[6] P.W.C.N. 5629

Provincial No. 16 W of 1887-88
and classified as Establishment
Temporary.

[illegible]
20.7.87

Jou
22/7/87.

(4)

No. 2031 W of 29th July/87

B
29/7

To

The ~~Asst.~~ Chief Engineer ~~for Irrig.~~
~~Tank Maintenance Scheme~~
Examiner P. W. Accts.

Demd
29/7

B
20/7

O.S.S. 166.viii
M. 22.7.87

[illegible]
[illegible]

C. 20.7.87 R. 25.7.87.
C. [illegible].7.87. R. 25.7.87

Office of the Chief Engineer
for Irrigation -
Colaba, 28th April 1887

No. 25 E.W. Madras, 2nd May 1887

From

Colonel H. R. Mead R.E.
Chief Engineer for Irrign

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. D.

Sir,

When the orders to close the Tank Maintenance Scheme were issued, Major Campbell entertained 8 extra Draughtsmen in the head quarter office, to work off arrears of work sent in by the Survey parties.

These men were entertained on the 1st February and it was intended that they should be charged to works, estimates for this purpose were accordingly sanctioned, and in closing Treasury accounts &c Major Campbell retained the funds requisite for paying these men.

Payment

Payment of their Salaries for February and March was made to these Draftsmen in the ordinary way and charged against the sanctioned estimates. The Examiner, Public Works Accts, objects to these estimates and requests a reconsideration of their sanction and refuses to admit the pay of the draftsmen as a charge to works without orders of Government. As the payments made represent transactions of the official year 1886–1887, it is considered advisable to dispose of the question at an early date, I have therefore the honor to request that the requisite orders, authorizing the Examiner to pass the pay as a "works" charge, may be issued.

The Examiner's letters are forwarded for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed't Servant

Col. RE.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

EXAMINER
No. 90
14 MAY 1887
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS

ON
123
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS

24
h:t
PW 4027/87
~~4237~~
5455

R. N 123
1-6-87

Encl 3
2

No: 1168 T dated 31st May 1887

To C.

Your office note No: 149 dated 26-5-87. The draftsmen were not promiscuously employed in reducing the field work of the several Divisions, but two men were allowed to each Divn: making in all 8 men for the 4 Divns: The estimate however is a general one which was so prepared simply for convenience.

[signature]
Asstt: Chief Engineer for Irrigation
In ch: of T. M. Scheme.

To W.

N 149
26-5-87

ON
123
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS

Encs: 2

Please state (1) whether the draftsmen were employed in the field or in the Office and (2) whether each of them was employed on work connected with a single "Survey" such as, under Code para 186, would constitute a "work" for the purpose of Code para 935, or whether they were promiscuously employed in reducing the field work of a number of such Surveys.

[signature]
C.

No. 1804 C d/27 May 1887
To ——
I

Please furnish the required information direct to C.

[signature]
27.5.87

D.W.

R. No 1177 of 1887

20.7.87. R. 25.7.87.

E. I. Form No. 3.

Former Cases.

No.	dated	188 .
,,	,,	188 .
,,	,,	188 .

Later Cases.

No.	dated	188 .
,,	,,	188 .
,,	,,	188 .

Printed in P.M.G., No. dated

Reminders received.

No. 1, dated	188 .
,, 2, ,,	188 .
,, 3, ,,	188 .
,, 4, ,,	188 .
,, 5, ,,	188 .

Keep-withs.

No. 99 D. of 12th May 1887.

T. C.

As the extra draftsmen referred to were employed in recording "field" work, cannot their pay be charged to "works" under para 186 of the T. W. Code?

P.W.C. No. 4027 / 3341

1887.

From

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation,

To

The Chief Engineer T. W. D.

No. 25 Ex.

Dated 2. 5. 87

Despatched

Received 27-5-87

Enclosures. 23

Subject.

Requesting with remarks to issue orders authorizing the Exr. of T. W. accts to pass the pay of draftsmen of the T. M. Scheme as a charge to "works"

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

[C. No. 1.]

No. 4. I.

