(1) Number of pages 20

(2) Date of repair Jan ~~Feb~~ 1988

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

PW DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1183 (W) Press.
B.P. No. ~~Mis.~~

Dated 2.5.1887 ~~198~~.

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Archives —I 10,006-9 80

9

2nd May 1887, No. 1183 W., Public Works.

1183/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper:—

No. 9 W.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department. Attention is invited to the following points, viz. :—

(a) The excessive treasury balance at the end of each month (paragraph 3 of report).
(b) The expediency of a distinct ruling regarding the attestation of labor payments not made by Sub-divisional officer (paragraph 7).
(c) The excessive delay in submission of ten-day reports, which is probably solely responsible for the confusion referred to in paragraph 9, as well as the irregularities mentioned in paragraph 14.
(d) The disregard of Standing Order No. 158 of 1885 (form No. M. 19, note I) regarding cancelment of measurements (paragraph 13).

2. The Sub-divisional officer's remark regarding "false entries" quoted in paragraph 8 apparently requires explanation.

3. The irregular payments referred to in paragraph 11 are specially brought to notice of Government.

4. Attention is also invited to paragraph 12.

(Signed) C. H. P. CHRISTIE, Major, R.E.,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

20th April 1887.

Enclosure.

Notes of Inspection by H. RAINIER, Esq., Deputy Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, of the office of the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam division, on the 6th and 7th March 1887.

Lieutenant-Colonel Fraser, R.E., took charge of the division from Mr. S. D. Pears, Executive Engineer, on the 1st October 1886, Mr. Pears having been in charge since 6th July 1886. The accountant, Mr. Ryan, took charge from Mr. Smithers on 9th December 1886. The Executive Engineer was unavoidably absent from the inspection. The office was last examined by an Accounts officer on the 1st March 1886 (see papers with G.O., No. 685 W., dated 15th March 1886).

2. The Sub-divisions now are—

The Koraput Sub-division.—Mr. W. Knowles, Assistant Engineer, in charge.
The Vizianagrum Sub-division.—Sergeant W. Lewis, Overseer, 1st grade, in charge.
The Vizagapatam Sub-division.—Mr. Russell, Overseer, 1st grade, in charge.

The above officers have each a drawing account. Mr. Knowles' head-quarters are four days' post distant from Vizagapatam.

3. The accuracy of the balance of the Executive Engineer's drawing account on the 31st January was proved. The applications for funds have sometimes exceeded requirements (Code paragraph 1580) as in the following instances :—

	Outlay in month.	Balance at treasury at close of the month.
	RS.	RS.
September 1886	8,942	11,699
October "	10,754	11,093
November "	8,798	10,702
December "	12,528	10,238

4. Sub-divisional cash accounts are rendered three times a month. In the Koraput Sub-division's cash account the entries are not full enough. Charges worded, for instance, "paid to X.Y. for work done by him as per his bill," make a reference to the bill necessary before posting the charge elsewhere. The name of the work effected and the nature of the service paid for should always be indicated in a cash-book entry. Remarks by the accountant like "examined and posted in the cash abstract book" should be written neatly in ink and not scrawled roughly in pencil. The cash account of the above (Koraput) Sub-division for the last period of February 18 7 was rendered without vouchers in support, the January's imprest account of Mr. Mergler, temporary overseer under Mr. Knowles, could not therefore be checked, though desirable as will be seen further on. In the cash account of the Vizianagrum Sub-division there are heavier payments to labor than in all the others added, the amount paid averaging per month about Rs. 2,000. The vouchers, checked for two months, were found fairly in order.

5. The divisional cash-book is well kept. The cash abstract book might be more carefully posted. The outlay under Original Provincial communications has been erroneously entered under Original Military works.

6. The cash in chest was counted and found to correspond with the balance of the Executive Engineer's cash-book.

7. The only imprest holder at the end of February was Mr. A. Mergler, temporary overseer, recouped by the Koraput Sub-divisional officer. This imprest was raised to Rs. 1,000 on the 9th November 1886. The account was examined for some months and the vouchers were not found generally satisfactory. The orders of Government are desirable as to the expediency of having payments to labor on nominal muster rolls attested by a witness, when made by any one lower in status than a Sub-divisional officer; also of having the mark of any laborer unable to sign his name similarly attested.

