(1) Number of pages 32

(2) Date of repair 5. 2. 88

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No. 2835 W. Press. / Mis.

Dated 29.10.1886 ~~198~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

B.S.G

Archives 1--10,006 1 -80.

2835
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following paper:—

No. 292 T.N.

Report by the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, on the estimate for remetalling the road No. VIII from Tinnevelly to Rajapalaiyam *viá* Sankaranainárkoil, in Tinnevelly district; Rs. 16,800.

The road is said to get deeply cut up and to be almost impassable during heavy rains. It is therefore proposed to remetal and gravel the following miles:—17th, 19th, 20th, 24th, 26th, 37th to 39th and 43rd to 45th miles, with a coat consisting of 4½" thick of stone broken small and 1½" of gravel; 21st, 22nd, 23rd and 40th miles, with 6" thick of broken stone and 1½" of gravel; and 41st and 42nd miles, with 7½" thick of broken stone and 1½" gravel.

The district board have, in their resolution, No. 3, dated 30th September 1886, sanctioned the estimate.

2. The rates are fair, and the estimate is recommended for sanction.

Surandai,
14th October 1886.

(Signed) J. W. MARTIN,
Offg. Suptg. Engineer, VI Circle.

Order—dated 29th October 1886, No. 2835 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 16,800, for remetalling certain portions of road No. VIII from Tinnevelly to Rajapalaiyam, *viá* Sankaranainárkoil, in the Tinnevelly district, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Local, No. 21 W. of 1886-87.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
" Financial (L.F.) Department, with three enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS

Govt. of (1886) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

D... October, 29th.

Read. Encl.

G.O., 29th Oct. 1886, No. 2835 W.

OCTOBER.

Road No. VIII, Tinnevelly District

Estimate of Rs. 16,800 for remetalling tain portions of —, from Tinnevelly to apalaiyam, *viâ* Sankaranainárkoil, sanced.

5th October 1886, No. 1263 L.F., Financial.

2835/2

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st March 1885, No. 1124.

Read—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th April 1884, No. 1442.

Read—also the following papers :—

From the President, Local Fund Board,	Anantapur,	dated	6th June 1884,	No. 14.
,, ,, ,,	,,	,,	23rd ,, ,,	,, 17.
,, ,, ,,	Arcot, North,	,,	14th Aug. ,,	,, 326.
,, ,, ,,	Arcot, South,	,,	28th May ,,	,, 38.
,, ,, ,,	Bellary,	,,	25th Sept. ,,	,, ——
,, ,, ,,	Canara, South,	,,	6th ,, ,,	,, 1254.
,, ,, ,,	Chingleput,	,,	27th May ,,	,, 31.
,, ,, ,,	Cuddapah,	,,	1st June ,,	,, 45.
,, ,, ,,	Coimbatore,	,,	10th May ,,	,, 317 L.F.
,, ,, ,,	Ganjam,	,,	16th Aug. ,,	,, 980.
,, ,, ,,	Godávari,	,,	23rd Sept. ,,	,, 86.
,, ,, ,,	Kistna	,,	23rd ,, ,,	,, 968.
,, ,, ,,	Kurnool	,,	16th May ,,	,, 19.
,, ,, ,,	Madura,	,,	23rd June ,,	,, 61.
,, ,, ,,	Malabar,	,,	1st Sept. ,,	,, 1045.
,, ,, ,,	Nellore,	,,	11th July ,,	,, 20.
,, ,, ,,	Nilgiris,	,,	28th May ,,	,, 34.
,, ,, ,,	Salem,	,,	21st ,, ,,	,, 1225.
,, ,, ,,	Tanjore,	,,	16th Aug. ,,	,, 1291.
,, ,, ,,	Tinnevelly,	,,	13th Dec. ,,	,, 145.
,, ,, ,,	Trichinopoly,	,,	2nd June ,,	,, 542.
,, ,, ,,	Vizagapatam,	,,	29th July ,,	,, 470.
,, Accountant-General,		,,	21st June ,,	,, 62-L.F.D.
,, ,,		,,	21st Feb. 1885,	,, 186-L.F.D.