From Major C. H. P. Christie R. E.
~~The~~ EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
Madras,

To

The Asstt. Chief Engineer for Irrigation
in charge Tank Maintenance Scheme.

Madras, 6 April 1887.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 934 T. dated 2nd. April 1887, I have the honor to state that the sanction of the Government is necessary for the additional Office Establishment, as there is no "work" in the sense of Code para 935, and if there were such a "work", draftsmen could not be brought within rule I of the para above quoted with a view to charging them thereto.

I have etc. etc.

C. H. P. Christie Major R. E.
Examiner P. W. Accounts.

R. No 1027 of 1887

EXAMINER
No. 90
14 MAY 1887
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

CHIEF ENGINEER
No. 177/3
19 APR 87
FOR IRRIGATION

EXAMINER
No. 123
1 JUN 1887
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Ln. 3
PW ~~3341~~
~~4027~~
5455

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

[C. No. 1.]

No. 56 . I.

From Major C. H. P. Christie R.E.
~~THE~~ EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

TANK MAINTENANCE SCHEME.

Madras, 29 MARCH 1887.

SIR,

WITH REFERENCE TO YOUR RETURN OF SANCTIONED ESTIMATES FOR FEBRUARY 1887, I HAVE THE HONOR TO REQUEST THAT YOU WILL KINDLY RE-CONSIDER THE SANCTION GIVEN BY YOU TO THE ESTIMATE FOR RS. 620 UNDER ORIGINAL WORKS TO COVER THE SALARIES OF TEMPORARY ESTABLISHMENT EMPLOYED IN YOUR OFFICE.

2. AS THERE IS NO ONE WORK, OR SECTION OF A LARGE WORK, ON WHICH THE ESTABLISHMENT IS EMPLOYED, AND TO WHICH IT CAN BE CHARGED UNDER THE RULING IN CODE PARA 985-I, IT APPEARS NECESSARY TO OBTAIN THE SANCTION OF THE GOVERNMENT TO DEBITING THE CHARGE TO ESTABLISHMENT TEMPORARY.

I HAVE ETC. ETC.

[signature]
HONY. ASST. EXAMINER
FOR EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

Proposition Statement
for
Sanction of Establishment

Order Sanctioning present Establishment Government of Department		Office to which the proposition refers	Nature of Change												Proposition						Remarks by Local Government
			Present Scale						Proposed Scale						Permanent		Temporary				
					Pay						Pay				Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Increase per month		
No.	date		No.	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average or fixed	No.	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average or fixed	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount	
					Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs			Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Mos.	Rs	Mos.	Rs	
		Head 2nd office, T. M. Scheme	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	Draftsman	"	"	"	50	"	"	2	100	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	"	"	5	do	"	"	"	40	"	"	2	400	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	"	"	2	do	"	"	"	30	"	"	2	120	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	"	"							Total Rs			620			

R Davidson
Assistant Chief Engineer for
Irrigation, Inch. T. M. Scheme

Madras
Chepauk 15th July 1884

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 188 . { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 7th June 188 .

OFFICE NOTE No. 186

To Executive Engr

W.

Your O. N. 99 D. dated 12th May 1887.

I do not think the charge is a works charge. Para 935 of Code governs the case. Para 186 simply explains what in the case of surveys, is to be considered a work for the purpose of para 935. I do not think the Establishment concerned were employed on separate works within the meaning of para 186; and, if they had been so employed, the particular kind of establishment in question could not have been charged to it under para 935, not being employed in petty supervision, or under para 186, not being of the classes mentioned therein.

2. All that is required is formal sanction of Government for admission

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1000—12-5-87.

admission of the charge as an Establishment charge.

P. W. Dept.
To be returned with reply.

C.

No. 1963C d/11 June 1887 E.P. 7/6

To. K. No 1244 of 1887

E.I.

Please peruse the above office Note from the Examiner of P.W. Accts and forward a proposition statement in form No 184 M to enable the salaries of the extra draftsmen referred to in your letter No 25 E.W. d/2nd May 1887 being sanctioned as a charge against Provincial Establishment.

11.6.87

No. 1406 dated 15th July 1887 D. E. W

To. E. W.

Herewith the Statement required.

