

Nos. 283—293 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

THE GOVERNMENTS OF MADRAS, BOMBAY, BENGAL, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH, AND PUNJAB.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONERS, CENTRAL PROVINCES, BURMA AND ASSAM.

THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.

THE AGENTS TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL FOR CENTRAL INDIA AND RAJ-PUTANA.

Fort William, February 5, 1887.

SIR,

With reference to the call made in this Office Nos. 107—17 G., and Nos. 131—39 G., dated respectively the 17th and 18th January 1887, for revised estimates of Engineer and Upper Subordinate Establishments suited to present circumstances and to the grants at your disposal, I am directed to request that you will carefully estimate the work on which the Establishment is expected to be employed with a reasonable margin for contingencies and frame your proposals accordingly. The comparison of Establishment with work should be made in the manner described in the following paragraphs, and the result forwarded concurrently with your revised estimate of Establishment to the Government of India.

2. It is observed that the strength of the Engineer and Upper Subordinate Establishments, on which depends the number of Divisions, mainly determines the cost of the Establishment head 'Construction' and the corresponding head 'Executive' in the case of Irrigation Works, which is the most costly item of Establishment and the only one capable of much variation. As soon therefore as their strength is determined, it should be an easy matter to work out the total cost under each of the heads—

Direction,
Accounts,
Construction or Executive,

with the additional heads in the case of Irrigation Establishment. It will be well that the complete Establishment estimates, after revision, should be given in the same detail as in the form which accompanies the several Budget Estimates, and submitted with your reply.

3. Wherever the Establishments for Buildings and Roads and Irrigation are separate, separate estimates for each must be prepared.

4. The work that is to be done by each Establishment, estimated as above, should then be stated as follows, Buildings and Roads and Irrigation being separately worked out :—

Buildings and Roads Revenue under the control of the Establishment.
Irrigation Revenue (direct only).
Repairs.
Original Works.

When the above heads of Revenue and Expenditure are included in several Budget Estimates, all worked by the same Establishment, the several items under each head from the several Budgets must be separately shown.

5. In regard to Local Fund works the following instructions are to be observed:—

Where the Provincial Establishment carries out Local and Provincial works indiscriminately as in the Provinces of Assam and Burma, the local works may be included in the calculations of para. 4, but it should be stated what is the cost of any minor Establishments which are not included in the estimate framed as in para. 2.

Where, as in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, the Public Works Establishment is, to a considerable extent, lent to the Local Boards, and its cost included in the Local Fund Budget, no Local Fund outlay should be included in estimating the normal charge, nor, on the other hand, must such Establishment be included in the estimate of para. 2, but in working out the strength of the grades, which are to be distributed between Provincial and Local, the men of the permanent Establishment provided for Local may be included.

Where, as in Bombay, the Punjab, and Central Provinces, a percentage is charged for works done for the Local Boards by the Public Works Establishment, and at the same time Local Establishments exist, the amount may be included in the figures of outlay, para. 4, and the percentage allowed by local usage added in the calculations of para. 6.

Where, as in Madras and Bengal, the Local Fund Establishments and works are wholly separate from the Provincial, no account whatever should be taken, either of the charge for Local works or Establishment.

6. The normal cost of Establishment should then be worked out from the sums obtained against the several heads of para. 4 by charging—

5 per cent.	on Buildings and Roads Revenue,
10	" Irrigation Revenue,
23	" Works and Repairs,

and the total cost so obtained, as the normal cost of Establishment for works, must be compared with the actual cost of the estimates framed, as in para. 2. If the latter is greatly in excess of the normal cost, the cause of the excess must be explained.

7. I am to add that, in framing their estimates of Establishment now asked for, Local Governments should not be in any way influenced by considerations of the present strength. What is required is an estimate of Establishment adapted to carry out economically a certain outlay on works under present rules and conditions, but, if possible, on a reduced strength. Whatever Establishment under the proposals now to be made becomes supernumerary should be reported in submitting the estimate, and can be dealt with separately after the proposals, now called for, have been approved.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. H. Walker

Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras

Sd/- Department

G. I. C. P. O.—No. 557 P. W. D.—6-2-87—212.

Cannot reply to your letter -
No 283-293 dated 5th February
until for grants are finally

settled. please see letter No 62

Financial dated 18th Jan^y 1887 -

For
22/2/87



It was decided in Council that
a telegram might be sent to the
Govt of India saying that this letter cannot
be replied to until (vide separate
note)



Establishment

Letter from Govt of India
203 G of 5th Feb 1907

To be over until it
has been settled
what grants will be
available for 1907-08

WCM

14.2.07

W

Our Budget for 1907-08
already contains the
statement asked for in
para 2 -

The figures mentioned
in para 6 cannot be
given until it is known
what our final grants
are to be.

Cu

W



PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No: 529W

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 22nd Febry 1887

Read the following

Telegram (Deferred)

To Works
Calcutta.

"Cannot reply to your letter
Nos: 283-293⁴ dated 5th February
until grants are finally settled.
Please see letter No: 62 Financial
dated 18th January 1887."

Sd/ J. C. Hasted Secy. P.W.D.
Secy to Govt. P.W.D.



416 w 10.2.87 }
 363-4 w 9.2.87 } +
 2714-15 w 27.9.83.
 2472-3 w 8.10.81
 1697 w 9.7.81.
 214 w 22.1.87.

+ Canthar traced.
 h-890-

20/3/87⁵

No. 529 Waf 22-2-87

B. 11/2/87

E 11/3

87
 25/1/87

13/1/86
 20/2

des