Resolution—dated 31st March 1885, No. 1124.

In G.O., dated 6th December 18[illegible], No. 3211 W., Public Works Department, the contribution to be paid from Local Funds for the scrutiny of plans and estimates for Local Fund works by the Public Works Department was fixed at Rs. 21,250 per annum. The Board, however, observed in their Proceedings, dated 12th January 1883, No. 88, that as the number of estimates examined by the Chief Engineer in each year since the issue of G.O., dated 22nd March 1880, No. 609, was very small, the cost of the scrutiny for each estimate was proportionately heavy, and requested the Presidents of Local Fund

1880-81	35
1881-82	85
1882-83 (nine months)	51
Total ..	171

Boards to report, at the end of 1883, the number of cases in which it was found expedient to consult the Public Works Department, as it was thought that, as time went on, Presidents would avail themselves more freely of the services of Superintending Engineers. Again, in their Proceedings, dated 5th March 1884, No. 743, reviewing the operations of Local Funds for the year 1882-83, the Board remarked that the amount of contribution paid to the Public Works Department for the scrutiny of the estimates and plans for Local Fund works was excessive, being 23 per cent. of the outlay on works, the estimates for which were scrutinized by the Public Works Department, and promised to submit the matter for the orders of Government on receipt of the information called for from the Presidents in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 12th January 1883, No. 88, above referred to. The Government, in paragraph 11 of their order thereon, dated 10th July 1884, No. 1533, agreed with the Board in their opinion, and awaited the further communication promised on the subject.

2. As the district reports failed to supply adequate information on which to base an opinion in regard to the question whether Local Funds obtained a fair return for the contribution paid by them, the Presidents were requested, in Board's Proceedings, dated 29th April 1884, No. 1442, to furnish a statement in the form therein prescribed, and to this reference the above read letters are submitted in reply. Owing, however, to the numerous inaccuracies detected in these statements, the Board found it necessary to call upon the Accountant-General to furnish them with a statement of the expenditure incurred in 1883-84 on works whose estimates and plans were scrutinized by the Public Works Department, and from the figures given by him, the following statement has been compiled:—

2835/3

Circles.	1882-83.						1883-84.		
	Number of estimates scrutinized and approved by Public Works Department.	Total value of the estimates.	Expenditure incurred on such estimates.	Amount contributed from Local Funds.	Percentage of column 5 to 3.	Percentage of column 5 to 4.	Number of estimates scrutinized and approved by Public Works Department.	Total value of the estimates.	Expenditure incurred on estimates approved in 1883-84.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		RS.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.				RS.	RS. A. P.
1. Anantapur	1	4,650	2,544 0 0	597 8 4	12·8	23·5	..	..	..
2. Arcot, North	1	14,708	800 0 0	187 14 0	1·3	23·5	3	21,088	11,732 2 0
3. Arcot, South	4	42,268	1,741 5 3	408 13 11	1·0	23·5	3	30,550	8,404 6 1
4. Bellary	2	11,992	3,806 4 1	893 13 3	7·5	23·5	..	..	..
5. Canara, South	3	47,507	14,384 14 5	3,378 4 1	7·1	23·5	3	50,560	13,593 2 0
6. Chingleput	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
7. Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	8,030	1,396 5 6
8. Cuddapah	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	6,400	..
9. Ganjam	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	20,560	10,763 4 4
10. Godávari	8	64,575	13,956 15 2	3,279 12 8	5·1	23·5	1	2,700	712 3 6
11. Kistna	2	1,86,208	23,935 1 10	5,624 0 8	3·0	23·5	4	89,393	24,106 0 0
12. Kurnool	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
13. Madura	1	22,260	..	..	..	..	1	11,300	3,400 0 0
14. Malabar	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	5,035	4,503 10 10
15. Nellore	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	1,58,215	26,062 11 4
16. Nilgiris	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
17. Salem	1	18,000	8,200 15 10	1,925 15 7	10·7	23·5	..	4,126	4,725 7 9
18. Tanjore	1	7,400	225 0 0	52 13 5	0·7	23·5	27	1,61,170	20,438 0 0
19. Tinnevelly	2	9,730	8,551 14 3	2,008 6 6	20·6	23·5	3	23,320	6,874 13 5
20. Trichinopoly	1	4,500	2,243 0 0	526 12 3	11·7	23·5	3	47,576	2,651 7 5
21. Vizagapatam	3	22,436	10,066 3 10	2,365 15 4	10·5	23·5	3	14,940	10,235 2 1
Total ..	30	4,55,234	90,485 10 8	21,250 0 0	4·7	23·5	61	5,96,162	1,49,596 12 1

