Nos 2399W. 13.10.83.
W. 23.1.88.
5-2-82,
-4.83.

10 / . . . G.

pe. . . . gineer's Office
2nd Circle, Camp Nellore
16th March 1886

From

The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain early orders of Government on the Statement of Temporary Establishment required in the 2nd Circle, submitted with this Office letter No 364 Gl. of 15th February 1886, as the Executive Engineer, Sangam Project Division will have to take the necessary steps for employing a Surveyor and Lascars to work from the 1st April 1886.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servant,

J. de Mornant
Lieut. Colonel R.E.
Superintending Engineer II Circle

1890
In the current section

---

action has been taken in view to its removal.

19/3/86

19.3.86

Desd
22/3/86

No. 755 W. of March 22nd 1886

22.3

8/4

23/3.

Nos 7399W. 13.10.83.
14W. 23.1.88.
5-2-82,
5-4.83

10/ . . v. G.

pe gineer's Office
2nd Circle, amp Nellore
16th March 1886

From

The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain early orders of Government on the Statement of Temporary Establishment required in the 2nd Circle, submitted with this Office letter No. 364Gl. of 15th February 1886, as the Executive Engineer, Langam Project Division will have to take the necessary steps for employing a Surveyor and Lascars to work from the 1st April 1886.

1890
In the Current Section

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servant,

J. L. L. Morant
Lieut. Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer II Circle

---

the suggestion of C.E. in the latter part of his note is the only way I can see out of the difficulty; but if the change in classes be necessary the only thing to do will be to leave matters as they are, since the Govt. of India refuse to agree to the original proposals.

17/3/86

ma

maintained, they are

NDIA.
ARTMENT.

he Government of Madras,
blic Works Department.

rt William, 9th March 1886.

cted to acknowledge the
tter No. 2224 dated 26th
rding for perusal a
sed by V. S. Sastri, Overseer,
advantages he and his
our under, in consequence
intment of Military men
verseer, and enquiring whether
tion to the Madras Govern-
35 per mensem, as the staff
ary men in all three grades
aving it to the discretion of
t as to the grade to which
e first appointed.
I am to state that as it is
ormity in Establishment
far as practicable, be
overnment of India, as at
present

Nos 2399W. 13.10.83.
1W. 23.1.88.
5-2-82,
5-4.82

10 / ... G.

...ineer's Office
...llore
...1886

From

The

To

The

Sir,

I

you will

orders of G

Temporary

2nd Circle,

No 364 G. of

Engineer, L

to take

a Surveyor

1st April

1890
In the Current Section

he,

Servant,

Lieut. Colonel R.E.
Superintending Engineer II Circle

1985

To D.W.

In view to relieve Civilian overseers from the supersession involved by the direct appointment of soldier students to the first grade of overseer, it was proposed to the G. of I. in this office letter No 222 W of 26.1.86, that Rs 85 per mensem be fixed as the staff salary for military men in all three grades of overseers, leaving it to the discretion of Govt as to the grade to which the men shall be first appointed.

In reply, the G. of I. say that as uniformity in establt. matters should be maintained, they are

---

...05 G.

...T OF INDIA.

... DEPARTMENT.

...ERAL.

...y to the Government of Madras,
...l Public Works Department.

Fort William, 9th March 1886.

...directed to acknowledge the
... letter No 222 W dated 26th
...rwarding for perusal a
...ressed by V. S. Sastri, Overseer,
...disadvantages he and his
...abour under, in consequence
...pointment of Military men
... Overseer, and enquiring whether
...ction to the Madras Govern-
... 85 per mensem, as the staff
...tary men in all three grades
...leaving it to the discretion of
...t as to the grade to which
...be first appointed.

I am to state that as it is
...formity in Establishment
... far as practicable, be
...overnment of India, as at
present

Nos 2399W. 13.10.83.
1W. 23.1.88.
5-2-82,
5-4.88

10, …

From

To

Sir,

you wi…
orders …
Tempor…
2nd Co…
No 364…
Engine…
to tak…
a Surv…
1st A…

1890
In the Current Section.

…ute Calcutta
Superintending Engineer II Circle

---

this will not benefit, or rather it will intensify the grievance of men already in the second & third grades.

