22nd February 1883, No. 580 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

No. 149.

Read—the following letter from G. H. W. WALKER, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Fort William, 10th January 1883, No. 65 G.:—

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your endorsement, No. 3008 W., of 14th November 1882, submitting for confirmation copy of Proceedings regarding pay and allowances, &c., of Apprentice Supervisors and Overseers. Orders on questions (I) and (II) need no confirmation.

2. It appears, however, to the Government of India, as regards point (III), that the appointment of 5 Apprentice Supervisors and 10 Apprentice Overseers annually to the department is much in excess of the requirements of the Madras Presidency, the sanctioned scale of Upper Subordinates for which is 185. The number of such appointments must, therefore, be regulated by the number required to replenish the Upper Subordinate class without causing any inconvenient excess in that class. Moreover, care must be taken that in making appointments to the class of Supervisor each year promotions to this class from that of Overseer are not blocked.

3. With reference to point (IV), I am directed to state that you were informed in Public Works Department letter, No. 1045 G., of 10th August 1881, that the pay of the next higher grade is given in the Bengal Presidency to Upper Subordinates appointed Instructors of Apprentice Overseers; the proposal, therefore, to grant 50 per mensem to subordinates appointed for the same purpose in Madras would, in most cases, accord with the Government of India practice, and is unobjectionable.

No. 150.

Order—dated 22nd February 1883, No. 580 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with reference to G.O., No. 3007 W., dated 14th November 1882.

2. The Government of India are being addressed on the subject of the number of Apprentices to be appointed annually.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS

Dated February, 22nd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 22nd Feb. 1883, No. 580 W.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 149-150.

Apprentice Overseers and Supervisors

Regarding the appointment, pay, &c., of — and of Instructors of Apprentice Overseers.

9th May 1883, No. 1361 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—again letter from the Government of India, dated 10th January 1883, No. 65 G., recorded with G.O., dated 22nd February 1883, No. 580 W.

Read—also the following papers :—

From G. H. W. WALKER, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Fort William, 10th January 1883, No. 66 G.

In reply to your letter, No. 3055 W., of 18th November 1882, intimating that the appointment of five Apprentice Supervisors and ten Apprentice Overseers annually to the Department necessarily causes an excess over the sanctioned scale of Upper Subordinates, and requesting that instructions be issued to accept such excesses, I am directed to refer you to paragraph 2 of Public Works Department letter, No. 65 G., of this date, and to state that an investigation should be made as to the probable number of vacancies likely to occur annually in the Upper Subordinate Establishment and the appointment of Apprentices regulated accordingly.

2. The result of the investigation should be reported to the Government of India.

From the same Officer, dated 26th January 1883, No. 141 G.

It has been brought to the notice of the Government of India that, although in November last, the sanctioned scale of Upper Subordinates for the Madras Presidency was in excess of sanction by eight men, three more appointments have been since made, making a total of 196 Upper Subordinates against the sanction of 185, or 11 men in excess of scale.

2. This clearly indicates that the Government of Madras are still making appointments to the Upper Subordinate class, though the sanctioned establishment was exceeded some months ago. I am, therefore, directed to invite your attention to Public Works Department letter, No. 66 G., dated 10th January 1883, and connected correspondence on this subject, and to request that no further appointments be made to the Upper Subordinate Establishment until the scale is reduced to its sanctioned strength.

From the same Officer, dated Fort William, 13th March 1883, No. 414 G.

