

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 1st June 1886, No. 276, Marine.

ABSTRACT.—Directing the publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of a notification defining the limits of the port of Madras for the purposes of the Madras Harbour Trust Act.

READ—also the following papers:—

From Colonel W. H. BURTON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepák, 29th September 1886, No. 3215.

I have the honor to draw your attention to the very untidy and unkempt appearance of the beach in the neighbourhood of the Lighthouse Battery, more especially to the south of the battery and to the portions between the tree plantations and the South Beach road, north of the Fort, and to inquire when it is likely that the timber stored will be removed. Some time has elapsed since land, for the purpose of the Madras timber trade, north of the harbour was acquired—*vide* G.O., No. 1101 W., dated 1st May 1886—but the owners of the timber huts, boards, old cases, and general wood-rubbish strewn about the Lighthouse beach, are making no effort to remove the same. In the event of its not being taken away soon, I would suggest that the Executive Engineer, Presidency division, be instructed to proceed to bury it in the sand 21 days after he has posted notices on the timber, &c., that it is his intention to do so unless it be previously removed. His Excellency Sir Frederick Roberts suggested (see Quartermaster-General's letter, No. 1197-B, G.O., No. 1877 W., dated 14th August 1885) that it should be removed or used by the Executive Engineer for public purposes, but the former method would now be the most convenient way of getting rid of it.

No. 3387-C.

Referred to the Marine Department for consideration, with advertence to G.O., in that department, No. 164, dated 7th April 1886.

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Col., R.E.,
1st October 1886. *Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.*

From J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieutenant, R.N.R., Port Officer, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th October 1886, No. 9847.

In returning letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, forwarded with reference No. 1679-C, Marine, dated 6th instant, for remarks, I have the honor to state that, since the issue of the Notification in the Marine Department, dated 1st June 1886, as authorized in G.O., Marine, No. 164, of 7th April 1886, all action by this department ceased, as, by that notification, the control of the portion of the beach occupied by the timber in question was taken from this, and is presumably now in charge of the Military, department.

2. The owners of the timber have no outlets for removing their property from the beach to the road, and until these are provided and sufficient time allowed for removing the timber, the putting in force of the proposed high-handed measures of burying it in the sand, or using it for public purposes, will assuredly land the Government in legal proceedings for damages which would inevitably end unfavorably.

3. I would respectfully suggest that a notification be issued by Government that the timber must be removed within a certain time, say, two months from issue of such notice, failing which, it will be removed by Government, and stored at the expense of the owners until all claims for removal and storage are paid.

4. Before this is done, however, it will be necessary to provide communications with the road at intervals of, say, thirty yards, otherwise the cost of removal will be almost prohibitive.

ORDER—dated 5th November 1886, No. 614, Marine.

The Government observe that the Port Officer is mistaken in supposing that the notification published under G.O., dated 1st June 1886, No. 276, removed every portion of the area referred to from the control of the Marine Department. That notification merely defined port limits "for the purposes of the Madras Harbour Trust Act," and left the port limits as laid down in G.O., dated 13th February 1883, No. 94, for the purposes of the Indian Ports Act, 1875, wholly unaltered. It follows that so much of the foreshore lying between a line running due east from the military boundary pillar near Messrs. Parry and Co.'s office to the water's edge on the north and the mouth of the Cooum river on the south, as lies within 50 yards of high-water mark, is included within the limits of the port, and remains as heretofore under the control of the Port authorities. As regards the rest of the area, which is the property of Government, His Excellency the Governor in Council does not consider that it should be placed under special military control. The Collector of Madras will accordingly be directed to assume charge of it and to take the necessary action for its conservancy, all applications for the use of any portions thereof being submitted by the Collector for the orders of Government.

2. The letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 29th September 1886, No. 3215, will now be forwarded to the Collector, in view to the necessary steps being taken for the removal of the timber from the site referred to.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
„ Revenue Department.
„ Military Department.
„ Fort Officer, Madras.
„ Collector of Madras.

Exd. A. Raitt.

112-3063 W of 18 11 86
Order thereon
Communicated to
the Inspr, V Circle.
of I.O.H.

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 5th November 1886, No. 614.

NOVEMBER.

Madras Port.

Directing the Collector of Madras to assume charge of that portion of the foreshore which is the property of Government, and forwarding to him letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, in view to the necessary steps being taken for the removal of the timber near the Lighthouse.

G.D. Niles

5TH NOVEMBER 1886, No. 614, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 1st June 1886, No. 276, Marine.

ABSTRACT.—Directing the publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of a notification defining the limits of the port of Madras for the purposes of the Madras Harbour Trust Act.

READ—also the following papers:—

From Colonel W. H. BURTON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 29th September 1886, No. 3215.