It seems difficult to suggest any measure of ~~~~ relief, other than the extreme one of abolishing the 2d & 3d grades of overseer altogether, or rather of retaining them only for men who do badly in the first grade. All men now in the 2d & 3d grades who have been well recommended, to be promoted at once to 1st grade & to be arranged for seniority according to date of appt. to the dept. All ~~Cand~~ ~~Students~~ future appts of Civil Students to

---

those who do badly after a time to be relegated to the second or third grades.

This may be considered too radical a measure, but it is apparently the only one which would give satisfaction to all — The extra cost to Govt would be about Rs 1000 per mensem.

For orders.

C…
17-3-86.

No 405G.
…MENT OF INDIA.
…RKS DEPARTMENT.
…GENERAL.

…ary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Fort William, 9th March 1886.

… directed to acknowledge the
…r letter No 2224 dated 26th
…forwarding for perusal a
…dressed by V. S. Sastri, Overseer,
… disadvantages he and his
…labour under, in consequence
…pointment of Military men
… Overseer, and enquiring whether
…ction to the Madras Govern-
…85 per mensem, as the staff
…ary men in all three grades
…aving it to the discretion of
… as to the grade to which
… first appointed.
… am to state that as it is
…mity in Establishment
…ar as practicable, be
…the Government of India, as at
present.

Nos 2399W. 13.10.83.
1W. 23.1.88.
5-2-82,
5-4.8[illegible]

10 [illegible]

From

To

Sir,

you wi

orders

Tempor

2nd Cir

No 364

Engineer

to tak

a Surv

1st A

1890
In the current
Section.

late Calcutta
Superintending Engineer II Circle

Before taking action on letter from India No. 405 G of 9-3-86, it seems to me that it would be well to forward copies of the corres=pondence to Bombay, and to inquire whether a similar difficulty has been experienced in that Presidency, and if so, what action has been taken in view to its removal.

18.3.86

No. 405 G.

NMENT OF INDIA.

ORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

tary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Fort William, 9th March 1886.

m directed to acknowledge the
our letter No 2224W dated 26th
5, forwarding for perusal a
addressed by V. S. Sastri, Overseer,
the disadvantages he and his
s labour under, in consequence
appointment of Military men
of Overseer, and enquiring whether
objection to the Madras Govern-
Rs 85 per mensem, as the staff
Military men in all three grades
d leaving it to the discretion of
nent as to the grade to which
ll be first appointed.
ly, I am to state that as it is
uniformity in Establishment
ld, as far as practicable, be
maintained, the Government of India, as at
present

SEC 24/3

26/7/81

Nos 2399W. 13.10.85.
1W. 23.1.86.
5-2-86,
5-4.86

10 [illegible]

From

To

Sir,

you wi

orders

Tempor

2nd Civ

No 364

Enginee

to tak

a Surv

1st A

1890
In the Current
Section.

Cl

[illegible] taking action on
[illegible] letter No 405 G
dated [illegible]
9-3-86, [illegible]

ute Calcutta
Enginee[illegible]ding Engineer II Circle

No 405 G.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Fort William, 9th March 1886.

Sir,

Flag C

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 222W dated 26th January 1886, forwarding for perusal a memorial addressed by V. S. Sastri, Overseer, setting forth the disadvantages he and his contemporaries labour under, in consequence of the direct appointment of Military men to the 1st grade of Overseer, and enquiring whether there is any objection to the Madras Government fixing Rs 85 per mensem, as the staff salary for Military men in all three grades of Overseers, and leaving it to the discretion of that Government as to the grade to which the men shall be first appointed.

2. In reply, I am to state that as it is considered that uniformity in Establishment matters should, as far as practicable, be maintained, the Government of India, as at present

SEC 24/3

1/4

26/7/31

Nos 24

resent informed, regrets its inability to
unction the proposal of the Madras Govern-
nent. I am to point out that, as there is
io limit laid down for the number of Overseers
n each grade, and as promotions from the
grades of Overseer need not be made by
seniority, it seems possible that the difficulty
complained of may be overcome without
changing the existing rule, which received
the approval of the Secretary of State.

