

Enclosure to No 2529

d/26.6.87 to Chief Engr.

P.W. 8 from Supr Engr 14/10/87

Adl 521/87

14/10/87

PW 521/87

82/87

25.10.85

1778W. 30-7-85

Nº 1485B. 25-6-85

The Hon R.P. Hutchins

The defence of the  
Appeal at the public  
expense is sanctioned.

S.E. Circle

Ex S.W.

Wm

22.10.87

Note

The S.E. has not sent up  
the documents required by  
para 418 of the P.W. Code -

~~The S.E. has not sent up the~~  
~~documents required by~~  
para 418 of the P.W. Code -  
sums to me that the S.E.  
has given all the impression  
that is necessary in this case.

Wm

S.D. Nº 2888 Wd/26 Oct 1887

The Superintending Engr is  
in error in regarding the  
notice sent him as one  
for the hearing of the appeal.

circ. 22-10-87 R. 25-10-87

From,

The Superintending Engr  
5<sup>th</sup> Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer  
- P.W. Department

Dated 19.

Received

October 1887

Enclosure. 1.

B.Nº 2888 Wd/26 Oct 1887

Nº 2912.

Government Bangalore  
at Attantanga B.

Requesting sanction  
to the defence of the  
appeal, in connection  
with — at the  
public expense -  
Wm

No. 2912

Camp Bangalore V Circle, Madras,  
19<sup>th</sup> October 1887.

From

Colonel H. M. Vibart R.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer,  
P. W. Department.

Sir,

## Enclosures.

1 Notice from  
District Court to  
the Exec. Engineer  
Chingleput.  
(to be returned)

C. No. 7620

G. O. No. 2650/11

10-10-87

In continuation of my letter No. 2604 dated 24<sup>th</sup> September 1887, I have the honor to state that the Defendant Rajammal has preferred an appeal to the District Court of Chingleput against the judgment passed in the case by the District Munsiff of Poonamallee. The 21<sup>st</sup> November 1887 has been fixed for the hearing of the appeal and I request that sanction may be given to the defence of the appeal at the public expense.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

H. M. Vibart R.E.

Suptg. Engineer, V Circle.

Enclosure to No. 529.1

d/26.6.87 to Chief Engr.

P.W. D from Suptg. Eng. P. Circle

Oct. 31/87

H. M. Vibart

P.W. 529/1

8.10.87

It is simply for a hearing as  
to whether the 2<sup>nd</sup> left possesses  
property or may be allowed to  
appeal as a pauper. Unless  
the Superintending Engineer is  
in a position to prove that  
she is possessed of property it  
is unnecessary for him to put  
in an appearance. In the event  
however of the appeal being  
admitted it will be necessary  
to oppose it & sanction is now  
given for this being done at  
the public expense.

T.H.H.

28 Oct

S. G. Under with 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> S.  
in R.W. 10 S.

Dec 27-10-87.

(Note. The J<sup>r</sup> is not here but  
as it is in our favour & the  
suit was sanctioned of course  
the appeal can be opposed)