Is it correct to state that the late Lock Supt. has been "dismissed" from the service?

S.E. in letter dated 14-1-86 states that he "has been reduced to the grade of Lock lascar."

21.1.86

W

Please see the last entry in the man's service book. The remark in the order is made with a view to stop any further petitions regarding his dismissal.

FB

SMW

To
The Chief Engine
D.P.W

The humble representatio
Y. Narasingaroyudu
late Maskapalli Lock Su

Humbly Sheweth

That he has bee
employed in the Public Works
Department as Lock Supdt fo
the last 25 Years and on his repor
against the Lock lascar employe
under him for neglect of work and
disobedience of orders which he coul
not but bring to the notice of his
Superiors when the Government wo
was interrupted he was reduced
from Rs 10 to 8 while the lascars
were otherwise punished (by transf

Divisions thus protecting himself & his large family who are actually perishing of want of maintenance for the last 8 months –

May Lord Almighty protect Your long life and prosperity to render Justice and thus support the innocent poor –

Your humble Petitioner

21/11/85
Dowlaishweram

2

Inle 1035

P.W. En 12
451

Rec 30/11/85
E 3

1005 G
8/12/85

P. W. Dept.
To be returned with reply.

Cocanada
2nd Decr

No. 2024 C

Referred to the Supdg Engineer I Circle, for report. (By order)

Previous papers herewith

16-12

J. Campbell
Dy Chf Engr P.W.D.

1.12.85

Godavari District
Eastern Division Office
Dowlaishweram 12th April 1885

No. 27.

From,
The Executive Engineer
Eastern Division Godavari.

To,
The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle.

Enclos 10.

1 Southern Sub Dn Officer's report No. 292 dated 23rd February 1885 with 5 Enclosures
1 Copy of this Office No. 373 dated 4th March 1885 to the Sub Division Officer –
1 Southern Sub Dn Officer's report No. 3 dated 5th April 1885
1 Explanation of the Lock Superintendent
1 Service register of — Do —

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for your perusal, certain documents as per margin regarding the conduct of Y. Narsingurayudu Lock Superintendent at Musakapilli and to state that I cannot do any thing with this lock Superintendent –

2. He does no work and no lascars can stop at his lock – I do not care to insist upon his dismissal if you can transfer him to another Division as he has 25 years service.

3. If you cannot arrange for his transfer I must request the he be dismissed as I cannot get any work out of him –

4 – The Lock Superintendent has been

think otherwise

been suspended since 11th March 1885 while his case has been under enquiry and will remain so until you pass final orders on him.

I have &ca.

Signed, C. C. Rawson Capt R.E.
Executive Engineer.

True Copy

Wm. Mathew Asst Engr.
for Superintending Engr. 1st Circle

P.W. En 10
451

JK

1.12.85

No 213 G.D.

From,
The Supg. Engineer
1st Circle

To,
The Executive Engineer
Eastern Delta Division Godavari

Sir, Dowlaishweram 27th April 1885

I have the honor to return to you all the documents received with your letter No. 27 dated 12th April 1885 and to inform you that the charges which Mr. Legge brings against Y. Narasingarayudu lock Superintendent at Muskapalli are not sufficiently specific to warrant his dismissal from the Government Service.

The practice of removing a subordinate from one Division to another simply because he does not get on with his immediate superiors seems to me often objectionable

The

objectionable and prejudical to good order and proper discipline; but if you consider it advisable I shall have no objection to the removal of Y. Narisingarayudu to any other lock in your own Division.

P.W. Eng
451 Jo

I have &ca.

(Signed) P. Montgomerie Lt Col R.E.
Supr. Engineer 1st Circle.

(True Copy)

Wm Athey Asst Engr.
for Supr. Engr. 1st Circle.

Camp Dowlaishweram
Eastern Division Office
3d May 1885.

No. 79.

From,
The Executive Engineer
Eastern Division Godavari.

To,
The Supr. Engineer
1st Circle.

Enclos: 10.
Sub Dn. Offrs No. 292 of 23-2-85
1 Copy of Division Offrs 273 of 4-3-85.
1 Sub Dn. Offrs No. 3 dated 5-4-85
1 Explanation of Lock Supdt.
1 Service Register of Do }

* Enclosures returned

x Y. Narasinga-rayudu—

Sir,

With reference to your No. 213 G. D. dated 27th April 1885,* I have the honor to point out that the Lock Supdt.x was transferred to my Division from the Western Division on the 8th March 1879 since which date he has been reduced from Rs. 12 to Rs. 8. I can do nothing with him and therefore request that he be sent back to the Western Divn.

