

25TH FEBRUARY 1887, No. 409, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd February 1887, Mis. No. 769.

Read—the following letter from R. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1887, No. 184:—

With reference to G.O., dated 26th August 1886, No. 2273, Judicial Department, ruling, regarding fees for Government Pleaders in civil cases, that when the decision is against Government half the regulation fees should be allowed, I have the honor to request whether this ruling applies to vakils appearing on behalf of Government in the District Munsif's Courts. They are paid no retaining fee as the Government Pleader in the District Court is.

Resolution—dated 3rd February 1887, Mis. No. 769.

Submitted for the orders of Government in the Judicial Department. The Board are of opinion that the ruling referred to does not apply to vakils engaged for Government in District Munsif's Courts.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,  
*Ag. Secretary.*

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department.  
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

ORDER—dated 25th February 1887, No. 409, Judicial.

The Acting Collector has himself shown that the ruling referred to cannot apply to vakils other than Government Pleaders. The fees in such cases must be settled by special agreement, but must not exceed the regulation fee without special sanction.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,  
*Ag. Chief Secretary.*

To the Departments of the Secretariat.  
„ all District Judges.  
„ all „ Magistrates.  
„ the Registrar, High Court.  
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of 1887 Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Recorded in  
Continuation of No.  
2358 W dated 17 Sept  
1886.

(Sd) J. O. H.  
W.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 25th February 1887, No. 409.

FEBRUARY.

Fees for Government Pleaders.

Stating that the ruling in G.O. No. 2273 of 1886 does not apply to vakils other than Government Pleaders.

Supdy. Engrs.

Exr. P. W. Acheson

With order

25TH FEBRUARY 1887, No. 409, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd February 1887, Mis. No. 769.

Read—the following letter from R. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1887, No. 184:—

With reference to G.O., dated 26th August 1886, No. 2273, Judicial Department, ruling, regarding fees for Government Pleaders in civil cases, that when the decision is against Government half the regulation fees should be allowed, I have the honor to request whether this ruling applies to vakils appearing on behalf of Government in the District Munsif's Courts. They are paid no retaining fee as the Government Pleader in the District Court is.

Resolution—dated 3rd February 1887, Mis. No. 79.

Submitted for the orders of Government in the Judicial Department. The Board are of opinion that the ruling referred to does not apply to vakils engaged for Government in District Munsif's Courts.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

M. HAMMICK,  
Ag. Secretary.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department.  
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

ORDER—dated 25th February 1887, No. 409, Judicial.

The Acting Collector has himself shown that the ruling referred to cannot apply to vakils other than Government Pleaders. The fees in such cases must be settled by special agreement, but must not exceed the regulation fee without special sanction.

(True Extract.)

H. E. Stokes

Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.  
" all District Judges.  
" all " Magistrates.  
" the Registrar, High Court.  
" the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of (1887) Madras  
JUDICIAL.

Recorded in  
Continuation of  
No. 2358 W. dated  
17<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1886

WCM  
10.3.87

Jul  
10/3/87

Issued

Recd. 9.3.87

Encl.

G.O., 25th February 1887, No. 409.

FEBRUARY.

Fees for Government Pleaders.

Stating that the ruling in G.O. No. 2273 of 1886 does not apply to vakils other than Government Pleaders.

With order

Supdy. Engrs ✓

Exr. P.W. Clerk ✓

12/3

W.P.O. ✓