3 The memorial referred to in para 1
is returned as requested.

15 MAR 86

Rec 15/3/86

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t servant

Colonel R.E.
Secretary to the Gov^t of India

C.R.

Document accompanying

1 Memorial of V.S. Sastri

Nos 138W. 18.1.86	221-2W. 26.1.86.
1851W. 11.8.85	1291W. 23-5.84
2813W. 8.10.83	1304W. 23.5.84
1361W. 9.5.83	2479-80W. 4.9.83.
976W. 30.3."	2036W. 26.7.83.
580W. 22.2.83	1877W. 5-7.83.
571-2W. 22." "	1491W. 25.5.83.
108W. 11.1.83	1361W. 9.5.83.
3008W. 14.11.82	2873W. 8.10.83.
2722W. 15.10.82.	
2184W. } 24.8.82.	
2183W }	
1512W - 16.6.82	
1336W - 29.5.82	
673W. 16.3.82	
2862W. 16-11.81.	
2753W. 4.11.81.	
2703W. 2-11-81.	
W. 6-10.81.	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
REG^D NO. 1144/2
2 DEC 85
P.W.D.
NORTH ARCOT DIVISION

To

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

The humble Memorial of V. SUBRAMANIA SASTRI,
Overseer, second grade sub-protem, North Arcot Division.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

That your Memorialist memorialised the Madras Government in January 1884, on the subject of the block of promotion to himself and other civilian subordinates of this Department, caused by the annual direct appointments of military students of the Madras Civil Engineering College to the first grade of overseers.

2. That Government were pleased in G. O. No. 1291, W., dated 23rd May 1884, to recognise the effect of such direct apppointments, which, to use their own words, was "*most injuriously retarding the promotion of second and third grade overseers;*" and accordingly to order some concessions being made on their behalf. B

3. That your Memorialist begs, in the present instance, most respectfully to point out that these concessions do not, by any means, redress the grievance complained of by him; and he, therefore, now appeals to your high sense of justice and magnanimity of heart in the full hope that you may be impelled to protect his interests, to the extent warranted by a consideration of justice, from being sacrificed to the interests of others, by again laying the matter before Government and obtaining, if possible, a favorable ruling on behalf of himself and other civilian subordinates.

Go: Mar/86
B
K: 1.71

4. That your Memorialist, while being thankful for the advantage secured to the Civilian subordinates of this Department, by the ruling contained in the G. O. above quoted, begs to bring to notice that this advantage merely consists in a temporary addition to their pay; and that even this temporary addition must cease, when the number of third and second grade overseers shall have become nil, and that of first grade overseers, proportionately increased, both which must, under the ruling in question, inevitably take place in the course of a few years. B.

5. That your Memorialist regrets that the ruling in question, does not confer the slightest advantage in reference to advancement to the higher grades of the branch, and that the distance of the subordinates is as remote from those grades, as if the ruling had never been passed. This your Memorialist now proceeds to show:

6. That the three Military overseers, marginally named, who joined the Department in June 1885, as apprentice overseers, first grade, will, in the ordinary course, as at present followed, become absorbed in the permanent establishment in July 1886. They will then at once become the seniors of your Memorialist and be on the first grade of overseers, although they will have no real service to their credit, while your Memorialist, in spite of his nine years' responsible service, will, at the best, be merely confirmed in the present appointment of second grade *sub-protem*.

1. W. H. Goddard, Private.
2. T. Murphy, Gunner.
3. J. Ruck, Private.

1450
2

S.J.C. 24/3

resent informed, regrets its inability to
nction the proposal of the Madras Govern-
nent. I am to point out that, as there is
o limit laid down for the number of Overseers
n each grade, and as promotions from the
grades of Overseer need not be made by
seniority, it seems possible that the difficulty
complained of may be overcome without
changing the existing rule, which received
the approval of the Secretary of State.

