(7) 3097

C No 5334

3097C

Dir.

In the accompanying statement the S.E. proposes to temporarily raise the standard of the Madura Division from 3rd to 2nd class on the plea that the work of the Division has since been increased vastly by addition of works connected with the Tank Dep. The proposition however does not effect any increase in the numerical strength as it affords increased pay to the existing staff. The reason assigned is therefore not sufficient I believe to sanction increase of pay to the existing staff especially under the existing financial pressure.

Submitted for orders

W
10/7.

A.D.W.

I mentioned this subject to D.W.

3097C

is proposal has bee supported by E.I., it must be referred back for further explanation on the points noticed before being disposed of.

W

14.7.86

(7) 3097

C no 5334

3097A

Dir.

In the accompanying statement the S.E. proposes to temporarily raise the standard of the Madura Dn from 3d to 2d class on the plea that the work of the Division has since been increased vastly by addition of works connected with the Tank Dn. The proposition however does not effect any increase in the numerical strength as it affords increased pay to the existing staff. The reason assigned is therefore not sufficient I believe to sanction increase of pay to the existing staff especially under the existing financial pressure.

Submitted for orders

W
10/9.

A.D.W.

I mentioned this subject to D.W.

1886.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 6932

From
The Chief Engr for Irrig

Enclos.—

No. 243
Dated 3rd September 1886
Received 7th Septr 1886.

SUBJECT.

Recommending that the Madura Dn
raised from the 3d to the 2d Class &
all third class divisions will, as
measure, be raised to the 2d Class
such period as the execution of
Maintenance Estimate is carrie
within their limits & that the
of raising the divisions be fo
charged to the Tank Maintenance

DISPOSAL.

No. 3097C Dated 13th Septr 1886

To C

For favor of remarks wi
respect to the proposal to cha
to the Tank Maintenance sche
the cost of raising the
Madura Division from the 3d
to the 2d Class.

(Sd) J.o.H.
9/9/86

with C No 5044, Memo No 4078 an
Rd for 6096 & 6932
15/9.

No. 577 D dt 10-8-86
- 4070 - 15-7-86
- 2378 C - 5-7-86
- 1351 W - 29-5-86
- 3674 W - 18-9-78

---

before he left. The application really is for increase of pay for the Existing Establishment and not for any increase of Establishment. On the one hand Existing orders about reduction of Expenditure and on the other E. I's recommendation must be considered.

FB.
10.7.86

6.10.7.86

---

dministration report 5-86 the Exe. Engr Maa gested the desirability of ranking the division as a 2nd Cla instead of 3rd The S.E. VI Circle called on him for his reasons and his answer was that the work had been greatly increased since Sept 1884 by the Tank Maintenance Works sanctioned for execution & that it will be still further increased as more works are sanctioned. The S.E. VI & E1 both consider the reasons sufficient and recommend that the proposal be sanctioned. It is not clear however what benefit ~~there~~ will be in raising the standard as the proposition statement submitted shows that no increase of establishment is proposed but merely ~~exist~~ increase of pay to the existing establishment and considering the recent orders of the Govt of India on the reduction of expenditure the proposed increase does not n to be desirable.

Cn No. 6096

517 D

JoW

For orders with advertence to the note attached to No. 4070 dated 15.7.86.

Cn
7.8.86.

10 - 8 - 86
15 - 7 - 86
7 - 86
5 - 86
78

1886.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 6096

From The S. E. VI Circle

ENCLOS.—

No. 170 M. D.

Dated July 31. 1886

Received

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Office Estabt. of the Madura D.

Forwards with remarks statt. of proposition for —

DISPOSAL.

No. 517 D. Dated Aug: 10. 1886

E. I.

With advertence to your endorsement No. 50 E.W. d/ 28. 6. 86, please favour me with any further remarks

---

No. 2762 W d/ Octr. 20. 1886

B

C. E. for I.
S. E. VI Circle
Ex. P.W. Acctt.

Despd. 21. 10. 86.

you may have to offer on this proposal, after perusal of para 2 of this office No. 407 I d/ 15.7.86.