I have the honor to draw your attention to the very untidy and unkempt appearance of the beach in the neighbourhood of the Lighthouse Battery, more especially to the south of the battery and to the portions between the tree plantations and the South Beach road, north of the Fort, and to inquire when it is likely that the timber stored will be removed. Some time has elapsed since land, for the purpose of the Madras timber trade, north of the harbour was acquired—*vide* G.O., No. 1101 W., dated 1st May 1886—but the owners of the timber huts, boards, old cases, and general wood-rubbish strewn about the Lighthouse beach, are making no effort to remove the same. In the event of its not being taken away soon, I would suggest that the Executive Engineer, Presidency division, be instructed to proceed to bury it in the sand 21 days after he has posted notices on the timber, &c., that it is his intention to do so unless it be previously removed. His Excellency Sir Frederick Roberts suggested (see Quartermaster-General's letter, No. 1197-B, G.O., No. 1877 W., dated 14th August 1885) that it should be removed or used by the Executive Engineer for public purposes, but the former method would now be the most convenient way of getting rid of it.

No. 3387-C.

Referred to the Marine Department for consideration, with advertence to G.O., in that department, No. 164, dated 7th April 1886.

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Col., R.E.,
Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

1st October 1886.

From J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieutenant, R.N.R., Port Officer, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th October 1886, No. 9847.

In returning letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, forwarded with reference No. 1679-C, Marine, dated 6th instant, for remarks, I have the honor to state that, since the issue of the Notification in the Marine Department, dated 1st June 1886, as authorized in G.O., Marine, No. 164, of 7th April 1886, all action by this department ceased, as, by that notification, the control of the portion of the beach occupied by the timber in question was taken from this, and is presumably now in charge of the Military, department.

2. The owners of the timber have no outlets for removing their property from the beach to the road, and until these are provided and sufficient time allowed for removing the timber, the putting in force of the proposed high-handed measures of burying it in the sand, or using it for public purposes, will assuredly land the Government in legal proceedings for damages which would inevitably end unfavorably.

3. I would respectfully suggest that a notification be issued by Government that the timber must be removed within a certain time, say, two months from issue of such notice, failing which, it will be removed by Government, and stored at the expense of the owners until all claims for removal and storage are paid.

4. Before this is done, however, it will be necessary to provide communications with the road at intervals of, say, thirty yards, otherwise the cost of removal will be almost prohibitive.

ORDER—dated 5th November 1886, No. 614, Marine.

The Government observe that the Port Officer is mistaken in supposing that the notification published under G.O., dated 1st June 1886, No. 276, removed every portion of the area referred to from the control of the Marine Department. That notification merely defined port limits "for the purposes of the Madras Harbour Trust Act," and left the port limits as laid down in G.O., dated 13th February 1883, No. 94, for the purposes of the Indian Ports Act, 1875, wholly unaltered. It follows that so much of the foreshore lying between a line running due east from the military boundary pillar near Messrs. Parry and Co.'s office to the water's edge on the north and the mouth of the Cooum river on the south, as lies within 50 yards of high-water mark, is included within the limits of the port, and remains as heretofore under the control of the Port authorities. As regards the rest of the area, which is the property of Government, His Excellency the Governor in Council does not consider that it should be placed under special military control. The Collector of Madras will accordingly be directed to assume charge of it and to take the necessary action for its conservancy, all applications for the use of any portions thereof being submitted by the Collector for the orders of Government.

2. The letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 29th September 1886, No. 3215, will now be forwarded to the Collector, in view to the necessary steps being taken for the removal of the timber from the site referred to.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
" Revenue Department.
" Military Department.
" Fort Officer, Madras.
" Collector of Madras.

11-3063 Wd/18 11-86
Under thereon
Communicated to
the Supergr, V Circle
of S.O.H.

Gobt. of {1886} Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 5th November 1886, No. 614.

11-3063 Wd/18 11-86
NOVEMBER.

Madras Port.

Directing the Collector of Madras to assume charge of that portion of the foreshore which is the property of Government, and forwarding to him letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, in view to the necessary steps being taken for the removal of the timber near the Lighthouse.

S. E. V Circle.

5TH NOVEMBER 1886, No. 614, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 1st June 1886, No. 276, Marine.

ABSTRACT.—Directing the publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of a notification defining the limits of the port of Madras for the purposes of the Madras Harbour Trust Act.

READ—also the following papers:—

From Colonel W. H. BURTON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 29th September 1886, No. 3215.

I have the honor to draw your attention to the very untidy and unkempt appearance of the beach in the neighbourhood of the Lighthouse Battery, more especially to the south of the battery and to the portions between the tree plantations and the South Beach road, north of the Fort, and to inquire when it is likely that the timber stored will be removed. Some time has elapsed since land, for the purpose of the Madras timber trade, north of the harbour was acquired—*vide* G.O., No. 1101 W., dated 1st May 1886—but the owners of the timber huts, boards, old cases, and general wood-rubbish strewn about the Lighthouse beach, are making no effort to remove the same. In the event of its not being taken away soon, I would suggest that the Executive Engineer, Presidency division, be instructed to proceed to bury it in the sand 21 days after he has posted notices on the timber, &c., that it is his intention to do so unless it be previously removed. His Excellency Sir Frederick Roberts suggested (see Quartermaster-General's letter, No. 1197-B, G.O., No. 1877 W., dated 14th August 1885) that it should be removed or used by the Executive Engineer for public purposes, but the former method would now be the most convenient way of getting rid of it.