In reply to your letter, No. 572 W., of 22nd February 1883, and subsequent telegram of 1st March 1883, relative to the appointment of Apprentice Overseers, I am directed to observe that assuming the normal scale of the Upper Subordinate establishment to be—

Civilians	145
Soldiers	40

which is about the present proportion, and assuming also that the civilians will commence their service at about the age of twenty-two and retire at the age of fifty-five years, it appears to the Government of India that the civilian establishment will be adequately maintained at its normal strength by the admission of six annually at the outside. With an establishment of 145 or 150 regularly maintained on the above basis, it is likely that two or three annually would disappear by casualties, while the balance, making up the annual number of six on the average, would retire after surviving to their fifty-fifth year. It would appear, therefore, that the numbers proposed by the Madras Government* for annual admission would cause an excess of sanctioned scale, and it is considered very undesirable that any more appointments should be guaranteed to civilians passing out of the Madras Civil Engineering College than are actually required to maintain the sanctioned number of Civil Upper Subordinates at full strength. The estimate of number of vacancies likely to occur may very well be kept as low as it reasonably can be, because any occasional deficiency in numbers is easily remedied.

* Six until the excess is absorbed.
Ten after the do.

2. In regard to the inconvenience to the College which the Government of Madras anticipates from reducing the numbers of permanent appointments to the Upper Subordinate Establishment, I am to suggest that it would be a good plan to hold out prospect of good temporary appointments in the Public Works Department to the students passing out of the College. It seems not improbable that, if it were generally made known that employment on good pay avowedly, carrying with it no claim to pension or guarantee of continuance, but, as a matter of fact, fairly regular and continuous, is to be obtained under Government in the Public Works Department, a sufficiency of well-qualified applicants would soon be forthcoming and that a want which is now felt by the College would be supplied. I am also to impress on the Government of Madras the advisability of discontinuing as much as possible direct appointments from the College to the grade of Supervisor in the permanent Upper Subordinate Establishment, as such appointments have a tendency to discourage and check the promotion of good and hard-working Overseers.

3. In regard to the last paragraph of your letter, in which an endeavour is made to show that, on account of the deficiency of Assistant Engineers, the excess of Upper Subordinates is rather apparent than real, I am to point out that fifteen Upper Subordinates appear to be required to fill the fifteen posts for which Assistant Engineers are not available. Excluding absentees there are 167 sanctioned posts for Upper Subordinates, and to fill these posts 180 out of 195 Upper Subordinates should remain, which is more than enough after allowing for absentees. In any case the large number of absentees from the Engineer Establishment must be quite exceptional and cannot be allowed as a ground for keeping up the establishment of Upper Subordinates above sanctioned strength.

From the same Officer, dated Simla, 19th April 1883, No. 570 G.

In reply to your letter, No. 976 W., dated 30th March 1883, on the subject of the appointment of Apprentice Overseers, I am directed to state that, so long as the Upper Subordinate scale is above sanctioned strength, the Government of India considers that no more permanent appointments should be made. As regards any hardship to those Apprentices for whom permanent appointments cannot, under this ruling, be provided, I am to observe that the practical training they have received as Apprentices is a distinct gain to them, and should fit them for temporary appointments, either as Engineers or Upper Subordinates according to their capacities, as suggested in this office No. 414 G., dated 13th March.

2. The number of six annual recruitments for civilians was named by the Government of India as the number probably required to maintain the establishment at its sanctioned strength, being based upon data usually taken for such calculations, because the Government of Madras appeared to desire, for the convenience of the Engineering College, to fix an annual number; but, if it is found that this number is insufficient to fill the annual vacancies, the local Government is at liberty to appoint more at its discretion; if, on the other hand, six annual appointments cause an excess, a fewer number must be appointed. All that the Government of India desires is that the sanctioned scale of Upper Subordinates shall not be exceeded, or, at any rate, that any excess shall be very temporary.

From Lieutenant H. D. LOVE, R.E., Principal, Civil Engineering College, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 19th January 1883, No. 466 C.

Adverting to G.O., No. 108 W., dated 11th January 1883, communicated to me from your office, it is presumed that the appointments referred to in paragraph 2 are to the permanent establishment, and will not interfere materially with the nomination of new Apprentices.

