I do not think the Accountant was justified in sending off the accounts without the permission of the Executive Engineer and he certainly was not justified in sending the explanation of delay direct to C. The tone of the explanation is objectionable in as much as it attributes the delay to the bad office arrangement made by the Executive Engineer.

The other letter from the accountant of which the Executive Engineer complains is I think justifiable.

Altogether I think that though the accountant is to blame, he has not committed any serious fault and the Ex. Engineer appears to be somewhat hard on him

I would recommend that

that the Accountant
be transferred to Kurnool
or some district not
popular with natives,
as a transfer to any
favorite district is probably
exactly what he wants.

21/7/86

1

(2)

Note by D.W.

W 18 W

W

C. 22.7.86

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 57 W

From

THE EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 17th July 1886.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for information of Government, and for any action that may be considered requisite, letter No. 238 G. N. dated 7th. July 1886 from the Superintending Engineer Ist. Circle, in original together with the several documents which accompanied it.

2. The powers of Superintending Engineers and Executive Engineers to punish are laid down by Code rules. They have no power to fine Accountants, nor is any such power necessary, since the deferment of annual increments inflicts a far more severe and costly punishment upon an Accountant, than that of a fine inflicted possibly under some hasty impulse.

and Code [illegible]

3. The Accountant must of course be removed from the Ist. Circle since the Superintending Engineer so presses for this, but the Executive Engineer has hitherto been very decided in his commendation of the man in respect of his work - I shall arrange for a transfer as soon as circumstances permit, for at present I am very short of men in my Office.

8

4. On the papers sent by the Superintending Engineer I need not offer much comment. There is evidence I think that the late enquiry regarding administration in the Ganjam Division has had considerable influence on the Executive Engineer's action in this case, and that the motives imputed to the Accountant have been judged of more from the manner than from the matter of his writing, little allowance being made for style of composition and previous experience and education.

5. In his No. 720 of 27th June, the Executive Engineer admits he "did not remember" certain points, while the Accountant, as I take it, writes of facts which are not disputed and asks for precautions to prevent future misconceptions.

6. In his No. 722 of 28th June, the Executive Engineer states that he would not have forwarded to me the explanation which was sent by the Accountant - but I think he would have rather been justified in sending it, with remarks in refutation of any incorrect statements and recommendations as to the notice which he proposed should be taken of the Accountant's act.

7. Mr. Hannan has had two charges (Ganjam not counted) since his return from furlough in December 1883 and has had no less than 5 Accountants under his orders.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. C. Conway

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

Ex 17/7 Enid A 17/7

G. O. 759 W. 22.3.86
B. No 2650 W. 7.11.83.
B. No 2018 W A/ 12 August/86

B.

The Govt consider that the accountant was not justified in despatching the monthly accounts without the Ex. Engr's ~~explanation~~ permission & that he committed a breach of rule in sending the explanation of delay direct to the Examiner. The tone of the explanation moreover is objectionable. The other note from the accountant dated 21st June, of which the Ex. Engr complains, appears to have been justifiable in the circumstances, & its tone appears to have been more a fault of style than of intention.

2 The accountant should be transferred to a division out of the I Circle

9/8/86

7-8-86

13/8

Exr P.W.A with 6 enclos

S.E. I Circle, with refce to letter to Ex. Er. No. 239 G.R. d/17-7-86

G. 22-7-86

13/8

I.W.C. N

C2

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government

P. W. Department.

No. 57W

B. No 2018 W A/ 12 August/86

Dated 17th July 1886.

Recd. 19. 7. 86

Drt.

Forwarders

Enclos. 6

Forwards certain correspondence from the Supg. Engineer, Ist. Circle ^regarding^ certain complaints against Ayodyaramyya, Accountant of the Rushikulya Division, for necessary action.

[C. No. 2.]

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 68 W

From

THE EXAMINER,

PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

Madras, 12th August 1886.

Copy of the following forwarded to the Secretary to Government, P. W. Department, in continuation of this Office letter No. 57 W. dated 17th. July 1886. The Executive Engineer has strongly commended the Accountant's work.

2. The present unsatisfactory state of affairs in the Rushikulya Division is, amongst other things, due to changes in the Divisional Office and to the Office being short handed. It is to be regretted that the Executive Engineer did not apply earlier for the extra Establishment sanctioned in G. O. No. 1617 W. dated 25th. June 1886.

D. C. Cardew

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

Copy of letter from the Examiner P. W. Accounts, Madras, to the Executive Engineer, Rushikulya Division, thro' the Supg. Engineer, Ist. Circle, No. 151/S.E.I. dated 12th August 1886.

With reference to your letter No. 813 dated 9th. July 1886 reporting that Accountant Ayodharamayya completed his probationary service on 8th. May 1886 and that he is entitled to be confirmed and allowed to draw salary at Rs. 50 per mensem from the 9th. May 1886, I have the honor to forward a list of the vouchers etc. due in connection with Accounts from the Rushikulya Division since February 1886, and shall feel obliged by your favoring me with explanations of the delay in their submission. The monthly Accounts, moreover, are invariably received after due date and those for the month of June, due in this Office on 20th. July, have only just come to hand.

2. Unless the above delays are explained as due to causes for which the Accountant is not to blame, I regret, I must defer granting him the higher rate of pay.

3. Arrangements are being made to send you another Accountant- you will retain Ayodharamayya till all arrears are wiped off.

(True Copy)

G. E. Natter

Suptt. Correspondence Branch.

Ab 12/8.

B. No 21
2
A
B

B. No 2018 W. 12. 8. 86
G. O. 1617 W. 25. 6. 86
" 759 W. 22. 3. 86
B. No 2650 W 7. 11. 83

B. No. 2100 W d/ 20. 8. 86

Recorded, in
Recorded in
contn of G.O.
contn of G.O
No. 2018 W d/ 12. 8. 86.
No: 2018 W.

8. 12/8/86

P.W.C. No

(1)

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government

P. W. Department.

CW
13. 8. 86

No. 68 W

B. No. 2100 W d/ 20. 8. 86

Dated 12th August 1886.

Recd. 13. 8. 86

18. 8. 86

Communicating copy of his letter to the Ex. Engineer, Rushikulya Division, on the subject of deferring the higher rate of pay to Ayodharamayya, Accountant, and stating that the present unsatisfactory state of the Office is due to changes in the Divisional Office etc.

7/8.

16-8-86 20. 8. 86

Entered with

B. No. 2100 W d/ 20-8-86
2018 W - 12-8-86
1617 W - 25-6-86
759 W - 22-3-86
2650 W - 7-11-85

Endorsement No. 281 S.E. 1st
dated 11th September 1886.

Forwarded to Government for such action as may be deemed necessary.

2. The Executive Engineer's action in matters affecting the Accountant is inexplicable. Upon the Executive Engineer's strong representations of the Accountant's alleged unfavorable criticisms upon arrangements made by the Executive Engineer and the Superintending Engineer for the better despatch of business, his insubordination and disrespectful conduct, the Superintending Engineer considered the Accountant's removal "an absolute necessity if matters are to go on there with any smoothness and I must press for his removal not only from the Division but from the Circle".

At considerable inconvenience sundry transfers were arranged by me and ordered by Government to be carried out. It is impossible that I can arrange for the placing of Accountants,

B. No 2018 W. 12. 8. 86
G. O. 1617 W. 25. 6. 86
" 759 W. 22. 3. 86
B. No 2650 W 7. 11. 83
B. No 2100 W d/ 20. 8. 86

Recorded in
Recorded in
contn. of G. O.
contn of G. O
No. 2018 W d/ 12. 8. 86.
No: 2018 W.
8 12/8/86

CB
13. 8. 86

Cu
17/8

US
18. 8. 86

Ch
16. 8. 86
7/8
20. 8. 86
20/8

188

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 7131

From The Cur. P. W. Acct.

ENCLOS.—

No. 281 S.E. I

Dated 11 Septr/86.

Received 13 — "

SUBJECT.

A. Ayodhyaramayya accountant — Fwdg with remarks copy of letter fm Mr. Hannan Ex. Engr Rustich. Divn. to the S.E. I Circle recommending the removal of — in the Divi

DISPOSAL.

No. 3126 Dated 15th Septr 1

To C.

Please send for reference the enclosure returned to you with G.O. No. 2018 W d/ 12/8

(Intld) C. A. S.
4 Dn

Despd 15. 9. 86

D
15/9

Endorsement No. 281 S.E. 1st
dated 11th September 1886.

Forwarded to Government for such action as may be deemed necessary.

2. The Executive Engineer's action in matters affecting the Accountant is inexplicable. Upon the Executive Engineer's strong representations of the Accountant's alleged unfavorable criticisms upon arrangements made by the Executive Engineer and the Superintending Engineer for the better despatch of business, his insubordination and disrespectful conduct, the Superintending Engineer considered the Accountant's removal "an absolute necessity if matters are to go on there with any smoothness and I must press for his removal not only from the Division but from the Circle".