The present time does not appear to be a favourable one to consider proposals to increase existing salaries

(Sd.) J.S.L.
W.

With complete file

Desp.d 10/8/86.

G.O. 3674 W d/ 18.9.78
" 1351 W d/ 29.5.86
" 2378 C d/ 5.7.86
" 407 I d/ 15.7.86

OF

etter from the S.E. VI Circle

Endorsement by the C.E. for I.

Letter from the S.E. VI Circle

Office note from the C.E. for I.

" from the Ex. P.W. Acc

" from the —— do

" from the C.E. for I

1886.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 5044

From Chief Engineer for Irrigati

ENCLOS.—

No. 50 E.W.
Dated 28/6/86
Received 30/6.

SUBJECT.

Recommending that the Madur Division be made a 2nd class Division as a temporary measure until Tank Maintenance works are completed.

DISPOSAL.

No. 2378 C Dated d/ 5th July 1886

To C

For favor of check as regards present scale and return.

(Sd.) Dm.ch.C
D.W.

D.W.
5/7/86

you may have to offer on this proposal, after perusal of para 2 of this office No. 407 D d/ 15.7.86.

The present time does not appear to be a favourable one to consider proposals to increase existing salaries

(Sd.) J.S.L.

W.

With complete file & Despd. 10/8/86.

G.O. 3674 W d/ 18.9.78
" 1351 W d/ 29.5.86
" 2378 C d/ 5.7.86
" 407 D d/ 15.7.86

O. No. 3674 W d/ 18th Septr/1878
" 1351 W d/ 29th May/86

6th Circle

Statement of proposition for Temporary Establishment required for the Madura Division office

Order sanctioning the present Establishment Govt. of Madras P.W.D.		Office to which proposition refers.	Nature of charge												Proposition						Remarks.
			Present Scale						Proposed Scale						Permanent		Temporary				
					Pay						Pay				Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		
No.	Date		Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Fixed	Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Fixed	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Fixed	Amount	
		Madura Division office	1	Clerk III Class 3rd Grade	50	2	60		1	Clerk				60				5			Both the present and proposed Scale are exclusive of two temporary Clerks on Rs. 15 each, sanctioned in G.O. No. 1351W dated 29-5-86-
G.O. No. 3674W 4/1729W wrongly	18-9-78 14-7-71		1	Clerk IV class 2nd Grade	30	2	40		1	Clerk				50				15			
			2	Clerks IV class 3rd Grade	each 20	2	30		2	Clerks				60				10			
			1	Draftsman 2nd Class	35	3	50		1	Draftsman 1st class				70				27½			
			1	Do 3rd Class	20	2	30		1	Do 2nd Class				50				25			
			1	Messenger				7	1	Messenger				7							
			1	Do				6	1	Do				6							
				Petty						Petty											
			1	Store Keeper				15	1	Store Keeper				20				5			
			3	Lascars				18	3	Lascars				18							
Total average of the present permanent 3d Class Dn. Establishment per mensem Rs 253½										Total per mensem				341				87½			

Trichinopoly
19th June 1886

Lt. Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer 6th Circle

ENCLOSURE
6 JUL 86
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS.

6th Circle

CHIEF ENGINEER'S
22 JUN
188
FOR IRRIGATION

R 25 M D / 1

M 3 / P.W. ~~5444. 86.~~ 5334. 86.