2. If you do not approve of this I request that you will sanction his transfer to the Tail Lock at Dumagudem on Rs. 8 per mensem and that he remain under suspension until such time as he joins his new appointment.

&c.

I think oth— —thized hi

The man has been given every chance as may be seen from his Service Register and unless he be suspended for some time he will receive no punishment whatever.

P.W. Ent / 467 780

3. If you do not approve of either of the above proposals, I request that you will sanction his reduction to lascar on Rs. 6 per mensem.

I have &c.
Signed, C C Rawson Capt R.E.
Executive Engineer.

(True Copy)

Asst Engr.
for Superintending Engr 1st Clo.

JK

No. 421 G.D.

From,
The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle.

To,
The Executive Engineer
Eastern Delta Division

Enclos: 10. Sir, Dowlaishweram 25th May 1885.

In reply to your letter No. 79 dated 3rd instant regarding Lock Superintendent Y. Narasingaroydu, I have the honor to invite your attention to my letter No. 213 G.D. dated 27th April 1885 from which you will observe that the case is one which should be disposed of by the Divisional Officers himself in accordance with paras 337 and 830 of the P.W.D. Code.

2 With reference to the Service Register of the Individual in question it is observed that altho' he has been reduced on 5 different occasions the course of the reduction or suspensions has not been entered - these omissions should be supplied as far as they are known.

3 The documents accompanying your letter

letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have &ca

(Signed) P. Montgomerie Lt Col R.E.

Superintending Engineer 1st Circle.

(True Extract)

Asst Engineer

for Superintending Engineer 1st Cle

J.K.

P.W. Eng 7
451
7

Godavari District
Eastern Division Office
No. 162. Dowlaishweram 2d June 1885.

From:

The Executive Engineer
Eastern Division Godavari.

To,

The Supdg. Engineer
1st Circle.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 421 G. D. dated 25th May 1885, I have the honor to inform you that I have no power to reduce the Lock Superintendent referred to therein to Lascar and to request that you will be good enough to give the necessary orders on the subject.

I have &ca

(Signed) C. C. Rawson
Captain R.E.

Executive Engineer.

(True Copy)

Asst Engr.

for Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle

J.K.

P. W. En 6
457 J86

No. 588 G. D.

D. P. W.

Superintending Engineer's Office First Circle.

Station Dowlaishweram

Dated 18th
Despatched 18th } June 1885
Received

Enclosures.

To

The Executive Engineer
Eastern ~~Central~~ Division Godavari

Reference Exe. Engineer's letter
No. 162 dated 2nd June } 1885

Before authorizing the reduction to lascar of Lock Superintendent Y. Narasingarayudu the undersigned has the honor to request that his service register may be returned with full particulars of the 5 different occasions on which he was either reduced or suspended.

2 It is understood that the Executive Engineer now desires to reduce the Lock Superintendent to lascar and not to an inferior grade of Lock Superintendent as originally suggested by him.

Signed, P. Montgomerie Lt. Col. R.E.
for Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle.

True

(True Copy)

[illegible] Asst Engineer

for Superintending Engineer 1st Cle.

P. W. Ens 5
457

Executive Engineer
Eastern Central Division Godavari

Exe. Engineer's letter
162 dated 22nd June

Before authorising the reduction to lascar of Lock Superintendent N. Narasingarayudu the undersigned has the honor to request that his service register may be returned with full particulars of the 5 different occasions on which he was either reduced or suspended.

2. It is understood that the Executive Engineer now desires to reduce the Lock Superintendent to lascar and not to an inferior grade of Lock Superintendent as originally suggested by him.

(Signed) J. Montgomerie Lt Col RE
Supdg Engineer 1st Circle.

Godavari Eastern Dn Office
Camp Dowlaishweram
No. 214. 27th June 1885.

From,
The Executive Engineer
in charge Eastern Dn Godavari.

To,
The Superintending Engineer
First Circle.

Enclos: 1
1 Service Register.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 588 G.D. dated 17th June 1885, I have the honor to state that the Service Register of Lock Superintendent N. Narasingarayudu is herewith returned after entering the particulars required.

2. The grade in which he is now employed is the lowest of Lock Superintendents and the post next to it is that of lascar hence the reason to reduce him as lascar. His transfer to another Division, as already suggested by me was not approved of.

I have &c.
(Signed) C. C. Rawson Capt RE
Executive Engineer.

