Public Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper.

Letter from the Government of India, Home Department, No. 6-258, dated 5th February 1887.

I am directed to request that all ordinary communications addressed to this Department which cannot reach the office in Calcutta by the morning of the 4th March 1887 may be forwarded to Simla. Urgent references may be addressed to Calcutta if they will reach by the morning of the 19th idem, after that to Simla.

Order, 21st February 1887, No. 156 Pl ~~Mis~~

Communicated to the Departments of the Secretariat.

(True Extract)

W. S. Meyer
Assistant Secretary

To
The Revenue Department
" Public Works "
" Military "
" Municipal "

[6]

Govt. of 188

No 606 W of 1st March/87

To

The PWD

Recorded

[illegible] 24.2.87

Sent 23/2/87

Proceedings, dated 21st July 1887.
No. 156 R

Subject.

No. 606 W dated 1st March

Copy letter from
Govt of India, rega
latest date on whi
correspondence shou
reach Calcutta.

1033 of 21.4.86.
611 of 8.3.86
526 of 16.2.86

To S R
for note.

16TH NOVEMBER 1883, No. 3276 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following Resolution of the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Buildings), dated Simla, 3rd November 1883, No. 443 B.:—

Increased powers of professional sanction by Superintending Engineers.

Read—

Letter No. C. 2274 B.R., dated 10th July 1883, from the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, Public Works Department.
Public Works Department Circular letter, No. 300 B., dated 16th August 1883, to Local Governments and Administrations.
Replies to above.

Observations—

In their letter, No. C. 2274 B.R., dated 10th July 1883, the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh recommended, for the approval of the Government of India, a proposal to increase the present powers of professional sanction by Superintending Engineers for original works from Rs. 5,000 to 10,000 for each project. The majority of the Local Governments and Administrations consulted are in favor of the change, provided that the proposal is merely to increase the limit as regards professional and not administrative sanction.

2. His Excellency the Governor-General in Council is accordingly pleased to decide that the power of sanction of Superintending Engineers under Public Works Code, Chapter III, Section II, paragraph 33, may, at the discretion of the Local Government or Head of the Administration under which they are serving, be increased from Rs. 5,000 to Rs. 10,000 for each project.

Order—

Ordered that copies of this resolution be forwarded to the Local Governments and Administrations and Officers noted in the margin for information. Also to the Military Department for the purpose indicated.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, British Burma, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor-General for Central India, Rajputana, and Biluchistan.
The Accountant-General, Public Works Department.
The Director-General of Railways.
The Military Department for communication to the Inspector-General of Military Works.

(Signed) W. S. TREVOR, Colonel, R.E.,
Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department.

Order—dated 16th November 1883, No. 3276 W., Public Works.

The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, will frame the requisite standing order to the Public Works Code, to give effect, as regards Superintending Engineers of the Madras Presidency, to the sanction of the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, Superintending Engineers.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government.
,, Chief Engr. for Irrigation

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 16th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 16th Nov. 1883, No. 3276 W.

NOVEMBER.

Superintending Engineers

Passing orders on resolution of the Government of India increasing the powers of professional sanction of —.

Office of the Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

Trichinopoly 3d December 1883.

No. 511 T.C

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Enclosure.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for your information the acceptance of a fresh contract for the Munsif's Court house at Ariyalur.

As reported in my letter No 510 T.C dated 3d Instant the original Contractor has failed to carry out the work, and arrangements had to be made to prosecute the work by a new Contractor.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

Lt Col R.E.
Supt Engineer, 6th Circle.

To W.
for approval.—

I would suggest for consideration the advisability of extending the powers of S.E's. to accept tenders for contracts, at present limited to Rs 10,000 (Code para 280).

Under recent orders the powers of sanction (professional) of S.E's may, with the approval of Govt, be raised from the codal limit of Rs 5000 to Rs. 10000.

This extension of their power being considered desirable, there can be no objection to doubling also their powers as to acceptance of tenders & settlement of details of contracts.—

In fact it appears to me that the Chief Engr's approval of such small contracts must be merely formal. He can as a rule know but little of the circumstances of the contractors tendering for work, & would almost as a matter of course accept the tender recommended by an S.E.

CJ
7/12.

The matter referred to by Col: Mead in his second para is being dealt with separately.—

I entirely concur. Can the matter be dealt with here? — or must it go to India?