The paying officer would naturally prefer such attestation which is provided for in the case of workshop labor (form M. 23) as well as in the case of subordinate supervision (M. 4 A.) but is not provided for in the case of ordinary labor (form M. 4).

Mr. Mergler's nominal muster rolls have been signed by himself, but by no one else, except that in some cases the Sub-divisional officer has written upon them the order to pay a certain sum. This sum is, however, in some cases different from the sum actually paid. For instance, *labor on Potinghy-Koraput road, 11th to 20th September.* Imprest voucher No. 5 of September 1886. Order to pay Rs. 35. Amount paid Rs. 90-15-0. Labor from June 21st to 30th, 1886, paid in October 1886. Not passed by Sub-divisional officer. Labor 1st to 10th July 1886, Rs. 472-1-2, paid on 2nd September 1886. Not passed by Sub-divisional officer.

8. The work abstracts were found in a backward state owing to lateness of ten-day reports, those for January respecting the construction of the new Potinghy ghât road having been received in the Executive Engineer's office late on the 24th February. Some not received at all. Regarding the *police station-house at Koraput*, January's ten-day reports were received all together on the 3rd March. The Sub-divisional officer, in sending in Mr. Mergler's ten-day report for 1st to 10th February to the Executive Engineer, writes, "you will notice that the entries in part III are all false," but there were no means of checking it at the inspection. The balance of materials at site of above work was at the end of January 1887 Rs. 184 in value according to the accounts. This should be verified by actual count.

9. At site of the work—*completing road from Potinghy to Koraput*—there are stated by the accounts to be materials valued at Rs. 11,198. But there is evidently some discrepancy between Sub-divisional officers' returns and part IV of the work abstract; attempt was made to check back, but no reconciliation was found possible: orders should issue to take stock of the materials in order to adjust the account of them in the register of works, part IV, of each month's work abstract or a copy of it should be sent to the Sub-divisional officer for signature and return. But unless and until ten-day reports are punctually rendered there will be very little improvement in these materials at site accounts. The total materials at site of works in this division were stated at the end of January 1887 to be worth Rs. 18,768, spread over a dozen works.

10. The Collector on behalf of the Court of Wards paid into the treasury in August 1886 Rs. 135 for the Public Works Department to survey an intended water-supply for the Sripuram estate. The money is still available nothing having yet been done.

11. Establishment has been employed and paid without sanction to the extent of Rs. 212, and there is another item in the miscellaneous advances account since October 1886, Rs. 49-12-7, overpaid by the Executive Engineer to himself in anticipation of sanction to his having exceeded his joining time. From the same date Rs. 85-14-0 has been in the same account as irregularly paid to Sergeant Lewis, overseer. All disallowed expenditure should be immediately refunded (Code paragraph 542).

12. The Executive Engineer has not rendered the needful certificate in form M. 64 A. regarding his scrutiny of the accounts from August 1886 to January 1887. The register of works and work abstracts do not bear sufficient sign of having been examined by that officer as required by clause III of Code paragraph 311.

13. There were no measurement books ready for examination. Measurement sheets have not been cancelled as required by Standing Order 158 of 1885, but seem otherwise in order.

14. At present work vouchers rarely accompany the accounts; they are not likely to unless ten-day reports and consequently work abstracts are more punctually compiled. This has been so perseveringly put before the Executive Engineer in the monthly audit note that it should have had attention.

15. The tools and plant accounts are in order.

16. Other books and documents, such as the budget registers, the register of buildings, service books and the schedule of deposits, were examined and found in order.

17. Although the division is the most extensive in the Presidency there is very little work in progress compared with divisions of smaller area, and the accounts work is light. The accountant is well assisted.

(Signed) H. RAINIER,
Deputy Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

MADRAS,
22nd March 1887.

ORDER—dated 2nd May 1887, No. 1183 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for the information and guidance of the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam division, whose special attention will be directed to items (*a*), (*c*) and (*d*), paragraph 1 of the Examiner's note.

2. The Superintending Engineer will report on item (*b*). It seems desirable to adopt the suggestion of the Deputy Examiner in regard to the attestation being witnessed when payments are made by any one under a Sub-divisional officer. The remark quoted in paragraph 8 regarding false entries in ten-day reports should be explained by the Executive Engineer.