At considerable inconvenience sundry transfers were arranged by me and ordered by Government to be carried out. It is impossible that I can arrange for the placing of Accountants,

if besides being suddenly called upon to remove a man, the arrangements made are to be upset as suddenly because as the Accountant states, and the Executive Engineer evidently admits, the treatment the latter has of late been giving to the former looks fair for future harmony.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras

Cu
13/9

R. No 391 $\frac{\text{aw}}{11}$/9/86
18-9-86

Enns: 3
Encl-3

No 3126 C d/ 15th Septr 1886.
To
C

Please send for reference the enclosures returned to you with G.O. No 2018 W d/ 12th August 1886.

of
to
W

No 433
17-9-86
Enclo-9

A.D.W.
A.D.W.

Herewith

DC

C 18/9/86

P.W.C.

ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS.

Recd: ~~13-9-86~~
18.9.86

Enclos: 9/3

Forwarders whether in the circumstances now reported, the Esr may be instructed to cancel the accountant's transfer. The E.E.'s action in the matter is wholly inexplicable.

There is a paper at present under disposal in which S.E. I C asks for extra Estt for Rushikulya Divn as the accounts &ca are getting into arrears. If a new accountant is sent to the Division it may probably tend to make matters worse. Mr Hannan has been found difficult to please in the matter of accountants and if this man is taken away in opposition to his present wishes on the subject - he

---

2487 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

E MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

24 Septr/86

READ the following

No: 281. S.E. 1st. d/. 11.9.86.
" 3126. C. d/. 15.9.86. 6

Para 2 of G.O.

No: 2018 dated 12th August 1886, which directed that accountant Ayodhya Ramayya should be transferred to a Division out of the I Circle, should be given effect to.

2. It is assumed that the Executive Engineer, Rushikulya Division, had not seen the G.O. quoted in para 1

20.8.86

if besides being suddenly called upon to remove a man, the arrangements made are to be upset as suddenly because as the Accountant states, and the Executive Engineer evidently admits, the treatment the latter has of late been giving to the former looks fair for future harmony.

D.C. Gordon

Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras

Cir
13/9

R No 391 / 18-9-86. ans 11/9/86

Encs: 3 Encl-3

No 3126C d/ 15th Septr 1886.

To C.

Please send for reference the enclosures returned to you with G.O. No 2018 W d/ 12th August 1886.

CH.
A.D.W.

To W. No 433 / 17-9-86

Enclos of A.D.W.
Herewith

DC

C 18/9/86

---

will probably b
dissatisfied with his successor.

In para 2 of G.O. No: 2018. W. d/ 12 aug /86 it was decided to move the accountant out of the I Circle as a punishment for his conduct reported by the E.E. and C accordingly arranged to send him to Kistna.

For orders.

HB
20.9.86.

---

r Hannen's Conduct in this matter is quite unaccountable. In his No 720 dated 25th June/86 Mr Hannen reported his Accountant for writing to him in an insubordinate tone, stating that it was not the first time that he had done so, and that Ex. Lansley had also complained of the same thing. Again in his letter 722 of 28th June /86 he reported very strongly against the Accountant. In forwarding these letters to the Govt S.E. I stated that it was quite evident that the removal of the man from the Rushikulya Divn was an absolute necessity

---

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2487 W

E MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

24 Septr/86

READ the following

No: 281. S.E. 1st. d/. 11.9.86.
" 3126. C. d/. 15.9.86.

Para 2 of G.O. No: 2018 dated 12th August 1886, which directed that accountant Ayodhya Ramayya should be transferred to a Division out of the I Circle, should be given effect to.

2. It is assumed that the Executive Engineer, Rushikulya Division, had not seen the G.O. quoted in para 1

if besides being suddenly called upon to remove a man, the arrangements made are to be upset as suddenly because as the Accountant states, and the Executive Engineer evidently admits, the treatment the latter has of late been giving to the former looks fair for future harmony.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras

Cn 13/9

R. No 391 / 16-9-86. an 11/9/86

Encls: 3 Encl-3

No 3126C d/ 15th Septr 1886.

To C

Please send for reference the enclosures returned to you with G.O. No 2018 W d/ 12th August 1886.

No 433 / 17-9-86

To W

A.D.W.

Enclo of A.D.W. Herewith

C 18/9/86

---

that he [illegible]
[illegible] for his removal
not only from the Division
but from the Circle.
C forwarded the correspondence
to Govt & in G.O. No 2018 W
of 12th Augt 186 it was or-
dered that the Accountant
be transferred out of the
I Circle. C accordingly
arranged a series of
transfers.
Mr Hannen now writes
to say that the [illegible] for
reasons with which he
is quite unacquainted
has transferred his Accountant
& that he strongly deprecates
the transfer.
C now hands this up
to Govt & is naturally
much annoyed about the
matter.
In my opinion the transfer
should take effect, [illegible]

2487 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

E MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

24 Septr/86

READ the following

o: 281. S.E. 1st. d/. 11.9.86.
„ 3126. C. d/. 15.9.86.

Para 2 of G.O.

o: 2018 dated 12th ugust 1886, which irected that accountant Ayodhya Ramayya should be ransferred to a ivision out of the I Circle, should be given effect to.

2. It is assumed that the Executive Engineer, Rushi-kulya Division, had not seen the G.O. quoted in para 1

if besides being suddenly called upon to remove a man, the arrangements made are to be upset as suddenly because as the Accountant states, and the Executive Engineer evidently admits, the treatment the latter has of late been giving to the former looks fair for future harmony.

D. C. Cowdon

Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras

Cu
13/9

R. No 391 / 16-9-86. aw 11/9/86
Encl: 3
Encl. 3

No 3126c d/ 15th Septr 1886.

To C

Please send for reference the enclosures returned to you with G.O. No 2018 W d/ 12th August 1886.

To W

No 433 / 17-9-86 O.S. A.D.W.
Enclo. of A.D.W.
Herewith

DC

C 18/9/86

O.S. ... 16-8-1886 R. 20.8.1886

---

the will of Causing
delay in the Div
Account, an officer
cannot be allowed to
bring a reckless report
against a subordinate
& then when Govt order
him to be punished,
evade & repent that the
punishment be cancelled.

20/9/86

To W

For orders.

---

487 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

24 Septr/86

READ the following

o: 281. S.E. 1st. d/. 11.9.86.
„ 3126. C. d/. 15.9.86. 6

Para 2 of G.O.
o: 2018 dated 12th
ugust 1886, which
irected that account
ant Ayodhya Ram-
ayya should be
ransferred to a
ivision out of the
I Circle, should
be given effect to.

2. It is assumed that the Executive Engineer, Rushi-
-Kulya Division, had not seen the G.O. quoted in para 1

It is only necessary to say that the order already passed regarding the transfer of the Accountant which the Exec: Engr. could not have seen when he wrote his last letter should be given effect to.

The correspondence should be précised & not printed in extenso

Jole
22/9/86.

SAW

No 2487 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

B

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

24 Septr/86

READ the following

From the Excr. P. W. A. No: 281. S.E. 1st. d/ 11.9.86.
To ——— do ——— " 3126. C. d/ 15.9.86. 6

Para 2 of G.O. No: 2018 dated 12th August 1886, which directed that accountant Ayodhya Ramayya should be transferred to a Division out of the I Circle, should be given effect to.

2. It is assumed that the Executive Engineer, Rushikulya Division, had not seen the G.O. quoted in para 1

1 when he wrote
his no: 1372 dated
29th August 1886 to
the Superintending
Engineer.

23/9/86

23/9/86

2100 W 20.8.86.
2018 W 12.8.86.
1617 W 25.6.86.
759 W 22.3.86.
2650 W 7.11.85.

29/9

0. 16-8-1886/ 20.8.1886

Accountant Ayodhi
Ramayya.

B. No. 2487 W d/ 24-9-86.

24/9

Supg Engr I. Circle
Exr. P. W. Accounts
with 9 Enclos Retd.

---

had sufficient assi-
-ance, and after tha
an extra clerk was sa
tioned for the Divisi
ffice in G.O. No. 1617 W. d
25th June 1886. It is
understood that a
new accountant has
recently been posted
to the division in the
place of Ayodhiramayya
transferred.

(Sd) J.O. Hasted Col. R.E.
Chief Engr. P. W. D.

To
The Suptg: Engr: I Circle.

Despd 18-11-86.

No. 721 W d/ 23-3-85
No. 2650 W d/ 7-11-85
" 2018 W d/ 12-8-86
" 2100 W " 20-8-86
" 3126 C " 15-9-86
No. 2487 W " 24-9-86
No. 1560 W " 21-6-86
" 4009 C " 15-11-86
No. 1896 W " 27-7-86
" 3841 C " 2-11-86
" 1617 W " 25-6-86
759 W d/ 22-3-86

O. 734 G

DING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

INEER
P. W. Department.
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

VERAM, 1st December 1886
Despd 5th

honor to bring to your
formation of Kimedi
the Ganjam Division
in G.O. No. 2948 W of the
85; but in G.O. No. 411 W
-ary /86 only Chicacole
was sanctioned for the
Division. The Examiner
d the Sub Divisional al-
med on behalf of Mr.
Sub Engineer who has
ge of the Kimedi Sub
I therefore request you
ood as to obtain the early
of Government to Kimedi

1 when he wrote
his No: 1372 date
29th August 1886
the Superintende
Engineer.