Circles.	1883-84—*contd.*			Percentage of column 13 to 9.	Percentage of column 13 to 12.	Total.					
	Expenditure incurred on estimates approved in 1882-83.	Total of columns 10 and 11.	Amount contributed from Local Funds.			Number of estimates.	Value of estimates.	Expenditure.	Amount contributed.	Percentage of column 19 to 17.	Percentage of column 19 to 18.
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.				RS.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.		
1. Anantapur	2,309 8 5	2,309 8 5	264 8 2	..	10·1	1	4,650	4,853 8 3	830 11 2	17·9	17·1
2. Arcot, North	2,434 4 2	14,166 6 2	1,432 0 0	5·6	10·1	4	36,646	14,850 8 2	2,019 14 6	5·1	10·8
3. Arcot, South	16,572 3 2	24,976 9 3	2,624 13 10	8·3	10·1	7	72,818	26,717 14 5	3,424 11 9	4·6	12·9
4. Bellary	7,071 14 2	7,071 14 2	805 13 11	..	10·1	2	11,032	11,778 2 3	1,609 11 2	14·2	14·4
5. Canara, South	12,220 12 1	25,813 14 1	2,609 7 8	5·2	10·1	6	98,007	60,198 12 6	5,237 11 9	5·1	14·2
6. Chingleput	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
7. Coimbatore	..	1,386 5 6	141 1 11	1·8	10·1	2	8,030	1,386 5 6	141 1 11	1·8	10·1
8. Cuddapah	1,991 12 4	1,991 12 4	201 5 11	3·1	10·1	1	6,400	1,991 12 4	201 5 11	3·1	10·1
9. Ganjam	..	10,763 4 4	1,088 0 1	5·3	10·1	2	20,000	10,763 4 4	1,088 0 1	5·3	10·1
10. Godavari	..	712 3 6	71 15 7	2·7	10·1	9	67,275	14,629 2 5	3,500 12 [illegible]	5·0	22·9
11. Kistna	863 0 0	24,969 0 0	2,524 0 10	2·8	10·1	6	2,74,611	48,904 1 10	8,145 1 5	3·0	16·7
12. Kurnool	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
13. Madura	7,414 0 0	10,814 0 0	1,093 2 6	9·3	10·1	2	34,000	10,814 0 0	1,093 2 6	3·2	10·1
14. Malabar	..	4,503 10 10	455 4 10	2·0	10·1	1	5,035	4,503 10 10	455 4 10	9·0	10·1
15. Nellore	..	26,062 11 4	2,634 10 2	1·7	10·1	4	1,56,215	20,062 11 4	2,634 10 2	1·7	10·1
16. Nilgiris	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
17. Salem	..	4,723 7 9	477 7 0	11·	10·1	1	22,126	12,924 7 7	2,403 5 7	10·9	18·6
18. Tanjore	1,079 0 0	21,517 0 0	2,175 1 5	2·1	10·1	23	1,03,679	21,742 0 0	2,227 11 10	2·0	10·2
19. Tinnevelly	..	6,874 13 3	694 15 7	3·0	10·1	5	33,050	16,436 11 6	2,763 8 1	8·2	17·0
20. Trichinopoly	..	2,651 7 5	267 15 9	0·6	10·1	4	52,075	4,894 7 5	784 12 0	1·5	16·0
21. Vizagapatam	7,760 8 0	17,995 10 1	1,819 2 10	12·2	10·1	5	37,376	28,001 13 11	4,193 2 2	11·2	14·9
Total ..	60,616 14 4	2,10,213 10 5	21,250 0 0	3·6	10·1	91	10,61,396	3,90,529 6 1	42,500 0 0	4·0	14·1