2. The batch of students of the College Second Department expected to pass out in May 1883 contains two British non-commissioned officers who entered the College under the guarantee furnished in Madras Army Regulations, paragraph 525, Clause VI. In addition to these, I hope you will find it possible to nominate a few (if only two or three) Civilian Apprentice Overseers, as the importance of the *regular annual* appointment of this class of men can, as a means of securing a steady supply of good material for the College and subsequently for the Public Works Department, scarcely be over-estimated.

From the Principal, Civil Engineering College, dated 9th February 1883, No. 517 C.

Order—dated 9th May 1883, No. 1361 W., Public Works.

Previous to October 1880 appointments of Upper Subordinates of the Public Works Department were made direct to the permanent establishment, the practical training of men going on simultaneously with their regular service.

2. In G.O., No. 2317 W., dated 6th October 1880, it was decided to adopt the provisions of the Government of India Code under which first appointments would be in the grade of *Apprentice*, the Apprenticeship to last twelve months, during which a practical course of instruction was to be gone through. The men thus appointed were regarded as supernumeraries and paid from the Civil Engineering College Budget. The numbers in these grades, originally fixed at six Apprentice Overseers annually, were subsequently raised to ten Overseers and five Supervisors. In fixing the scale at these figures, the needs of the Civil Engineering College, rather than those of the Department of Public Works, were regarded, the College paying for the practical instruction afforded.

3. It was, however, subsequently decided that the charge shall fall against the Public Works Department, and the practical effect has been that the sanctioned scale of the Upper Subordinate Establishment has been exceeded by the appointment of men who, having passed through their practical course, were nominated to permanent appointments.

4. Proposals have been made to the Government of India for meeting the difficulty—among others the reduction of the number of Apprentice appointments annually so as to gradually absorb the existing excess and provide on the permanent establishment for certain men who have now passed their practical course and are at present awaiting appointment.

5. The Government of India, however, decline to recede from the position that the sanctioned permanent scale shall not be exceeded, and there is thus no alternative but to appoint the men, eight in number, who have passed, or will shortly complete, their apprenticeship, to the temporary establishment. This will accordingly be done, the men being absorbed on the permanent establishment as vacancies occur.

6. It may, under this arrangement, take one or two years before any fresh appointments can be made direct to the permanent establishment, and the effect on the entrances into the Civil Engineering College and the future supply, both permanent and temporary, to be derived therefrom will no doubt be injurious. There is no alternative, however, but to adopt the course here laid down. It is necessary moreover that the number to be appointed annually as Apprentice Overseers should in future be strictly limited to the needs of the department at the time.

7. The Government entirely concur in the opinion expressed by the Government of India regarding the direct appointment of Supervisors. Such appointments will in future be but very sparingly made.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts,
To Superintending Engineers,
To the Educational Department,
} with copy of G.O., No. 580 W., 22nd Feb. 1883.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 9th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 9th May 1883 No. 1361 W.

MAY.

Upper Subordinates

Passing orders with reference to the appointment of — to the Public Works Department.

Educational Department.

19

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper

From the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, dated 29th March 1887 No. 2016.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 26 April 1887, transferred by Public Works Department Memo No. 54 D, dated 28th April 1887.

Order thereon 31st October 1887 No. 585.

In the first of the foregoing papers, the Director of Public Instruction submits for the favorable consideration of Government a petition from certain students of the College of Engineering, forwarded through the Principal, praying:-

(1) that a larger number of appointments as assistant Engineer be allotted to students of College in the Engineer class.

(2) that a guarantee be given that a certain number of appointments as supervisor will be allotted.

(3) that in 1889. 2 appointments as Assis

Engineer be allotted, as there will be no appointment in 1888.

(4) that a certain number of appointments as overseer be guaranteed to students in the Engineer Sub class.

2. His petition was referred to the Public Works Department for remarks, and the Chief Engineer has recorded his observations in a Note, to which ~~is~~ are appended statements showing the actual appointments made in the Engineer and Upper Sub Establishment of the Public Works Department in the 5 years 1882 to 1886.

