20/4-1

Entered in Receipt Register

No 1639 C dt 30-6-86

True copies of

Memo. No 1636

With reference to his petition dated 7th July to the Supt Engineer Maistry Syed Sahib is informed that the undersigned cannot reemploy him as the Sub. Denision officer has found him untrustworthy.

28th July 85 Signed. W. Hughes
Executive Engineer
Tanjore Denision

Endorsement on petition from Syed Sahib late Maistry Tranquebar, dated 24th October 1885 requesting to furnish him with copies of certain documents.

No 2390. A.

Application refused. Applicant informed that further applications will not be paid any attention.

28th October 85 Signed. G. K. Manson
Executive Engineer
Tanjore Denision

Endorsement on a petition from Syed Sahib Maistry attached to Mayavaram Sub. Denision bringing to notice that he is unjustly dismissed by the Mayavaram Denision officer & requesting to render justice on Examining certain reports.

No 101

The undersigned cannot interfere in the matter. It is believed the maistry was justly dismissed.

22-4-86 Signed. W. Hughes.
Executive Engineer
Tanjore

True copy of Endorsement

Petition from Sayed Sahib late maistry P.W.D Mayaveram Sub. Division stating that he was unjustly dismissed from service by Mr. Young the Sub. Division officer.

No 121 J.J

To the Executive Engineer Tanjore Division for disposal. It is hoped that both he and Mr. Young will see that old hands are treated fairly, perhaps he might be given another Chance under another subordinate.

28/4/85

Signed - H.R. Mead Lt. Col. R.E.
Supdtg Engr 6th Circle

No 1097 I.J. True copy.

To Sayed Sahib late maistry in Mayaveram, with reference to his petition of 29/9

10th October 85

signed. H.R. Mead Col R.E.
Supdtg Engr 6th Circle

True Copy of the Certificates.

When I took charge of Mayaveram Sub. Division 1880 I found maistry Syed Sahib charge of Sections of Kandal Veerasolen and Odeman in the Vicinity of Tranquebar in which charge he has ever since remained in Chedully the Eastern Section of Road No 12.

I have always found Sayed Sahib active and hard working and anxious to please. He is a good supervision maistry and have got Khoombadge of Khakary and wash work. He performed his duties in a satisfactory manner.

Camp Mayaveram
24th October 1884.

signed. W.V. Baker.
Asst Engineer.

Sayed Sahib maistry was under many charge in Mayaveram Taluq including the Tranquebar irrigation works, in Kandal Veerasolen & Superintendence of Road No 12. He is very active, painstaking man & especially clearin keeping the Banks in good order & protecting the same. I very often found him well conducting & obedient.

12/2/85

signed - Vethunal Pillai
Supdt. P.W.D.

True Copies of
Memorandum No 1992 C.

Petition from Sayed Sahib late maistry P.W.D Mayaveram Taluq Tranquebar, dated 4th November 1885

The Chief Engineer sees no reason to interfere with the action taken by the local Public works officers in petitioner's Case.

Madras
30th November 85

(By order)
Signed - Dehn Campell Captain R.E.
Dy. Chief Engineer P.W.D

Memorandum No 456 C

Petition from Sayed Sahib, late maistry P.W.D Mayaveram Taluq dated 24th January 1886

The petitioner is referred to the endorsement on his previous petition No 1992 C dated 30th Novr 1885

2. His Case was fully inquired into before that endorsement was made.

Madras
3rd Feb. 1886

(By order)
Signed. D. Dehn Campell Major R.E.
Dy. Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Memorandum No 439 C

Petition from Sayed Sahib maistry D.P.W. Mayaveram Sub. Dervision dated 12th April 1886.

Petitioner is informed that his petition dated 1st March '86 was recorded with adverteuce to endorsement No 456 C dated 3rd Feb. '86, to which petitioner is now referred.

Chepauk
30th April 1886

(By order)
Signed. D. Dehn Campell Major R.E.
Deputy Chief Engineer P.W.D.

To.

His Excellency the Right Honorable
Honble Stuart Elphinstone
Grant Duff
Governor of Fort, St George
Madras.

The humble petition of Sayyid Sahib late Maistry, D.P.W. Mayaveram Taluq.
Tranquebar.

Respectfully Sheweth.

That Your Excellency's petitioner, after 28 years of faithful service to the government was unjustly dismissed in March 1885 on false charges brought against him by Rajagopala Ayyengar, Supervisor of this Range.

2. That your Excellency's petitioner prayed Mr. Young the Sub Division Officer to hold an Enquiry into the circumstances of his dismissal, but that his application was taken no notice of.