---

3. It will be observed from the above statement that the percentage of the contribution paid from Local Funds has fallen from 23 in 1882-83 to 10 in 1883-84, the large decrease being due to the fact that the expenditure incurred on such works in 1883-84 was more than double that incurred in the preceding year. The foregoing remarks contrast the contribution with expenditure, but a fairer method is to compare the contribution with the value of the estimates; the substitution of this process gives 4·7 and 3·6 as the percentages of 1882-83 and 1883-84, respectively. Even this rate is high when compared with that fixed in G.O., dated 28th April 1880, No. 871, for the preparation of designs and estimates for Government works by the Local Fund Engineering Establishment, viz., 5 per cent. on the amount of the estimate. From another point of view, it will be seen that in 1882-83 and 1883-84, Rs. 21,250 were each year contributed for the scrutiny of thirty and sixty-one estimates, which was at the rate of Rs. 708 in the former, and Rs. 348 in the latter, year, for the scrutiny of each estimate, which seems an unnecessarily high contribution, because the labor of preparation very much exceeds that of simple scrutiny. Under these circumstances, as materials for the formation of a definite opinion are at length before them, the Board resolve to recommend to Government that the contribution payable by Local Fund Boards to the Public Works Department for scrutiny of estimates shall be fixed at the uniform rate of 2½ per cent. of the value thereof.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) C. F. MacCARTIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department,
with twenty-four papers, in original, to be returned.
" all Presidents of Local Fund Boards.
" the Accountant-General.

No. 32.

Referred to the Public Works Department for remarks.

(By Order.)

Madras,
2nd May 1885.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Under Secretary.

No. 155-E.

Memorandum.

Adverting to endorsement from the Financial Department, No. 32, dated 2nd May 1885, referring to the Public Works Department, for remarks, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 1124, dated 31st March 1885, embodying a suggestion that the contribution payable by Local Fund Boards to the Public Works Department for scrutiny of estimates should be fixed at the uniform rate of 2½ per cent. of the value thereof, the undersigned has the honor to observe that the charge of Rs. 21,250 per annum now made to Local Funds, when distributed among the various circles, appears to be by no means excessive. There are twenty-one Local Fund circles, and the average annual contribution from each circle is Rs. 1,000, or about Rs. 84 monthly. The average monthly charge to each Local Fund circle is thus little more than the pay of a second-grade overseer, and for this amount the Local Fund Boards obtain the professional advice of officers of long and varied experience.

2. It is greatly to the advantage of public interests that Local Fund Boards should be encouraged to avail themselves to the utmost of the professional experience which is placed at their disposal, and in this aspect it appears better that the contribution should be in the shape of a fixed annual sum, than in that of a percentage charge on the amount of the estimates forwarded for departmental scrutiny.

3. If the Financial Department considers the contribution now made by the Local Fund Boards to be excessive, this department is of opinion that the proper course is to reduce its amount, but not to substitute for it a percentage charge.

Ootacamund,
28th May 1886.

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Col., R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Financial Department, with its C. No. 103 L.F., returned.

From the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 28th January 1886, No. 369-C.

Abstract.—Forwarding statements showing the number and value of estimates for Local Fund works finally approved by Superintending Engineers during 1882-83, 1883-84, and 1884-85.

Order—dated 5th October 1886, No. 1263 L.F., Financial.

On a careful consideration of the foregoing papers, the Government are of opinion that the present arrangement should be discontinued. The sum of Rs. 21,250 now paid to the Public Works Department for the scrutiny of plans and estimates for Local Fund works covers the whole cost of an establishment engaged not only on local work but also on that of the Public Works Department, which is clearly unfair to the local boards. The Government accordingly accept the recommendation of the Board of Revenue that the contribution should in future be fixed at the rate of 2½ per cent. on the value of the estimates scrutinized by the Public Works Department. This arrangement will accordingly come into force from 1st April 1886.