3. The attention of the Superintending Engineer is directed to paragraphs 10 and 11 of the notes, in view to early information being afforded on the matters therein brought to notice; and the remissness on the part of the Executive Engineer noted in paragraph 12 should be explained.

4. The report discloses an unsatisfactory state of affairs in the division which should be quickly remedied.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1887) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 2nd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 2nd May 1887, No. 1183 W.

MAY.

Inspection Notes

Orders on the — on the Vizagapatam Division office, submitted by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

---

2 May /87 No. 1183 [illegible]

1183/3

NO. 92

DATED 20th APRIL 1887.

FORWARDED TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT P.W. DEPARTMENT. ATTENTION IS INVITED TO THE FOLLOWING POINTS VIZ.-

(A) THE EXCESSIVE TREASURY BALANCE AT THE END OF EACH MONTH (PARA 3 OF REPORT).

(B) THE EXPEDIENCY OF A DISTINCT RULING REGARDING THE ATTESTATION OF LABOR PAYMENTS NOT MADE BY SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER (PARA 7).

(C) THE EXCESSIVE DELAY IN SUBMISSION OF TEN-DAY REPORTS, WHICH IS PROBABLY SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONFUSION REFERRED TO IN PARA 9, AS WELL AS THE IRREGULARITIES MENTIONED IN PARA 14.

(D) THE DISREGARD OF STANDING ORDER NO.158 OF 1885, (FORM NO.M 19 NOTE 1) REGARDING CANCELMENT OF MEASUREMENTS (PARA 18).

2. THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER'S REMARK REGARDING 'FALSE ENTRIES' QUOTED IN PARA 8, APPARENTLY REQUIRES EXPLANATION.

3. THE IRREGULAR PAYMENTS REFERRED TO IN PARA 11 ARE SPECIALLY BROUGHT TO NOTICE OF GOVERNMENT.

4. ATTENTION IS ALSO INVITED TO PARA 13.

MAJOR R.E.
EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS MADRAS.

Encl: One

[illegible]

V
1183/4

G.O. 685 W. 15. 3.86

P.W.C. No. 3054

FROM Major C. H. P. Christie R.E.
~~THE~~ EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS.

TO

THE SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
P.W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 9 W

DATED 20 APRIL 1887.

R 21. 4. 87

ENCLO. ONE.

INSPECTION OF THE OFFICE OF THE VIZAGAPATAM DIVISION BY MR. H. RAINIER, DY. EXAMINER - FORWARDS NOTES OF -

A. 26. 4. 87. R. 2. 5. 87

Enclosure No: 1 of letter No: 9 W dated 20-4-87.

Enclosure 8/3

NOTES OF INSPECTION BY H. RAINIER ESQ. DEPUTY EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS MADRAS, OF THE OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER VIZAGAPATAM DIVISION ON THE 6TH AND 7TH MARCH 1887.

1183/5

LIEUT. COL. FRASER R.E. TOOK CHARGE OF THE DIVISION FROM MR. S. D. PEARS, EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ON THE 1ST OCTOBER 1886; MR. PEARS HAVING BEEN IN CHARGE SINCE 6TH JULY 1886. THE ACCOUNTANT MR. RYAN TOOK CHARGE FROM MR. SMITHERS ON 9TH DECEMBER 1886. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER WAS UNAVOIDABLY ABSENT AT THE INSPECTION. THE OFFICE WAS LAST EXAMINED BY AN ACCOUNTS OFFICER ON THE 1ST MARCH 1886 (SEE PAPERS WITH G.O. NO. 685 W. DATED 15TH MARCH 1886).

No. 1 / P.W. 3054/87

2. THE SUB-DIVISIONS NOW ARE:-

THE KORAPUT SUB-DIVISION, MR. W. KNOWLES, ASSISTANT ENGINEER IN CHARGE.

THE VIZIANAGRAM SUB-DIVISION, SERGEANT W. LEWIS, OVERSEER 1ST GRADE IN CHARGE.

THE VIZAGAPATAM SUB-DIVISION, MR. RUSSELL, OVERSEER 1ST GRADE IN CHARGE.

THE ABOVE OFFICERS HAVE EACH A DRAWING ACCOUNT. MR. KNOWLES' HEAD QUARTERS ARE 4 DAYS POST DISTANT FROM VIZAGAPATAM.