Statement of proposition for Temporary Establishment required for the Madura Dn Office

Statement Showing the present Strength of the Division and the number of works in progre

Sub Divisions	No. of Subordinates employed	Remarks
Head Quarters	1 Executive Engineer — 3rd grade 1 Supervisor — 1st grade 1 Overseer —	The number of works in progress in the Division is about 300. Comprising Estimates amounting to Rs about 61,271 as Estimates Tank maintenance are expected every day for the Pulney trenar Basin, an Overseer will be required there, when works are started. This list only shows those actually at work (except one Sub Overseer absent on Sick Leave) and does not provide any extra for casualties &c
Dindigul	1 Sub Engineer — 3rd grade 1 Supervisor — 2nd grade 1 Overseer —	
South	1 Assistant Engineer — 1 Supervisor 1st grade. 3 Overseers — 2 Sub Overseers —	

Madura Division

J. Grimes,
Executive Engineer
Madura Division

Madura }
12.6.86 }

J M
11/6

Sd

2/203 Ind/86

ENCLOSURE
6 JUL 83
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
14 JUN 86
6th CIRCLE

CHIEF ENGINEER
12 AUG
886
FOR IRRIGATION

R 25-Ind
2

PW Gen 2
PW 5244-86-
5334 86.

Statement shewing of the present strength of the Division and the No of works in progress

---

From

The Executive Engineer
Madura Division

To

The Superintending Engineer
6th Circle
Trichinopoly

No 100 S.E

Enclosures 2.

Sir.

With reference to your memo No 63 M.D of 14th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that the chief reason under which the standard of this Division is requested to be raised from 3rd to 2nd class is that the work in the Division has already increased to a great extent since September 1884 when the Tank Maintenance works were added for execution and is still further likely to increase day by day as the number of Tank Maintenance

-nance works sanctioned increases.

2. From the enclosed Statement showing the present strength of Establishment and the number of works in progress, it may be judged whether or not the Division requires its Original standard to be raised -

3. The Statement of proposition for the staff required for the Division office is also appended as desired.

4. The Standard of the Division may be reduced again; in about 5 years, when the Tank maintenance works, will be, completed.

I have &c

11th June 1886. (Signed) J. Grimes
Executive Engineer
Madura Dn

No 109. M.D. Enclo: 2.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, for Consideration.

2.

2 In his Administration Report for 1885-86 the Executive Engineer Madura Division suggested the desirability of having the Madura Division ranked as a 2nd Class Dn - He was called upon, in this office No 63 M.D of 14th ultimo, to submit a special report on the subject.

3. Under the circumstances explained by Mr Grimes, I am of opinion that the standard of the Division, should be raised to 2nd Class as a temporary measure, till the completion of the Tank maintenance works.

J Pennycuick
Lt Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer 6th Circle

Superintending Engrs office 6.C.
Trichinopoly 19th June 1886

EXAMINER
No. ______
6 JUL 1886
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

CHIEF ENGINEER
22 JUN
1886
FOR IRRIGATION

From, R 25 M.D

The Supg. Engineer
6th Circle

CHIEF ENGINEER
12 AUG
1886
FOR IRRIGATION

To,

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

Govt
PWD 5044/86 3334/86

No. 109. M. D.

Dated 19: June /86

Enclo: 2

Submits for consideration a Statement of Proposition for Temporary Establishment required for the Madura Division office.

Endorsement from the Supg. Engineer, 6th Circle, No. 109. M.D. dated 19th June 1886.

No. 50 EW.

Encl 3

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P. W. D. with the recommendation that the Madura Division be made a 2nd class Division as a temporary measure until Tank Maintenance works are completed.

Ootacamund }
28th June 1886 }

Jos. Allut.
Colonel. R.E.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

P. W. No. ~~5044~~ 5334

R No 249
6-7-86 Encl- 3.
No. 2378 C d/ 5th July 1886
E. 6. No. 1357 W. d/ 29. Muy 1886
" 3674 W. d/ 18. Septr 78.
To C

EXAMINER
No. ______
6 JUL 1886
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

CHIEF ENGINEER
12 AUG
[illegible]
FOR IRRIGATION

For favor of check as regards present scale and return-

5/7/86

Enclos 3

Recd: 30. 6. 86.
Recd: 9. 7. 86.

To W
No 260
8/7/86.
Encl 3

Entries in column Present Scale of the Proposition statement have been checked and found correct.