Colonel Hasted agrees with Capt. Love that as no appointment in the Engineer grade ill be made in 1888, the request that two such ppointments shall be made in 1889 is reasonable and this proposal is approved by Government subject to the confirmation of the Government of India. The Chief Engineer is further f opinion that two appointments to the Engineer rade might be offered, every 3rd year, to pupils f the College, if the consent of the Government f India can be obtained to this arrangement. he Government accept this opinion, and the overnment of India will be addressed in the ubli Works Department, on the above points.

As regards the request that a certain ber of appointments as Supervisor should uaranteed to pupils of the College, Colonel

Has

No. 2142 d/10-6-87

" 540 " 28-4-87

B " 138 W " 18-1-86

" 2162 C " 15-12-85

" 1851 W " 11-8-85

" 345 E " 9-7-85

G.O. 74 W " 9-1-85

No. 1975 D " 5-11-84

" 1493 C " 8-9-84

" 2647 W " 28-10-84

G.O. 1641 W " 1-7-84

" 1450 W " 11-6-84

No. 1418 D " 12-8-84

Edl. G.O. 670 " 4-10-83

G.O. 1361 W " 9-5-83

" 580 W " 22-2-83

B No. 2849 W " 30-10-82

" 61 " 26-4-82

Edl. G.O. 361 " 23-9-80

[6] PWC No. 8953

Govt. of (188) Madras.

To

The PWD

7 NOV 87

Proceedings, dated 31 — 10 — 1887

No. 585

Subject.

Passing orders on petition from students of the College Engineering praying for guarantee of addl. appointments

Ch. Secy 2/12

R 3/12

5-12-87 B. 6-12-87

Current Nos 8953, & 8890

To W

The orders in the Educational Dept. on petitions from present & past students of the C. E. College, are submitted with reference to the note on the linked file.

No action in this office seems necessary on Current No 8890.

In Current No 8953, the Govt. in the Educational Dept leave it to the P. W. Dept to address the Govt of India on the following two points:—

(1) That as no appointment [illegible] to the Supr establt. will be made from the College in 1888

2

two appointments be made in 1889.

(2) That two appointments be offered every third year to successful students of the College.

In the note on the linked file, it is proposed that as there will be no final exam. at the end of 1887, & consequently no appt. under present rules, will be made in 1888, the usual appt. in 1888 be given to the man who passed second on the list in 1886. The assent of Govt in the Educational Dept will have to be obtained to this proposal, as it does not accord with the resolution in Current No 8953. Moreover, the proposal would run counter to the aspirations of the present students

---

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:

Petition from certain Passed Students of the College of Engineering, Madras, received on the 5th May 1887.

Memorandum from the Public Works Department, dated 10th June 1887, No. 214 D transferring Note from the Chief Engineer, dated 9th June 1887.

Order, dated 31st October 1887, No. 586.

The Petition from certain passed students of the College of Engineering, which forms the first of the foregoing papers, urges the claims of students who have obtained degrees at the College to a larger share of appointments in the Public Works Department. The Petitioners are already aware, as appears from para 4 of the Petition, that the number of appointments to the grade of Assistan Engineer has been fixed by the Government of Indi in consultation with the Secretary of State, and that it is not in the power of this Government to increase the number of appointments. The Government, however, has resolved to suggest

to

To W.

18/

The accompanying are the papers on the subject of the appointment of one of the local Engineering College Students to the Engineer Establishment every year.

There seems no objection to the Govt of India being asked to sanction ~~an~~ the appointment next year of the second man on the present year's list. What the Educational Dept have suggested in a G.O. which is now under disposal is to apply for 2 appointments in 1889 to compensate for the vacancy in 1888, but it may be suggested to that Dept that the better plan would be to make an appointment next year as now proposed.

R.S.
17.XI.87

X When this is available. Put up.
17/11/87

3/

13

of the College, on whose petition the order in the Educational Dept was passed.