2. The attention of all Presidents of District Boards will be called to No. 36 of the new Local Fund rules sanctioned in G.O., dated 26th June 1886, No. 721 L.F., under which only estimates for new works connected with buildings, drainage and masonry, and generally all works of a special or unusual character, amounting to upwards of Rs. 2,500, and for all other new works amounting to upwards of Rs. 5,000, need be sent to the Public Works Department for scrutiny.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, all Presidents of District Boards.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

2835/5

Govt. of |1886| Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 5th Oct. 1886, No. 1263 L.F.

OCTOBER.

Scrutiny of Plans and Estimates.

Accepting the recommendation of the Board of Revenue that the contribution for the — for Local Fund works should, in future, be fixed at the rate of 2½ per cent. on the value of the estimates scrutinized by the Public Works Department; ordering that this arrangement be brought into force from 1st April 1886, and stating what estimates should be so scrutinized.

No. 292-N.

2935

Encl: 2

Report by the Supg. ~~Engineer~~ 6th Circle on the Estimate for remetalling the Road No. VIII from Tinnevelly to Rájapálaiyam via Sankaranainarkoil in Tinnevelly District. Rs 16,800.

The Road is said to get deeply cut up and to be almost impassable during heavy rains. It is therefore proposed to re-metal and gravel the following miles.

17th, 19th, 20th, 24th, 28th, 29th to 34th & 43rd to 45th miles with a coat consisting of 4½" thick of stone broken small & 1½" of gravel; 21st, 22nd, 23rd & 40th miles with 6" thick of broken stone and 1½" of gravel; and 41st & 42nd miles with 7½" thick of broken stone and 1½" gravel.

The District Board have in their Resolution No. 3 dated 30th September [illegible], sanctioned the Estimate.

2. The rates are fair and the Estimate is recommended for sanction.

L. W. Martin
Offg. Supg. Engineer
6th Circle

Trivandrum
14th October [illegible]
D 78/4

To the Chief Engr. PWD

2835/7

Letter No. 2014 dated [illegible]

1.

From

The Supd. Engineer
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engr.
Prod.

[illegible]

21 OCT 86

No 292 – K.

Dated 14th
and
Recd. } October/86.

Encl. 2

Report on the Estimate
for remetalling the Road
No VIII from Tinnevelly
to Rajapalaiyam.

District

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ~~LOCAL~~ FUND BOARD

TENNEVELLY CIRCLE.

Dated 30th September 1886

RESOLUTION No. 3

2835/6

PRESENT.

S. H. Wynne Esquire President

Official

1 S. R. Locke Esquire Vice President
2 M. R. Ry. M. Srinivasa aiyar Avl. Rao Sahib
3 — S. A. Sundaram aiyar Avl. Rao Sahib

Non Officials

4 J. A. Milligan Esquire
5 M. R. Ry. M. R. Ramakrishna aiyer Avl. Rao Sahib
6 — Sundaram aiyar Avl. Rao Sahib
7 — V. M. Muttandam Pillai Avl.
8 — C. Muttiah Pillai Avl.
9 — Subramania Pillai Avl.
10 — Syed [illegible] Sahib Khan Sahib
11 — Shaik Sulaiman Sahib
12 — [illegible] Rowter
13 — [illegible] Avl. [illegible]
14 — Ramakrishna aiyar Avl.
15 — Shanmugam Pillai Avl.
16 — Sivarama Subramania Pillai Avl.
17 — Ramalingam Pillai Avl.

Read Local Fund Engineer's
Letter No 224 dated 4th September 1886

forwarding for sanction an estimate amounting to Rs 16,800 for remetalling certain portions of Road No VIII Tinnevelly to Rajapalaiyam via Sankaranainarkoil Sankaranainarkoil Taluk.

4 OCT 86

Sanctioned. The estimate will be forwarded to Government."

(True Extract)

S. A. Wynne

President

Compd

Tinnevelly [illegible]

~~Department~~

No 62. 30 September 1886.

From,

S. A. Wynne Esquire

President District Board

Tinnevelly.

2835/9

To,

The Honorable E. F. Webster

Chief Secretary to Government

Financial Department

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Through the Superintending Engineer.