3. THE ACCURACY OF THE BALANCE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S DRAWING ACCOUNT ON THE 31ST JANUARY WAS PROVED. THE APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDS HAVE SOMETIMES EXCEEDED REQUIREMENTS, (CODE PARA 1580) AS IN THE FOLLOWING INSTANCES:-

	OUTLAY IN MONTH.	BALANCE AT TREASURY AT CLOSE OF THE MONTH.
SEPTEMBER 1886......	RS. 8,942	RS. 11,699
OCTOBER 1886........	RS. 10,754	RS. 11,093
NOVEMBER 1886.......	RS. 8,798	RS. 10,702
DECEMBER 1886.......	RS. 12,528	RS. 10,238

4. SUB-DIVISIONAL CASH ACCOUNTS ARE RENDERED THREE

TIMES A MONTH. IN THE KORAPUT SUB-DIVISION'S CASH ACCOUNT THE ENTRIES ARE NOT FULL ENOUGH. CHARGES WORDED FOR INSTANCE 'PAID TO X,Y FOR WORK DONE BY HIM AS PER HIS BILL' MAKE A REFERENCE TO the BILL NECESSARY BEFORE POSTING THE CHARGE ELSEWHERE. THE NAME OF THE WORK AFFECTED AND THE NATURE OF THE SERVICE PAID FOR SHOULD ALWAYS BE INDICATED IN A CASH BOOK ENTRY. REMARKS BY THE ACCOUNTANT LIKE 'EXAMINED AND POSTED IN THE CASH ABSTRACT BOOK' SHOULD BE WRITTEN NEATLY IN INK AND NOT SCRAWLED ROUGHLY IN PENCIL. THE CASH ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE (KORAPUT) SUB-DIVISION FOR THE LAST PERIOD OF FEBRUARY 1887 WAS RENDERED WITHOUT VOUCHERS IN SUPPORT, THE JANUARY'S IMPREST ACCOUNT OF MR.MERGLER, TEMPORARY OVERSEER UNDER MR.KNOWLES COULD NOT THEREFORE BE CHECKED THOUGH DESIRABLE AS WILL BE SEEN FURTHER ON. IN THE CASH ACCOUNT OF THE VIZIANAGRAM SUB-DIVISION THERE ARE HEAVIER PAYMENTS TO LABOR THAN IN ALL THE OTHERS ADDED, THE AMOUNT PAID AVERAGING PER MONTH ABOUT RS.2,000. THE VOUCHERS, CHECKED FOR TWO MONTHS WERE FOUND FAIRLY IN ORDER.

5. THE DIVISIONAL CASH BOOK IS WELL KEPT. THE CASH ABSTRACT BOOK MIGHT BE MORE CAREFULLY POSTED. THE OUTLAY UNDER ORIGINAL PROVINCIAL COMMUNICATIONS HAS BEEN ERRONEOUSLY ENTERED UNDER ORIGINAL MILITARY WORKS.

6. THE CASH IN CHEST WAS COUNTED AND FOUND TO CORRESPOND WITH THE BALANCE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S CASH BOOK.

7. THE ONLY IMPREST HOLDER AT THE END OF FEBRUARY WAS MR.A.MERGLER, TEMPORARY OVERSEER, RECOUPED BY THE KORAPUT

118

+This is the max impress allowed. Code para 1574

SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER - THIS IMPREST WAS RAISED TO RS.1,000 + ON THE 9TH NOVEMBER 1886. THE ACCOUNT WAS EXAMINED FOR SOME MONTHS AND THE VOUCHERS WERE NOT FOUND GENERALLY SATISFACTORY. THE ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT ARE DESIRABLE AS TO THE EXPEDIENCY OF HAVING PAYMENTS TO LABOR ON NOMINAL MUSTER ROLLS ATTESTED BY A WITNESS WHEN MADE BY ANY ONE LOWER IN STATUS THAN A SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER, ALSO OF HAVING THE MARK OF ANY LABORER UNABLE TO SIGN HIS NAME SIMILARLY ATTESTED.