15.7.87 D.E.I.

5455 N

(4)

Rec'd 8/6/87

R/ 16.7.87

Ex 3 5

D.C. Clean & Examiner's reply & day if this will dispose of the question, if not what is the objection

question could not

20.7.87. R. 25.7.87.

5455

D.E.I

Sanction of Gov
for admission of the Cha
as an establishment Ch
for the 8 draftsmen fo
February & March 1887 a
the following rate of
Salary will dispose of
question:-

1 draftsman at Rs 50 a m
5 — do — " 40 — d
2 — do — " 30 —

10/6/87

For Feb & March

Sanction accordingly
as Est Temporary

10.6.8

B
For early
disposal
FB
10/6

Sum to precision

15.7.87

20.7.87. R. 25.7.87.

(No. 1804 C d/27-5-87)

P.W.C. No. 4337

Office Note from the
Exr P.W. Accts No. 186
d/7th June 1887.
Your o N. 99 D d/12-5-87

I do not think the charge is a works charge. Para 935 of Code governs the case. Para 186 simply explains what in the case of surveys, is to be considered a work for the purpose of para 935. I do not think the Establishment concerned were employed on separate works within the meaning of para 186; and, if they had been so employed, the particular kind of establishment in question could not

have been charged to it under para 935, not being employed in petty supervision, or under para 186, not being of the classes mentioned therein.

2. All that is required is formal sanction of Govt for admission of the charge as an Establishment charge.

(sd) C. H. R. C.

No. 1963 C d/ 11 June 1887

To.

E. I.

Please peruse the above office Note from the Examiner of P.W. Accts & forward a proposition statement in form No. 184 M. to enable the salaries of the extra draftsmen referred to in your letter No. 25 E.W. d/ 2nd May 1887 being sanctioned as a charge against Provincial Establishment.

(sd) W. L. C. B.
11-6-87

D. E. W.

B May

D 11/6/87

Sd 16/7.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 2031 W.

B/

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 29th July/87

READ the following

From the Chief Engineer for Irrigation H. E. No. 25 E.W. d/ 2d May 1887.
Office note to the Examiner P. W. Accounts " No. 99 D d/ 12th July 1887.
Office note from the Examiner P. W. Accounts. No. 149 d/ 26th May 1887.
Office note to the Chief Engineer for Irrign. " No. 1804 d/ 27th May 1887
Office note from Asst. Ch. Engr. in charge of Tank Maintenance Scheme } " No. 1168 T. dated 31st May 1887.
Office note from Examr. P. W. Accounts " No. 186 dated 7th June 1887.
Office note to Chief Engineer for Irrigation " No. 1963 C dated 11th June 1887.
Office note from the Chief Engineer for Irrigation " No. 1406 dated 15th July 1887.

The office establishment for the Tank Restoration office referred to in the proposition statement received from the ~~Assistant~~ Chief Engineer for Irrign. ~~in charge Tank Maintenance Scheme~~ Restoration is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial

Provincial No. 16 w of 1887-88
and classified as Establishment
Temporary.

20.7.87

Jou
22/7/87.

(4)

No. 2031 w of 29th July/87

To

The ~~Asst.~~ Chief Engineer for Irrig.n
~~Tank Maintenance Scheme~~
Examiner P. W. Accts.

Demd
29/7

B. No 1829 W, 7.7.87.

No. 2033 w of 29th July/87

B

Communicated to the
Comd. to the
Pension Department
Pension Dept
with reference
with reference
to para 2 of G. O.
to para 2 of G. O.
No. 814, dated
No. 814, dated
30th June 1887.
30th June 1887.

20.7.87

Jou
22/7/87.

Pension Dept
Pension Dept
S. E. V Circle
Examiner P. W. Accts.

29/7

PWC No. 5555

(7)

No. 1656.

From.

The Superintg. Engineer
5th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Deptt.

No. 2033 w of 29th July/87

Dated 16th
Desp. 18th July 1887.
Recd 19th

T. Veerasawmy late
Messenger North
Arcot Division.

Explains the
circumstances under
which — was not
allowed to remain
in active service for
the short period
required to entitle
him to pension

Dw