23/9/86

22/9/86

2100 W 20.8.86.
2018 W 12.8.86.
1617 W 25.6.86.
759 W 22.3.86.
2650 W 7.11.85.

29/1

O. 16-8-1886 / Rd. 20.8.86

188

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 8906

From
The Examiner
P.W. Accts.

ENCLOS.—

No. 131W.
Dated 13th Novr. 1886
Received 15 — do —

SUBJECT.

Bringing to notice the delay in the submissio of the Rushikulya Divis Accounts for July, Augu and September 1886.

DISPOSAL.

Recd. sent 28/1/87

No. 4073 Dated 18th Novr. 1886

Referred to the Suptg Engineer I circle for enqu and report. In the note of inspection recorded a G.O. No. 759W. dated 22 March 1886 it was sta

---

No. 734 Gd

FROM
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
P.W. Department
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

DOWLAISHWERAM, 1st December 1886
Desp'd 5th

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the formation of Kimedi Sub Division in the Ganjam Division was sanctioned in G.O. No. 2948W of the 9th December 1885; but in G.O. No. 411 W of the 20th February /86 only Chicacole Sub Division was sanctioned for the new Ganjam Division. The Examiner has disallowed the Sub Divisional allowance claimed on behalf of Mr. H.W. Taylor Sub Engineer who has been incharge of the Kimedi Sub Division, I therefore request you will be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government to Kimedi

1 when he wrote
his No: 1372 date
29th August 1886
the Superintende
Engineer.

23/9/86

Joh 23/9/86

2100 W 20.8.86.
2018 W 12.8.86.
1617 W 25.6.86.
759 W 22.3.86.
2650 W 7.11.85.

29/1

8/10

9.16-8-1886 / 20.8.86

188

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 8906

From
The Examiner
P.W. Accts.

ENCLOS.—

No. 131 W.
Dated 13th Novr 1886
Received 15 — do —

SUBJECT.

Bringing to notice the delay in the submissio of the Rushikulya Divis Accounts for July, Augu and September 1886.

DISPOSAL.

Answd. recd. 28/1/87

No. 4073 Dated 18th Novr 1886

Referred to the Suptg Engineer I circle for enquir and report. In the note of inspection recorded a G.O. No. 759 W. dated 22nd March 1886 it was sta

---

No. 734 G

FROM
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
P. W. Department
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

DOWLAISHWERAM, 1st December 1886
Desp d 5th

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the formation of Kemedi Sub Division in the Ganjam Division was sanctioned in G.O. No. 2948 W of the 9th December 1885; but in G.O. No. 411 W of the 20th February 186 only Chicacole Sub Division was sanctioned for the new Ganjam Division. The Examiner has disallowed the Sub Divisional allowance claimed on behalf of Mr. H. W. Taylor Sub Engineer who has been incharge of the Kimedi Sub Division, I therefore request you will be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government to Kemidi being treated as a separate Sub Dvn of the New Ganjam Division and to Mr Taylor drawing Sub Divisional allowance from the date he was put in charge of the Sub Division.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

J. F. Sweenee
Asst Engr

For Suptg: Engr 1st Circle

JK

No. 4332 C of 6-12-86
- 4231 C - 30-11-86
- 2273 - 8-3-86
- 411 W - 20-2-86
- 2948 W - 9-12-85
- 1168 W - 8-5-84
- 302 W - 26-1-83

This paper goes into the Record with the files on
22/12

No. 4487 C of 16-12-86

Memo

This matter should be reconsidered by the Supg Engr with reference to Memo No. 4332 C dated 6th Decr 1886. The reduction in the grant for estabt. for 1887-88 renders it imperative to restrict the number of sub divisions as far as possible consistent with efficiency.

14.12.86

16/12

16.12

15/12/86

S.E. I Circle

16-12-86

P.W.C. No. 9732

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER ~~FOR IRRIGATION~~. P.W. Deptt

DATED 1st
DESPATCHED } December 1886
RECEIVED 13

13 DEC 86
P.W. DEPART

No. 734 G.E.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 4487 C of 16-12-86

Taylor. Mr. H. L. Sub Engineer in charge of Ki:midi Sub Division – Requesting to obtain early Sanction of Govt. for the payment of Sub Division allowance

---

21st September 1886, No. 2393 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

From G. T. WALCH, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 23rd August 1886, No. 423 G.N.

I have the honor to submit, for sanction, the accompanying proposition statement for the revision of office establishment in the Rushikulya division.

2. An extract from the division officer's letter No. 769, dated the 2nd July 1886, explaining the necessity for the increase of the establishment entered in the statement, is enclosed.

3. The statement includes an increase of office establishment for a new sub-division—a third one. This sub-division has not yet been sanctioned by Government, but now I recommend for sanction with reference to G.O., No. 1617 W., dated the 25th June 1886, the formation of a new sub-division, to be called Suradá sub-division, on account of several important Irrigation works* connected with the project being carried out at or near that place. An Assistant Engineer, S. Venkataramaniah Pantulu, has been posted to the place.

4. In case you are not disposed to sanction a new sub-division, I trust you will be good enough to sanction for the Assistant Engineer a clerk on Rs. 20 and a lascar on Rs. 6 per mensem.

* Suradá reservoir dam.
Do. supply channel.
Head-works at Janamilli, &c.

Enclosure.

Proposition Statement for Revision of the Temporary Office Establishment of the Rushikulya Division for 1886-87.

Order sanctioning the Present Establishment. Government of Madras, Public Works Dept.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Change.												Proposition.						Remarks.
			Present Scale.						Proposed Scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.				
Number.	Date.		Number.	Designation.	Pay.				Number.	Designation.	Pay.				Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase.		Decrease.		
					Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or Fixed.			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or Fixed.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
				Division Office Establishment.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		*Division Office Establishment.*	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	MHS.	RS.	MHS.	RS.	
G.O. No. 1617 W.	25th June 1886.	Rushikulya Division.	1	Clerk	..	..	..	60	1	Clerk	..	..	..	60	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Do.	..	..	..	40	1	Do.	..	..	..	40	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Do.	..	..	..	30	1	Do.	..	..	..	30	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Do.	..	..	..	20	1	Do.	..	..	..	20	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Do.	..	..	..	20	1	Do.	..	..	..	20	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Draughtsman ..	..	..	..	80	1	Draughtsman ..	..	..	..	80	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Do.	..	..	..	50	1	Do.	..	..	..	50	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Storekeeper	..	..	..	25	1	Storekeeper	..	..	..	25	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Messenger	..	..	..	7	1	Messenger	..	..	..	7	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			2	Messengers, each at Rs. 6	..	..	..	12	2	Messengers, each at Rs. 6	..	..	..	12	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			3	Lascars ,, at ,, 6	..	..	..	18	3	Lascars ,, at ,, 6	..	..	..	18	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			14		..	..	..	362	14		..	..	..	362	..	..	..	..	..	..	
				Sub-Division Office Establishment.						*Sub-Division Office Establishment.*											
			2	Clerks, each at Rs. 20	..	..	..	40	3	Clerks, each at Rs. 20	..	..	..	60	..	..	7	20	..	..	See Superintending Engineer's letter with which this statement is sent.
			2	Messengers ,, at ,, 6	..	..	..	12	2	Messengers ,, at ,, 6	..	..	..	12	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			6	Lascars ,, at ,, 6	..	..	..	36	7	Lascars ,, at ,, 6	..	..	..	42	..	..	7	6	..	..	
			10		..	..	..	88	12		..	..	..	114	..	..	..	26	..	..	
				Upper Subordinate Office Establishment.						*Upper Subordinate Office Establishment.*											
			5	Clerks, each at Rs. 15 ..	..	..	..	75	5	Clerks, each at Rs. 15 ..	..	..	..	75	..	..	..	..	..	..	This establishment was sanctioned for estate works.
			5	Lascars ,, at ,, 6 ..	..	..	..	30	5	Lascars ,, at ,, 6 ..	..	..	..	30	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Clerk	..	..	..	20	1	Clerk	..	..	..	20	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Lascar	..	..	..	7	1	Lascar	..	..	..	7	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			2	Lascars, each at Rs. 6..	..	..	..	12	2	Lascars, each at Rs. 6..	..	..	..	12	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			14		..	..	..	144	14		..	..	..	144	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			38		..	..	..	594	40		..	..	..	620	..	..	..	26	..	..	

Dowlaishweram,
August 1886.

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

Office note from Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 9th September 1886, No. 410.

Order—dated 21st September 1886, No. 2393 W., Public Works.

The Executive Engineer brings to notice that the work in the Rushikulya division has become so heavy that the accounts, &c., are getting into arrears; but as the statements made by him and by the Superintending Engineer are in general terms only, the Government are not in a position to determine whether the circumstances of the Rushikulya division are so peculiar as to require such a large extra establishment as that now applied for.

2. In existing financial circumstances, the Government are not prepared to sanction more than one extra clerk on Rs. 20 and a lascar on Rs. 6 per mensem as proposed in the latter part of the Superintending Engineer's letter. The statement submitted by the Superintending Engineer has been revised accordingly and sanction is granted to the reduced amount.