7.12.83

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

~~P.W. Cho. 10822~~
11202/83

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 511 TC

Dated 3rd } Decr / 1883.
Received 6 }

Enclosure —

No 2478 C d/ 11th Decr /83

Muniff's Court at Arialur.—

Reports the acceptance of a fresh contract for the —

Nos 3711/3712 Wa/27-12-83

No 2608 Wd/15.9.83
" 3276 W d/16.11.83
" 2478 C d/11.12.83

No 2631 D

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1883. { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

~~P.W.C. No 11202~~

Chepauk, 10th December 1883.
Recd 15.—

OFFICE NOTE. R. No 913.
10.12.83.
Encl. 1.

Nos 3711/3712 Wa/27-12-83

To
Secy Code Committee

With reference to the remarks on the accompanying letter from S.E. VI, please favor me with your opinion whether it will be necessary to obtain the sanction of the Govt of India to the proposed modification of Codal rules?

8.12.83
W

To. No 995 of 15th Decr/83
Encs: 1.
W.

As our rule, paras 280(a) and 1605, limiting

Cre: 2/12 R 2/12

the powers of Superintending Engineers to accept tenders for Contracts to Rupees 10,000/- is based on the ruling contained in the Government of India Code Chapter VIII, para 7, and not on any local order, Sanction of Government of India to the proposed alteration will be necessary

Secretary to Code Committee

14/12

Ge.
14/12

No. 3711 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 27th Decr/83

READ the following

Office Note from the Exr.
P.W. Accounts H.E. No. 995 d/ 15th Decr/83

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

H.E. No. 3712 W d/27th Decr/83

To
The Secy. to the Govt.
of India P.W. Dept.

Sir,

With reference to Government of India Resolution No. 443 B d/ 3rd November/83, intimating that the power of sanction of Superintending Engrs. under P.W. Code Chapter III Section II paragraph 33, may, at the discretion of the Local Government under whom they are serving, be increased from Rs 5000 to Rs 10,000 for each project, - an arrangement which this Government have accepted, - I am directed to propose for the sanction of the Government of India that the power of Superintending Engineers to accept contracts under Government of India Code Chapter VIII, para 7, be increased to Rs 20,000, a from Rs 10,000 under para 8, d that

contracts exceeding Rs 10,000 in lieu of Rs 5000, be made to the Chief Engineer.

2. I am to remark that the Chief Engr's approval of small contracts under Rs 20,000 must necessarily be merely formal. He can, as a rule, know but little of the circumstances of the contractors tendering for work; and would, ~~almost~~ as a matter of course, approve the tender recommended by a Supdg. Engineer.

21/12

21.12.83.

27/12

G.p. B 3711 Way 27-12-83
G.c. A 3712 ~~6 Way~~ 15-11-83
327

F.C.
Cha 1855 1/1/84

Nos 3711/3712 Way 27-12-83

No. 411D d/ 3-3-84
G of I Circ: 101B d/ 21-2-84

P W Deptt.

[illegible]

No. 787 D d/ 23rd April

Office-Note

Ioc/

With reference to my office-Note No. 411D d/ 3rd March 1884 please say when the S.O. embodying G of I letter No. 101B dated 21-2-84 will issue.

It is desirable that Sup Engrs should know of their increased powers with the least possible delay.

/20/ CB
DW.

No. 101 B.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CIVIL WORKS.

Buildings.

RESOLUTION.

Fort William, February 21, 1884.

Increased powers of Superintending Engineers to accept contracts.

Read—

Public Works Department Resolution No. 443 B., dated 3rd November 1883.

Letter No. 3712 W., dated 27th December 1883, from the Government of Madras, P. W. Dept.

Resolution.—His Excellency the Governor General in Council is pleased to decide that the power of Superintending Engineers to accept contracts under Public Works Code, Chapter VIII, para. 7, may, at the discretion of the Local Government or Head of the Administration under which they are serving, be increased from Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 20,000.

2. All contracts over Rs. 5,000 should, as provided for in Code, Chapter VIII, para. 8, be reported to the Chief Engineer as soon as accepted.

Order.—Ordered, that a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and Officers noted in the margin for information.

Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, British Burmah, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents, Governor General for Central India, Rajputana, and Biluchistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Military Department, for communication to the Inspector General of Military Works.

Also to the Military Department for the purpose indicated.