3. That the petitioner next appealed to Mr. Hughes the District Engineer.

the victim of a depression acting against him through personal animosity. The petitioners only hope of redress lies in Your Excellency's hands; and he prays that Your Excellency instead of referring his case to the Department will be pleased to direct the Collector of the District to institute a full Inquiry into all the Circumstances Connected with his unjust dismissal.

And for this act of Charity Your Excellency's petitioner will ever pray.

Veraya[illegible]
7-5-1886

Encl. No 3

Rec'd 14/5/86

Encl

1639 C 30.4.86
No 1916 C dy 21-5-86

C

Recorded with reference to No 1639 C dated 30-4-86

30.4.86.

17/5/86

19.5.86

Entd in Regt. Regr.

No 1639 C. 30-4-86
" 979 C 11. 3. 86
" 456 C. 3. 2. 86.
" 1992 C. 30. 11. 83-

14/5 25/5. 25/5
Submitted 16.5.86 17.5.86 21-5-86

To

The Governor of Fort St George
Madras.

The humble petition of Sayed Tahib late Maistry D. P. W.
Mayaveram Taluque
Tranquebar

Respectfully Showeth.

(i) That your Excellency's poor petitioner begs with due submission for venturing to bring to your Excellency's noble Consideration of his petition dated 7th May 1886 wherein was set forth a detailed account of the unjust treatment which he underwent from the hands of one Rajagopal Iyengar Supervisor of this range and of his appeals to the higher Authorities of the D. P. W. with the endorsements thereon; and of his second petition dated 8th June 1886 which he was obliged to make on account of his having not been favoured with a reply to his first petition.

(ii) That your Excellency's poor petitioner after having found that as his repeated appeals to the higher authorities of the D. P. W. were unattended with success, he ventured to represent the grievences to your Excellency with a full hope of obtaining redress from the mercy of your Excellency; but to his greatest misfortune (which attends almost all the helpless in their undertakings) he finds that his humble petition has not as yet received your Excellency's noble Consideration

(iii) That your Excellency's poor petitioner begs to attribute the reason of his dismissal to his having not acted against his

conscience and against the interest of the Government (a detailed account of which he is prepared to give at the time of the inquiry). Because he has acted faithfully and conscientously in a case connected with a certain breach on the bank of the river called Vadyar, he is made the victim of the said Superviser and left with none so benevolent and so noble-hearted as to take his poor circumstances into consideration. He begs to observe that, instead of his being rewarded for his faithful services, he has been made to undergo the severest hardships and privations with a big family of six members who are all depending on him for their livelihood. He had spent a greater part of his life time in the Public works department (his services amounting to nearly 28 years) and consequently he is left with no chances of entering any other department.

(iv) That your Excellency's poor petitioner begs to point out that, he being a poor man of no influence the higher authorities did not hold a proper investigation into his case as may be seen from their endorsements referred to in his first petition; that in case any authority unconnected with this department be ordered to hold a proper inquiry into his case, he begs to think that the injustice done to him will be brought to light.

Therefore your Excellency's poor petitioner earnestly craves the benign humanity of your Excellency to condescend to order the Collector of the District or any other Authority to hold a just inquiry and to relieve the petitioner of his unbearable sufferings through want of means for his living.

For this act of charity and benevolence, your Excellency's poor petitioner, shall as in duty bound ever pray.

28th July 1886. Louis [illegible]

Enclosed.

P.D. 6108/86

PETITION from Syed Sahib

Dated 28th July 1886.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

W Blunt Simmons

Lieut.-Colonel,

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
2nd August 1886.

In 1916 C. 21-5-86
" 1639 C. 30-4-86
" 979 C. 11-3-86
" 456 C. 3-2-86
" 1992 C. 30-11-83

Hon H. C. Fellows
C. 2808 Cal 17-8-86

Petitioner is informed that his petition dated 7th May 1886 was recorded with a minute & Endorsement No: 1639 C dy 30th April 1886. to which petitioner is now referred.

Sd
7/8/86

Ch
9-8-86

Petitioner

Sd
18/8/86

P. 11-8-86 R. 16-8-86

A.S. 18/8

Recommd 456-7-8-86 R. 11-8-86

(8)

I.W.C. No: 6104

(188) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE RIGHT HON. THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

Dated 2nd
Recd. 3 } August 1886.

Recd 6-8-86.

No: 2808 Cal 17-8-86

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Transfers a petition from Syed Sahib praying that a prosecution enquiry may be made into his case.

Sd
18/8

[9]

CURRENT NO. 2785

Public Works Department.

Recd 7/7

No. 1638C

Transferred to the Public Works Dept.

