

948 W d / 7th April 1886

Communicated to
The Examiner of P. W. Accts
who will arrange with
the Accountant General
for necessary adjustment
of the expenditure already
incurred -

J. S. S.

Exr. P. W. Accts

S. E. TV

S. E. TV

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 23rd March 1886, No. 256.

948 W d / 7th April 1886

MARCH.

Port Funds.

Directing that the cost of constructing the
groynes at Ponani be debited to Provincial funds
and not to —, and that of building the petroleum
shed at Madras be equally divided between — and
Provincial.

With order

23RD MARCH 1886, No. 256, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieut., R.N.R., Master Attendant, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th December 1885, No. 10663.

Administrative Department.

With reference to the Government orders as per margin, copies of which were received with memorandum Marine Department, dated 10th instant, I have the honor respectfully to state that, as shown in the Proceedings of Government, No. 2492 W., dated 8th October 1884,

G.O., No. 2492 W., 8th Oct. 1884, P.W.
" " 270 W., 4th Feb. 1885 "
" " 442 W., 25th " " "

Public Works Dept., the construction of the groynes and revetments at Ponáni is intended for the protection of the town, and the cost of the same is therefore not a legitimate charge against Port funds, in reference to the provisions of section 47 of the Indian Ports Act XII of 1875. It is also observed that the cost of the erection of the petroleum shed on the beach is sanctioned in G.O., No. 270 W., Public Works Department, dated 4th February 1885, to be borne by harbour dues as sub-head of Port funds, but considering that it is to be built in carrying out the rules under the Petroleum Act, and that the operation of the same is in the hands of the Municipality which derives fees under it, the cost of the shed should, I submit, be borne by the municipality, as it does other charges in carrying out the Act. There appears to be no more reason for charging this expenditure to the Port fund than there was in the case of the erection of the shed on the beach for emigrants, the cost of which was borne by the Emigration Department—*vide* G.O., Public, Mis. No. 527, dated 2nd March 1885.

2. Under the foregoing circumstances, I respectfully solicit that the Government will be pleased to cancel the debit of the charges in question against the Port fund, and to direct their being met from Provincial and Municipal funds respectively.

ORDER—dated 23rd March 1886, No. 256, Financial.

His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that the debit authorised in the orders marginally noted,* in connection with the groynes at Ponáni, be made against *Provincial* and not *Port* funds.

* G.O., No. 621, dated 22nd Mar. 1884, Finl.
" " 2558 " 6th Nov. "
" " 346 " 19th Feb. 1885 "
+ G.O., Mis. No. 321, dated 14th Feb. 1885, Finl.
2. As regards the debit of the cost of erection of the petroleum shed on the beach at Madras, His Excellency in Council, after a careful consideration of the question, directs that the charge be equally divided between *Port* funds and *Provincial*.

2. The Accountant-General will make the necessary adjustments in these two cases.

(True Extract.)

To the Master Attendant, Madras.
" Accountant-General.
" Public Works Department.
" Financial (M.) Department.
" Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Chief Secretary.

Gobt. of {1886} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

23RD MARCH 1886, No. 256, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieut., R.N.R., Master Attendant, to the Acting
Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th December 1885,
No. 10663.

Administrative Department.

With reference to the Government orders as per margin, copies of which were

G.O., No. 2492 W., 8th Oct. 1884, P.W.

" " 270 W., 4th Feb. 1885 "

442 W., 25th

No. 2492 W., dated 8th October 1884, Public Works Dept., the construction of the groynes and revetments at Ponāni is intended for the protection of the town, and the cost of the same is therefore not a legitimate charge against Port funds, in reference to the provisions of section 47 of the Indian Ports Act XII of 1875. It is also observed that the cost of the erection of the petroleum shed on the beach is sanctioned in G.O., No. 270 W., Public Works Department, dated 4th February 1885, to be borne by harbour dues as sub-head of Port funds, but considering that it is to be built in carrying out the rules under the Petroleum Act, and that the operation of the same is in the hands of the *Municipality* which derives fees under it, the cost of the shed should, I submit, be borne by the municipality, as it does other charges in carrying out the Act. There appears to be no more reason for charging this expenditure to the Port fund than there was in the case of the erection of the shed on the beach for emigrants, the cost of which was borne by the Emigration Department—*vide* G.O., Public, Mis. No. 527, dated 2nd March 1885.

2. Under the foregoing circumstances, I respectfully solicit that the Government will be pleased to cancel the debit of the charges in question against the Port fund, and to direct their being met from Provincial and Municipal funds respectively.

ORDER—dated 23rd March 1886, No. 256, Financial.

His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that the debit authorised in the

* G.O., No. 621, dated 22nd Mar. 1884, Finl.

" " 2558 " 6th Nov. " "

„ „ 346 „ 19th Feb. 1885 „

†G.O., Mis. No. 321, dated 14th Feb. 1885, Finl

Council, after a careful consideration of the question, directs that the charge be equally divided between *Port* funds and *Provincial*.

2. The Accountant-General will make the necessary adjustments in these two cases.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Master Attendant, Madras.

Accountant-General.

Public Works Department.

Financial (M.) Department.

„ Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Issued

Recd. 24 86

Encl.

G.O., 23rd March 1886, No. 256.

MARCH.

Port Funds.

Directing that the cost of constructing the groynes at Ponani be debited to Provincial funds and not to —, and that of building the petroleum shed at Madras be equally divided between — and Provincial.