

by 2.1.06.
3087 22.11.06.

P.W.C. 358V

to No 218 4/22 Jan 1887

Copy of the fore-
-going Endorsement
from the Exr. P. W. Sects
together with its
Enclosures will
be forwarded to
the Supdg. Engr, VI circle,
with reference to his
No. 428 T. N. of 10-1-87.

Ry 19.087

WWS
21.1.57

See
21/1/57

No. 218 4/22 Jan 1887

S. & VI circle ✓
Exr. P. W. Sects ✓
22/1/87

with order
D.W.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 18th November 1886, No. 134 W:—

I have the honor to forward, for information and orders of Government, notes by Mr. Rainier, Deputy Examiner, of his inspection of the office of the Tinnevely division.

2. The Executive Engineer's attention is required to paragraph 3. The applications for funds have been far beyond requirements, and should be more carefully estimated in future.

3. The Superintending Engineer might be asked to report on the matter of paragraph 9 (c) and (d).

4. The office continues in good order.

ENCLOSURE.

NOTES of inspection by H. RAINIER, Esq., Deputy Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, of the office of the Executive Engineer, Tinnevely Division, on the 8th to 10th November 1886.

The Executive Engineer, Mr. A. M. Hayes, was present, also the Accountant, Gopala Aiyar. The previous inspection of the office by an Accounts officer was in December 1885: see papers with G.O., No. 6 W., of 4th January 1886.

2. The sub-divisional arrangements are the same as last reported. The maintenance of a sub-divisional cash book and drawing account for the Shermádevi sub-divisional office, now that it is at Palamcottah in the same building as the divisional office and under the Executive Engineer, is unnecessary, and gives additional work without any corresponding advantage.

3. The applications for credit have sometimes far exceeded the requirements of the division:—

Month.							Outlay.	Balance in Treasury at close of Month.
							RS.	RS.
April	1886	..	..	..	..	..	5,102	16,044
May	"	..	..	..	..	..	14,216	14,119
June	"	..	..	..	..	..	22,594	3,454
July	"	..	..	..	..	..	13,577	5,106
August	"	..	..	..	..	..	23,316	10,261
September	"	..	..	..	..	..	14,463	15,236
October	"	..	..	..	..	..	16,083	19,855

4. The balance of the Executive Engineer's drawing account on the 1st November 1886 was proved correct.

5. The cash balance in the Executive Engineer's office was counted and found to agree with the account, which was checked through for October and for June and July 1886.

6. The only imprest holders are—

	RS.
Mr. F. C. Fitzgerald, supervisor, called "detached officer," being in charge of two taluks and works therein	100
Mr. M. Arumynayagam, supervisor, "detached officer," also in charge of taluks	100

The recoupment of the above imprests is frequent, and the accounts are well kept and properly disposed of in the Executive Engineer's office.

7. The cash accounts generally are very praiseworthy, and seem to have much personal attention from the Executive Engineer.

8. The division is very light as regards accounts work. The average number of works in progress per month since April 1886 inclusive has been only 46, that of contractors employed, 28. Departmental agency is not often resorted to, but about 40 work abstracts have to be prepared every month. Of these the greater number are for works under "detached officers" mentioned in paragraph 6, and these are prepared by the detached officers themselves and submitted to the Executive Engineer. About 100 of such back work abstracts so prepared were examined at the inspection, and the local clerk of Mr. Fitzgerald's office was interrogated, with satisfactory result. The abstracts are never heavy, almost all the work being piecework or contract work, there were but few errors, and the documents are very punctually rendered.