3. Should it be found hereafter, that, with the additional establishment now sanctioned, it is impossible to keep the work of the division current, a detailed report on the subject should be submitted accompanied by proposals for the necessary remedial measures.

4. The sanction accorded in paragraph 2 has been registered as Provincial, No. 41 W. of 1886-87, and classified as Establishment, Temporary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 21st.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 21st Sept. 1886, No. 2393 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Temporary Establishment

Sanctioning, with remarks, an additional — of a clerk and a lascar for the Rushikulya division.

Public Works Department.

No. 91 C dated 12th January 1887.

MEMORANDUM.

The reduced grant for Public Works establishment sanctioned for 1887 - 88 having made it impracticable to make any provision in the preliminary issue of the Provincial budget for that year for temporary establishment, Superintending Enginsers are requested to give notice to all temporary officers, subordinates and other temporary employes in their respective circles that their services will be dispensed with at the end of February 1887. Care should be taken that each individual receives a full month's warning.

2. Upper subordinates of the permanent establishment holding temporary engineerships will revert to their permanent positions on 1st March 1887.

3. Further specific orders dispensing with the services of temporary employes will issue hereafter, but Superintending Engineers will consider at once what arrangements can be made to redistribute the permanent establishment, under all heads, in their respective circles, so as to provide in the most efficient manner possible for the conduct of work. Superintending Engineers should be prepared to submit their reports on this subject with the least possible delay when called

called upon to do so.

Signed J. O. Hasted Colonel R.E.

Chief Engineer P. W. D.

To

The Suptg. Engineers.
Suptg. Engineer T. M. Scheme.
Consg. Archt. to Govt.
Examiner P. W. Accts, for guidance, with respect to temporary employes in his office.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation for information.

No 2188

Camp Berhampore
Dated 25 November 1886

From
The Executive Engineer
Rushikulya Division

To
The Suptg. Engineer
First Circle.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of G.O. No 2393W dated 21st September 1886 communicated to me with your endorsement No 576 G.N. of 22d October 1886 I have the honor to report that the additional establishment for the Divisional and Sub Divisional Offices asked for is absolutely necessary for the efficient management of the work.

2. I append a statement which speaks for itself showing the dates on which the several returns were due, and when submitted from this Office since 1st April/86 i.e. after the extension of the Division. Two months only (April, May) of the period, over which this statement extends, are working months, during the rest of the time, comparatively, very little work has been going on. When the working season recommences the accounts will fall hopelessly in arrears.

Though

called upon to do so.

Signed J. O. Hasted Colonel R.E.

Chief Engineer P. W. D.

To

The Suptg. Engineers.
Suptg. Engineer T. M. Scheme.
Consg. Archt. to Govt.
Examiner P. W. Accts, for guidance, with respect to temporary employes in his office.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation for information.

No. 2188

Camp Berhampore
Dated 25 November 1886

From
The Executive Engineer
Rushikulya Division

To
The Suptg. Engineer
First Circle.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of G.O. No. 2393W dated 21st September 1886 communicated to me with your endorsement No. 576 G.N. of 22d October 1886, I have the honor to report that the additional establishment for the Divisional and Sub Divisional Offices asked for is absolutely necessary for the efficient management of the work.

2. I append a statement which speaks for itself showing the dates on which the several returns were due, and when submitted from this Office since 1st April/86 i.e. after the extension of the Division. Two months only (April, May) of the period, over which this statement extends, are working months, during the rest of the time, comparatively, very little work has been going on. When the working season recommences the accounts will fall hopelessly in arrears.

I have

3. Though it is not quite possible to tabulate the whole of the work done by each clerk I append a statement showing roughly what each man's work is.

4. Owing to Overseer M. Y. Manikyam Naiker being absent on privilege leave I was able to secure the services of one extra clerk temporarily in the Division Office. With the assistance of this man, large arrears of Vouchers, Audit Notes &c. have been cleared. But a great deal still remains to be worked off.

5 Much time is wasted in searching for references among the Office records. It is impossible for the clerks with their hands so full of work as at present, to file and register letters and records in a proper manner. It is a fallacy to say that the time wasted in hunting up these references might be saved by keeping these files, and registers properly. This work requires a special clerk and an able one, and such an one I have not got to spare.

6. Another point to be considered is the great distance of this ~~Distance~~ Division from Madras. On account of this the time for the preparation of accounts, and returns &c is foreshortened by 8 days.

7 Much delay is also caused by sickness especially among Sub Division and Overseers Clerks, who are constantly being laid up. This I think is due to the inability of the native clerk to become acclimatized; nearly all the clerks come from Southern Districts.

8. The Sub Divisional clerks have more work than they can get through properly. The Aska Sub Divisional accounts, especially are being submitted late, and vouchers received incorrectly drawn up in spite of numerous warnings. This state of affairs will result in other Sub Divisions when the works in them are as advanced as they are in the Aska Sub Division.

9. It is also proposed to form another Sub Dn. to be called the Surada Sub Division for which the following establishment, in addition to one clerk on Rs 20/- and one lascar on Rs 6/- already sanctioned in G.O. No. 2393 W dt. 21-9-86 is asked. 2 Lascars on Rs 6 each, 1 Messenger on Rs 6/-. The reasons for entertaining this establishment

have been fully explained in this Office N° $\frac{2025}{8-11-86}$ & $\frac{1142}{9-8-86}$ and para 7 of the Chief Engineer Irrigation's Notes dated 24th February /86 printed with G.O. N° 227 I of 8th March 1886.

10. In conclusion I have the honor again to request that the above mentioned Extra Office Establishment be sanctioned at an early date.

I have &c.

(Sd/) J. H. Medlicott
Exe: Engineer

(True copy)

Supptg: Engineer 1st Circle

h. 1
P.W. 10008/86

No. 779 G.I.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
P. W. Department
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

DOWLAISHWERAM, 12th December 1886
Despd 15/12/86

SIR,

With reference to para 3 of G.O. N° 2393 W of the 21st September /86, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of the Rushikulya Division Officer's letter N° 2188 dated the 25th November /86, affording explanation as to the necessity of the extra Establishment required for the Division Office and asking for the formation of the new Sub Division to be called Surada Sub Division contemplated in G.O. N° 1617 W of 25 June /86 and to again recommend that the additional establishment* applied for in the Statement submitted with my letter N° 423 G.I. dated the 23rd August /86 and the formation of the Sub Division already recommended in the above letter may be sanctioned at an

*1 Clerk on Rs 15 1 Cashier on Rs 30	For the Dn Office	
1 Messenger on Rs 6 2 Lascars each at Rs 6 = 12	For Surada Sub Dn	
2 Asst Sub Dn Clerks each at Rs 15/-		30
		93

early date. I would not have recom-mended the above proposals had I not been convinced of the necessity for them.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedt. Servt.

Suptg: Engineer 1st Circle

JK

P.W.C. No 10008

From

The Supg Engineer
1st Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Dept

Dated 12 } December/86
Despd 15 }

Rd 21.12.86

No 779 G.W.

2 DEC 86
P.W. DEPARTMENT

Enclosure 1

No 143 C d/ 15-1-1887

Additional Establishment to Rushikulya Division – Recommending the Sanction of –

Case 10,008/86

Note

In his letter No 423 G.W. d/ 23.8.86 printed with G.O. No 2393 W d/ 21.9.86, the S.E. I Circle applied for the following extra office estabt. for the Rushikulya division:–

1 Clerk – Rs 15
1 – do – " 20
1 Cashier " 30
1 Lascar – 6

Also for the formation of an additional sub division to be called the Surada sub division, with the following estabt.:–

2 sub. divn clerks @ Rs 15 – Rs 30
1 messenger – 6
2 Lascars @ Rs 6 – " 12

In the order above quoted, the first sanctioned

1 Clerk on Rs 20 & a lascar on Rs 6 per mensem, & remarked that if it were afterwards found impossible to keep the work of the division current, a further report should be made.

The S. E. now ~~reports~~ ~~that~~ applies for the remainder of the extra estabt. asked for in Septr, on the ground that it is absolutely necessary. The extra cost involved would be —

1 Clerk	Rs 15	For Divn Office
1 Cashier	" 30	
1 messenger	" 6	For Surada Sub divn
2 lascars @ Rs 6	" 12	
2 Asst Sub Dn Clerks @ Rs 15	" 30	
	Rs 93 per mensem	

or Rs 1116 per annum — There will also be the sub divisional allowance if the addl sub division be sanctioned.

There are several letters in the file from the Exr showing that the Rushikulya divn accounts are constantly late, & there seems no doubt that the division office requires strengthening, but the difficulty is how to find funds in the present condition of the Provl Budget for 1887-88.

The whole of the Rushikulya divn estabt is temporary, & no funds have been provided in next year's budget for temporary estabt. The proposal cannot apparently be considered at present.

For orders.

Ca
8.1.87

The proposal to increase the office establishment of Rushikulya Division must lie over until the commencement of next official year. [illegible] 10.1.87

10/1/87

Proposed increase
to office Est of
Rushikulya Divn

No. 143C of 15th Jany 1887 P.W.D.

