

think it was a mistake to
call the timber 'imported'.
it certainly does not come under
the head of imported English
stones. but I do not think it
necessary to say anything more
about it. we have accepted Rs. 0
406 Rs. as being the contribution
for stone - The price of stone of
the 2nd class would be all
that is necessary added to Rs.

Done
23/10/86.

The modification
cannot therefore be effected
till the information required
in the accompanying Memo,
from the Sup^r Engineer
I Circle, is furnished.
It is proposed therefore
to defer carrying out the
necessary modification
in the amount of contri-
bution from Port Funds
on account of supervision
charges till the above
information is furnished,
and on receipt of the
information to carry out the
same in the revised
estimate for 1886-87.

W
22/10

It is a question whether
timber obtained from
Ponnur is not an
'imported' article

Ce 22.10.86

No. 3584 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepâk, 14th Oct 1886.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C.

With reference to
G.O. No. 1366 W, dated 31st
May 1886 please state
what sum was spent up to
March 1886 out of the
estimated cost, Rs. 46,500,
of the actual construction of
the Gopalpur pier.

Done

14/10/86. W.

Cu

Done 14.10.86.

14/10

no 8175.

CAW

If, as stated in para 4 of the Examiner's Notice Note, accompanying the tender used on the Gopalpur pier is not an imported article, as is represented in Memo: N^o 410 printed with G.O. d/10th May 1886, N^o 406 Financial, the amount on which the cost of establishment should be calculated will differ from what is entered in the Memo:.

The budget provision for contribution is 23 per cent on the total estimated cost of the pier under all sub-heads, and its modification will depend on the exact items to be classed as "imported stores &c."

The