(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை — 10.

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10.4.88

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

17/5/88

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணி துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	3130.W	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை

நாள் : 19 — 11 — 1987.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

A.P

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following paper :—

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 14th November 1887, No. 764.

With reference to paragraph 8 of my office note, No. 607, dated 4th October 1887, forwarding notes of a recent inspection of the Bellary division, I now submit my remarks regarding modifications of code paragraph 1367.

2. A monthly statement of work done, which implies monthly measurements, would no doubt be an excellent thing if practicable; and if it is practicable it would seem desirable to enforce the rule; in practice, however, monthly measurements would seem to be quite the exception, whilst instances in which works remain apparently unmeasured for six months and more are by no means infrequent; and although delays of six months or more are probably unnecessary, there is reason to believe that in the majority of cases monthly measurements are really impracticable; and if so, it would, I think, be very much better to frame some suitable rule which could, and should, be observed.

3. In paragraph 46 of his notes of an inspection of the Chingleput division (*vide* my office note, No. 763, dated 14th November 1887), Mr. Rainier suggests some form of return or register to show the dates of inspection-measurement, &c., of all works in each sub-division; and the suggestion deserves consideration. Such a register would give to Superintending Engineers a check over Executive Engineers and to the latter a check over Sub-divisional officers as regards this important matter. At the same time, the relation between the periodical reports required by code paragraph 1367 (whether in its present form or in a modified form), and the contract certificate prescribed in code paragraph 1818 should, I think, be cleared up. From paragraph 1764 it appears that the intention of the code is that measurements for the contract certificate, prescribed in code paragraph 1818, shall not be made by any one of lower status than a Sub-divisional officer; and consequently the reports required by code paragraph 1367 must be something quite apart from the contract certificate. In practice, however, it seems to be common, if not usual, for contract certificate measurements to be made by overseers, and other subordinates, in immediate charge of works; and I believe that such certificates are the only report of measurements submitted by them. I express no opinion as to the propriety of this course, I merely ask that the rule (if there is any rule or understanding in the matter) or the absence of any restriction (if there is none) may be made clear.

4. I would suggest that Superintending Engineers be asked to report upon these matters, with regard both to present practice and to desirable modifications of existing rules.

ORDER—dated 19th November 1887, No. 3130 W., Public Works.

Communicated to Superintending Engineers for report as suggested by the Examiner in paragraph 4.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of 1887 Madras.

PUBLIC. WORKS.

Dated November, 19th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 19th Nov. 1887, No. 3130 W.

NOVEMBER.

Modifications of Code Paragraph 1367

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, regarding — requiring submission of a monthly statement of work done, communicated to the Superintending Engineers for report.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1887. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 14 November 1887.

OFFICE NOTE No. 764

To Vide C.O. 9259
W.

With reference to para 8 of my O.N. No. 607 dated 4th October 1887 forwarding notes of a recent inspection of the Bellary Division, I now submit my remarks regarding modifications of Code para 1367.

2. A monthly statement of monthly work done, which implies measurements, would no doubt be an excellent thing if practicable; and if it is practicable it would seem desirable to enforce the rule; in practice however monthly measurements would seem to be quite the exception whilst instances in which works remain apparently unmeasured for six months and more are by no means infrequent; and although delays of six months or more are probably unnecessary, there

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1000—12-5-87.

is reason to believe that in the majority of cases monthly measurements are really impracticable; and if so it would I think be very much better to frame some suitable rule which could, and should, be observed.

3. In para 46 of his notes of an inspection of the Chingleput Division (vide my O.N.No. 763 dated 14 November 1887) Mr Rainier suggests some form of return or register to show the dates of inspection - measurement etc. of all works in each sub-division; and the suggestion deserves consideration. Such a register would give to Supg. Engineer a check over Executive Engineers and to the latter a check over sub-divisional officers as regards this important matter. At the same time the relation between the periodical reports required by Code para 1367 (whether in its present form or in a modified form) and the contract certificate prescribed in Code para 1818, should I think be cleared up. From para 176 4 it appears that the intention of the Code is that measurements

Ch. 9259

for the contract certificate, prescribed in Code para 1818, shall not be made by any one of lower status than a sub-divisional officer, and consequently the reports required by Code para 1367 must be something quite apart from the contract certificate. In practice however it seems to be common, if not usual, for contract certificate measurements to be made by Overseers, and other subordinates, in immediate charge of works; and I believe that such certificates are the only report of measurements submitted by them. I express no opinion as to the propriety of this course; I merely ask that the rule (if there is any rule or understanding in the matter) or the absence of any restriction (if there is none) may be made clear.

4. I would suggest that Superintending Engineers be asked to report upon these matters, with regard both to present practice and to desirable modifications of existing rules.

C.

Seml
Roof

No 3130 d/ 19th Nov/97

Communicated to
Supply Engineer for report
as suggested by the
Examiner in para 4.

Lucas
15.11.97

Lole
18/11/97.

To Supply Engrs ✓ 29/11
CA Engr ✓
Exr Branch.

26-5-08

2267

Govt. of [1887] Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dfftd

Encl.

Encl.

G.O. 19 Nov 1887. No. 3130.

Modifications of cond
para 13 by
Price note from the Ex
marks, regarding —
requiring submission
a Monthly statement
work done, com'd to
Engineers for report

170/10