True

(True Copy)

W. A. Mathews Asst. Engr.

for Supr. Engineer 1st Circle

P.W. Em 4

451 of 86

JK

No. 792 G. D.

D. P. W.

Superintending Engineer's Office First Circle.

Station Dowlaishweram.

Dated 16th
Despatched } July 1885.
Received

Enclosures. One service register.

To

The Executive Engineer
Eastern Division Godavari.

Reference Executive Engineer's
No. 214 dated 27th June } 1885 regarding Lock
Superintendent Y. Narasingarayudu.

The reduction is authorized, the necessary entry being made in the Service Register with the further remark that the next time his bad conduct is brought to notice of the Superintending Engineer he will be dismissed.

Signed; L. Montgomerie Lt Col R.E.
Superintending Engr.
1st Circle

(True Copy)

W. A. Mathews Asst. Engr.

for Superintending Engr. 1st Circle

JK

P. W. En 3
451 786

No. 90.

The following reduction and promotion are ordered by the Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Division.

Y. Narsingarayudu Lock Superintendent at Masakapilli now under suspension	To be Lock Lascar on Rs. 6 vice C. Venkataswamy at Médapad -
C. Venkataswamy Lock lascar on Rs. 6 at Médapad	To act as Lock Supdt. on Rs. 8 vice Y. Narasingarayudu reduced, at Masakapilli - This is only a temporary promotion.

Eastern Dn. Office,
Dowlaishweram
26th July 1885.

Signed, C. C. Rawson
Captain R.E.
Executive Engineer

(True Copy,)

No. 302.

Forwarded to the Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle for approval, with reference to his Docket No. 792 G. D. dated 15th July 1885.

Signed, C. C. Rawson Capt. R.E.
Executive Engineer

Godavari Eastern
Division Office
26th July 1885.

True

(True Copy)

Asst. Engr.

for Superintending Engr. 1st Cle.

P.W. En 2
457/86

JK

No. 957 G. D.

D. P. W.

Superintending Engineer's Office First Circle.

Station Dowlaishweram

Dated 4th
Despatched August 1885
Received

Enclosures.

To

The Executive Engineer
Eastern Division Godavari

Reference
No. **dated** 188

Executive Engineers No. 90 dated 26th July 1885 promoting C. Venkataswamy Lock lascar, to act as Lock Superintendent on Rs. 8 and reducing Lock Superintendent V. Narasingarayudu to Lock lascar on Rs. 6 per mensem.

—

Approved.

Signed, P. Montgomerie
Lieut Col. R.E.
Superintending Engr. 1st Cle.

(True Copy)

Asst. Engr.
for Supr. Engineer 1st Circle.

JK

P.W. Enl / 451 786

Enclosures 15

No. 2035 G.D.

FROM,
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWARAM, 14th January 1886.

SIR,

In returning to you the documents received with your Endorsement No. 2024 C dated 2d. ultimo, I have the honor to furnish you with copy of Correspondence that has taken place in connection with Y. Narasingarayudu late lock Superintendent of Maskapalli together with his service register from which you will understand the reasons why the Lock Superintendent has been reduced to the grade of lock lascar.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
Asst Engr.
for Superintending Engr. 1st Cle

JK

No 2024C - 2.12.85

No: 317 C d/ 23.1.86.

C

The Petitioner is informed that the Chief Engineer sees no reason to interfere in his case. It is observed that Petitioner has since been dismissed from the service for being absent from duty without leave.

20/1/86

To

21.1.86

Petitioner with 3 enclos returned

The S.E.I.C. with a services register

Desp 23-1-86

23.1

26/1

25/1

FROM,

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

P.W.C. No 451

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

20 JAN 86

DATED
DESPATCHED } January 1886.
RECEIVED 20

No.

No: 317 C d/ 23.1.86.

ENCLOSURES. 15

Y. Narasingarayudu – late Lock Superintendent of Maskapalli in the Godavari Eastern Division

Submits copies of correspondence in connection with –

To

The Chief Engineer
D.P.W.

The humble representatio
Y. Narasingarayudu la
Muskapalli Lock. Sup

Humbly Showeth

That he has been employed in the Public Works Department as a Lock Supt for the last 25 years and ~~a~~ ... reporting against the Lock lasc employed under him for neglect of work and disobedience order which he could not but bring to the notice of his Superi s when the Government work was interrupted he was reduced from Rs 10 to 8 while the lascars were otherwise punished. (by transfer

A few months after when your

when the lascar

when he was

Your petitioner again found the lascars at fault - he was charged with being unable to manage the lascars under him (without going into the merits of the case) he was called [illegible] planation - Vide Copies

reporting against the cook who was employed under him for neglect of work and disobedience orders which he could not but bring to the notice of his superiors who

absent from duty without leave.