R. B. BUCKLEY,

Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CIVIL WORKS.
Buildings.

To

No. 101 B.

Dated February 21, 1884.

Received

Increased powers to Superintending Engineers to accept contracts.

No. 262, P. W. D. Press—21-2-84—250.

C.W. 1855

No 818 Dd/30-4-84

P.W.D.

No 818 Dd/30th April/84

From the Govt of India No 101 P.W.D. 21.2.1884

The foregoing letter from the Govt of India is circulated to Supdg Engineers for information.

The substance of the ruling ~~will~~ has ~~be~~ been embodied in a Standing Order to the P.W. Code now about to issue.

C.J.
25.4.84

To Supdg Engrs ✓ D 30/4
Consg Asst to Govt

Cn

No 782 Dd 23-4-84
" 411 Dd 3-2-8

No 818 Dd 30-4-84

No. 818 dd/30 to April /84

The foregoing letter from the Govt. of India is circulated to Supdg: Engineers for information.

2. The substance of the ruling has been embodied in a standing Order to the P.W. Code now about to issue.

(Signed) J.S.

Supdg: Engineers
Consg: Arct. to Govt.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
CIVIL WORKS.
Buildings.

To

No. 101 B.
Dated February 21, 1884.
Received

No. 818 dd/30 April/84

Increased powers to Superintending Engineers to accept contracts.

No. 262, P. W. D. Press—21-2-84—250.

With memo

No. 1777

FIFTH CIRCLE.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Report of Contracts exceeding Rupees 5,000 each for the execution of works in the Chingleput Division accepted by the Superintending Engineer submitted to the Chief Engineer under para. 1606 of the P. W. Code.

Item No.	Description of Work.	Amount of Estimate.	Rate of Tender.	Nature and amount of security offered.	Penalty fixed for breach of Contract.	Time within which the work is to be executed.	Mode in which Tenders were invited.	Name of Contractor.	Remarks.
1	Repairing Main Building and Out houses of the Government House at Guindy	Rs. 8170.	Three per cent below sanctioned rates.	Rs. Eight hundred and six	Vide paras 4-7-8 and 11 to 14 of the Conditions in Form No. M 174.	30th April 1885.	By Notice put up in the Executive Engineer's Office	C. Soma Soondra Mudelr.	

Superintending Engineer's Office,
5th Circle,
Chepak, 8th August 1884.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Colonel, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, 5th Circle.

G.O. No 3276 W 16 Novr 1883
B. Nos 3711/3712 W 27 Decr 1883
No 818 D. 30th April 1884,

Fifth Circle.

Recorded

CY.
11.8.84

W.
13.8.84

No 1777

Dated 8th } August 1884.
Received }

Report of Contracts exceeding Rs 5,000 each for the execution of works in the Chingleput Division

With disposal

29TH AUGUST 1884, No. 2134 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ again—

G.O., dated 5th February 1884, No. 303 W., on Proceedings, Judicial Department, dated 25th January 1884, No. 225.

READ—also G.O., dated 31st July 1884, No. 1896, Judicial.

Read—the following letter from Colonel T. E. TENNANT, Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 11th July 1884, No. 211:—

With reference to Judicial Order, 25th January 1884, No. 225, directing me to report after further consultation with the Sanitary Commissioner on the best site for the new district jail at Trichinopoly and for the cellular prison to be attached to the central jail at that station, I have now the honor to report that, although the original site to the south of the jail is more sheltered from the strong feverish winds and is in many respects preferable to the northern site, the Sanitary Commissioner is of opinion that the drains intersecting the southern site form an insuperable objection, and in this opinion the District Magistrate and the Medical Officer concur.

2. As the proposed site on the southern side is objected to on sanitary grounds, I presume it must be abandoned. The Superintendent of the Jail in his letter, No. 320, dated 5th April 1884, proposes alternative sites on the northern side of the jail, viz., the one marked A on the accompanying plan, 750 feet square, but unless more ground is taken up and one block of the police lines removed there will not be sufficient space; the other site is marked B on the plan and I think will be the better of the two; it will, however, entail some alteration in the general disposition of the buildings. The cellular prison will have to be constructed on the north-east side, and the district jail buildings will be placed on the north-west side as shown in the accompanying sketch. The cells for prisoners under sentence of death ought to be placed in the district jail and not in the cellular prison, as shown in Mr. Johnston's plan. A lodge for the warder will be required at C, the gate communicating with the central jail. The plan of this warder or gatekeeper's lodge ought to be the same as that constructed at Vellore.