3 The memorial referred to in para 1
is returned as requested.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t^ servant

W. ... Colonel R.E.

Secretary to the Govt of India

C.W.

Document accompanying

1 memorial of V. S. Sastri

Nos 138 W. 18.1.86
1851 W. 11.8.85
2813 W. 8.10.83
1361 W. 9.5.83
976 W. 30.3. "
580 W. 22.2.83
571-2 W. 22. " "
108 W. 11.1.83
3008 W. 14.11.82
2722 W. 15.10.82.
2184 W. } 24.8.82.
2183 W }
1512 W - 16.6.82
1336 W - 29.5.82
673 W. 16.3.82
2862 W. 16.11.81.
2753 W. 4.11.81.
2703 W. 2.11.81.
2..7 W. 6.10.80.

221-2 W. 26.1.86.
1291 W. 23.5.84
1304 W. 23.5.84
2479-80 W. 4.9.83.
2036 W. 26.7.83.
1877 W. 5.7.83.
1491 W. 25.5.83.
1361 W. 9.5.83.
2873 W. 8.10.83.

S. Ch. 14

15 MAR 86
DEPARTMENT

Recd 15/3/86

En not recd

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
REG'D NO. 1144/2
2? DEC. 85
P. W. D.
NORTH ARCOT DIVISION

To

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

The humble Memorial of V. SUBRAMANIA SASTRI,
Overseer, second grade sub-protem, North Arcot Division.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

That your Memorialist memorialised the Madras Government in January 1884, on the subject of the block of promotion to himself and other civilian subordinates of this Department, caused by the annual direct appointments of military students of the Madras Civil Engineering College to the first grade of overseers.

2. That Government were pleased in G. O. No. 1291, W., dated 23rd May 1884, to recognise the effect of such direct apppointments, which, to use their own words, was "*most injuriously retarding the promotion of second and third grade overseers;*" and accordingly to order some concessions being made on their behalf. B

3. That your Memorialist begs, in the present instance, most respectfully to point out that these concessions do not, by any means, redress the grievance complained of by him; and he, therefore, now appeals to your high sense of justice and magnanimity of heart in the full hope that you may be impelled to protect his interests, to the extent warranted by a consideration of justice, from being sacrificed to the interests of others, by again laying the matter before Government and obtaining, if possible, a favorable ruling on behalf of himself and other civilian subordinates.

Go: Mar/86
B
L: 1.71

4. That your Memorialist, while being thankful for the advantage secured to the Civilian subordinates of this Department, by the ruling contained in the G. O. above quoted, begs to bring to notice that this advantage merely consists in a temporary addition to their pay; and that even this temporary addition must cease, when the number of third and second grade overseers shall have become nil, and that of first grade overseers, proportionately increased, both which must, under the ruling in question, inevitably take place in the course of a few years. B

5. That your Memorialist regrets that the ruling in question, does not confer the slightest advantage in reference to advancement to the higher grades of the branch, and that the distance of the subordinates is as remote from those grades, as if the ruling had never been passed. This your Memorialist now proceeds to show:

6. That the three Military overseers, marginally named, who joined the Department in June 1885, as apprentice overseers, first grade, will, in the ordinary course, as at present followed, become absorbed in the permanent establishment in July 1886. They will then at once become the seniors of your Memorialist and be on the first grade of overseers, although they will have no real service to their credit, while your Memorialist, in spite of his nine years' responsible service, will, at the best, be merely confirmed in the present appointment of second grade *sub-protem*.

1. W. H. Goddard, Private.
2. T. Murphy, Gunner.
3. J. Ruck, Private.

1450
2

26/9/81

7. That similarly the Military men now undergoing training at the College will pass out from it in May 1886; and after a year's course of apprenticeship, get absorbed in the permanent establishment in July 1887; and they too will become at once the seniors of your Memorialist.

8. That the case does not unfortunately stop here; but applies with equal force, to each batch of Military men passing out from the College, until your Memorialist has, after a number of years, attained to the first grade of overseers.