9. Works' accounts generally were examined for June and July 1886, and were found in unusually good order, but one or two notes may be offered:—

- (a) *Constructing Vannankuttam Tank.*—The contract time expired in May 1886. But work is said to be still in progress. No fresh agreement with contractor. Last measurement of work in March 1886, since which Rs. 147-15-4 due to the contractor.
- (b) *Raising left Bank of Tambraparni River.*—Last measurement in March 1886. Work still in progress. Due to contractor Rs. 51-12-0, who has not lately been heard of. He will now be inquired for.
- (c) Rs. 130 was contributed by ryots in 1883 for *repairing the channel of Kuthalampillai-kulam tank.* But that channel has not been repaired to this day, and the above sum has been for three years making a monthly appearance in the deposits schedule as unexpended, because of some dispute which is still unsettled.
- (d) There was a contribution of Rs. 3,553-13-6 received by irregular deposits from June 1883 until August 1886 for *renewing the revetment of the Srivai kuntham Periyakulam tank,* the sanctioned estimate for which amounts to Rs. 9,620. The cost of the work was to have been shared equally between Government and the contributors, and according to the rules (code paragraph 1598 E), all the contribution should have been realised before the commencement of the work, which should not have been till after written consent of contributors to the estimate, which should, unless the contribution was to be in a lump sum, have included provision for establishment and tools (code paragraph 1598 F); but in the case of the above work, which was begun in 1882-83 before any contributions had been received, the above conditions have not been observed, nor has the deviation from rule been sanctioned by Government (code paragraph 1598 E), or anything more than the sanction of the Superintending Engineer to the estimate been received. The outlay, instead of being divided every month between Government and the contributors in the ratio of the contribution, has been so divided as to first of all exhaust State provision and then utilise the contribution. Of the total outlay on the work, Rs. 6,965, only Rs. 2,792 has been charged to the contributors, the Collector has opined, in reply to a call for more contributions from ryots, that it will be difficult to get any further money for the work, and that it would be positively unfair to require, in addition to the half of the cost of the work to which they agreed, a percentage for establishment and tools to be paid by the contributors. This will probably be concurred in by Government.
- (e) Measurements are all in excellent order, but require cancelment in terms of standing order, No. 158 of 1885, on the directions at back of form M-19.

10. Tools and plant accounts are well kept. Famine tools, of which there are only a few, are separately stored, but they are in the same account as the provincial tools. It is desirable to alter the daily register of tools transactions so as to prevent the waste of an entire page of the book every month. This was thoroughly explained to the accountant.

11. The budget registers are in order; service books are properly kept and cared for; the register of buildings is in order.

12. The accountant is very painstaking and industrious, and is well spoken of by the Executive Engineer. He is liberally assisted.

MADRAS,
18th November 1886.

(Signed) H. RAINIER,
Dy. Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

ORDER—dated 22nd November 1886, No. 3087 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for the information and guidance of the Executive Engineer, Tinnevely division, whose special attention will be directed to paragraph 3 of the notes. Applications for funds should be more carefully estimated in future.

2. The Superintending Engineer will be requested to report without delay on the subjects of sections (c) and (d) of paragraph 9 of the notes.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. Raitt.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1886} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 22nd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 22nd Nov. 1886, No. 3087 W.

NOVEMBER.

Inspection Notes.

Orders on the — on the Tinnevely division office, submitted by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

[O. No. 2.]

No. 134. W.

From

THE EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department,
Madras, 18th November 1886.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for information and orders of Government, notes by Mr. Rainier, Dy. Examiner, of his inspection of the Office of the Tinnevely Division.

2. The Executive Engineer's attention is required to para 3. The applications for funds have been far beyond requirements, and should be more carefully estimated in future.

3. The Superintending Engineer might be asked to report on the matter of para 9(c) and (d).

4. The Office continues in good order.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. P. Gurney

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

*Encl.
10/11*

17/11

Enclosure *8/2*

NOTES OF INSPECTION BY H. RAINIER ESQ. DEPUTY EXAMINER
P.W. ACCOUNTS, MADRAS, OF THE OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TINNEVELLY DIVISION, ON THE 8TH TO 10TH NOVEMBER 1886.