C/

Memo

With reference to his letter No 779 G.W. dated 12th Decr 1886, the S.E. I Circle is informed that in the circumstances stated in memo No 91C of 12th Jany 1887, the undersigned regrets that no additional office estabt. can at present be sanctioned for the Rushikulya division. The matter will however be kept in view & will be considered in connection with the changes which will be necessitated at the end of the official year consequent on the discharge of the temporary establt.

Meanwhile the best possible arrangements should be made to keep works current.

13.1.87

14/1/87.

G.O. No. 2393 W of 21-9-86

No. 143 C of 15-1-1887

B W/1571

Dw/W

S.S. I Circle S.

Despd 17-1-87.

[C. No. 2.]

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 177 W

From D. C. Gordon Esqre.
~~THE~~ EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 12 February 1887.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 167 W. dated 27th. January 1887, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of my reference and of the Executive Engineer's explanation of the delay in the submission of the Rushikulya Accounts for November 1886.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

E.P. 13/2

Encl: One

Mch 1225

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government
P. W. Department.

No. 607 C of 21-2-87

No. 177 W

Dated 12 February 1887.

Recd. 14 —

Enclo. I.

Rushikulya Division Accounts. Forwards certain correspondence on the subject of delay in submission of - - - - - - - for November 1886.

[C. No. 2.]

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 131. W.

From
The Examiner,
Public Works Accounts,
Madras,

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 13 November 1886.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the Executive Engineer Rushikulya Division, in reply to a reference from this Office calling on him to explain the delay in the submission of his Accounts for August which were received in an incomplete state 13 days after due date, states that the delay is due to insufficient Establishment. He said the same thing in respect to the delay in submission of July's Accounts, which was brought to notice of the Superintending Engineer.

2. The Accounts for September also were received 11 days after due date, without a word of explanation.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

J. C. Gannon

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

No. 4073C of 18th Novr 1886.

Referred to the Supdg. Engineer I circle for enquiry and report. In the notes of inspection recorded in G.O. No. 759 W. dated 22nd March 1886 it was stated that the accountant had sufficient assistance, and after that an extra clerk was sanctioned for the Division office in G.O. No. 1617 W. dated 25th June 1886. It is understood that a new accountant has recently been posted to the Division in the place of Ayodha Ramayya transferred.

[illegible] Colonel R.E.
Chief Engineer P.W.D.

To
The Supdg. Engr. I Circle
17/11.86

P. W. Dept.
To be returned with reply.

9489 G
24-11-86

P.W.C. No. 8990

No. 2
1289/89
683 G
20.12.86

Encls. No. 1 of letter No. 868 G.N. dated 9/2/87 to the Chief Engr. P.W.D.

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

208 [illegible]

To,

The Secretary to Government
P. W. Department.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT P. W. DEPARTMENT
15 NOV 86

No. 131. W

282 [illegible]

Dated 13 November 1886.
Recd. 15-11-86

24/11/86

Brings to notice the delay in the submission of the Rushikulya Division Accounts for July, August and September 1886.

[C. No. 2.]

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 139. W.

From
THE EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 24 November 1886.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 131 W. dated 13th November 1886, bringing to notice the very late submission of the Monthly Accounts of the Rushikulya Division, I have the honor to forward herewith for your information and such action as may be deemed necessary, a copy of Superintending Engineer's letter No. 648 G. N. dated 7th November 1886 in reply to the reference made by this Office to that Officer on the above subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

Exd.
24/11.

No. 4073 C of 18th Novr. 1886.

Referred to the Supdg. Engineer I circle for enquiry and report. In the notes of inspection recorded in G.O. No. 759 W. dated 22nd March 1886 it was stated that the accountant had sufficient assistance, and after that an extra clerk was sanctioned for the Division office in G.O. No. 1617 W. dated 25th June 1886. It is understood that a new accountant has recently been posted to the Division in the place of Ayodha Ramayya transferred.

Colonel R.E.
Chief Engineer P. W. D.

To 17/11.86

The Supdg. Engr. I Circle

P. W. Dept.

To be returned with reply.

---

948 G L
24-11-86

P. W. C. No. ~~87~~ 890

1289/87

883 ...
20-12-86

Encls. No 1 of letter No. 868 G.N. dated 9/2/87 to the Chief Engr. PWD

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government

P. W. Department.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
15 NOV 86
P.W. DEPARTMENT

No. 131. W.

Dated 13th November 1886.

Recd. 15-11-86

24/11/86

16-11

Brings to notice the delay in the submission of the Rushikulya Division Accounts for July, August and September 1886.

[C. No. 2.]

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 139. W.

From

THE EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 24th November 1886.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 131 W. dated 13th November 1886, bringing to notice the very late submission of the Monthly Accounts of the Rushikulya Division, I have the honor to forward herewith for your information and such action as may be deemed necessary, a copy of Superintending Engineer's letter No. 648 G.N. dated 7th November 1886 in reply to the reference made by this Office to that Officer on the above subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

J. C. Gordon

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

24/11.

P.W.C. No 9219

(8)

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government
P. W. Department.

25 NOV 86

No. 139. W.

Dated 24th November 1886.
Recd. 25 — —

Enclos. 2.

Rushikulya Division Accounts. Anent the late submission of------

Await reply from S. E. I to No 4073 C. G. 18. 11. 86. 26-11-86 26/11/86.

[C. No. 2.]

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 152 W.

From
The EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 17th December 1886.

Sir,

In continuation of my letters Nos. 131 W. dated 13th November 1886 and 139 W. dated 24th November 1886, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of my reference and of the Executive Engineer's explanation for the delay in the submission of the Rushikulya Division Accounts for September 1886.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. P. Gordon
Examiner P. W. Accounts.

Encls. 17/12.

17/12.

P.W.C. No. 9929.

(8)

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government

P. W. Department.

17 DEC 86

No. 152 W

Dated 17th December 1886.

Recd. 18 " "

Enclo. I.

Rushikulya Division Accounts for September 1886. Forwards copies of certain correspondence anent delay in submission of----

Reminder [illegible] to [illegible] 407 dc. 3/18.11.86.

21.12.86

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

[C. No. 2.]

No. 167 W

From D. C. Gordon Esqr.
~~The~~ EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Madras, 27th January 1887.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 152 W. dated 17th December 1886, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of my reference and of the Executive Engineer's explanation for the delay in the submission of the Rushikulya Accounts for October 1886.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

A.P. 27/1.

Encs: One

P.W.C. Nº 663

(8)

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government

P. W. Department.

Nº 607 [illegible] 21-2-87

No. 167. W

Dated 27" January 1887.

Recd. 28 - " ——

Enclo. I.

Rushikulya Division
Accounts for October 1886.
Forwards copies of correspondence
anent the delay in the submission
of------

Answer reply to
Nº 4073C d/ 18-11-86.
Reminder sent [illegible]

Public Works Department.

No. 686 -

No. 143 C of 15-1-87
" 4073 C — 18-12-86
Letter file

No. 607 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepâk, 21st Feby 1887.

OFFICE NOTE.

From
W

To
C.

Letter from the S.E. I Circle No. 868 C.N.ˣ d/1-2-87 is forwarded herewith for note and favor of return.

ˣ C. No. 1289 – Explaining the necessity for extra Establishment for the Rushikulya Dn.

The S.E.'s letter No. 779 C.N. of 12.12.86 was disposed of by this Office No. 143 C d/ 15-1-87, Copy of which is enclosed for your information.

This disposes of your letters quoted below, the enclosures of which are herewith returned.

No. 139 W of 24-11-86
" 152 W of 17.12.86
" 167 W of 27-1-87
" 177 W of 12.2.87

(Sd)
W.L.C.B.
Dw.

B 24/2

M. 24.2

DC
21/2/87

Public Works Department.

No. 686 C dated 25th February 1887.

MEMORANDUM.

In continuation of telegrams of the 24th instant, to the I, II, & VI Circles, it is now notified that from the Ist March 1887, the divisions in the several Circles will be as follows :-

I Circle

Ganjam, including Rushikulya.

Vizagapatam.

Eastern Godavari.
Western Godavari, including Central Godavari.

II Circle.

Eastern Kistna including Gudivada.

Western Kistna.

Buckingham Canal.

Nellore including Sangam.

III Circle.

Bellary.

Kurnool.

Cuddapah.

IV. Circle.

Nilgiris.

Coimbatore.

West Coast.

V Circle.

North Arcot.

South Arcot.

Chingleput.

Presidency & Bangalore.

Presidency Stores & Workshops.

VI Circle.

Trichinopoly

Tanjore.

Negapatam.

Madura including Ramnad

Tinnevelly.

Consulting Architect.

2. The Head quarters of the combined divisions will be as follows :-

Ganjam ...... Dowgam — Berhampore G.O. No 527 W 17-3-87

Western Godavari ...... Chettipet.

Eastern Kistna .... Bezvada.

Nellore .... Nellore.

Madura ...... Madura.