9. That at the date of G. O., No. 1291, W., dated 23rd May 1884 and in that G. O. the scales of the different grades of overseers, from July 1883, are put at

Overseers, first grade 32
Do. second do. 28
Do. third do. 32

Since this date, the number of Military overseers, appointed permanently to the first grade is two (*vide* Establishment List, corrected up to 30th June 1885). In July 1883, your Memorialist stood 19th on the list of third grade overseers. Ignoring all *sub-protem* and temporary promotions, the actual scale of these grades, according to the G. O. in question, should be on the 30th June 1885,

Overseers, first grade 34
Do. second do. 28
Do. third do. 30

and your Memorialist has risen two places on the list of third grade overseers, and now stands 17th on that list.

10. That it will be seen then that the appointments of Military men, in the year July 1883 to June 1884, were only two, and the casualties in the overseer grades may be taken also at two. But giving a more liberal rate and putting the vacancies and casualties in the overseer grades at seven and the Military appointments at five[*], your Memorialist who, on the 30th June 1884, stands 17th on the list of third grade overseers, will be four places up the list of first grade overseers in June 1891, that is, will have risen 49 places in seven years; he will, moreover, in the same period, *i.e.*, between July 1883 and June 1891, have been superseded by 37 Military men appointed direct from the College.

11. That, moreover, considering that the scale of first grade overseers is increased in proportion to the number of Military appointments made to it year by year, the strength of this grade of subordinates, in June 1891, would be 34 + 37=71; and your Memorialist being fourth from the bottom of the list of first grade of overseers would still be 67 places from the rank of supervisor second grade. Taking casualties and vacancies on the Sub-Engineer and Supervisor grades, also at seven (which is a liberal rate) it would take your Memorialist close upon ten years more to reach the grade of Supervisor, second grade.

12. That your Memorialist will, when he reaches the Supervisor, second grade, be 24 years' service and will have been superseded 58 times in this period, *i.e.*, 37 times as shown in para. 10 and 21 times as shown in the statement referred to in para. 15 of this Memorial.

13. That your Memorialist would here state that the above calculations have been framed.

(1) on the ruling of G. O. No. 1291, W., dated 23rd may 1884, where the scale of subordinates in the overseer grades is fixed at 92. B

(2) on the understanding that permanent promotions alone are taken into consideration, temporary and *sub-protem* promotions being ignored, and

(3) on the assumption that promotions go by seniority.

---

[*] G. O., No. 2317, W., dated 6th October 1880 lays down that six Military subordinates may be brought on to overseer first grade yearly.

14. That your Memorialist is, at this time, a second grade overseer, *sub-protem*; but his true position, as gathered from the list, is as stated in para. 10 of this Memorial, 17th on the list of third grade overseers.

15. That the case of your Memorialist will, however, be far worse, if under G. O. No. 2317, W., dated 6th October 1880, more Military men are appointed, each year, than there are vacancies for. This G. O. sanctions the direct appointment of six Military students of the College annually, no matter how many vacancies exist. For, in paras. 2 and 3 it is stated that "*these apprentice overseers will, during their year of probation, be outside the Public Works Establishment. At the close of the year, they will be brought on to the permanent strength of the Department, as supernumeraries, provided no vacancies exist for them to fill. The Chief Engineer will take the earliest opportunity of absorbing such supernumeraries.*"

16. That your Memorialist submits herewith a statement showing the names of those subordinates who joined the Department after him, but who stand senior, owing to their direct appointments from the College to higher grades than the third grade of overseers.

17. That of the twenty-three men therein named, it will be seen that no less than five are men appointed directly to the grade of supervisors, owing probably to their superior qualifications as B. C. Es., and A. C. Es.

18. That such direct appointments, however, for reasons similar to those urged in this Memorial, have been ordered by the Government of India to be stopped for the future (*vide* Letter from the Under-Secretary to Government of India, dated Fort William, 13th March 1883, No. 414 G., addressed to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department).