3. The European head constable's quarters will have to be removed from their present site and re-erected in some other part of the ground; this, however, will not cost much and can be carried out by convict labor.

4. The Sanitary Commissioner's letter, dated 22nd May 1884, is also forwarded for information.

ENCLOSURES.

From J. W. JOHNSTON, Esq., Superintendent, Central Jail, Trichinopoly, to the Inspector-General of Jails, dated Trichinopoly, 5th April 1884, No. 320.

I have the honor to state that, in accordance with your letter, No. 1045, dated 19th March, the District Magistrate, Superintendent of Police, Medical Officer, and I met and consulted about the site selected for the district jail with reference to the objection taken by the Sanitary Commissioner to it. I enclose a plan of the jail and its grounds with the several sites marked therein.

2. It was pointed out by the medical officer that on sanitary grounds the southern or proposed site was objectionable. Such being the case, and the question being purely a medical one, it was decided that the proposed site should be abandoned.

3. The main objection to this site is on account of the three drains which intersect it. Of these three drains, the first carries away the surplus water of the female yard; it is in constant flow, and the water is collected in an earth cistern and utilized for the trees in the garden. No. 2 drain carries away the surplus water of No. 7 yard, which is very little indeed; it runs into the same cistern as the above. No. 3 is the main southern drain of the jail, and carries away the storm water of the jail. The storm water of drains Nos. 1 and 2 flows into a large tank. The southern site is certainly lower than the northern one, and the latter is higher than that of the central jail.

4. The northern site A, 750 feet square, will not answer, as there is not space enough on the north side to form the square, unless we take up more ground on that side and give up one block of police huts through which the eastern boundary line runs.

5. The northern site B will, however, answer very well, the area of the enclosure within the boundary wall being more than that of site A, and, with ample accommodation for both the cellular prison and district jail, the buildings for which could be arranged in blocks radiating from the centre at C of the plan. The whole will then correspond with one-half of the central jail—*vide* rough sketch enclosed.

6. The ground within the enclosure is in some places very rocky, but as stones will be required for the enclosure wall, the rocks might be utilized for this purpose. There is a spring of water in the solid rock here about 3 feet below the surface of the ground, which runs dry in the hot weather, but at other times has a good supply of water. It might be enlarged and improved. There will be no difficulty in sinking wells for water in the western half of the site, as it is not rocky there.

7. The European chief head constable's quarters will have to be taken down and re-erected in some other part of the grounds, and the road to the police lines carried along the jail wall.

8. The north-western site is not at all a suitable one, as the ground there is lower than the southern site; one or two drains run through it, and the enclosure wall will be expensive, and it will, moreover, cut off all communication with the police lines.

9. I enclose extract from official visitors' book.

From Deputy Surgeon-General M. C. FURNELL, M.D., F.R.C.S., Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, to the Inspector-General of Jails, dated Ootacamund, 22nd May 1884, No. O.-2.

I have the honor, with reference to your demi-official of 14th May, to say I have gone carefully over the papers again, and am sorry I cannot see my way to changing my opinion about the site of the prison.

2. The objection I had to it was "it has been, ever since the central jail was built, intersected by three, if not four, drains, and the soil must be more or less contaminated." I might have added that this contamination has been also more or less augmented by manuring the garden. I do not think the "succession of crops" planted, as I saw the land at my inspection, would remove this taint. My opinion, I see by the papers before me, is shared by the Collector and District Magistrate, Superintendent, Civil Surgeon, and Police Superintendent. They record—"We all agreed that the site to the north of the central jail is the best."

3. As regards "the fierce feverish winds" which forms another objection, some part of the building must meet the winds first; at present the central jail forms the point of attack. The district jail if built on the north would be no worse off. The wind must impinge on something or somebody first.

4. The letter goes on to say the "northern site is higher and very rocky," this seems to me from a sanitary point of view advantageous, and I notice that the Superintendent of the jail in his letter, No. 451, of 12th May 1884, thus writes, "the rocky parts could be avoided by disposing of the buildings in the manner shown in the plan which accompanied my letter of 5th April 1884, No. 320.

"There is a want of space;" this is, I take it, purely an Engineer's question.