3. The head quarters of other divisions will be as at present.

4. For purposes of Account, Ganjam will be a sub-division of the new Ganjam division, Central Godavari a sub-division of Western Godavari, Sangam a sub-division of Nellore, and Ramnad a sub-division of Madura, but it will be understood that when the officers in charge of those sub-divisions hold the rank of Executive Engineer they will not be liable to have their work inspected by the divisional officer, and, except with respect to drawing funds from the head office and in matters of account, they will be directly under the orders

of

of the Superintending Engineer.

(By Order)

W C Baddeley Captain R.E.

Dy. Chief Engineer P. W. D.

To

The Suptg. Engineers.
Consg. Archt. to Govt
Chief Engr. for Irrign.
Examiner P. W. Accounts with reference to his office note No 763 dated 21st February 1887.

Public Works Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following :-

Public Works Department Memorandum No 686 C, dated 25th February 1887.

Order thereon No 827 W dated 17th March 1887.

In modification of Memo No 686 C, dated 25th February 1887, it is notified that the Government have resolved to constitute the Godavari Central Division a permanent division, in lieu of the Sangam Project, which has ceased to be a separate division.

2. The head-quarters of the combined Ganjam division will be at Berhampore instead of at Dowgam, as previously notified.

3. The other arrangements notified in the memo above quoted will remain unchanged.

(True Extract)

W C Baddeley Captain R.E.

Under Secretary to Government P.W.D.

To

The Suptg. Engineer I Circle.
Other Suptg. Engineers.
Consg. Archt. to Govt.
Chief Engineer for Irrign.
Examiner P.W. Accounts.

+

COPY OF LETTER FROM THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, 1ST CIRCLE, TO THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS, MADRAS, NO.648 G.N DATED 7TH NOVEMBER 1886.

REFERRING TO YOUR LETTER NO.242 S.E. DATED THE 29TH OCTOBER 1886, I HAVE THE HONOR TO INFORM YOU THAT THE RUSHIKULYA DIVISION OFFICER HAS ALREADY COMPLAINED OF THE INSUFFICIENCY OF HIS OFFICE ESTABLISHMENT - SEE COPY OF HIS LETTER NO.769 DATED THE 2ND JULY 1886 HEREWITH FORWARDED AND AN APPLICATION WAS MADE BY ME TO GOVERNMENT FOR AN ADDITIONAL CLERK ON RS.15/ AND A CASHIER ON RS.30/ PER MENSEM FOR THE DIVISION OFFICE - BUT THIS APPLICATION DID NOT MEET WITH THE APPROVAL OF GOVERNMENT AND THEY REQUIRED A FURTHER DETAILED REPORT TO BE MADE IF THE ADDITIONAL ESTABLISHMENT IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY - SEE G.O. NO.2393 W. OF 21ST SEPTEMBER 1886.

2. THE DIVISIONAL OFFICER WILL HOWEVER BE REQUESTED TO OBSERVE THE UTMOST POSSIBLE PUNCTUALITY IN THE SUBMISSION OF MONTHLY ACCOUNTS.

(TRUE COPY.)

G.C. Patton

SUPT. CORRESPONDENCE BRANCH.

J.W.S.
24/11

[illegible]
24/11.

Li:2 8

P.W. ~~9216~~

1736/87

COPY OF LETTER FROM THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, RUSHIKULYA DIVISION, TO THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, IST CIRCLE, NO. 769 DATED 2ND JULY I886.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BRING TO YOUR NOTICE THAT OWING TO THE AMALGAMATION OF THE GUMSUR AND BERHAMPORE TALUQS AND THREE ESTATES OF CHINAKIMIDI, DARACOTTA AND BODAGADA AND ALSO TO THE IMPETUS GIVEN TO THE PROJECT WORKS, THE WORK IN MY OFFICE HAS BEEN NEARLY DOUBLED. MY ACCOUNTS ARE FALLING INTO ARREARS. AUDIT NOTES REMAIN IN THE OFFICE FOR THREE MONTHS UNANSWERED, VOUCHERS WHICH SHOULD ACCOMPANY MONTHLY ACCOUNTS ARE DELAYED FOR MONTHS. THE ACCOUNTANT'S EXCUSE BEING THAT HE HAS NOT TIME TO SCRUTINIZE THEM AND THAT HE IS UNABLE TO COPE WITH THE WORK. AS THE ESTATE WORKS (WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ONE WORK) HAVE NOT YET BEEN STARTED OWING TO THERE BEING NO ESTABLISHMENT UNTIL QUITE RECENTLY, WHEN THEY ARE STARTED ACCOUNTS WILL FALL HOPELESSLY IN ARREARS. THE PROPOSITION STATEMENT SUBMITTED BY ME ON 7TH MAY I886 WAS PREPARED BY MY ACCOUNTANT AND HE APPLIED FOR THREE EXTRA CLERKS FOR THE OFFICE VIZ. ONE ON RS.30, ONE ON RS.20/. AND ONE ON RS.I5/.- THESE I STRUCK AND SUBSTITUTED ONE MAN ON RS.40/.- BUT HIS PAY WAS CUT DOWN TO RS.20/. BY SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER OR AT HIS INSTANCE (HE WAS ON TOUR HERE THEN) I AM NOW SATISFIED. (I DID NOT GIVE THE MATTER MUCH CONSIDERATION BEFORE AS IT IS ONLY LATELY THE BACKWARD STATE OF THE OFFICE HAS COME MORE PARTICULARLY TO MY NOTICE) THAT THE OFFICE IS VERY MUCH UNDERMANNED AND WILL HAVE TO BE CONSIDERABLY STRENGTHENED I ACCORDINGLY SUBMIT A FRESH PROPOSITION STATEMENT ALLOWING FOR THE THREE EXTRA CLERKS ORIGINALLY ASKED FOR BY MY ACCOUNTANT OR

TWO

X8

TWO IN EXCESS OF THE PRESENT SCALE. I HAVE ALSO ALLOWED FOR TWO SUB-DIVISION ASSISTANT CLERKS. I DO NOT CONSIDER THE ESTABLISHMENT NOW ASKED FOR AT ALL IN EXCESS OF REQUIREMENTS.

(TRUE COPY.)

G. E. Patton

SUPT. CORRESPONDENCE BRANCH.

J.W.S.
24/11

Eng. 7
P.W. ~~9019~~/86
1736

24/11

No. 2357

Berhampore,
13d December 1886.

Memo. No. ~~100~~ 18 dated 12th Nov: 1886.

FROM THE EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,
Madras,

TO THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Rushikulya Division.

THE accounts for September having been received 11 days late, explanation of the delay is requested.

2. If the work abstracts are compiled in the Divisional office it should be stated whether Sub-divisional Cash Books with complete vouchers, and 10-day reports were punctually received, how often during the month, and whether the work abstracts were posted during, or at the close of, the month.

3. If the work abstracts are prepared in the Sub-divisional offices it should be stated on what date the Sub-divisional accounts were received and whether complete or not.

4. The Executive Engineer is requested to state, how far, if at all, the delay is due to—

(i). Insufficient office establishment, Divisional or Sub-divisional.

(ii). The fault of the Accountant.

5. The Accountant ought to be given the opportunity of affording his explanation.

(Signed) D. C. Gordon

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras,

No. 1 of
2547/7

ER,
D
UNTS,
M

cle.

G. N.
to pe-
ery h
a de-
the
are in
tra office
to Novr. 1886.
the Dn
J. B
d
Execu Esta-
Rush
to work
y.) the Exa-
ch in
atte
spon

(True Copy)

C. Ewald

Supg. Engr. 1st Circle.

76

TWO IN

TWO SU

ESTABL

6
G.4
P.W. ~~2229~~/86
1738

24/11

№ 2357

Berhampore,
13rd December 1886.

Memo. No. ~~99~~ 20. dated 4 Decr 1886.

FROM THE EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,
Madras,

TO THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Rushikulya Division.

THE accounts for October having been received 8 days late, explanation of the delay is requested.

2. If the work abstracts are compiled in the Divisional office it should be stated whether Sub-divisional Cash Books with complete vouchers, and 10-day reports were punctually received, how often during the month, and whether the work abstracts were posted during, or at the close of, the month.

3. If the work abstracts are prepared in the Sub-divisional offices it should be stated on what date the Sub-divisional accounts were received and whether complete or not.

4. The Executive Engineer is requested to state, how far, if at all, the delay is due to—

- (i). Insufficient office establishment, Divisional or Sub-divisional.
- (ii). The fault of the Accountant.

5. The Accountant ought to be given the opportunity of affording his explanation.

(Sd). H. Rainer
Dy: Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Reply No. ~~99~~ 20 dated

Enclosure No. 1 of
№ 2547
7

FROM THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Rushikulya D

TO THE EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,
M

2.
(a). Yes.
(b). At end of every
(c). During & after the

3. —

4. The delay is due to
Establishment.

(Sd). J.
Execu
Rush

(True Copy.)

G. E. Patt
Supdt. Correspon

E.O.
27/1.

---

cle.

G. N.
to pe-
a de-
are in
tra office
Novr 1886.
the Dn
d
Esta-
to work
the Exa-
ch is

(True Copy)

C. Ewald
Supg Engr 1st Circle.

letter No. 16 of W 11-27+87

-1-87.