By Order
Lidderdale
Under Secretary

Fort St George
2 Oct 1886

1/10

From Syed Sahib
Late Maistry D.P.W
Mayaveram Taluq

2808 C 17.8.86

No.

Dated 16

Received 18

Enclosures 1/2

SUBJECT.

Submits a petition to the Government of India.

With Endorsement

No 1992 C dy 30.11.85
" 456 C dy 3.2.86
" 979 C dy 11.3.86
" 1639 C dy 30.4.86
" 1916 C dy 21.5.86
" 2808 C dy 17.8.86

Circ. 6.10.86 R. 11.10.86

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 2683 W dy 13th October 1886

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

B/

Endorsement fm Public dept No. 1638 C dy 2.10.86 transferring petition from Syed Sahib, late maistry, Mayaveram taluq.

9/10

The petition will be forwarded to the Government of India.

To,
Petitioners
Dated 14.10.86.

(R. No. 2683 W dy)

To,
The Secretary to the
Government of India PWD

Sir,

In forwarding

a petition addressed
to H.E. the Viceroy
from Syed Sahib,
late maistry, P.W. Dept,
I am directed to state
that petitioner was a
temporary employé and
his services were
dispensed with as it
was found that no
reliance could be placed
in him. The Assistant
Engineer under whom
the petitioner served
reported that he
had permitted

pure sand to be put
into a breach, and
the excuse the maistry
gave was that the
contractor was a "big
man" and therefore
he did not report the
matter. On another
occasion he is reported
to have submitted a
bill for 400 ~~bandy~~ cart
loads of sand, and
it was found on
measurement that
100 ~~loads~~ carts could
easily have carted

the quantity.

2. Under these circumstances, the Chief Engineer P.W.D. declined to interfere with the action taken by the local P.W. officers in petitioner's case, and I am directed to say that the Govt of Madras after due consideration of the circumstances are ~~that petitioner should be~~ of opinion that the ~~Mr be employed.~~ petitioner has not been unjustly ~~has been~~ treated.

6/10/86

No. 2683/2684 dy 12th Oct 86

Dft
6/10/86.

Decn 14.10.86.

9.10.86.
Enc. 6.10.86. 11.10.86
9/10 Cir Ltr

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 1766 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Public Works Department

Simla, 25th October 1886.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 2684 dated 13th instant, forwarding for orders a memorial from Syed Sahib, late maistry, appealing against his dismissal, I am directed to request that the memorialist may be informed that the Government of India declines to interfere in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt servt

W. Hampson

Under Secy to the Govt of India

BC · 7 · 86
1916 C · 21 · 5 · 86
11639 C · 30 · 9 · 86
379 C 11 · 3 · 86
456 C · 3 · 2 · 86
1992 C 30 · 11 · 85

No 2872 W dy Nov 2 1886

Petitioner will be informed that in reply to his petition dated 16th Sept. 86 the Govt of India have declined to interfere in the action taken in this case.

Rg 1 · 11 · 86

No 2872 W dy Nov 2 '86

Sec
3/11/86

Sec
1/11/86

Syed Sahib
late Ministry P.W.D

2/11/86

Sec 3/11 D 10/11 Sec 11/11

S.C.
D.W.
2/11

Jo

His Excellency the Governor of
Madras.

The humble petition of Syed Saib Sahib, Ministry P.W.D. Mayaveram Taluq Tranquebar most Respectfully Showeth.

That he herewith encloses one petition to your Excellency's perusal, And thus to be submitted to the Government of India. Your Excellency's humble petitioner is very poor, And wants the protection of your Excellency in saving him and his poor family from the time he was unjustly dismissed from his services. Your Excellency may be gracious to order for his reappointment to his former post in the department, and that act of charity lies in your excellency's hand.

I beg to remain
Most Respected Sir
Your Excellency's most obedt.

dated 12th November 1886
Tranquebar.

Ex. 3
P.W. 89/86/88

did so, but the
Superintendent retained it at his office, and after
Consulting the matter with the Jaildar, left
the person, and dismissed the case. Taking
all these in his mind dismissed the petitioner
from his services and refused to pay, the
expenses incurred in some arrangements
made by him at the time. A list of the arrears
is here with sent to your excellency's noble perusal.
Of these circumstances, he has already stated
in his petition submitted to your excellency
on the 16th September 1886.

He further begs to add that as,
all the virtuous qualities represented in Thees entirely
dwell in your excellency's mind and spread
for the gentle arms of Compassion to wipe
away the tears from the poor, who were degraded
in their lives, by the powerful bitter hatred.

As a last view and hope your excellency's
humble petitioner most respectfully solicits
your Excellency to be gracious to order the
Head of the Department for his reappoint-
ment.

