

618 Wd/8-3-86
7978 Wd/16-9-86
No. 946 Wd/7th April 86


Prch 2405



To
The Government regret
that they are unable
to admit as a charge
against the State,
the cost of constructing
a tennis court for
the Suptg Engineer's
residence at Bezvada.

From.
The Suptg. Engineer,
2nd Circle.
To, The Chief Engineer,
P. W. D.

Dated 22nd March 1886.



2. The action of
the Suptg Engineer in
charging this item
to the estimate for
his residence was
contrary to the
principle enunciated
in para 1680 of the
P. W. Code.

N^o. 2253 Rs.

746 Wd/7th April 1886

Bezvada. Suptg. Engineer's
Residence at - Ordinary
gravelled Tennis Court to -
Requesting that the cost of the
may be allowed to form part
of the cost of the Residence.

2/4/86
Lve

S. E. II Circle

2. 4. 86
E. W. Aceto
2/4/86

With order

Continued by Eng. in office.

2405

Letter from S. G. II Circle
No 2253 K.S. of 22.3.86.

To Du

for orders.

Please see item 3
in O. I. Statement for
Decr 1885, disposed of
by No 618 W of 8.3.86

Please see also
para 1680 of the M.
Code.

C₁
30.8.86

Connected with
CN^o 9424 - No 11.
BN^o 29416/
18.X.8

one else would have

The Cost of making
a new tunnel must
cannot of course be
charged against Govt
even though there is a
saving on the expense for
the building
The S.C. should I think
be informed that the
cost must be borne
by himself & that his
action in charging is
against the service
for the ent. is
costing \$1680
D. W. Cox.

30/3/86

W.S.
30.3.86

No. 2253. H.S.

Superintending Engineer's Office
2nd Circle, Camp Bellona
22nd March 1886.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

Sir,

When I first visited Bezanada last September, I found the residence of the Superintending Engineer being completed within the estimated amount. There were a few necessary additions to the Building, the total cost of which, added to the outlay already incurred, I ascertained would not exceed the Estimate. Amongst these was an ordinary gravelled Tennis Court. Imagining that, provided the Estimate was not exceeded, no objection would be raised to my authorizing the work I directed it to be put in hand. Had I thought that the Examiner or anyone else would have