IVISION,

ADRAS.

days.

close of the month.

insufficient

Jannan

tive Engineer

kulya Division

dence Branch.

~~Executive Engineer,~~

~~Division.~~

No. 2357

Memo. No. 22 ~~98~~ dated 8th January 1887.

FROM THE EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras,

TO THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

Rushikulya Division.

THE accounts for November 1886 having been received 4 days late, explanation of the delay is requested.

2. If the work abstracts are compiled in the Divisional office it should be stated whether Sub-divisional Cash Books with complete vouchers, and 10-day reports were punctually received, how often during the month, and whether the work abstracts were posted during, or at the close of, the month.

3. If the work abstracts are prepared in the Sub-divisional offices it should be stated on what date the Sub-divisional accounts were received and whether complete or not.

4. The Executive Engineer is requested to state, how far, if at all, the delay is due to—

(i). Insufficient office establishment, Divisional or Sub-divisional.

(ii). The fault of the Accountant.

5. The Accountant ought to be given the opportunity of affording his explanation.

(Signed) T. G. Heaven

Dy. Examiner of P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

Berhampore,
13th December 1886.

Re

Fr

Dn

To

Circle.

715 G. N.

(a onor to pe-

(n ted a de-

(c nts are in

extra office

25th Novr. 1886.

d the Dn

and

nal Esta-

ises to work

to the Exa-

each in

&ca

tt

eer-

(True Copy)

C. Wahl

Supt. Engr. 1st Circle.

Esth
24/11

IVISION

ADRAS.

1736

No. 2357

Berhampore,
13th December 188

From,

The Ex Engineer
Rushikulya Dn.

To,

The Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 715 G. N. of 29th November 1886, I have the honor to re-port that I have already submitted a de-tailed report shewing why accounts are i arrears and that I applied for extra offi establishment in my No. 2188 of 25th Novr. 1886

2. The new Accountant joined the Dn. on the forenoon of the 2nd Instant and pending sanction of the additional Esta-blishment applied for, he promises to work hard and submit the accounts to the Exa-miner P. W. Accounts by the 20th of each month if possible.

I have &ca &ca
(Sd.) J. H. Medlicott
Executive Engineer.

(True Copy)

C. Ewald

Supdg. Engr. 1st Circle.

Enclosure No 2 of letter No. 868 G.I. dated 1st February /87 to the Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Encl 3
P.W. ~~1289~~/
1736/87

No. 868 G.I.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

P. W. Department
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

Camp Manthasa ~~DOWLAISHWERAM~~, 1st February 1887

Desp. 9/2/87

Enclos 2
1 Examiner's letter No 131 W. of 13/11/86
1 copy of letter No 2357 from Rushikulya Dn Officer

with Cu.
1225 Cam

SIR,

With reference to your No. 4073 18-11-86, I have the honor to point out that in my No. 779-12-12-86 I forwarded an explanation of the necessity for the extra Establishment for the Rushikulya Dn. applied for in the statement submitted with my No. 423 23-8-86 & I now enclose copy of letter No. 2357-13-12-86 on the subject-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient St.

Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle-

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

DATED
DESPATCHED
RECEIVED
188

No.

ENCLOSURES.

10

P.W.C. No 1289
1736/

From
The Supdg Engineer
1st Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Dept

Dated 1st }
Despd 9th } February /87
Recd 18

No 868 G.N.

Enclo: 2

Extra Establishment for the Rushikulya Dn. Explanation of the necessity for the — Pointing out that in his No 779 of 12-12-86 he has forwarded an-

---

P.W. Department

No 143 C

Chepauk dated 15th Jany 1887

Memorandum

With reference to his letter No 779 G.N. dated 12th December 1886, the Superintending Engineer I Circle is informed that in the circumstances stated in Memo No 91 C dated 12th January 1887, the undersigned regrets that no additional office establishment can at present be sanctioned for the Rushikulya division. The matter will however be kept in view, and will be considered in connection with the changes which will be necessitated at the end of the official year consequent on the discharge of the temporary establishment

Meanwhile the best possible arrangements should be made to

Keep

P.W.C. No. 1289

Keep work current.

(Signed) J. O. Hasted Col. R.E.
Chief Engineer P.W.D.

(True Copy)

B. Pereira
Head Clerk P.W. Secretariat

En: 1
PW1736/87

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT, OF MADRAS. 1887. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 3rd MARCH 1887.

Office Note No. 782

To W. Encs-8

YOUR OFFICE NOTE NO. 607 C.
. . DATED 21ST FEBRUARY 1887.

NOTED AND ALL PAPERS RECEIVED THEREWITH, HEREWITH RETURNED.

Keep-with Genl. No.

dated 188 .

C.

2/3

3/3

+ the file

P.W.C. No 1305

No 554 C of 8-3-87

Recorded

Recorded

MCN
4.3.87

18/3

foll
4/3/87

R 3.3.87

h: 8

No 554 C of 8.3.87

With orders

With orders

LS 18/3

No 472 G

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

P.W. Department
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

DOWLAISHWERAM, 16th March 1887
Despd 16th Do

SIR,

With reference to the amalgamation of the Western and Central Divisions Godavari and of the Ganjam Rushikulya Divisions I have the honor to request your consideration of and early orders on the following points.

1 Clerk 3rd Class 2d Grade	60 to 80
1 – Do – 4th – do – 1st – do –	40 – 50
1 – Do – 4th – do – 2d – do –	30 – 40
2 – do – 4th – do – 3rd – do –	20 – 30
1 Draftsman 1st Class –	50 – 70
1 – Do – 3rd – do –	20 – 30
2 Messenger	13
3 Lascars	18
1 Store Keeper	25

(a) The sanctioned permanent office establishment of the Western Division Godavari is as entered in the margin; it was found inadequate to cope with its duties and therefore a temporary addition* was sanctioned. See G.Os Nos 1561 W d/21 June /82 & 1920 W d/19th Aug/85. That temporary staff was of course dismissed on

* 1 Clerk on Rs 30/-
1 – Do – on Rs 15/-

28th ultimo but it is certain that if the Division Office permanent establishment under the old circumstances was not able to cope with its then duties it will most certainly not be able to do so now that the Central Division with its large number of works and great expenditure is added on to it and it therefore is necessary that at least the 2 clerks reduced under recent orders should be again allowed.

(b) The permanent establishment allowed for an ordinary Sub Division is only as noted in the margin it has many times been acknowledged that this is not sufficient for important Sub Divisions and in the Western Division an extra clerk (See G.O. No. 1561 W d/21st June/82) for each of the "Eastern" and "Western" Sub Divisions was allowed and I trust it will be possible to allow them again for those Sub Divisions; but whether this be the case or not it is certain that the new

1 Clerk 4th Class 3rd Grade		20 to 30
1 Messenger	—	6
3 Lascars each 6	—	18

× Grants for 1887–88.

Capital Account	1,15,920.
Extentions & Improvements	5,000.
Maintenance & Repairs	45,000.
Agricultural	27,800.

Central Delta Sub Division× cannot possibly be carried on with only the Sanctioned permanent strength and I believe that in addition to it it will be necessary to supply the sub Division with atleast 2 extra Clerks 5th Class 1st grade and 2 Draftsmen 2nd class.

(c) The sanctioned permanent Office establishment of the Ganjam Division is as entered in the margin. This will of course not be sufficient for it and the Rushikulya Division together. The latter had by itself 5 Clerks and 2 draftsmen and this it was found would require supplementing by 1 Clerk on Rs 15 and 1 Cashier on Rs 30 see my No. 7794 N dated 12th December/86, it seems therefore necessary that the new Division should have in addition to its sanctioned permanent establishment at least 1 extra clerk 4th Class 3rd grade, 1 Clerk

1 Clerk	3rd Class	3rd grade		50 to 60.
1 do	4th do	2nd do		30 – 40.
2 do	4th do	3rd do each		20 – 30.
1 Draftsman	1st Class			50 – 70.
1 do		3rd do		20 – 30.
2 Messengers			—	13.
3 Lascars			—	18.
1 Store Keeper			—	15.

No. 807 C of 21-2-87
& the file

MC No 1736

5th class 1st grade, 1 cashier and an extra draftsman 2nd grade.

(d) The new Chicacole Sub Division will also be of sufficient importance to necessitate an extra clerk 5th class 1st grade

(e) There are no permanent men available to take the places of the temporary establishments sanctioned* for the Russelkonda and Aska Sub Divisions but I suppose as those Sub Divisions must be retained the establishments for them must also be retained.

* see G.O. No. 3420 W d/ 30th Nov/83.

(f) I would also again⊗ strongly recommend the formation of the Surada Sub Division which will require an office establishment like the others.

⊗ see this office No 779 G.W. dated 12th Dec/86.

(g) There will in the amalgamated Division be 5 Upper Subordinates not in charge of Sub Divisions for whom there are only 4 clerks on the permanent establishment available. An extra man will therefore be required; may he be appointed on the "permanent" establishment?

There

(h) There will also be 2 (two) Detached Officers (on the permanent establishment) in the Division for whom no clerks on the permanent establishment are available; may they be appointed?