I beg to remain
Most respectfully
Your excellency's most obedient
and humble petitioner

Dated 12th November 1886
Tranquebar

Jurisdiction

Works done by Maistry Syed Saiby, by order of the Sub Division Officer and Supervisor of Mayaveram Taluq in the year 1885

Nos	Length	Breadth	Height	Cubic feet	Description	Rate Rs	as	ps	Amount Rs	as	ps
1	.	.	.	.	5. Pad locks for the Sub Jail at Tranquebar	.	4	.	1	4	.
2	.	.	.	.	Money expended for the Witnesses to prove the Offence of a Constable No 633. for the first	.	.	.	5	4	.
3	.	.	.	.	Cooly paid for taking away the shutter of Singanodai Sluice in flood	.	.	.	.	12	.
4	.	.	.	.	marking a line to refresh a Canal in Kandalar near Thavanoor	.	.	.	2	.	.
5	150	6	.	900	Bringing Kanal from five miles and planted in the R. Bank of Paloor Sluice	.	10	.	5	10	.
6	60	4	.	240	Planting Kanal opposite to the Bank in the left side	.	10	.	1	8	.
7	.	.	.	.	Balance in payment to Mile Coolies in Road No 12	.	.	.	28	12	8
8	.	.	.	.	As per order, Balance in payment for daily Coolies	.	.	.	7	2	.
9	.	.	.	.	To plant Kanal in the Kadalalinar, the watch man received	.	.	.	2	.	.
10	.	.	.	.	As per account of watchmen of Manjalar and Ambanar balance in payment of works done	.	.	.	10	13	9
11	.	.	.	Wks	Throwing sands to the breaches in the margin of the Sluice near Kelmallikai	.	1	.	2	12	3
12	.	.	.	.	Cart hire for Carring mile stones & furlong stones from Mayaveram to Tranquebar	.	.	.	1	4	.
									69	2	8

* Some petty Contractors had done works for nearly 150 Rs, by the orders of the Supervisor who has not yet paid them.

12-11-86 Lewis, Capy.

For a Person of 2 years with much satisfaction to his superiors, that were entrusted to his charge, during which time he has proved himself a faithful & honest Servant, & I do hereby certify that he is entitled to a Character

I came to be settled as they like, having resided there for the last Eleven years & upwards

Thus Caused the undersigned to subscribe his name & affix the Seal of the Court

Done at Negapatam this 2nd day of December 1885

Sd/- [illegible]

Principal Sudr Amin

Court of Negapatam

The Honble Petition of the said Sd/- [illegible]

Mayaveram Taluq

Sd/- [illegible]

Tahsildar

Sd/- [illegible]

Head Assistant

Sd/- [illegible]

Mayaveram Taluq

Sd/- [illegible]

Mayaveram Taluq

Sd/- [illegible]

Mayaveram Taluq

PETITION from *Syed Sahib*

Dated *12th Novr.* 1886.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

M MacSimon

Lieut.-Colonel,

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Guindy Park
15th November 1886.

Recorded with reference to G.O. No 2872 W dy Nov. 2nd 1886.

Recorded with reference to G.O. No 2872 W dy Nov. 2nd 1886.

Ms 18.11.86

Jdt 18/11/86

2872 W 2.11.86.
2893-4 W 13.10.86.
2808 C 17.8.86.
1916 C 21.5.86.
1634 C 30.4.86.
979 C 11.3.86.
456 C 3.2.86.
1992 C 30.11.85.

Noted for list of Memorials withheld.

To the Petitioners

Desp 20-11-86

Jdt

Syr 23/11

(1886) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE RIGHT HON. THE GOVERNOR.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

17 NOV 86

Stale

Dated 15th November 1886.
Recd. 16

17.11.86

ENCLOSURE. 8.2.3

Subject.

Transfers a petition from Syed Sahib, praying that the enclosed petition may be transferred to the Government of India.

DW W

To

His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General of India

The humble petition of Syed Saib
Late Maistree P.W.D. Mayaveram Taluq.
Tranquebar. Porevar.

Most respectfully shows

That, though the petitioner applied to the Sub Division Officer to the Chief Engineer about the injustice shown him by the Supervisor of this Department Mayaveram Taluq, no justice was administered to him because the Supervisor is a big official, mirasdar and a man of much recommendation. Therefore the petitioner applied to the Madras Government to direct the Collector at least to inquire into the truth of his statement contained in his former petition. As he received no justice even here, he appealed directly to your Excellency in full hopes of his petition being heard. But your Excellency ordered to submit petitions through the Madras Government. So, he submitted two petitions to the Government of India through the Government of Madras; the first of which met with the order that the Government of India would not interfere in the affair. And when the second petition was submitted, the Madras Government without forwarding it to your Excellency endorsed thereon that the petition had been recorded with reference to G.O. 28

Hearing was never before this refused in the British Government when a person appealed to high authorities about such injustice. What will be the feeling of the petitioner when he sees that he could not receive justice even after appealing to your Excellency.