THE PAYING OFFICER WOULD NATURALLY PREFER SUCH ATTESTATION WHICH IS PROVIDED FOR IN THE CASE OF WORKSHOP LABOR (FORM M 25) AS WELL AS IN THE CASE OF SUBORDINATE SUPERVISION (M 4 A.) BUT IS NOT PROVIDED FOR IN THE CASE OF ORDINARY LABOR (FORM M 4).

MR.MERGLER'S NOMINAL MUSTER ROLLS HAVE BEEN SIGNED BY HIMSELF, BUT BY NO ONE ELSE, EXCEPT THAT IN SOME CASES THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER HAS WRITTEN UPON THEM THE ORDER TO PAY A CERTAIN SUM. THIS SUM IS HOWEVER IN SOME CASES DIFFERENT FROM THE SUM ACTUALLY PAID. FOR INSTANCE LABOR ON POTINGHY KORAPUT ROAD 11TH TO 20TH SEPTEMBER, IMPREST VOUCHER NO.5 OF SEPTEMBER 1886. ORDER TO PAY RS.35. AMOUNT PAID RS.90-15-0. LABOR FROM JUNE 21ST TO 30TH 1886 PAID IN OCTOBER 1886 - NOT PASSED BY SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER. LABOR 1ST TO 10TH JULY 1886, RS.472-1-2 PAID ON 2ND SEPTEMBER 1886 - NOT PASSED BY SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER.

8. THE WORK ABSTRACTS WERE FOUND IN A BACKWARD STATE OWING TO LATENESS OF TEN-DAY REPORTS. THOSE FOR JANUARY RESPECTING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW POTINGHY GHAT ROAD HAVING BEEN RECEIVED IN THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE LATE

ON

ON THE 24TH FEBRUARY - SOME NOT RECEIVED AT ALL. REGARDING THE POLICE STATION HOUSE AT KORAPUT, JANUARY'S TEN-DAY REPORTS WERE RECEIVED ALL TOGETHER ON THE 3RD MARCH. THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER IN SENDING IN MR.MERGLER'S TEN-DAY REPORT FOR 1ST TO 10TH FEBRUARY TO THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER WRITES 'YOU WILL NOTICE THAT THE ENTRIES IN PART III ARE ALL FALSE' BUT THERE WERE NO MEANS OF CHECKING IT AT THE INSPECTION. THE BALANCE OF MATERIALS AT SITE OF ABOVE WORK WAS AT THE END OF JANUARY 1887 RS.184 IN VALUE ACCORDING TO THE ACCOUNTS. THIS SHOULD BE VERIFIED BY ACTUAL COUNT.

9. AT SITE OF THE WORK COMPLETING ROAD FROM POTINGHY TO KORAPUT THERE ARE STATED BY THE ACCOUNTS TO BE MATERIALS, VALUED AT RS.11,198. BUT THERE IS EVIDENTLY SOME DISCREPANCY BETWEEN SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICERS RETURNS AND PART IV OF THE WORK ABSTRACT. ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO CHECK BACK BUT NO RECONCILIATION WAS FOUND POSSIBLE, ORDERS SHOULD ISSUE TO TAKE STOCK OF THE MATERIALS IN ORDER TO ADJUST THE ACCOUNT OF THEM IN THE REGISTER OF WORKS- PART IV OF EACH MONTH'S WORK ABSTRACT OR A COPY OF IT SHOULD BE SENT TO THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER FOR SIGNATURE AND RETURN. BUT UNLESS AND UNTIL TEN-DAY REPORTS ARE PUNCTUALLY RENDERED THERE WILL BE VERY LITTLE IMPROVEMENT IN THESE materials AT SITE ACCOUNTS. THE TOTAL MATERIALS AT SITE OF WORKS IN THIS DIVISION WERE STATED AT THE END OF JANUARY 1887 TO BE WORTH RS.18,768 SPREAD OVER A DOZEN WORKS.

10. THE COLLECTOR ON BEHALF OF THE COURT OF WARDS, PAID INTO THE TREASURY IN AUGUST 1886 RS.135 FOR THE P.W.D. TO SUP BY AN INTENDED WATER SUPPLY FOR THE SIRIPURAM ESTATE.

THE MONEY IS STILL AVAILABLE NOTHING HAVING YET BEEN DONE.