Another issue also arises, if the appt. in 1888 is to be given to a student of a previous period, viz: whether it should be given to the second man of 1886, or to any distinguished man of an earlier period, e.g. one of the B.C.E.s of the permanent upper subordinate estabt.

In para 4 of Current No 8953, the Educational Dept. point out that the Article in the revised College scheme which offers direct appts. as Supervisors to a certain number of students of the senior dept., ~~which~~ is

---

4

14

is not in accordance with the orders of the Govt. of India, & that the Chief Engr should have pointed this out when approving the draft notification in Decr 1885. They say that the offer made in the revised College scheme should be modified or withdrawn, & the Dir. of P. Instruction is requested to submit a draft notification amending the Clause.

With reference to this, it may be said that there is no absolute prohibition in the Govt of India orders against direct appt. of Supervisors vide the marked passages in Pros. No 580 W d/22.2.83 & 1361 W d/9.5.83. All that the Govt suggested wa direct appo

---

5/

should be made very sparingly so that promotion may not be blocked for deserving overseers.

It will be seen from this office memo No 3458 dated 9.7.85 that the notification giving effect to the revised College scheme was drawn up after much careful consultation with the Principal of the College & the Dir. of P. Instruction. The whole matter was gone over point by point, & there is no reason to suppose that the orders of the Govt of India with respect to the direct appt. of supervisors ~~was~~ were overlooked. Indeed, Article X (1) of the notification shows clearly that this point was kept in view, for it says, "It is to be understood

---

Educational

6

16

"however that all vacancies in the Supervisor grade will not be filled by selection from the most distinguished of the passed students of this class, as a portion of ~~the~~ such vacancies must be reserved for the best qualified subordinates of the overseer grade".

It seems therefore that the Educational Dept ~~x~~ have been rather hasty in arriving at this ~~final~~ part of their order, & that no alteration in the ~~[illegible]~~ notification is really required. The number of direct appts. as Supervisors to be offered each year, is left entirely to the Chief Engr., & that officer can always regulate the matter so as not to inflict undue hardship on overseers.

If

7

17

If the order in the Edn Dept had been sent to this dept for counter-initials, as it should apparently have been, all this might have been pointed out, & the issue of the censure against the Chief Engr might have been prevented.

For orders.

Ch
18.11.87

[illegible]

24/11/87

Hasked points out that it is necessary to allow for promotions of tried and deserving men for the overseer class and that the number of vacancies fluctuates, so that it is impossible to guarantee any definite number of appointments. The Government observe that the true reason for the cessation of direct appointments as Supervisor since 1882 is to be found in the Government of India No. 444 G. dated 13th March 1883. Since that letter was received, [illegible] direct appointment to the grade of Supervisor has been made. and all vacancies have been filled by promo[illegible] from the Overseer Grade. This fact [illegible]ould have been brought to notice b[illegible] the Chief Engineer when in his endo[illegible]sement No. 2162 C of the 15th December 188[illegible] he returned the draft Notifications regarding the College of Engineer[illegible]ing. It now appears to the Government that para (1) of art X of the Notification must be altered, the prospect held out to students of employment as Supervisors being withdrawn or modified.

+ S-U-W-
20-10-87 with
L. O. file + (No 6[illegible]/86)

The

Educational Department

Educational Department 23

5 The last request of t the petitioners
regards the overseer Grade. It does not
appear to the Government that there
is any ground for chan go here. The
Chief Engineer has shown in his note,
that of the vacancies, wh ich have
occurred in the last 5 yea rs, 52 p.c. have
been given to College students. But the Go-
-vernment agree with the Chief Engineer
that the number of appointm ents likely
to be vacant cannot be an nounced 3
years in advance, and b it should
be made known 6 months fore the final
College examination, and Art X (2) of
the College Notification w be amended
accordingly. The Director Public Ins-
truction will submit a dra Notification
amending clauses (1) and 2) of Art X
in the manner above poin ed out.