5. In conclusion, I have the honor to point out that the Trichinopoly central jail has for the past few years been very unhealthy, and although I am satisfied in my own mind that Surgeon-Major Ritchie's reports have fully demonstrated the cause of this, it behoves all concerned to be scrupulously careful to avoid any cause tending to promote or prolong this state of things.

Order—dated 31st July 1884, No. 1896, Judicial.

The Government approve the site to the north-east of the central jail, Trichinopoly, for the new district jail and cellular prison at that station. The foregoing paper will be communicated to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

ORDER—dated 29th August 1884, No. 2134 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 1,24,080, for constructing a district jail at Trichinopoly, is sanctioned.

2. A grant of Rs. 20,000 has been provided for the work in the current year's Provincial budget.

3. In carrying out the work, the suggestions contained in paragraph 2 of the Inspector-General's letter of the 11th July 1884 should receive attention.

4. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 132 W. of 1884-85, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with plans and estimates.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with duplicate abstract estimate.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 29th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 29th Aug. 1884, No. 2134 W.

AUGUST.

District Jail at Trichinopoly

Estimate for constructing a —, sanctioned.

No. 6. P. W. Department.

Memorandum

From the Supdg: Engineer VI Circle No. 141 J.C. dated 13th June 1885 [illegible] reporting that he has accepted a tender from one Cuppoosawmy Pillai for the supply of 20 lacs of bricks for the District Jail at Trichinopoly.

—

Recorded.

(By Order)

C. Anven Major R.E.

Dy. Chief Engr: P. W. Deptt

To

The Supdg: Engr: VI Circle

Exd

...me on the file connected
...enlarging the powers of S.Es.
...accept and tender

CE
17/6

... XI ...
Pickup
[illegible]
JPS

...814 C of 15-8-84 and
...submitted.

DP
20-6-85

CE
20/6

Not to be sent—
Com. ... have
been struck out

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly 13 June 1885.

No. 141 J.C.

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Sir,

ENCLOSURE. —

Vouchers from 1885 — G.O. 76 of 1884 — Extracts copy of G.O. No. 2136 W 29-8-84 — DP

I have the honor to report that I have accepted a tender from one Cooppoosawmy Naidoo for the Supply of 20 lacs of Bricks for the new District Jail at Trichinopoly at the rate of Rs 6„12„0 per 1000

Tenders ~~have been~~ were invited and Cooppoosawmy Naidoo's is was selected and the supply of bricks to be Completed by the 30th September 1885.

The Contractor has deposited a Sum of Rs 100 and a a deduction of 10 per cent will be made on all Bills as security until Completion of the Supply and also agrees to build masonry work with the bricks at the following rates:

Foundation --	Rs 17
Basement - - -	Rs 17½
Superstructure - -	Rs 18

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obdt. Servant

Lt Col RE

Superintending Engineer 6 Circle

also agrees?

G. O. No 2134 W. 29. 8. 84
Nº 1414 C ap 5-8-84
" 818 D ap 30-4-84
" 782 D ap 23-4-84
" 411 D ap 13-3-84
G. O. 3711 W ap 27-12-83
" 3712 / 3276 W ap 16-11-83
Nº 1084 C ap 22nd June/85

Recorded.

W
17.6.85

Cd.
15.6.85

A.E. VI C

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer P. W. D.

No. 1141 T.C.

Dated 13th June 1885
Received 15th

Nº 1084 C ap 22-6-85

Enclosure. —

Reports that he has accepted a tender from one Kuppusamy Pillai for the supply of 20 lacs of bricks for the District Jail at Trichinopoly —

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly 28th October 1885.

No. 383 T.C.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer P. W. D.
Thro' the Examiner P.W.A.

ENCLOSURE.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of my letter Nº 1221 T.J. of the 26th Instant, I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer Trichinopoly Division has reported as follows regarding the amount advanced to Contractor Kuppoosawmy Naidoo.

(a) "The advance was made to Contractor Kuppoosawmy Naidoo to purchase ground for Erecting a Bulls Patent Kiln to be appropriated Exclusively to to brick making for Government works in the Division as long as bricks are required for the District Jail"

(b) "The amount advanced is Rs 1500"

(C) "The advance will be fully recovered from the contractor by the close of the official year"

(d) "The registered title deeds duly verified by the Collector, have been lodged by the Contractor as security"

(E) A sum of nearly Rs 1000 has already accrued as guarantee fund in the Deposite account which may be taken in whole or in part towards the adjustment of the advance.