Under rule 23 of the old Local Fund Rules, I have the honor to report that the District Board have in their meeting held to day accorded sanction for an estimate of Rs 16,800 for remetalling certain portions of road No VIII Tinnevelly to Rajapalaiyam via Sankaranainarkoil, Sankaranainarkoil Taluq.

2. A copy of the Board's Resolution No 3, dated 30 September 1886, together with the estimate is herewith submitted.

3. The necessity for the work is

recommended in the report accompanying the estimate.

4. There is a provision of Rs 5,000 for this work in the budget for the current year, item No. I, "Special repairs" in Statement No. III appended to the budget.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servant
J. L. Spence
President.

Compared
K.S.

2835
10

No. 558. 28/10

1886

TINNEVELLY District P.W. OFFICE

From

The [illegible] District P.W.

Tinnevelly.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government,

Fort St. George.

Dated 30th } through the Superintending Engineer
Despatched 2nd } September 1886
Received

No. 62

Enclosure two.

Subject

Submitting an Estimate for Rs 16,800 for remetalling certain portions of road No VIII from Tinnevelly to Rajapalayam via Sankaranainarkoil.

[illegible]

Public Works Department.

27.10.86

Current No.

Letter No. 62

Dated the 30th Sept

From the S.E. VI Circle

2835/11

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1 This estimate provides for remetalling portions of road No. VIII from Tinnevelly to Rajapalayam via Sankaranainarkoil, in the Tinnevelly district, and amounts to Rs. 16,800.

2. It is proposed to remetal and gravel those portions of the road which are said to get so deeply cut up during heavy rains as to be almost impassable — The 17th, 19th, 20th, 24th, 26th, 37th, 38th, 39th, 43rd, 44th and 45th miles of the road are to receive a stone metal coating 12 feet wide and 5½ inches thick at center and 3½ inches thick at sides; the 21st, 22nd, 23rd and 40th miles are to receive a stone metal coating 12 feet wide and 4 inches thick at center and 2½ inches thick at sides, and the 2nd and 42nd miles are to receive a stone metal co

R. 554

[illegible] enough for the metal thick at
[illegible] inches thick [illegible]
[illegible] Above the stones [illegible]
[illegible] with gravel to a thickness
[illegible] inches. The gravel is to ext[illegible]
[illegible] beyond the metal [illegible]
[illegible] under the stone for metal[illegible]
[illegible] The broken to pass through
[illegible] inch ring.

The rates are fair. The estimate
has been countersigned by the
[illegible]ent of the L. F. Board.

---

2 W.

No remarks seem necessary

CH
23/10/06

2835/12

No remarks are necessary & the alternative may be sanctioned.

185/13

23/10/86

It seems unnecessary that the alternative for re-metalling a road should require to be examined in this office.

23/10/86

Note. In para 2 of G.O. dated 5.10.86, No 1263 L.F. Financial, the Govt have reminded Dist. Boards that only estimates for works of a special or unusual character need be sent to the P.W.D. It seems unnecessary therefore to make any remarks with respect to the present estimate.

The Boards are in future [illegible] charged a percentage on Establishment [illegible] [illegible] inspection

P W R
L works

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 2835 W. Dated 29th Octr/86

X/

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

2835
————
14

READ the following

From the Supdg. Engineer VI Circle
R.E. No. 292 M. d/ 14th Octr. 1886.

The estimate amounting to Rs. 16,800, for remetalling certain portions of road No. VIII from Tinnevelly to Rajapalaiyam via Sankaranainarkoil, in the Tinnevelly District is sanctioned.

2 This sanction has been registered as Local No. 21 W of 1886-87.

3. The Supdg. Engineer VI Circle.
" Financial (L.F. Dept) with 3 enclosures
" Exr. P.W. accts.
~~" Chief Secy. for favor of communication~~

27/10/86

27/10/86

2167

Govt. of (1886) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

15

29/10

Recd 30/10/86

G.O. 29th Octr No. 2835.

Road No VIII,
Tinnevelly District

Estimate of Rs 16,800
for remetalling
certain portions
of — from
Tinnevelly to
Rajapalaiyam
via Sankaranainarkoil
Sanctioned.

30/5

466
24/10