19. That your Memorialist regrets very much that the principle, which prompted the issue of such an order, has not also been acted upon, in the case of Military men, in favor of whose appointments to the first grade of overseers over the heads of their seniors in service, no such argument as that of special qualifications as B. C. E. and A. C. E., urged in favor of the direct appointments to supervisor grades, can be brought forward.

20. That the result to your Memorialist, as already shown in para. 12 and 15, is that he can never hope to rise above the rank of first grade overseer; and he ventures to think that the prospects thus held out to the Civilian subordinates, who form, as it were, the bulwark of this Department, as being the immediate executors of works, will not conduce to a healthy moral tone among them, but may, on the other hand, even damp the spirit of such of them as are inclined to an honest course of life.

21. That your Memorialist, when he entered the Department in August 1877, was in full hopes that he would, some day, rise to be a sub-Engineer, first grade, as the practice of direct appointments to the higher grades was not then in vogue, in any shape whatever; and all the subordinates, even the Military men included, began with the third grade of overseers. He sees, therefore, no reason for the introduction, in recent years, of an arrangement, which deprives an important class of men of all chance of rising to the higher subordinate posts in the service to which they belong.

22. That your Memorialist believes, however, that it is not intended that the Military men should monopolise these higher posts, to the exclusion of native subordinates, as this would be entirely opposed to the spirit of the ruling of the Secretary of State that all posts in the Uncovenanted Civil Service, above Rs. 200 per mensem should be exclusively reserved for natives, and that no European should be appointed to such a post without his special sanction, and further the lower ranks would then hardly attract any worthy men, equal to the responsibility attaching to their duties.

23. That the Engineer branch of the Department being now almost entirely closed against natives, it does not seem, to your Memorialist, at all likely

that it is the intention of Government to reserve the higher posts of even the subordinate branch, exclusively for European Military men; he, therefore, believes that the arrangement in question is only intended to attract a few Military men for service in the upper subordinate branch, as it gives those men some pecuniary advantages, greater than would be secured to them if they began with the third grade of overseers. If this be the case, he would point out that the Military men may begin with the third grade of overseers, but with a higher rate of pay than that of a Civilian subordinate. For instance, the Military men's pay may be half as much again as that of a native, thus bringing the pay of the latter to be two-thirds of that of his Military brethren, which is the proportion recently fixed by the Secretary of State, in the case of natives appointed to posts ordinarily held by Covenanted Civil Servants.

96/88

24. That your Memorialist believes that such an arrangement would, while giving the Military men real advantage and satisfaction, on the one hand, remove altogether, on the other, the block of promotion now complained of. There would then be a fair competition by which both classes of subordinates might, with equal chances of success, rise up to the highest position in the branch of the service to which they belong.

25. That if this, however, is not the correct view, that is, if pecuniary advantage alone is not what is intended to be secured to the Military subordinates, but that the higher posts should be exclusively held by them, your Memorialist has personally nothing to complain of in respect to such a policy, except that the arrangement in question should not stand in the way of his prospects.

26. That at the time that your Memorialist entered the Department, he had the prospect of, some day, becoming a Sub-Engineer; he now contends that this prospect the recent arrangement should not undo. In other words, he prays that the present system, should not have a retrospective effect, which it has had, in the case of your Memorialist, with the result that he stands in the low position that he does.

27. That your Memorialist begs most sincerely to express his unbounded confidence in the justice of the Government under which he serves, and feels that he has only to point out his grievances to have them redressed; particularly under your benign administration of the Department, he feels assured that any glaring act of injustice, properly brought to notice, will receive due attention.

28. That your Memorialist trusts, therefore, that Government will not, knowingly, commit themselves to a course of policy by which one class of men shall thrive at the expence of another,—a circumstance which he considers not compatible with British justice, especially when the injured class happens, accidentally as he is willing to believe, to be the weaker, being natives; and the benefitted class the stronger, being Europeans.

29. That your Memorialist earnestly prays that orders may be issued, such that the loss of prospects he has sustained, by the numerous cases of supersession brought to notice, may be made good by reinstating him in his proper position above his juniors in service.