2. As a very large quantity of Bricks were required for the new Jail and those of a better description than gerally used in Trichinopoly special arrangement had to be made and it was thoug advisable to use a Bull's kiln. I regret that para 1630 (of the P.W. Code) Escaped my notice when I addressed my letter No. 141 T.J dated 13th June 1885

3. As the divisional officer promises to recover the advance by the close of the current official year, I request that you will be good Enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the advance in question

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obdt. Servt.
Col. R.E.
Superintending Engr.
6. Circle

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer P. W. D.

thro: the Examiner

No. 383 T.C.

Dated 28: } Oct 1885
Received 4/11/85

Enclosure —

No. 2730 W. of Nov. 14. 1885

Requests to obtain the Sanction of Govt for the advance made to the Trichinopoly Jail Contractor Kuppusami Naidu.

With order

Endorsement No. 366 S.E. VI of 5th November 1885

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P. W. Department.

J. C. Gordon

Examiner P. W. Accts Madras.

A. A. M.
3-11.

No. 2730 W. of Nov. 14. 1885

Sanctioned

11/11/85

11.11.85

To S.E. VI Circle

Executive Engineers for the month of 188
orders of Government.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	

Executive Engineers for the month of 188
orders of Government.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

of Executive Engineers for the Month of December 1886
orders of Government.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
The work has been completed and Completion report received. Appropriation of Rs 702 is necessary.	A sum of Rs 702 was appropriat for the work in G.O. No 499 W dated 19 Feby/87.
Reported with reference to orders contained in G.O. No 337 W of 7th February/87.	Steps should be taken for the early settlement of the differenc
Executive Engineer states that necessary steps are being taken for the recovery of this amount. The delay in the settlement of the outstanding is again brought to the notice of Government.	This item should be adjuste without further delay.

[P. W. D. Form No. M. 112—Inner Sheet.]

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of December 1886 requiring the orders of Government.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate.			Comparison with Appropriation of the Year.			Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.			
	Purchase of Articles of European Manufacture in the Local Market —				Tanjore Dn (Contd).						
4	Iron of sorts	June 1886	Rs 60-9-6							A Revised statement was submitted by Superinten- ~~to Chief Engineer for Irrigation~~ -ding Engineer through this office. Sanction to which is awaited —	Sanctioned in G.O. No. 99 I d/ 10th February /87.
	Swedish Iron 436 lbs at Rs 13 per Cwt.	July 1886	Rs 51.								
	Flat Iron 1348 lbs @ Rs 5-8 per cwt.	July 1886	Rs 67.								
	Swedish Iron 2781 lbs @ Rs 9-8 per Cwt	July 1886	Rs 236.								

O. C. Gordon

Examiner P.W. acct

Madras

AP 24/2

APC 24/2

[illegible] 26/2/87

Cu

Jole 28/2/87

2 1/3/87

To The S. E. VI Circle

thro' the Ex. of P.W. accts

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of Estimate.	Comparison with Estimate. Expenditure up to Date.	Comparison with Estimate. Excess over Estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Amount of Appropriation.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Expenditure of the Year.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during the Month.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of Estimate.	Comparison with Estimate. Expenditure up to Date.	Comparison with Estimate. Excess over Estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Amount of Appropriation.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Expenditure of the year.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during the Month.
						Madura Dt.			
	Want of Appropriation								
1	Constructing Deputy Tahsildar's Cutchery at Vedasandur.	Octob. 1886	11828	12199	.	„	702	.	.
	Miscellaneous Irregularities Difference in materials at site								
2	Completing the Road from Cumbum to the foot of the Gudalur Peermed Ghat. Rs 1072-6-8.								
						Tanjore Dt.			
	Miscellaneous Irregularities Miscellaneous Advances								
3	Advance to stone cutters	Septemb. 1886		Rs 45.					

P.W.C. No. 1523

Reference to Madras P. W. D. Code.

Paras.

337 W 7.2.87
99 D 10.2.87
499 W 19.2.87
256 W 1.2.87

P. W. D. Form.
No. M 112.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

Circle Sixth

Fund Provincial

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the month of December 1886.

No.

24 FEB

Madras,
Dated 24th February 1887

Forwarded for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

B. No. 609 W dt 1st March/87

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

O.P.
26/2