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedt Servt
C. Tevalet
Suptg. Engineer 1st Circle

P.W.C. No 236

FROM

THE SUPERINTENLING EN

FIRST CIR

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

Public Works Dept ~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

DATED 16th
DESPATCHED 16th } March 1887
RECEIVED 23

24 M 87
DEPARTMENT

No. 472 G.L.

ENCLOSURES.

Amalgamation of the Western and Central Divisions Godavari & of the Ganjam Rushi-Kulya Divisions — Submits certain points for consideration regarding the —

Public Works Department.

No 924 W

B/

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 25th March/87

Read the following

Letter from the Suptg: Engr: I. Circle. H.E. No 472 G.L d/16th March/87.

G.O. No 827 W d/17th March 1887, in which central Godavari was constituted a permanent division, disposes of clauses (a) & (b) of the Suptg: Engineer's letter. The Suptg: Engr: should submit a statement in form M.184 showing the permanent office establishment required in the ~~new~~ Central division, and proceed to organise it at once.

2. With respect to the

827 w 17.3.87.
686 c 25.2.87.
826/A w 16.3.87
854 c 8.3.87.
607 c 21.2.87.
143 c 15.1.87.
4487 c 16.12.86.
4073 c 18.11.86.
2487 w 24.9.86.
1617 w 25.6.86.
3841 c 2.11.86.
227 d 8.3.86.
2712 w 15.10.86.
3002 c 4.9.86.
2179 w 31.8.86.

No. 921 W d/25th March/87

Issue soon

To E. after despatch [illegible]

Ex 26/3

No 932 W d/ 26 March/87

Rod

INDIAN TELEGRAPH.

F C2

Class.				
To (Station) Madras				From (Station) Dowlaishwa
Words.	Day	Hour.	Minute.	Local No. 0875
37/10.	25.	13.	42.	Official Instruction. Recd
To (Person) Madras Works				From (Person) Supg Engr 1st Ci.

G. O. number 827 W. 17th instant + please wire if present temporary establishment central division can be kept till permanent ones supplied or can I appoint permanent establishment

Recd. from
At Hrs. Mts., By L. N
Date

Sent to
At Hrs. Mts., By L. N.

MADRAS 25-3-87

B. Ellis, C P G A S P—No 337—10,00,000—14 2 86.

Telegraph Master

827 w
686 c
826
A
854 c
607 c
143 c
4487
407
24
11

R 26.3.87

26/3

R.C. 932 4 d/ 26 March 87

Prod

Telegram

To the S.S.I Circle

Dowlaishweram

Yours twenty fifth.

Keep present office establishment Central division pending receipt G.O. 921W twenty fifth instant, which contains instructions -

26.3.87

Tel desp. 26/3

26/3/87

921 W 25.3.87
827 W. 17.3/87.
826/A W 16.3/87
854 C 8.3.87
607 C 21.2.87
143 C 15.1.87
4487 C 16/12.86
4078 C 18.11.86
2487 W 24.9.86
1617 W 25.6.86.
3841 C 2.11.86
227 I. 8.3.86
2412 W 15.10.86
3002 C 4.9.86
2179 W 31.8.86

B Ing

W 26.3

R. No 932 W d/ 26-3-87

JH

Ere 29/3

DW / W

No. 946 G.N.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
Public Works Dept
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

DOWLAISHWERAM, 22d March 1887
Despd 24th Do

vide 932W 26.3.87

SIR,

I have the honor to invite your attention to my letter No. 472 G.L. dated the 16th March /87 on the subject of Office establishment for the amalgamated Ganjam Division &c and to request that telegraphic orders may be sent to me so that arrangements may be made to entertain the establishment in proper time.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
C. Cowie(?)
Actg. Engr.
~~for~~ Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle

SK

P.W.C. No 253

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
Public Works Dept
~~FOR IRRIGATION.~~

DATED 22d
DESPATCHED 24th } March 1887
RECEIVED 29

CHIEF ENGINEER'S P.W. DEPARTMENT 29 [illegible] 87

NO. 946 G.N.

B. No. 967 Wd/ 30 March 1887

ENCLOSURES.

Amalgamated Ganjam Division &ca — Office Establishment for the — Requests that telegraphic orders may be issued on the subject of —

No. 967 Wd/ 30 March 1887

Pwd

Deferred Telegram

To Supdg Engr I Circle

Dowlaishweram

Your 946 G.N. twenty-second instant.

Necessary establishments may be entertained tentatively pending sanction to Statements called for in G.O. 921 W twenty fifth instant.

30.3.87

Teleg. despd. 30/3/87

30/3/87

Cr

932 W 26.3.87
921 W 25.3.87
827 W 17.3.87
686 C 25.2.87
826/H W 16.3.87
854 C 8.3.87
607 C 21.2.87
143 C 15.1.87
4487 C 16.12.86
4073 C 18.11.86
2487 W 24.9.86
1617 W 25.6.86
3841 C 2.11.86
227 I 8.3.86
2712 W 15.10.86
3002 C 4.9.86
2179 W 31.8.86

B. No. 967 Wd/30 March 1887

31/3

Ex 31/3 Jd 1/4

1/4

1053 W
15-4-87

2747

Do

In para 2 of G.O. No: 921. W. d 25.3.87 S.E.I was asked to submit a proposition statement of the Estt. required for the new Ganjam Divn. including subdivisional offices. The Estt. mentioned in the letter under disposal will no doubt be entered in the proposition statement. Is it necessary to pass any orders on present letter

FB
8/4/8

No, it will be better to wait until a complete statement is recd.

9.4.8

NO. 971 G.Ir.

Walch Esquire
ERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

EF ENGINEER
P. W. Department
~~FOR IRRIGATION~~.

WLAISHWERAM, 26th March 1887.
Desp.d 30/3/87

telegram of 25th March 1887,
ar that as in para 4 of your
m No. 686 C dated 20th February/87
njam Division is to be amal-
with the Rushikulya only for
l account, it is evident that
sion will require Draftsmen
e Keeper as heretofore, in addi-
two Clerks mentioned in clause
letter No. 472, dated 16th Instant,
se it will retain the lascars (3)
sengers (2) which are allowed
Executive Engineer and his office.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
C. Walch
Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle

No. 967 Wd/30 March 1887

D My

M 31.3

09-4-87

NO. 971-G.Sr.

FROM G. T. Walch Esquire
~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
P. W. Department
~~FOR IRRIGATION~~.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 26th March 1887.
Despd. 30/3/87

SIR,

As your telegram of 25th March 1887, makes it clear that as in para 4 of your memorandum No. 686 C dated 20th February/87 the present Ganjam Division is to be amalgamated with the Rushikulya only for purposes of account, it is evident that the Sub Division will require Draftsmen 2 and Store Keeper as heretofore, in addition to the two Clerks mentioned in clause (d) of my letter No. 472 dated 16th Instant, and of course it will retain the lascars (3) and messengers (2) which are allowed for an Executive Engineer and his office.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servt.
G. T. Walch
Supdg. Engineer 1st Circle

R8

M 7.4.87

1910 W - 28-7-86
2475C - 14-7-86
1109W 3-5-86
2058W 4-9-85
1920W 19-8-85
1680 D - 23-7-85-
1673 D - 23-7-85-
1103 D. 9-8-85.
1031W. 1-5-85
923 W 18-4-85-
3420 W - 30 11-83
1561W. 21-6-82

M 7.4.87

1169C-	25-3-87
686C-	25-2-87
967W-	30-3-87
932W.	26-3-87
921W-	25-3-87
826W / A-	16-3-87
854WC.	8-3-87
827W	17-3-87
607C-	21-2-87
143C-	15-1-87
91C-	12-1-87
2393W	2[illegible]-9-86
4487C-	16-12-86
4073C.	18-11-86
2487W	24-9-86
2100W.	20-8-86
2018W	12-8-86
2650W	7-11-85
1617W.	25-6-86
721W.	23-3-85
3841-	2-11-86
2279.	8-3-86
2711W.	15-12-86
3002C-	4-9-86
71[illegible].	
1452C-	3-5-86
	9-4-86
411W.	20-2-86
2153C.	15-12-85
1010C-	5-6-85
878C-	6-5-85
2501C.	15-12-83
2594C	5-12-83
2179W.	31-8-86
2824C.	18-8-86
1910W-	28-7-86

D-9-4-87 continued.

P.W.C. No. 274/1

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

29/3/07

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
Public Works Dept.
~~FOR IRRIGATION~~.

DATED 26
DESPATCHED 30th } March 1887
RECEIVED 5-4-87

No. 971 G.

ENCLOSURES.

Ganjam Division amalgamated with Rushikulya: — Reporting regarding the additional Establishment required for the Chicacole Sub Division —

B. No. 1053W d/ 15th April 1887

B

Recorded, pending receipt of reply to G.O. No. 921W d/ 25th March 1887.

1/5/4 12/4/87

131

No 720 of 27th

1d/15 April 1887

188

OFFICE NOTE.

To C.

The under-mentioned draft notification is forwarded for check.

NOTIFICATION.

Appointment.

THE following appoint-
ment is made.
Mr.
to be
effect from Grade, with

M 7.4.87