11. ESTABLISHMENT HAS BEEN EMPLOYED AND PAID WITHOUT SANCTION TO THE EXTENT OF RS.212, AND THERE IS ANOTHER ITEM IN THE MISCELLANEOUS ADVANCES ACCOUNT SINCE OCTOBER 1886, RS.49-12-7 OVERPAID BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER TO HIMSELF IN ANTICIPATION OF SANCTION TO HIS HAVING EXCEEDED HIS JOINING TIME. FROM THE SAME DATE RS.85-14-0 HAS BEEN IN THE SAME ACCOUNT AS IRREGULARLY PAID TO SERGEANT LEWIS, OVERSEER. ALL DISALLOWED EXPENDITURE SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY REFUNDED (CODE PARA 542).

12. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HAS NOT RENDERED THE NEEDFUL CERTIFICATE IN FORM M 64 A. REGARDING HIS SCRUTINY OF THE ACCOUNTS FROM AUGUST 1886 TO JANUARY 1887. THE REGISTER OF WORKS AND WORK ABSTRACTS DO NOT BEAR SUFFICIENT SIGN OF HAVING BEEN EXAMINED BY THAT OFFICER AS REQUIRED BY CLAUSE III OF CODE PARA 511.

13. THERE ~~WAS~~ were NO MEASUREMENT BOOKS READY FOR EXAMINATION. MEASUREMENT SHEETS HAVE NOT BEEN CANCELLED AS REQUIRED BY STANDING ORDER 158 OF 1885, BUT SEEM OTHERWISE IN ORDER.

14. AT PRESENT WORK VOUCHERS RARELY ACCOMPANY THE ACCOUNTS, THEY ARE NOT LIKELY TO UNLESS TEN-DAY REPORTS AND CONSEQUENTLY WORK ABSTRACTS ARE MORE PUNCTUALLY COMPILED. THIS HAS BEEN SO PERSEVERINGLY PUT BEFORE THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IN THE MONTHLY AUDIT NOTE THAT IT SHOULD HAVE HAD ATTENTION.

15. THE TOOLS AND PLANT ACCOUNTS ARE IN ORDER.

16. OTHER BOOKS AND DOCUMENTS SUCH AS THE BUDGET REGISTERS, THE REGISTER OF BUILDINGS, SERVICE BOOKS AND THE SCHEDULE OF DEPOSITS WERE EXAMINED AND FOUND IN ORDER.

17. ALTHOUGH THE DIVISION IS THE MOST EXTENSIVE IN THE PRESIDENCY THERE IS VERY LITTLE WORK IN PROGRESS COMPARED WITH DIVISIONS OF SMALLER AREA, AND THE ACCOUNTS WORK IS LIGHT. THE ACCOUNTANT IS WELL ASSISTED.

MADRAS, }
22ND MARCH 1887. }

H Rainier
DY. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS.

E.P. 20/4.

No. 1183 W

Genl Acct

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1183/8

A

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 2nd May 1887.

READ the following

etter from the Examiner Public Works Accounts } H. Ex. No 9 W d/ 20th April 1887

Print with encls

6

Communicated to the Suptg. Engineer, I circle, for the information and guidance of the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division, whose special attention will be directed to items (a), (c) and (d), para 1 of the Examiner's note.

2. The Suptg. Engineer will report on item (b). It seems desirable to adopt the suggestion of

of the Deputy Examiner in regard to the attestation being witnessed when payments are made by any one under a Sub divisional officer. The remark quoted in para 8 regarding false entries in ten day reports should be explained by the Executive Engineer.

3. The attention of the Suptg. Engineer is directed to paras 10 and 11 of the notes in view to early information being afforded on the matters therein brought to notice; and the remissness on the part of the Executive Engineer noted in para 12 should be explained.

4. The

4. The report discloses an unsatisfactory state of affairs in the Division which should be quickly remedied.

1183
9

26.4.87

28/4/87

HB.
26.4.87.

To.
The Suptg. Engr I circle
" Examiner P.W. Accts.

20/5/87

1183/10

12.N
M.28.4.87
C.26.4.87 R.2.5.87

3054

Govt. of (1887) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 4/5/87 Recd from

Recd. (10) Encl.

G.O., 2nd May 1887, No. 1183 x4

Inspection notes

Orders on the —

in the Vizagapatam

Division office,

submitted by the

Executive Engr

Ad 4/5

Or 4/5

45/3