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER MR. A. M. HAYES WAS PRESENT,
ALSO THE ACCOUNTANT GOFALA ALIYAR. THE PREVIOUS INSPECTION
OF THE OFFICE BY AN ACCOUNTS OFFICER WAS IN DECEMBER 1885,
SEE PAPERS WITH G.O. NO. 6 W. OF 4TH JANUARY 1886.

2. THE SUB-DIVISIONAL ARRANGEMENTS ARE THE SAME AS
LAST REPORTED. THE MAINTENANCE OF A SUB-DIVISIONAL CASH BOOK
AND DRAWING ACCOUNT FOR THE SHERMADAVI SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICE
NOW THAT IT IS AT PALAMCOTTAH IN THE SAME BUILDING AS THE
DIVISIONAL OFFICE AND UNDER THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, IS UN-
NECESSARY AND GIVES ADDITIONAL WORK WITHOUT ANY CORRESPONDING
ADVANTAGE.

3. THE APPLICATIONS FOR CREDIT HAVE SOMETIMES FAR

EXCEEDED THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE DIVISION-

MONTH	OUTLAY	BALANCE IN TREASURY AT CLOSE OF MONTH.
1886		
APRIL.....	5,102	16,044
MAY.....	14,216	14,119
JUNE.....	22,594	3,454
JULY.....	13,577	5,106
AUGUST.....	23,316	10,261
SEPTEMBER.....	14,463	15,236
OCTOBER.....	16,083	19,855

4. THE BALANCE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S DRAWING
ACCOUNT ON THE 1ST NOVEMBER 1886 WAS PROVED CORRECT.

5. THE

5. THE CASH BALANCE IN THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE WAS COUNTED AND FOUND TO AGREE WITH THE ACCOUNT WHICH WAS CHECKED THROUGH FOR OCTOBER AND FOR JUNE AND JULY 1886.

6. THE ONLY IMPREST HOLDERS ARE -

MR. F. C. FITZGERALD SUPERVISOR CALLED 'DETACHED OFFICER' BEING IN CHARGE OF TWO TALUQS AND WORKS THEREIN.....RS. 100.

MR. M. ARUMYNAYAGAM SUPERVISOR, 'DETACHED OFFICER' ALSO IN CHARGE OF TALUQS.....RS. 100.

THE RECOUPMENT OF THE ABOVE IMPRESTS IS FREQUENT, AND THE ACCOUNTS ARE WELL KEPT AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF IN THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

7. THE CASH ACCOUNTS GENERALLY ARE VERY PRAISEWORTHY AND SEEM TO HAVE MUCH PERSONAL ATTENTION FROM THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER.

8. THE DIVISION IS VERY LIGHT AS REGARDS ACCOUNTS WORKS - THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF WORKS IN PROGRESS PER MONTH SINCE APRIL 1886 INCLUSIVE HAS BEEN ONLY 46, THAT OF CONTRACTORS EMPLOYED, 28. DEPARTMENTAL AGENCY IS NOT OFTEN RESORTED TO BUT ABOUT 40 WORK ABSTRACTS HAVE TO BE PREPARED EVERY MONTH. OF THESE THE GREATER NUMBER ARE FOR WORKS UNDER 'DETACHED OFFICERS' MENTIONED IN PARA 6, AND THESE ARE PREPARED BY THE DETACHED OFFICERS THEMSELVES AND SUBMITTED TO THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER. ABOUT 100 OF SUCH BACK WORK ABSTRACTS SO PREPARED WERE EXAMINED AT THE INSPECTION, AND THE LOCAL CLERK OF MR. FITZGERALD'S OFFICE WAS INTERROGATED, WITH SATISFACTORY RESULT - THE ABSTRACTS ARE NEVER HEAVY

ALMOST

8/3
ALMOST ALL THE WORK BEING PIECEWORK OR CONTRACT WORK, THERE WERE BUT FEW ERRORS, AND THE DOCUMENTS ARE VERY PUNCTUALLY RENDERED.