20/1/86

21.1.86

To
Petitioner
with 3 enclos returned
The S. E. I.
with a services register
Despd 23-1-86

23.1

26/1

25/1

and that on his apply for leave without pay to the Division Off the application was refused Vide that Officer's No 716 of 5-10 From the forgoing that your ~~the~~ petitioner [illegible] hardly and how cruelly he has been dealt with simply because he is straight forward - As he was unjustly punished for no fault of his but of bringing to notice others faults in the ordinary course of business your petitioner prays for justice being done to him by restoring him to his appointment transferring him to another Divisons thus protecting himself & his large family who are actually perishing of want of maintenance for the last 8 months - May Lord Almighty protect your long life & prosperity

when he was

to render Justice & thus
support the innocent poor

Your humble petitioner

21-11/85 [illegible]

Public Works Department.

No. 317 C. Madras 23rd January 1886.

[illegible] 1886 [illegible]

Memorandum

Petition from Y. Narasingarayudu late Lock Superintendent of Maskapalli in the Godavari Eastern Division dated 21st November 1885.

The petitioner is informed that the Chief Engineer sees no reason to interfere in his case. It is observed that petitioner has since been dismissed from the service for being absent from duty without leave.

(By order)

D. McL. Campbell Captain R.E.
Dy. Chief Engineer P.W.D.

To

The Petitioner
with 3 enclosures returned

x. [illegible]

to render Justice & thus

charges

perintendent Mudacapa

ted to write his explanation

this sheet to the Sub-

[illegible]

Ag. Lutchme

[illegible]

10 [illegible]

8 [illegible]

deal

[illegible]

12 [illegible]

8 [illegible]

15 [illegible]

1 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

1 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

1 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

1 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

9 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

(C) Mark

Ex 6
PN. 2600/87

to render Justice

1 [illegible]
[illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
[illegible]
2 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
14 [illegible]
6 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]

1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 761 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
41 [illegible]
10 [illegible]
8 [illegible]
25 [illegible]
12 [illegible]
24 [illegible]
100 [illegible]
100 [illegible]
1 [illegible] 2782 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible] 16 [illegible]
1 [illegible] 17 [illegible]
1 [illegible] 169 [illegible]
[illegible]

The followin charges [illegible] against the Lock Superintendent Masacapa[illegible] Lock who is directed to write his explanations below and return this sheet to the Sub-Division Office without delay

1. That he has a dozen head of cattle which graze freely on the lock grounds, river embankments &c. destroying the young trees recently planted And that he has no-body to look after Cattle, nor any other land on which he could graze them

2. That he has proved himself incapable of managing the Establishment placed under him in that only a few months ago a Complete set of lascars had to be removed from his lock on account of his mismanagement; and now the new set have to be similarly dealth with, although on the former occasion he was reduced and warned to be more careful in dealing with the new men.

3. That he is a very quarrelsome man always at logger heads with the lascars. Subordinate to him. And they find it next to impossible to serve under him.

Camp. Dowlaiswaram
8th March 1885
(Signed)
Jos Diousegge
Sub Engineer
in charge
Southern
Sub-Division

To
Masakapalli
Lock Supdt.
The Delta Supdt.
Bank Canal L.P.

When he

Most honored Sir

your honor is well aware of that every member of the Lock Estt in the Delta is in possession of one or two cattle for the maintc of children their condition at the locks being lonesome and unconnected with any village

I as well, have two cows and two calves (but not a dozen) which I secured for the protection of my children. I engage a man to tend my cattle in a piece of land belonging to a ryot but I never allowed them to graze in the Govt ground, spoil the surroundings of the lock. My cattle were never impounded either by you or by the Delta Supt in any of your previous inspections of the lock

It is my misfortune your honor charging me with this offence based on the complaint of the las-cars who were bad charactors all thro.

I am a man of 25 years service almost all of which in the capacity of the Lock Supt from which your honor can consider whether or not I could manage the las-cars under me.

Of late, the lock las-cars here were adicted to drink as the liquor and toddy there were very cheap and used to behave themselves very dis-gracefully and neglect their work.