No. 3387-C.

Referred to the Marine Department for consideration, with advertence to G.O., in that department, No. 164, dated 7th April 1886.

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Col., R.E.,
Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

1st October 1886.

From J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieutenant, R.N.R., Port Officer, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th October 1886, No. 9847.

In returning letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, forwarded with reference No. 1679-C, Marine, dated 6th instant, for remarks, I have the honor to state that, since the issue of the Notification in the Marine Department, dated 1st June 1886, as authorized in G.O., Marine, No. 164, of 7th April 1886, all action by this department ceased, as, by that notification, the control of the portion of the beach occupied by the timber in question was taken from this, and is presumably now in charge of the Military, department.

2. The owners of the timber have no outlets for removing their property from the beach to the road, and until these are provided and sufficient time allowed for removing the timber, the putting in force of the proposed high-handed measures of burying it in the sand, or using it for public purposes, will assuredly land the Government in legal proceedings for damages which would inevitably end unfavorably.

3. I would respectfully suggest that a notification be issued by Government that the timber must be removed within a certain time, say, two months from issue of such notice, failing which, it will be removed by Government, and stored at the expense of the owners until all claims for removal and storage are paid.

4. Before this is done, however, it will be necessary to provide communications with the road at intervals of, say, thirty yards, otherwise the cost of removal will be almost prohibitive.

ORDER—dated 5th November 1886, No. 614, Marine.

The Government observe that the Port Officer is mistaken in supposing that the notification published under G.O., dated 1st June 1886, No. 276, removed every portion of the area referred to from the control of the Marine Department. That notification merely defined port limits "for the purposes of the Madras Harbour Trust Act," and left the port limits as laid down in G.O., dated 13th February 1883, No. 94, for the purposes of the Indian Ports Act, 1875, wholly unaltered. It follows that so much of the foreshore lying between a line running due east from the military boundary pillar near Messrs. Parry and Co.'s office to the water's edge on the north and the mouth of the Cooum river on the south, as lies within 50 yards of high-water mark, is included within the limits of the port, and remains as heretofore under the control of the Port authorities. As regards the rest of the area, which is the property of Government, His Excellency the Governor in Council does not consider that it should be placed under special military control. The Collector of Madras will accordingly be directed to assume charge of it and to take the necessary action for its conservancy, all applications for the use of any portions thereof being submitted by the Collector for the orders of Government.

2. The letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 29th September 1886, No. 3215, will now be forwarded to the Collector, in view to the necessary steps being taken for the removal of the timber from the site referred to.

(True Extract.)

W. S. Stokes

Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
 „ Revenue Department.
 „ Military Department.
 „ Port Officer, Madras.
 „ Collector of Madras.

Exd. A. Raitt.

P.M.C. No 8983 ✓

Govt. of (1886) Madras.

MARINE.

13.8.86

Communicated
to the S. E.
to the Supt. Genl.
V Circle.

18.11.86

18/11/86

Issued

Recd. 17.11.86

Encl.

G.O., 5th November 1886, No. 614.

No 3063 W of 18.11.86

NOVEMBER.

Madras Port.

Directing the Collector of Madras to assume charge of that portion of the foreshore which is the property of Government, and forwarding to him letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, in view to the necessary steps being taken for the removal of the timber near the Lighthouse.

2 Copies to be
with under
lost to the

3307 C. 10.10.86. 1189 W 11.5.86.
3332 C. 29.9.86. 716 W 17.3.86.
1101 W 1.5.86. 2197 W 3.9.86.
257 W 3.3.86. 2069 W 17.8.86.
182 W 22.1.86. 1795 W 15.7.86.
143 W 10.1.86. 1713 W 7.7.86.
2017 C. 2.12.85. 1602 W 24.6.86.
2724 W 13.11.85. 1481 W 11.1.86.
734 E. 10.10.85. 776 W 30.3.85.
600 E. 15.9.85. 374 W 16.2.85.
1971 W 25.8.85. 308 W 9.2.85.
1877 W 14.8.85. 78 W 10.1.85.
444 E. 13.8.85. 77 W 11.1.85.
1288 C. 28.7.85. 2892 W 19.11.84.
1687 W 20.7.85.
1447 E. 19.6.85.
1057 C. 15.6.85.
753 W 26.3.85.
2075 W 10.8.86.
1831 W 17.7.86.

S.E. V Circle with 1
space
S.E. V Circle
18/11/86