9. WORKS' ACCOUNTS GENERALLY WERE EXAMINED FOR JUNE AND JULY 1886, AND WERE FOUND IN UNUSUALLY GOOD ORDER BUT ONE OR TWO NOTES MAY BE OFFERED:-

(A). CONSTRUCTING VANNANKUTTAM TANK. THE CONTRACT TIME EXPIRED IN MAY 1886 - BUT WORK IS SAID TO BE STILL IN PROGRESS - NO FRESH AGREEMENT WITH CONTRACTOR. LAST MEASUREMENT OF WORK IN MARCH 1886 SINCE WHICH RS. 147-15-4 DUE TO THE CONTRACTOR.

(B). RAISING LEFT BANK OF TAMBAPURANI RIVER. LAST MEASUREMENT IN MARCH 1886 - WORK STILL IN PROGRESS - DUE TO CONTRACTOR RS. 51-12-0 WHO HAS NOT LATELY BEEN HEARD OF - HE WILL NOW BE INQUIRED FOR.

(C). RS. 180 WAS CONTRIBUTED BY RYOTS IN 1883 FOR REPAIRING THE CHANNEL OF KUTHALAMPILLA KULAM TANK - BUT THAT CHANNEL HAS NOT BEEN REPAIRED TO THIS DAY, AND THE ABOVE SUM HAS BEEN FOR THREE YEARS MAKING A MONTHLY APPEARANCE IN THE DEPOSITS SCHEDULE AS UNEXPENDED - BECAUSE OF SOME DISPUTE WHICH IS STILL UNSETTLED.

(D). THERE HAS A CONTRIBUTION OF RS. 8,553-13-6 RECEIVED BY IRREGULAR DEPOSITS FROM JUNE 1883 UNTIL AUGUST 1886 FOR RENEWING THE REVETMENT OF THE SRIVIKUMTAM PEREACOLUM TANK - THE SANCTIONED ESTIMATE FOR WHICH AMOUNTS TO RS. 9,620. THE COST OF THE WORK WAS TO HAVE BEEN SHARED EQUALLY BETWEEN GOVERN-

MENT

MENT AND THE CONTRIBUTORS, AND ACCORDING TO THE RULES (CODE PARA 1598 E.) ALL THE CONTRIBUTION SHOULD HAVE BEEN REALIZED BEFORE THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE WORK, WHICH SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN TILL AFTER WRITTEN CONSENT OF CONTRIBUTORS TO THE ~~OF THEIR REPRESENTATIVE~~ ESTIMATE WHICH SHOULD, UNLESS THE CONTRIBUTION WAS TO BE IN A LUMP SUM, HAVE INCLUDED PROVISION FOR ESTABLISHMENT AND TOOLS (CODE PARA 1598 F); BUT IN THE CASE OF THE ABOVE WORK WHICH WAS BEGUN IN 1882-83 BEFORE ANY CONTRIBUTIONS HAD BEEN RECEIVED, THE ABOVE CONDITIONS HAVE NOT BEEN OBSERVED, NOR HAS THE DEVIATION FROM RULE BEEN SANCTIONED BY GOVERNMENT (CODE PARA 1598 E) OF ANYTHING MORE THAN THE SANCTION OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER TO THE ESTIMATE BEEN RECEIVED. THE OUTLAY INSTEAD OF BEING DIVIDED EVERY MONTH BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND THE CONTRIBUTORS IN THE RATIO OF THE CONTRIBUTION, HAS BEEN SO DIVIDED AS TO FIRST OF ALL EXHAUST STATE PROVISION AND THEN UTILISE THE CONTRIBUTION. OF THE TOTAL OUTLAY ON THE WORK RS. 6,965, ONLY RS. 2,792 HAS BEEN CHARGED TO THE CONTRIBUTORS, THE COLLECTOR HAS OPINED IN REPLY TO A CALL FOR MORE CONTRIBUTIONS FROM RYOTS, THAT IT WILL BE DIFFICULT TO GET ANY FURTHER MONEY FOR THE WORK, AND THAT IT WOULD BE POSITIVELY UNFAIR TO REQUIRE IN ADDITION TO THE HALF OF THE COST OF THE WORK TO WHICH THEY AGREED, A PERCENTAGE FOR ESTABLISHMENT AND TOOLS TO BE PAID BY THE CONTRIBUTORS. THIS WILL PROBABLY BE CONCURRED IN BY GOVERNMENT.