This I could not but bring to the notice of my Superiors when I found that the Govt. work was interrupted. On my

when we

an ther set which did not ever care the appointments was sent from the anicut

Of the new set 1 Viradu a Pariah and one Potigadu a washerman used to go to Dowilaswaram whenever they wished, this when I brought to the notice of my Superiors, Viradu was punis with loss of pay and Potigadu was dismisse

Had I not brought to the notice of m Superiors the irrigularites it would have resulted no doubt seriously against me.

Under these circumstances I beg that I may be exhonorated from the blame and re-instated in my appointment

I have 5 children and a wife to live upon this employment having no oth means of protection. I pray to your honor will pity my condition and excuse my faul

For which act of kindness
I shall as in duty bound eve
pray

24th March 185
Dowileswaram

your most obedient servant.

(A) Mark

The endardement 1324 has been given to the petitions written on 13-10-85 & 11th Frebrauary 186 without finding justice I herewith sent the draught thereby you have come to know whether its is justice or injustice

(D) mark

---

(3) account of his debromotion to lower [illegible] from that of [illegible]

Captain C.E. Rawson Esquire
Royal Engineer Godavari. District
Dowlaishweram.

The humble petition of Yeluri Narasinga Rayoudu Late Maakapalli
Lock Superintendant

Most respected Sir

(1) As I applied for leave even non-pay for two months on the 28 September/85 being in great need of it and unwilling to perform the low Laska work Your honor pleased to grant me an Endarsement on this 12th Instant October/85 that no leave would be granted.

(2) I with my family grieving much for having been imposed three departmentary punishments by your honor on mere unreal reports of my superiors without bringing them into your honor's trial and taking proper witn

(3) I feel it unjust to Endarse that no leave would be granted Eve non-pay when I applied for it being in need of it, and in grief of sorrow account of my depromotion to low Laskar's work from that of Lock Suptd in which Capacity I served for 25 years. Your honor himself knows that for how long leave may be granted on non-pay.

(4) I feel it sorrowfully that it is my misfortune that has made your honor grow angry with me and depromote me to low Laskars work which I do not heartily like to serve in, because neither my elder I have ever engaged in such mean works. Your honor pleases to bring this fact into your honors kind notice, Your honor alone can find out the truth of it:

(5) I am a very poor man having to support a very large family. I am in great distress to get food and clothe.
So I humbly beg your honour to grant me any superior post an this support me and my poor family

(6) If your honor does not please to do. so I beg your honor to bring th false charge brought against me into your honors fair trial a find out the truth of them either by witnesses or by any other mans

when he wa

Godavari District
Eastern Dn Office
Dowlaishweram
10 March 1886

No 1324

Memorandum

With reference to his petition dated 10 February/86 G. Narasinga Rayudu late Lock Supdt is informed that the Undersigned is not disposed to do anything for him for ~~the following~~ reasons

C.E. Rawson
Captain R.E.
Executive Engineer

other work than that of writing. So I humbly

Me with any superior post and make Me get rid

For this act of kindness I shall, as in duty bound ever pray your honour,

I beg to Remain Sir

Your most obedient and humble servent.

13-10-1885 }
Dowlaishweram }

(Copy B)

To

Captain C. C. Rawson Esquire

Royal Engineer Godavari Dt.

Dowlaishweram.

The humble petition of Yeluri Narasinga Rayoudu Late Maskapally Lock Superintendant

Most Honored Sir

Your honor depromoted My pay from 10 Rs to 8 Rs on unreal charges brought agaist me without bringing them in to Your honors fair trial.

Soon after this Your honour suspended Me 5 Months and depr

Me to Laskars work. And when I applied for two Months lea

soon after this Your honor granted Me.

(3) After the elapse of this time. I applied again for two Months lea

on the 28th Sep /85 Your honor refused Me to grant on the 12th Oct

(4) I hitherto sent a petition (the petition A.A. is this copy of it) in

attachment to the petition. the petition No. B is the copy of it) on

13th October /885. Then that leave had been granted to me for ha

Not received any order from Your honor. I left My native place

having no any livelihood kind of Means to take out My sav

great distress of My poor and helpless children and My wife

5 Soon after this Your honor had issued an order that I wa

dismissed from the Laskars work. I who had served as a

Superintendant, for 25 Years Continually, did not absent

the Laskar having been

when he

Considered that your honor had approved of my requests in the petition sent on the 13th Oct 1885. I think that it is my misfortune that has made your honor grow angry with me. I am a poor man having a large family to support. I am in great distress being in want of food and clothed. So I beg your honor to work a … amicus circle in the Capacity which your honor pleased to Command me hither to engage in. For this act of kindness I shall as in duty bound ever pray

I beg to remain Sir
Your most obedient and humble servent

Feb 1886 }
Monday. }
Despatched }
on the 11th Feb 1886 }
Dowlaishweram }.

