

Gobt. of (1887) Madras

REVENUE.

B. No. 976 W. 4/31 March 1887

Communicated
to the S. E. VI circle, who
will submit the report
called for in para 2
of G.O. No. 2342 W. dated
15th September 1886.

(sd) J. C. H.
W.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 15th March 1887, No. 287.

B. No. 976 W. 4/31 March 1887

MARCH.

Taluk Cutcherry at Mayavaram.

Passing orders regarding the unsubstantial
character of the — constructed not long ago.

S. E. VI circle

With order

15TH MARCH 1887, No. 287, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 15th September 1886, No. 2342-W, Public Works.

ABSTRACT.—Sanctioning, with remarks, estimate of Rs. 9,390 for improving the taluk office at Máyavaram in the Tanjore district.

READ—also the following G.O., dated 13th November 1886, No. 2999-W, Public Works:—

Read—the following letter from C. M. SMITH, Esq., Executive Engineer, Tanjore division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated Tanjore, 2nd November 1886, No. 2979:—

In compliance with instructions conveyed in your No. 910-T.J., dated 28th September 1886, I have the honor to report that I have inspected the taluk cutcherry at Máyavaram, and find that the building is a very old one, and was not built 15 or 16 years ago as stated in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue. I cannot, however, ascertain when it was built.

2. The cutcherry and subsidiary jail were repaired in 1868 and some minor repairs were apparently done in 1876, and also by the Revenue authorities in 1883.

3. My inspection renders it necessary for me to report that the sub-jail is in bad order and will require an outlay of, probably, Rs. 700 to put it into good order.

4. Also that the central building, which includes the treasury room, will require a large expenditure, estimated by the sub-division officer at over Rs. 1,600. The roof is bad throughout, and this part of the building is in no better condition than the rest.

5. Neither of these two are provided for in the estimate lately submitted and now under reference.

No. 1144-T.J.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for information with reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 2342-W., dated 15th ultimo.

2. The Executive Engineer has been requested to prepare a new estimate, providing for all repairs and improvements considered necessary.

(True Copy.)

TRICHINOPOLY,
5th November 1886.

(Signed) J. W. MARTIN,
Offg. Supg. Engineer, VI Circle.

Order—dated 13th November 1886, No. 2999-W, Public Works.

Communicated to the Revenue Department with advertence to G.O., No. 2342-W, dated 15th September 1886.

2. The complete estimate, referred to in paragraph 2 of the Superintending Engineer's endorsement, is awaited.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Captain, R. E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P. W. D.

To the Revenue Department.
„ the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

READ—the following office-note from J. F. PRICE, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 25th November 1886, No. 105 :—

[*Vide* G.O., dated 13th November 1886, No. 2999-W.]

Reference is solicited to G.O. in the Public Works Department, dated 2nd April 1867, No. 1016, which sanctioned estimates, amounting to Rs. 6,740, for the construction of a taluk cutcherry at Máyavaram.

2. In order that the nature of the work sanctioned may be clearly seen, it is requested that the plan and estimate, received with the Superintending Engineer's letter of the 7th March 1867, may be furnished for reference.

READ—also the following G.O., dated 2nd March 1887, No. 626-W, Public Works :—

Read—the following letter from the Sub-Division Officer, Máyavaram, to the Executive Engineer, Tanjore, dated 11th February 1887, No. 2330 :—

[Reference your No. 488, dated 8th February 1887.]

I have the honor to report that the existing taluk cutcherry at Máyavaram and sub-jail can easily be identified with the buildings proposed in the abstract estimates received with the above.

2. The estimate for Rs. 4,880 provides for the existing central building and the two buildings of nearly the same plan situated in front and rear (east and west) of it.

3. The abstract estimate for Rs. 1,860 provides for the sub-jail wards and for the existing detached record room ("constructing shed" as called in the estimate).

4. The sub-jail wards only cannot easily be identified, but it is because, I suppose, that portion was renewed in 1877 or so—*vide* paragraph 2 of my No. 2173, dated 22nd January 1887.

5. I beg to add that the word "reconstructed" would as is now evident be right instead of "repaired" in the second sentence of paragraph 2 of my No. 1558, dated 1st November 1886.

Endorsement from the Executive Engineer, Tanjore Division, dated 18th February 1887, No. 617.

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for information with reference to his endorsement, dated 29th January 1887, No. 1724-T.J., herewith returned with enclosures.

Endorsement from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 19th February 1887, No. 1913-T.J.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for information with reference to his No. 203-C, dated 21st ultimo.

Order—dated 2nd March 1887, No. 626-W, Public Works.

Communicated to the Revenue Department with reference to paragraph 3 of G.O., dated 7th February 1887, No. 342-W.

2. The two abstract estimates will be forwarded to the Revenue Department with reference to paragraph 2 of office-note from that department, dated 25th November 1886, No. 105. The plan sanctioned in G.O., dated 2nd April 1867, No. 1016, is not forthcoming.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Captain, R. E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P. W. D.

To the Revenue Department, with two enclosures.

ORDER—dated 15th March 1887, No. 287, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

In the last of the papers read above, the Executive Engineer virtually accepts the Sub-divisional Officer's correction of his previous statement which he adopted (*vide* G.O., dated 13th November 1886, No. 2999-W), that the taluk cutcherry and sub-jail thereto attached were only repaired in 1868. It is now sufficiently clear that the taluk cutcherry was practically newly-built in 1867 or 1868, and a letter from the Collector, dated 10th June 1869 (in Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd July following, No. 5333) shows that this building, with the sub-jail which, a year or two later, was also rebuilt, had then, on the whole, cost somewhere about Rs. 12,700. These buildings it has now been found necessary to secure and improve at a cost of Rs. 11,680—*vide* G.O., dated 7th February 1887, No. 342-W.

2. In these circumstances, the remark made by the Board of Revenue in paragraph 2 of their Proceedings printed in G.O., dated 15th September 1886, No. 2342-W, to the effect that it is now found necessary to demolish the greater portion of a building erected only 15 or 16 years ago and to practically erect a new building, was substantially correct, and the report called for in paragraph 2 of that G.O. and not furnished, appears necessary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department, with 28 enclosures returned.
„ the Board of Revenue.

Exd. W. H. French.

70 Copies.