(E). MEASUREMENTS ARE ALL IN EXCELLENT ORDER, BUT REQUIRE CANCELMENT IN TERMS OF STANDING ORDER NO. 158 OF 1885 ON THE DIRECTIONS AT BACK OF FORM M 19.

10. TOOLS

10. TOOLS AND PLANT ACCOUNTS ARE WELL KEPT. FARMINE TOOLS OF WHICH THERE ARE ONLY A FEW, ARE SEPARATELY STORED, BUT THEY ARE IN THE SAME ACCOUNT AS THE PROVINCIAL TOOLS. IT IS DESIRABLE TO ALTER THE DAILY REGISTER OF TOOLS TRANSACTIONS SO AS TO PREVENT THE WASTE OF AN ENTIRE PAGE OF THE BOOK EVERY MONTH - THIS WAS THOROUGHLY EXPLAINED TO THE ACCOUNTANT.

11. THE BUDGET REGISTERS ARE IN ORDER; SERVICE BOOKS ARE PROPERLY KEPT AND CARED FOR; THE REGISTER OF BUILDINGS IS IN ORDER.

12. THE ACCOUNTANT IS VERY PAINSTAKING AND INDUSTRIOUS AND IS WELL SPOKEN OF BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER. HE IS LIBERALLY ASSISTED.

MADRAS
13TH NOVEMBER 1886.

A. K. Ramani

DY. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS.

note
18/11

644.1.56 genl
5P acct

No 3007 dated 22 Nov 78

Communicated to the Supty
Comr to the Supty
Engineer VI Circle, for the
Engine VI Circle for the
information and
information and
guidance of the
Executive Engineer, Tinnevely
Division, whose
division whose
special attention will
be directed to para 3
of the notes. Applications
for funds should
be more carefully
estimated in future
2. The Supty Engineer
will be requested to
report without delay
on the subjects of
sections (c) and (d)
of para 9 of the notes.

13 Nov 11
S.E. VI Circle
20/11/86
2/12/86
Examiner P.W. Accts.

P.W.C. No 9043

From,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government

P.W. Department.



No. 134 W.

Dated 18 November 1886.

Recd. 19 - - -

Encl. I.

Inspection of the Office
of the Tinnevely Division by
Mr Rainier Dy. Examiner. Forwards
with remarks-----

SW/W

9043
Govt. of 1886 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

23/11/86

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 22nd Nov 1886, No. 3087

Inspection notes.

orders in the — in
the Tinnevely Division
office, submitted by
the Examiner P.W.D.

50

3

4TH JANUARY 1886, No. 6 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General
Account.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 18th December 1885, No. 94 W. :—

I have the honor to submit, for information and orders of Government, notes by Mr. Heaven, Deputy Examiner, of his inspection of the office of the Tinnevely division.

2. The office is in good order. The Superintending Engineer's attention is necessary to the remarks in paragraph 4 of the report (in connection with code paragraph 1090, standing order No. 146 of 1885), also to paragraphs 10 and 12.

ENCLOSURE.

NOTES of inspection by F. G. Heaven, Esq., Deputy Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, of the office of the Executive Engineer, Tinnevely division, on the 11th and 12th December 1885.