In former days gentlemen and big officials helped and supported the poor, but now Iyengar Brahmins being raised to high rank do any sort of injustice to the poor & try to work out their ends using all their influence. Therefore the poor petitioner comes in full hope to your Excellency that his petition will be heard and justice will be administered to him.

The injustice of which your Excellency's humble ~~servant~~ petitioner complains is as follows.

A Sub Division Officer observing in this Taluq that the Government had to undergo a good deal of loss every year from a breach cut open by some

unknown person, ordered the petitioner to detect the main
so the petitioner did and reported to the Supervisor. As
the Supervisor and the man detected were both merasdars
the Supervisor requested the petitioner to leave off the Case.
But the petitioner by order of the Sub Division Officer
proved the Case before the Tahsildar with three witnesses.
And when this affair came out to the Knowledge of the
Supervisor, he grew wrathful at the petitioner and having
consulted with the Tahsildar who was also an Iyengar
dismissed the Case. From the above cause the Supervisor
Rajagopala Iyengar dismissed the petitioner also and
refused to pay him the expenses incurred from conducting
certain works by order. Your Excellency's humble petitioner
has not only done this but many more to the advantage
of the Government, several of which have turned out
to be the contrary through the carelessness of the Superintendent.
The petitioner could prove several such cases by means
of records.

Therefore the petitioner most humbly begs that,
as the Government of India could not personally inquire
into the matter, it may at least direct the District
Collector to inquire and consider how the petitioner
had worked for the gain of the Government and how
it had turned out to be loss through Supervisor's
mistake.

Under the above circumstances your
Excellency's humble memorialist craves your benevolence
to order for inquiry into his case, for his re-entertain-
ment and for the payment of balance Rupees due
as per list appended for works conducted in this
Department by order.

He begs to remain most
respectfully
Your Excellency's most obedient
and
humble servant.

Tranquebar
Porcair
5th Jany 1887

Subdivisional Officer
Late Mautry. P.W.D. Mayaveram Taluq.
Tranquebar. Porcair.

List of works done by mistry Syed Saib in the Tranquebar
taluq in the years 1884 & 1885 by order of Sub-Division Officer
& Supervisor.

Number	Length	Breadth	Depth	C.F.T.	Description	Rate at			Amount		
1					5. Locks for the Jail at Tranquebar	-	4	0	1	4	0
2					Expenses for bringing Witnesses to give proff of the theft committed by Cons table No 638	-	-	-	5	4	0
3					(By order.) Cooly paid to take away shutter of the Singarodai No. Sluice in Flood	-	0	-	0	12	0
4					(By order) Blocks in Right hand Side of Sanna Kooni Sluice	-	-	0	2	-	0
5	150	6	0	900	Planting Canal in the Right hand side of Paloor Sluice	-	10	0	5	10	0
6	60	4	0	240	Planting Canal in the Right hand side for the breaches at Paloor Sluice	0	10	0	1	8	0
7					Balance due to Bride Coolies of Road No 12	-	-	0	28	12	8
8					——— do ——— Daily Coolies working at Road No 12	-	-	0	7	2	0
9					Paid through Packiri to pay for planing Canal in Kadalayar	0	0	0	2	-	0
10					As per account of Andi, Paid for the works done at Ambanar & Manjavaikal	-	-	0	10	13	9
11				44/46	Cooly paid for the Earth work done near the breach occurred in the Tow of the sluice	0	1	0	2	12	3
12					Cart hire paid to bring mile & furlong stones from Mayaveram	0	0	0	1	4	0
						Total			69	2	8

Due to me Nearly 150 Rupees excluding the above
works, in petty contracts by order of my Superiors.

Tranquebar
Porcair
16th January 1887

1175

Subdivisional Officer
Late Mautry. P.W.D.
Mayaveram Taluq.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 214 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT E FEB 87 P.W. DEPARTMENT

Fort William, ~~Simla~~, 28th January 1887.

The undermentioned papers are forwarded to the Government of Madras for disposal with reference to Public Works Department No. 1766 G dated 25th October 1886.

By order,

G Wotoalker

Offg Under Secretary.

Documents referred to.

i Appeal against his dismissal by Syed Sahib late Maistree

ii Enclosure of —do—