(True Extract)

H. E. Kolus
Chief Secretary

To
The Public Works Depar tment &
" Director of Public I nstruction

7-8.0

to the Government of India, the advisability of giving a 2nd appointment every 3rd year.

2. As regards appointments to the Supervisor Grade, which the Petitioners complain have ceased to be given to students of the College, it appears that this cessation is also directly due to the orders of the Government of India as noted in the margin. The Government are therefore unable to interfere in this direction in the manner desired.

Letter - 13th March 1883 No. 414 G.

3. The Chief Engineer, in his Note on the Petition, points out that the figures of appointments as overseers from 1883 to 1886 in para 15 of the petition are incorrect. The number of military men appointed has been 10 – not 14, while that of civilian overseers has been seven and not five. It appears, moreover, that from 1882 to 1886, out of 42 appointments, 22 have been given to College civil students, 19 to Military students, and one to a lower subordinate promoted. It does not appear, therefore, that the Petitioners have any cause for complaint on this score.

4. Finally, the Government entirely concur with the remarks of the Chief Engineer on paras 14 and 17 of the Petition. The appointments made by this Government are subject to the rules laid down by the Government of India, and it is impossible to give appointments in excess of the number sanctioned. Nor can the Government in any way accept the responsibility of finding employments for all the students who successfully pass through the College. It is very desirable that trained engineers should be available for employment in other than Government service and the List D appended to the Petition shews that in a considerable number of cases, such employment has been found by passed pupils of the College. All, therefore, that the Government can do is to promise that the claims of students to employment shall receive full attention, and be dealt with in accordance with the standing orders of the Government of India.

25

(True Extract)

H. E. Stokes
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To
The Public Works Department.
" Director of Public Instruction.
D " Mr. R. V. Sundaram Aiyer B.A. B.C.E.

re 5-12-87 R. 6-12-8

P.W.C. No. 8890

[6]
Govt. of {188 } Madras

To

The P.W.D.

R 5.11.87

5 NOV 87

Proceedings, dated 31—10—1887.
No. 586

Subject.

Passing orders on petn from certain passed students of the College of Engineering praying for guarantee of addl. appointments in the P.W.D.

Ch. Secy 2/12
R. 3/12.

5-12-87 R. 6-12-87

26

P.W.D.

Note by the Secy to Govt P.W.D.

In para 4 of G.O. dated 31st October 1887, No 585, Educational Dept, it is remarked that the "true reason for the "cessation of direct appoint- "ments as Supervisors since "1882, is to be found in the "Govt. of India No 414 G of "13th March 1883 - Since that "letter was received, no "direct appointment to "the Grade of Supervisor "has been made, and all "vacancies have been filled "by promotions from the "Overseer Grade - This fact "should have been brought "to notice by the Chief En- "gineer when, in his

be

"endorsement No 2162C of
"the 15th December 1885, he
"returned the draft notifi-
"cation regarding the
"College of Engineering. It
"now appears to the Govt that
"para (1) of Article X of
"that Notification must
"be altered, the prospect
"held out to students of
"employment as Supervisors
"being withdrawn or
"modified." And in
para 5th the Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit a draft notification amending the clause above referred to.

2. With reference to these observations, the undersigned has the honor to submit that there is no absolute prohibition in the Govt of India Orders against the direct appointment of candidates to the P.W. Dept in the grade of Supervisor. All that the Govt. of India suggested was that such direct appointments should be made very sparingly, so that promotion may not be blocked to deserving overseers, vide para 2 of their letter No 65[+] G dated 10th January 1883, & para 2 of No 414[+] G of 13th March 1883.

+ G.O. No 580W of 22.2.83.

+ G.O. No 1361W of 9.5.83.

