

1886.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 8787

From

The Supdy. Engr. IV Circle

ENCLOS.—

No. 427. E.W.

Dated 11<sup>th</sup> November 1886

Received 12<sup>th</sup> — — —

SUBJECT.

Provincial objectionable Item  
Statement for Sept 1886, dated with  
No. 2782 W dated 10-86  
Certain items on account of Res  
Houses objected to by the Exam  
P.W. Acts in the — have been  
sanctioned & states that there is no ne-  
cessity at present to revise the estimate  
for Vayithi Gudalur Road or for the  
Sholadi bridge —

DISPOSAL.

No. 3992 Dated 13<sup>th</sup> Nov 1886

To C

For note, and favour  
of return.

(Id) R.L.C.B.

12.11.86

D.W.

13/11

No. 427  
E.W.

Supdy. Engineer's office, IV Circle,  
Coimbatore, 11<sup>th</sup> November 1886.

From

The Superintending Engineer,  
IV Circle.

To.

The Chief Engineer,  
Public Works Department.

Sir,

With reference to the orders  
against Item 11 of the Provincial  
objectionable Item Statement in  
G.O. No. 2782 W dated 21<sup>st</sup> October  
1886, I have the honor to observe  
that the items objected to by the  
Examiner were apparently as follows:

- a. Earthwork easy soil — Rs 66
- b. Part cost of one teak  
and iron bungalow  
at Dingi Dale — " 114
- c. Dismantling — do — do — 78
- d. Rough stone in chunnam — 199.

2. St.

2. It has been ruled in G.O. No. 2831 W of 28<sup>th</sup> October 1886, that the cost of the teak and iron bungalow is to be charged against the provision for rest houses in the estimate of the Tayitri-Gudalur road, which disposes of the items b, c, and d.

3. Item a (earthwork easy soil) may most suitably be charged to the contingencies of the fifth subhead of the General Abstract of the Estimate, wrought iron girder bridge 2 vents of 50 feet span for which there is a provision of Rs 370.

4. Consequently there is no present necessity for revising either the Tayitri-Gudalur Road Estimate or Sholadi bridge subhead of that Estimate.

5. The Executive Engineer improperly proposed to charge the Dingi Dale Bungalow to the subhead "unforeseen contingencies," but as mentioned above this has now

now been otherwise provided for.

6. Should it be found when the Sholadi bridge is further advanced that revision of the whole or a portion of the Tayitri-Gudalur Road Estimate is necessary, it will be done; but the progress, as regards outlay, made up to date, owing partly to the non-receipt of the iron work, is so small that there is not sufficient experience on which to base a revision.

7. Possibly it may be found that the cost of the iron work when conveyed to site and put up will alone necessitate a revision; but this excess could only now be guessed at.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

L. Beatty - Colonel R.E.  
Sapng Engineer W Circle

L.G.

3992 C. 13.11.86  
2831 W 28.10.86  
2373 W 12.10.85  
2412 W 15.11.85

RN 506  
15-11-86

N<sup>o</sup> 3992 C of 13<sup>th</sup> Nov 1886.

To  
C

For note, and  
favor of return.

Wm  
12.11.86  
D. H.

Lu  
12/11/86.

N<sup>o</sup> 587 of 11/86

Filed - returned  
20.11

Wm  
12/11/86

N<sup>o</sup> 3109 W of 23.11.86

13

Recorded.  
Recorded

Wm  
22.11.86

Lu  
22/11/86.

Wm  
24/11  
D. H.

P.W.C. N<sup>o</sup> 8787  
9/11/86



From,

The Superintending Engineer,  
IV Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,  
P. W. Department.

Dated 11<sup>th</sup> }  
Recd 11<sup>th</sup> } November 1886.

N<sup>o</sup> 427

N<sup>o</sup> 3109 W of 23.11.86

Provincial objectionable  
Item Statement.

Explains that certain  
items on account of Rest  
Houses objected to by the  
Examiner P. W. Accounts  
in the — have been  
sanctioned and states  
that there is no necessity  
at present to revise the  
estimate for Kayithi  
Gudalur Road or for the  
Sholadi bridge.

N<sup>o</sup> 2831 W of 28-10-86  
- 2373 W - 12-10-85.