

3RD FEBRUARY 1886, No. 111, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the District Judge, Kistna, dated 24th November 1885, No. 272.

Certificate and report from W. T. PIERCY, Esq., Assistant Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Fort St. George, 11th January 1886, No. A.D.J.—300.

Submitted to Government. On representation by the District Judge, Kistna, that the applicant's age was more than fifty-five years and that he was therefore unfit for work owing to old age, the High Court, in their proceedings of 28th March 1885, ordered that he should be removed from the service and that an application for gratuity on his behalf should be submitted. The District Judge has accordingly forwarded an application. From an entry made therein, it was found that his age was only fifty-four years. On being pointed out that an application for a superannuation gratuity could not be entertained under the rules, the District Judge, in his letter, No. 298, dated 24th ultimo, accompanying, explains that the entry regarding age made in the application is a mistake. Should Government be pleased to accept the District Judge's statement as correct, the applicant's total period of qualifying service in inferior and superior grades may be held to have been proved for 19 years 5 months and 16 days, entitling him to an invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs. 87-8-0, equal to five months' pay, under sections 121 (a), and the note under rule 1, section 110, of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition). The calculations have been duly verified.

2. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge in his letter, No. 272, dated 24th November 1885, accompanying.

3. In accordance with rule 4, under section 134, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to section 69 of the code.

ORDER—dated 3rd February 1886, No. 111, Pension.

As the applicant is not, according to his service register, 55 years of age, and as the Government cannot accept the opinion of the heads of offices as to the age of their subordinates, the applicant must be restored to employment until he has attained the age of 55 years, when he can be retired.

2. Immediate effect should be given to this order, but there is no objection to allowing the applicant leave, if any such was due to him at the date that he was removed from office.

(True Extract.)

H. A. STUART,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
„ the District Judge, Kistna.
„ the Collector of Kistna.
„ all Heads of departments.

Exd. A. Raitt.

N^o 383 Wd/11.2.86

Communicated to
all officers of the P.W.
Department

Sd/- J.S.S.
W

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 3rd February 1886, No. 111.

N^o 383 Wd/11.2.86

FEBRUARY.

Pension.

Communicating to all heads of departments an order directing the immediate restoration of P. Sitayya, clerk, District Munsif's Court, Bapatla, Kistna district, to his employment until he attains the age of 55 years.

Cefor I
Consy Engrs for Ryp
Supty Engrs
Consy chert to foot
Engr in chief state Ry survey
Ryp P. W. Sect
G. D. Ry Sect
State Ry Sect
both M & W

With order

3RD FEBRUARY 1886, No. 111, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the District Judge, Kistna, dated 24th November 1885, No. 272.

Certificate and report from W. T. PIERCY, Esq., Assistant Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Fort St. George, 11th January 1886, No. A.D.J.—300.

Submitted to Government. On representation by the District Judge, Kistna, that the applicant's age was more than fifty-five years and that he was therefore unfit for work owing to old age, the High Court, in their proceedings of 28th March 1885, ordered that he should be removed from the service and that an application for gratuity on his behalf should be submitted. The District Judge has accordingly forwarded an application. From an entry made therein, it was found that his age was only fifty-four years. On being pointed out that an application for a superannuation gratuity could not be entertained under the rules, the District Judge, in his letter, No. 298, dated 24th ultimo, accompanying, explains that the entry regarding age made in the application is a mistake. Should Government be pleased to accept the District Judge's statement as correct, the applicant's total period of qualifying service in inferior and superior grades may be held to have been proved for 19 years 5 months and 16 days, entitling him to an invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs. 87-8-0, equal to five months' pay, under sections 121 (a), and the note under rule 1, section 110, of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition). The calculations have been duly verified.

2. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge in his letter, No. 272, dated 24th November 1885, accompanying.

3. In accordance with rule 4, under section 134, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to section 69 of the code.

ORDER—dated 3rd February 1886, No. 111, Pension.

As the applicant is not, according to his service register, 55 years of age, and as the Government cannot accept the opinion of the heads of offices as to the age of their subordinates, the applicant must be restored to employment until he has attained the age of 55 years, when he can be retired.

2. Immediate effect should be given to this order, but there is no objection to allowing the applicant leave, if any such was due to him at the date that he was removed from office.

(True Extract.)

H. A. STUART,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
„ the District Judge, Kistna.
„ the Collector of Kistna.
„ all Heads of departments.

Exd. A. Raitt.

N^o 383 Wdfr 2 86

Govt. of (1886) Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 3rd February 1886, No. 111.

N^o 383 Wdfr 2 86

FEBRUARY.

Pension.

Communicating to all heads of departments an order directing the immediate restoration of P. Sitayya, clerk, District Munsif's Court, Bápatla, Kistna district, to his employment until he attains the age of 55 years.

With or des

3RD FEBRUARY 1886, No. 111, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the District Judge, Kistna, dated 24th November 1885, No. 272.

Certificate and report from W. T. PIERCY, Esq., Assistant Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Fort St. George, 11th January 1886, No. A.D.J.—300.

Submitted to Government. On representation by the District Judge, Kistna, that the applicant's age was more than fifty-five years and that he was therefore unfit for work owing to old age, the High Court, in their proceedings of 28th March 1885, ordered that he should be removed from the service and that an application for gratuity on his behalf should be submitted. The District Judge has accordingly forwarded an application. From an entry made therein, it was found that his age was only fifty-four years. On being pointed out that an application for a superannuation gratuity could not be entertained under the rules, the District Judge, in his letter, No. 298, dated 24th ultimo, accompanying, explains that the entry regarding age made in the application is a mistake. Should Government be pleased to accept the District Judge's statement as correct, the applicant's total period of qualifying service in inferior and superior grades may be held to have been proved for 19 years 5 months and 16 days, entitling him to an invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs. 87-8-0, equal to five months' pay, under sections 121 (a), and the note under rule 1, section 110, of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition). The calculations have been duly verified.

2. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge in his letter, No. 272, dated 24th November 1885, accompanying.

3. In accordance with rule 4, under section 134, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to section 69 of the code.

ORDER—dated 3rd February 1886, No. 111, Pension.

As the applicant is not, according to his service register, 55 years of age, and as the Government cannot accept the opinion of the heads of offices as to the age of their subordinates, the applicant must be restored to employment until he has attained the age of 55 years, when he can be retired.

2. Immediate effect should be given to this order, but there is no objection to allowing the applicant leave, if any such was due to him at the date that he was removed from office.

(True Extract.)

H. B. Stuart.


Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
„ the District Judge, Kistna.
„ the Collector of Kistna.
„ all Heads of departments.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

PENSION.



Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 3rd February 1886, No. 111.

FEBRUARY.

Pension.

Communicating to all heads of departments an order directing the immediate restoration of P. Sitayya, clerk, District Munsif's Court, Bapatla, Kistna district, to his employment until he attains the age of 55 years.

B5

A4