7

To

The Secretary
To The Government of
Madras—

The humble petition of Y. Narasingarayudu
late Lock Superintendent of Narsapur
Eastern Delta Godavari District—

Most Humbly Sheweth—

That your petitioner humbly begs your honorable Government will be so kind as to ta… the following facts under your honor's favorable Consideration and render justice thereby—

2. That your petitioner was employed as Lock-Superintendent for 25 years in D.P.W.— during this period he discharged his duties to the entire satisfaction of his superiors—

3: —— It was all on a sudden that your petitioner's Salary was reduced from 10 to 8 Rs and he was suspended for 5 Months under certain Charges (vide copy herewith enclosed marked A) framed against him on a mere false report made by the Delta Superintendent who … your petitioner negative answer when he often demanded him to do his private business. Soon after the expiration of the suspension, your petitioner was reverted to the post of a Lock Lascar of Rs 6.

These charges were also Answered by …

… when he wa…

Besides this, the new Lock Superintendent who was appointed in the place of your petitioner had at the time of taking charges, certified that plants, trees &c that lie within the Lock Premises were in a better Condition (Original Certificate marked B is also Annexed). This will do to invalidate the Charges made against your petitioner —

5: — The reduction to a Lascar's post made your petitioner apply for two months leave for Appealing to the Higher Authorities. It was accordingly granted on Substitute —

6 As your petitioner's Case was not fully and favorably decided, he was obliged to apply for in extention of two months leave in Continuation of the former which, instead of granting under Civil leave Code, was not only rejected but your petitioner was at the same time dismissed — Is this not a horrible presumption to dismiss your petitioner at once when the Civil Leave Code admits of his Application for further extension of leave.

7 As the permissions of the Civil Leave Code have given room to Appeal to the Higher Authorities, your petitioner sent his petition both to the Chief Engineer at Madras and to the Executive Engineer at Dowlaishweram ~~~~ been now to your petitioner's misfortune, his Case was over looked (endorsements marked C and D) —

So long as there is still higher step to seek for redress and so long as the law and equity admit him, your petitioner cannot but help submitting his case to your honorable Government.

petitioner for

He is dismissed on a mere trifle cause that he was absent without leave — The first question is why the leave he applied for was not granted when there is permission in the Code for granting and when your petitioner was in need of it — After solving this question your honorable Government may pass an opinion that your petitioner was much ashamed to revert to a Lascar's post and hence he was obliged to ask for an extension of leave for Appeal — your petitioner must not omit to state that he applied for leave not with the intention of getting himself rid of the public appointment but with the eagerness of regaining his former post. This simple delay of joining to duty cannot be computed as a fault on your petitioner to the extent of dismissal —

Would not work as a Lascar — 2 months given before gave an extension for an appeal

10 — Your honorable Govt. may also see how a once dismissed person who is now in your petitioner's place, could be appointed as public servant — This is sufficient to authenticate a greater weight of injustice done by the D. Authorities towards your petitioner —

11: — Your hon. petitioner is a man of poor and large family all 10 in number and two Cows but not a dozen of Cattle as framed in the Charges (vide Charges marked A) solely depending on his Lock Superintendent's post as a life maintenance — To forfeit a public service of 25 years in D. P. W. and loose all claims of the Justice of his case would be a fearful Cry in the centre of his family

ment ~~~~ regards

P.W.C. No 3600

The Hon [illegible]

unsympathised his poor Case

12: — The reason why your petitioner kept quiet for so long a time i.e. a time from three years without Appealing to your honor is: — that I turned mad on Account of loosing my Appointment and that the petitioner got rid of that madness, through the help of his relations, only a few months ago —

13! — In conclusion, your petitioner humbly solicits your honor's merciful Consideration & decision will restore him to his Lock Superintendent's post and thereby protect his large family —

R 11.5.87

No 6

For which act of kindness your petitioner, as in duty bound shall ever pray.

[redacted]

Your petitioner most Humbly begs to remain

Most Honoral Sir

Your Honor's Most obedient Servant —

C. [illegible]

[illegible]
30th April 1887
Godaveri District

(Seen in Book apparently was returned)

B. No ~~1390 Wd~~ Gen 21 May 1887

No 317C, 23-1-86
No 2024C, 2-12-85

B

The Government decline to interfere with the orders already passed on petitioner's case.

Bd Lon 1390 W
d 21/5/87