The Executive Engineer, A. M. Hayes, Esq., and the accountant, J. Gopala Aiyar, were present : the last inspection of this office by an Accounts officer was on the 16th October 1884, vide G.O., No. 2711 W., dated 3rd November 1884.

2. The sub-divisions are—

- 1. Head-quarters } both in charge of the Executive Engineer.
- 2. Shermádevi }
- 3. Tenkarai, in charge of W. V. Baker, Esq., Honorary Assistant Engineer.

Imprest-holders are—

- Head-quarters sub-division, Mr. T. C. Fitzgerald, supervisor, Rs. 100 as detached officer, Tinnevely ; Rs. 50 as detached officer, Srivilliputur.
- Shermádevi sub-division { M. Arumanayakam Pillay, overseer, Rs. 100.
M. Muttuvira Pillay, overseer, Rs. 100.

3. The Executive Engineer draws on—

- 1. Huzur treasury
 - 2. Ambásamudram
 - 3. Tenkási
 - 4. Srivilliputur
 - 5. Sankaranainárkoil
 - 6. Tenkarai
- } sub-treasuries

of Tinnevely district : the Shermádevi sub-divisional officer on Nos. 2, 3 and 5, and the Tenkarai sub-divisional officer on No. 2.

Cheque books and the pass-book were examined with satisfactory results.

Cash accounts are all in excellent order : the divisional cash-book is kept by the accountant, and is very neat, with the exception of one erasure : copies of sub-divisional cash-books are received three times a-month and at once posted into cash abstract books : the check of vouchers before payment appears complete.

4. Imprest accounts are in order, but most of the disbursements are salaries of maistries, &c., which might be paid by cheques from sub-divisional officers, obviating the necessity for imprests.

5. Work accounts are in good order ; work abstracts are well prepared by sub-divisional and detached officers, and the work register is neat. All charges are satisfactorily vouched for, measurement sheets being filed with duplicate contract certificates, which agree with originals in all cases where checked. The contractors should not be called on to sign the duplicate receipts

(code paragraph 1929 A). All work done by contract should be included in part I of the work abstract of the month in which it is measured up and accepted by the officer in charge, whether paid for in that month or not. There are no large amounts of materials at site. Part IV of work abstracts is signed by officers in charge. Part II of work abstracts in the case of officers who make payments from imprest should not be filled up by them, but by the officer in whose cash-book the disbursement appears when the imprest is recouped.

6. There is no stock in the division; tools and plant accounts are in order, except that the storekeeper's book of daily receipts and issues should show his own transactions, *e.g.*, receipts and issues from and to sub-divisional officers; at present he only enters transactions beyond the division.

7. No register of liabilities (form M 77) is maintained; it should be used for indents sent to the Public Works Stores, &c.

8. The appropriation registers are correct except that an alteration advised in discrepancy note on return of grants has been noted on the office copy of form M 78 instead of at once in the register.

9. Money deposited for contribution works is entered in the "Revenue" part of form M 78, which appears incorrect.

10. Registers of buildings and churches are maintained; the rents require revision in some cases. In accordance with G.Os., No. 2944 W., dated 28th November 1884, and No. 1509 W., dated 29th June 1885 some buildings in the Provincial and Imperial registers should be classed as Local (Port Fund).

11. Service books require re-attesting in some instances; it would be well if all entries were clearly ruled off so that it might be known to which entry each signature refers.

12. Measurement books have not, since 1883, been filed in the divisional office; this should be insisted on.

13. The accounts generally are neat and systematically filed.

ORDER—dated 4th January 1886, No. 6 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for the information of the Executive Engineer, Tinnevely division.

2. Attention is directed to paragraphs 4, 10 and 12 of the notes. The report is satisfactory.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

9045
GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1886) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 4th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 4th Jan. 1886, No. 6 W.

JANUARY.

3087 W

Notes of Inspection

Orders on — submitted by the Examiner,
Public Works Accounts, of the office of the
Tinnevely division.

Office of the SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly 10th January 1887.
D.H.

