

Enclosure No. 1 of Encl. No. 30 S. 66² 7: 28/4/87.

To,

Col: J. Parrycricht P.R.

Sup^r Engineer, India.

Honored Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of the pay for 14 days in January/87 due to my husband the late P. Parancoosum Naidu, Head Clerk of your honor's office I beg to state that the pay was, as I am given to understand, calculated at Rs 184 and not at Rs 192 he was entitled to from 1st January last.

I beg also to bring to your honor's notice that the advance of 2 months salary made was also paid at Rs 184 per month.

As he has rendered, as I believe, approved service during the year 1886, he was entitled to his 4th annual increment of Rs 8 from the 1st of January, 1887 and the 2 months advance of salary & pay for 14 days in January, should have been paid at Rs 192 per mensem.

I beg therefore to request your honor
will be kindly pleased to pay to me
the difference between the sum paid
& that due taking into account his
4th Annual increment

For which act of kindness
I shall feel gratified.

I beg to remain
#2 8m

Yours most obediently.

Signed/ P. Andalamah

wife of late Paramoosum Naide

#2 block SE office b'rick.

Tachinoddy
4th April 1887.

W^o 23.T.C

Copy forwarded to the Exa-
mines - P. W. Accounts, with a request
that he will state whether he will
be prepared to pass pay at Rs 192
for the periods referred to.

2. It appears that the clerk in
question worked up to the 14th January
1887 and then went on privilege
leave and died before rejoining
the office so that application for the
additional increment was never

made by him or sanctioned by the
superintending engineer.

3. It would, however, unquestion-
ably, have been granted from the
1st January, had he not gone on
leave before the end of January
or had he rejoined after his leave,
and it appears to the undersigned
that his representatives ought not
to suffer because he died before the
expiration of his leave.

4. If the orders of Government
are necessary to enable the claim
to be framed it is requested that the
papers be submitted to the Chief
Engineer.

J. B. —

Kodaikanal

14 — 4 — 87

D/VB:

Stoll 1887

Sup^r Engineer, Brick.

To the Examiners
of Accounts

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer & W. Department as requested, with expressions of opinion that the additional payments for which the Superintending Engineer seeks sanction would not be admissible under Section 138 Rule 1 of the Civil Service Code. The permission which this section allows to be given to the representatives of a deceased servant to retain advances actually made, is understood to be an act of grace and not a recognition of any legal claim. The rule says that the payment having been made it may stand, even though



PWC 3452
 MAY 1887
 ENCLOSURE
 W. AGG

though it is more than the legal dues, it is not an illiberal settlement and I think is intended to be a final one.

Major R. C.
 Examiner C. W. Aceto
 Madras

Encs: One
 27. 28/4.
 28/4.

R. 6. 5. 87
 L: 2

R.O.N. No 60
 3rd May 1887
 No 1521 of 2 May 1887

To C.
 Please say what length of leave was granted to the clerk - from what date - and on what date he died - also from what date he was entitled to increment.

To W. 6/5/87
 3 months leave from 15.1.87. He died on 26.1.87. Increment admissible from 1.1.87.
 W. W. 14.57
 6/5

To W

The deceased clerk
received two months pay
in advance, at Rs 184
per mensem = Rs 368.
The widow received
14 days pay at the
same rate = Rs 83-1-7
Total Rs 451-1-7

The clerk died on
26th January, 1887
26 days pay more he
said to have been
earned, = at Rs 184
per mensem, Rs 154-5-2

The unearned
portion of the money
paid therefore =
Rs 451-1-7 - 154-5-2 =
Rs 296-12-15.

The amount
is given in the draft
order, Rs 300, is dated
apparently in round
numbers, but


From P.W. No. 3245
3452/87

Major C. H. P. Christie R.E.

Examiner P.W. Accts
Madras.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department
Madras.



Ry 28.4.87

Of No 30 S.E. 6th dated 28th April 1887.

Encs: One.

Forwards Endorsement of
30 S.E. 6th dated 28th April 1887 is
enclosing ^{copy of} petition from P.
Andalamah, about certain
difference of pay due to her late
husband Parancoosum Naidu
of the Office of Superintending
Engineer 6th Circle.

No 1326 W.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 14th May 1887

Read the following

From S.E. VI circle to Examiner P.W. A. H.E. No 23 J.C. 3rd 14th April '87.
From the Examiner P.W. Accts H.E. No 30 S.E. 6th dated 28th April '87
Office Note to the Exr. P.W. Accts H.E. No 1521 C of 2nd May 1887
" from the Exr. P.W. Accts H.E. No 86 of 6th May 1887.

S. Parancoosum

Naidu, Head clerk of the
office of the Supdy. Engineer,
VI circle, was granted
three months privilege
leave with effect from
15th January 1887. He
received an advance
of two months pay and
died on the 26th January
1887.

2. He had completed

a year's service for increment at the end of December 1886 and his widow now asks that she may be paid the difference between the pay and advance received and pay and advance at the increased rate to which the head clerk would probably have been promoted had he rejoined at the end of his leave.

3. As under Rule 1, section 138, of the Civil Leave Code, the unearned portion of the advance made to the deceased -
296-12-5
viz: Rs 200 will not be recovered from the Estate, the Government are

are not prepared to consider the application for any further payment, the non-recovery of the unearned balance of the advance being an act of grace on the part of the Government and not a recognition of any legal claim.

WCB
7-5-87

7.5.7.

Lee
11/5/87

To.
The Supdg. Engr VI circle
" Examiner P.W. Acks.

14/5

B. N^o 1326 Wd / 14th May 1887.

$$\begin{array}{r} 11.5.87 \\ 11.5.87 \\ \hline 13-5.87 \end{array}$$