

No. 1802 G.D

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

Public Works Dept.
FOR IRRIGATION.

DOWLAISHWARAM, 27th October 1886

Desd 3-11-86.

SIR,

(1) With reference to your Memo:
: randum No. $\frac{I}{110}$ G.D. dated the 20th Septem:
: ber 1886, on the subject of deficiency of
: stock articles in the Government Work:
: shops Dowlaishwaram, I have the honor
to submit herewith the statement called
for by you and to request that early
orders of Government may be obtained
with reference to them. The Stock
books of the shops will be altered for all
the other items in the Comparative State:
: ment in the way stated in para 19
of my No. 1219 of the 19th August 1886 which
seems the only way to start a fresh in:
accordance with the actual state of
affairs.

(2) Orders are requested on first part

Encl 1

1 Statement

I

part of para 5 of my letter No. 1237 G.D.
dated 19th August 1886.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

C. E. Swale

Superintending Engineer & Co. Circle.

No 3955 of 11th Nov 1886.

To L.D. R 208

With file, for
favor of remarks.

File
10/11/86. W

Show

P.W.C.P. ^{Encl} 8724
The Superintending Engineer
First Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Dated 27th 10 —
Despatched 3 } 11 — 1886.
Received 9 } 11 — 86.

No 1802 G.D.

Enclosures. one

Revised statement showing
differences between Books &
actuals shown in the Compa-
rative Statement of Work-
shop Stock taking —
Submits —

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation
No 33 W with file

As regards the deficiency in the workshop
accounts the undersigned does not see that anything
can be done but to accept the explanation given
in Supg Engineer's letter No 1219 G.D. of 18th August 1886,
and to alter the Stock Books as recommended
in para 19 of that letter.

The Supg Engineer suggests in para 5 of
his letter No 1237 G.D. of the same date that the
storekeeper's deposit should be forfeited, but it
appears to the undersigned that the Storekeeper's
superiors and the Divisional Accountant
are at least as much to blame for the state
in which the accounts have been allowed
to fall, and it does not seem right that the
whole punishment should fall on the
Storekeeper.

With regard to the irregular expenditure
incurred by Captain Rawson, that officer
frankly and freely admits his entire responsibility
and as he clearly acted in good faith it does
not seem necessary to take any severe notice
of his action, but he certainly deserves censure,
as

as it is astonishing that an officer of his
experience should not have seen the impropriety
of the course which he adopted.



Chapman
1st Dec 1886.

Wm. B. Smith
Lt Col. R.R.
Offg Chief Engineer for Inga

To

The Secretary to Govt I. R. D. with
reference to his office note no 3955 C
dated 11th November 1886.

W. B. Smith

P.W.C. No 9467

13. 12. 86

No: 2

with a file

Can. 11. 12. 86.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1886.

(P. W.
(DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras 20th October 1886.

OFFICE NOTE No. 521.



To
W.

Encls. one

Your O. N. No. 3584 C. dated 14th.
October 1886.

As no Work Vouchers for this
work for 1885-86 have yet been
received from Ganjam Division, though
called for in this Office No. 95 S.E.
1st. dated 21st. June 1886 and reminders
dated 21st. July, 20th. August and 15th.
September respectively, the required
information cannot be given.

2. In the Work Vouchers for
the current year up to August the
cost of work as executed is shewn in
lump and not distributed to sub
heads, and only 2 Contract Certificates
of Messrs. Massey and Co. have been
received, one of which (No. 10) is
forwarded for inspection and return.

3. The Establishment charge for
last year was in default of better
information calculated at $\frac{1,02,518}{2,30,903}$
of Rs. 10,695 = Rs. 4,748.

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188

4. In connection with this subject I beg to point out that there appears to be a mistake in para 2 of W's. memo No. 41 D. dated 21st. April 1886, as the timber used in the work can scarcely be considered "imported stores", the teak being obtained either from the Godavari or from Burmah, and the sal I believe mainly from the Ganjam District.

OC
C.

23/10/86

*note
19/10*

No. 3742 C of 23. Oct/86

Copy of the above forwarded

to the Supr. Engineer

for report whether as stated in para 2 above the timber used

on the Gopalpur pier is obtained from Burmah or from India

The Supr. Engineer is requested to obtain the following details & report without loss of time.

The total amount of expenditure incurred up to 31st March 1886 under:

1. Imported iron works
2. Imported timber
3. Actual construction of the bridge.

*Cii
22.10.86*

23/10/86

Pro

No. 3742 C of 23. Oct/86

Memo

Office note from the Govt. No. 5219/20.10.86

Copy of paras 1 to 3 of the foregoing note, with copy of note to the Govt. No. 3582 C of 14th Oct. 1886, forwarded to the S.E. I Circle, who will be good enough to report

What sum was spent on the Gopalpur Pier up to the end of March 1886 out of the

estimated cost Rs. 46,500, ~~or~~ "Construction".

2. The attention of the Supr. Engr is

is drawn to para 1
of the Fair note.

File
23/10/86

memo no. 3581 c of 14.10.86

S. O B no 1366 w of 31.5.86

memo no. $\frac{41}{42}$ D of 21.4.86

" " 13 D of 15.4.86

" " 5 D of 13.4.86

" " 4 D of 13.4.86

S. O B no 826 w of 26.3.86

memo no 1003 c of 12.3.86

S. O B no $\frac{2730}{2731}$ w of 3.11.86

" " A " 3069 w of 13.12.86

No 3742 c of 23. October/86

B

23/10

To Mr S. E. I. Cress

Cr

ru 19/10