No. 428.T.N.

From Major A. C. Smith. R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Sir,

Thrs the Examiner P. W. D.

ENCLOSURE —
With reference to para 2. of G. O. No.
3087. W 22-11-86. I have the honor to report that
with regard to section (c) the Executive
Engineer, Tinnevely D^o, has reported
that the dispute regarding the crest
level of calingulah in Kuttalampillai-
kulam tank has since been settled
with the concurrence of the Revenue
Department; that a revised plan
and Estimate are under preparation
and that as soon as they are sanc-
tioned the work will be put in hand.

2. With regard to the question raised in section (d) Col. Pennycook P.E., while he held charge of this circle, replied as follows to a reference made by the Examiner P.W.A.

"that the Estimate for renewing the
"renewment of Sivakumtham Desaku-
"lam tank has been sanctioned by
"this office under para 279(b) of the
"P.W. Code. It is left to my discretion
"to accept such amount as the ryots
"concerned are able to contribute towards
"the Estimated cost of the work."

"The total sum of Rupees 4810 is
considered by me to be a sufficient
contribution, and this has been ac-
cepted by this Department. As already
mentioned the amount of contribution
should be divided as follows,

* on the 7th July 1906

for works ————— Rs 3863-0-0
" — Tools & Plant ————— " — 58-0-0
" — Establishment ————— " — 887-0-0
4810-0-0

"The balance of the Estimate will be
"appropriated from the lump sum grant
"provided in the Budget for the Fin-
"anvely D^o. A reference to Government
"on the subject is unnecessary as there
"is no question of exempting the ryots
"from payment on account of Establi-
"ment &c. All that has to be done
"is to alter the distribution from
"Government or Imperial share Rs 4810.
" contributions ————— " 4810
" to Govt or Imperial share ————— " 5757.
" contribution ————— " 3863

3. Had the Examiner P.W.A.
been dissatisfied with Col. Pennycook's
decision he should I think have
immediately

immediately referred
the question for the
orders of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient Servant.

Attest Major R.C.
Superintending Engineer
6th Circle.

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Thro: the Examiner, P. W. A.

No. 428 T.N.

Dated 10th } January 1887.
Received

Enclosure. —

Reports on the Inspection
notes of the Examiner
Public works accounts,
on the Trivernelly Division
Office —

Enclosures: 2 of Endorsements: 3139 & 3140
Copy of office note from the Joint Secre-
tary to Government P. W. Department,
Irrigation Branch, to the Examiner of
P. W. Accts. Madras No. 208 dated 24th
July 1886.

In the correspondence enclosed in
your office note No. 291 dated the 21st Instant,
paras 2393 and 1598 of the P. W. Code are
referred to. The former para applies to
Municipal and Excluded local fund
works and the latter to Postal and
Telegraph works. Clause II of para
1598 F. requires that Establishment and
Tools and Plant charges at the author-
ized rates of percentage should be de-
ducted from works carried out by this de-
partment from public contributions;
but reading it with para 1598 F, the clause
seems to apply only to those works which
are wholly carried out from public con-
tributions. The rule which applies to
works carried out partly from Government
funds and partly from Contributions,
is para 1598 D, which lays down that the
Contribution should be considered as a
lump sum in addition to the Government
grant

Encl.
P.W. 356/19

+ Office paper -
19-1-87

(Enc. 3)

Endorsement No. 313 P.W.
dated 10th January 1887.

Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer, P. W. Department.
2. The question of the amount
of contribution to be recovered
from the ryots of Shivaikun-
tham was referred by this
office in office note No. 291
dated 21st July 1886 to Joint
Secretary to Government, P.
W. Department, Irrigation
Branch, a copy of whose
office note No. 208 dated
24th July 1886 in answer is
enclosed.

D. C. Curran

Examiner of P. W. Accts.
Madras.
E. J. O.
18/1. 29. 18/1