30. That your Memorialist begs, in conclusion, to be excused for having ventured to address you on this subject, and trusts entirely to your mercy and generosity of heart for a favorable consideration of the question and a free pardon of any shortcomings in the wording of this Memorial, that he may be guilty of; for which acts of kindness and forbearance he shall, as in duty bound ever pray, for your long life, health, wealth and prosperity.

26th December 1885
Vellore

V. Soobramania Sastri
Overseer

---

have 3d grade
1144/3

Statement of Subordinates appointed to higher grades of Overseers, and Consequently Superseding the Memorialist.

Names.	Date of appointment to P. W. D.	Grade to which at first appointed.	Present Grade	Remarks.
1. A. Nilakunta Sastri. B.C.E.	Nov/82.	Supervisor 2d grade	Supervisor 1st grade	Vide para 17 of Memorial.
2 V. Nityanada Moodr. B.A. B.C.E	Do.	Do.	Do. 2d grade	
3 N. Rajagopala Iyengar B.C.E.	Do.	Do.	Do. 1st grade	
4 S. X. Saldanha B.C.E.	Do.	Do.	Do. 2d grade	
5 S. Subramonia Iyer B.A. B.C.E.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
6 R. Clarke.	March/81	Do.	Do. 1st grade	The reason for the direct-appointments of these men is not known they being neither B.C.Es. A.C.Es nor even Military men.
7 J. Willis	Aug/81	overseer 2d grade	overseer 1st grade	
8 C. H. Marrow.	June/82	Do.	Do. 2d grade	
9 S. S. Raghava Iyengar	Nov/82	Do.	Do.	
10 L. A. Rungasamy Iyer.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
11 C. S. Srinivasa Iyer.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
12 J. H. Elyett Serjeant	Oct/81	overseer 1st grade.	Supervisor 2d grade Sub-pro tem	
13 L. Donaghue. Do.	Do.	Do.	overseer 1st grade	
14 H. Slaney. Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
[illegible] Do.	[illegible]	Do.	[illegible]	

1450/2

that it is the intention of Government to reserve the higher posts of even the subordinate branch, exclusively for European Military men; he, therefore, believes that the arrangement in question is only intended to attract a few Military men for service in the upper subordinate branch, as it gives those men some pecuniary advantages, greater than would be secured to them if they began with the third grade of overseers. If this be the case, he would point out that the Military men may begin with the third grade of overseers, but with a higher rate of pay than that of a Civilian subordinate. For instance, the Military men's pay may be half as much again as that of a native, thus bringing the pay of the latter to be two-thirds of that of his Military brethren, which is the proportion recently fixed by the Secretary of State, in the case of natives appointed to posts ordinarily held by Covenanted Civil Servants.

[illegible] 96/86

24. That your Memorialist believes that such an arrangement would, while giving the Military men real advantage and satisfaction, on the one hand, remove altogether, on the other, the block of promotion now complained of. There would then be a fair competition by which both classes of subordinates might, with equal chances of success, rise up to the highest position in the branch of the service to which they belong.

25. That if this, however, is not the correct view, that is, if pecuniary advantage alone is not what is intended to be secured to the Military subordinates, but that the higher posts should be exclusively held by them, your Memorialist has personally nothing to complain of in respect to such a policy, except that the arrangement in question should not stand in the way of his prospects.

26. That at the time that your Memorialist entered the Department, he had the prospect of, some day, becoming a Sub-Engineer; he now contends that this prospect the recent arrangement should not undo. In other words, he prays that the present system, should not have a retrospective effect, which it has had, in the case of your Memorialist, with the result that he stands in the low position that he does.

27. That your Memorialist begs most sincerely to express his unbounded confidence in the justice of the Government under which he serves, and feels that he has only to point out his grievances to have them redressed; particularly under your benign administration of the Department, he feels assured that any glaring act of injustice, properly brought to notice, will receive due attention.

28. That your Memorialist trusts, therefore, that Government will not, knowingly, commit themselves to a course of policy by which one class of men shall thrive at the expence of another,—a circumstance which he considers not compatible with British justice, especially when the injured class happens, accidentally as he is willing to believe, to be the weaker, being natives; and the benefitted class the stronger, being Europeans.