3. It will be seen from this office memo No 345 E dated 9th July 1885, that the notification giving effect to the revised College scheme was drawn up by the Chief Engineer after much careful consultation with the Principal of the College & the Director of Public Instruction. The whole matter was gone into point by point, and the

there is no reason to suppose that the orders of the Govt of India with respect to the direct appointment of Supervisors were over-looked. Indeed, Article X (1) of the notification shows clearly that this point was kept in view, for it says "It is to be "understood however that "all vacancies in the Super-"visor grade will not be "filled by selection from "the most distinguished "of the passed students of "this class, as a portion "of such vacancies must "be reserved for the best "qualified subordinates "of the overseer grade".

4. It seems therefore that the conclusion in para 4 of the Educational Dept order has been rather hastily arrived at, and that no amendment of the

70

the notification is really required. The number of direct appointments as Supervisor to be offered to the College annually is left entirely to the Chief Engineer, and that officer can always regulate the number so as not to inflict undue hardship on overseers of the permanent establishment.

5. The undersigned submits that if the order in the Educational Dept had been sent to this dept for counterinitials, as it apparently should have been, all these points might have been brought to notice, and the issue of the censure against the Chief Engineer might have been prevented.

1.12.87

1/12/87

Cn

Note by the Secy to Govt PWD

. 5-12-87 R.6-12-87

P.W.D.

No 3348 W d/ 7th Decr/87

Read

Pros. Educational Dept No 585 d/ 31-10-87 pp 19-21 infra

" —— do —— — 586 d/ 31-10-87

Note by the Secy to Govt P.W.D. d/ —

Order -

The Govt of India will be addressed on the points mentioned in para 3 of Order No 585 above recorded.

2. The note by the Secy to Govt P.W.D. will be referred to the Educational Dept for Consideration, with refce to para 4 of the same order.

PPH

Dd 7/12

To the Educational Dept with copy of the Secy's note.

H.E. No 3349 W d/ 7th Decr/87

To

1

To the Secy to the Govt of I.
P.W. Dept

Sir,

Under the revised code of regulations relating to the Constitution and work of the Madras College of Engineering, which came into force from the 1st January 1886, the theoretical course for the Asst Engineer Class extends over a period of three years, while the course for the same class under the rules previously in force extended over two years only. The first final examination under the new scheme will be held in December 1888, and the one appt. to the Madras Engineer establt. annually guaranteed to the students of the College, under G. of I. Resolution No 1443 G of ~~of January~~ 13th Decr

I

3

December 1884. cannot be competed for at the end of the present year 1887. This Govt have recently received a memorial from the students of the College praying that the one Engine appointment thus lost to natives of India in this Pres be compensated for by throwing open two appts. to competition at the final examination of December 1888. The reque appears to this Govt to be ~~very~~ very reasonable, and I am to commend it strongly to the favourab consideration of the Govt of India.

2. In the same petition, the memorialists pray that of the total number of appointments to the Engineer establt. guaranteed by the Govt of India to the four

four Engineering Colleges in India, a larger proportion may be allotted to Madras. At present the appointments are allotted as follows:-

To Thomason College Rurki - 4 & 5 alternately
Seebpore - 2 & 1 alternately
Madras - 1
Poona - 2

Madras is thus least favourably dealt with, but as the average annual number of vacancies in the Engineer establt of this Presy is only about 3½, it does not appear to this Govt desirable, out of so small a number of appointments, to offer two annually to the local Engineering College. H.E. the Govr in Council would however be glad if the Govt. of India could see their way to allot to

~~[illegible]~~ to Madras a second appointment every third year, and this suggestion also I am to recommend to the favourable consideration of the Govt of India.

1.12.87

1/12/87

J.H.L. 2/12

8-2-08
5-4-11
9-1-14 ✓
30-6-16 ✓
9-5-17 ✓
18-8-20 ✓

The Hon'ble Mr Hutchins

97W

No 3348W / 3349 of 7th Decr 1887

Eaar. 7.12

97W & 11.1.88

CHIEF SECRETARY
2 DEC [illegible]
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

~~Officiating Secy. to~~
[illegible]

Ch. Secy 2/12

Coll. 5-12-87 R. 6-12-87

10/12