29. That your Memorialist earnestly prays that orders may be issued, such that the loss of prospects he has sustained, by the numerous cases of supersession brought to notice, may be made good by reinstating him in his proper position above his juniors in service.

30. That your Memorialist begs, in conclusion, to be excused for having ventured to address you on this subject, and trusts entirely to your mercy and generosity of heart for a favorable consideration of the question and a free pardon of any shortcomings in the wording of this Memorial, that he may be guilty of; for which acts of kindness and forbearance he shall, as in duty bound ever pray, for your long life, health, wealth and prosperity.

26th December 1885
Vellore

V. Soobramania Sastri
Overseer

Statement of Subordinates appointed to
of Overseers, and Consequently Superseding th

	Names.	Date of appointment to P. W. D.	Grade to at first-a ed.
1.	A. Nilakunta Sastri. B. C. E.	Nov/82.	Supervisor.
2	V. Nityanada Moodr. B. A. B. C. E	Do.	Do.
3	N. Rajagopala Iyengar B. C. E.	Do.	Do.
4	S. X. Saldanha B. C. E.	Do.	Do.
5	S. Subramonia Iyer B. A. B. C. E.	Do.	Do.
6	R. Clarke.	March/81	Do.
7	J. Willis	Aug/81	overseer 2d g
8	C. H. Marrow.	June/82	Do.
	S. S. Raghava Iyengar	Nov/82	Do.
0	L. A. Rungasamy Iyer.	Do.	Do.
	C. S. Srinivasa Iyer.	Do.	Do.
2	J. H. Elyett Serjeant	Oct/81	overseer 1st g
3	L. Donaghue. Do.	Do.	Do.
	H. Slaney. Do.	Do.	Do.
	[illegible] Do.	[illegible]	Do.

1450/2

Statement of Subordinates appointed to higher grades [illegible]

02 Jn 2
96/86

[illegible]

No. 755 Wd/ March 22nd 1886 P.W.D.

To the Secy to the Govt of Bombay
P.W. Dept

Sir,

Pros. of the Madras Govt.
No. 1291 W d/ 23.5.84.
Letter to Govt of India No. 222 W d/ 26.1.86.
Letter from Govt of India No. 405 d/ 9.3.86.

I am directed to forward copies of the correspondence marginally noted, and to request the favor of information whether a similar difficulty has been experienced in the Bombay Presidency with respect to the supersession of second & third grade overseers by military apprentices who are appointed direct to the first grade, and if so, what

action has been taken
in view to its removal.

19/3/86

19.3.86

Desptd
22/3/86

No 155 Wilf March 22d 1886

22.3

8/4

Cu

3/3.

action has been taken
in view to its removal.

19/3/86

19.3.86

Desk
22/3/86

No. 755 W dated March 22nd 1886

22.3

8/4

3/3.

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

PENSION.

G.O. No. 762 W dated 22.3.86

Issued

Recd. Encl.

Communicated to all officers of the P.W.D.

G.O., 9th March 1886, No. 246.

MARCH.

Gratuity.

Declining to grant any retiring allowance to C. Chellamappa, late gumastah, Anantapur district, and directing that all heads of departments shall take in future a written statement from such officers as voluntarily apply to retire before they are entitled to any pension or —, to the effect that they understand the consequence of their resignation.

action has been taken
in view to its removal.

19/3/86

19.3.86

Despd
22/3/86

No. 755 W dated March 22nd 1886

22.3

8/4

3802 3/3

G. O. No. 762 W dated 22.3.86

Communicated to
all officers of the
P. W. D.

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 9th March 1886, No. 246.

MARCH.

Gratuity.

Declining to grant any retiring allowance to C. Chellamappa, late gumastah, Anantapur district, and directing that all heads of departments shall take in future a written statement from such officers as voluntarily apply to retire before they are entitled to any pension or —, to the effect that they understand the consequence of their resignation.