C
8/7

O.P.S. 67/7.
C. 10. 7. 86

B

No. 407 D d/ July 15. 1886
P. W. Dept.

Memorandum.

Letter from the S. E. VI Circle No. 109 M.D., d/ June 19. 1886.
Endorsement by the C. E. for Irrign .. 50 EW. d/ .. 28. ..
Office note from the E. P. W. A .. 260 d/ July 8. ..

In these papers, it is recommended that the Madura division be raised from the 3rd to the 2nd class, as a temporary arrangement until Tank maintenance works are completed.

2. From the tabular statement submitted, it is observed that no increase

of the office establishment is proposed, but merely increases of pay to the existing establishment. If the work of the office is too heavy for the present establishment, relief might be afforded by the entertainment of one or more temporary hands, but it is not understood what advantage will be gained by increasing the pay of the existing staff; and in the face of present restrictive orders, strong grounds must be adduced in support of the proposal.

3. It is further remarked that, in the tabular statement, the entries under "proposed scale" do not correspond with the authorised scale for a 2d class division, as shewn below; and it is not understood why it is proposed to give "fixed" pay to the clerks & draftsman.

Authorised scale for a 2d class division.		Scale proposed by Supdg Engineer.	
1 clerk III class 2d grade ..	Rs 60–80	1 Clerk	Rs 60.
1 " IV " 2d "	" 30–40 ...	1 "	" 50
2 clerks IV " 3d "	" 20–30 ...	2 Clerks each	" 30
1 draftsman 1st class	" 50–70 ...	1 draftsman	" 70
1 " 3d "	" 20–30 ...	1 "	" 50

4. The proposal is referred back for further

information on the points noticed.

Ut
15·7·86

Ew

CHIEF ENGINEER
12 AUG
86
FOR IRRIGATION

~~P.W. 7392/86~~

No. 407 Dd/ July 15. 1886

S.E. VI Circle 5

C.E. for Irrign. 5 despd. 15.7.86

VI. Circle:

Statement of Proposition for revision of the present Office Establishment of the Madura Division.

Order sanctioning the present Establishment. Government of Madras. P. W. D.		Office to which proposition refers.	Nature of change.												Proposition.						Remarks.
			Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.				
					Pay.						Pay.				Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		
No.	Date.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.	Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
G.O. No. 3674 W	18-9-78	Madura Division Office.		Authorized 3rd class.						Authorized 2nd class											Both the present and proposed scale are exclusive of two temporary clerks on Rs 15 each sanctioned in G.O. No. 1351 W of 29/5/86.
+ 1729 W	14-7-71			Office Establishment.				average.		Office Establishment.				average.							
			1.	Clerk III class III. Grade —	50	2	60	55	1.	Clerk III class II. Grade	60	4	80	70	15						
			1.	Clerk IV class II Grade —	30	2	40	35	1.	Clerk IV — Do. — II — Do. —	30	2	40	35	"						
			2.	Clerks IV. class III. — Do. —	each 20	2	30	50	2	Clerks IV — Do. — III — Do. —	20	2	30	50	"						
			1.	Draftsman 2nd class —	35	3	50	42½	1	Draftsman 1st class	50	4	70	60	17½						
			1.	— Do. — 3rd class —	20	2	30	25	1	— Do. — 3rd — Do. —	20	2	30	25	.						
			1.	Messenger. —				7	1	Messenger —				7							
			1.	— Do. —				6	1	— Do. —				6							
								220½						253	32½						
				Petty						Petty											
			1.	Store-keeper —				15	1	Store-keeper —				20	5						
			3.	Lascars —				18	3	Lascars —				18	-						
								33						38	5						
								253½						291	37½						

Supdg. Engineer's Office 6th Circle,
Kodaikanal 31st July 1886.

Lieut. Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer 6th Circle.

Enclo^s 3

P.W. ~~6096~~/86
7392/86

CHIEF E[illegible]
13 AUG
1886
FOR IRRIGATION

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, 6th CIRCLE,

Kodaikanal 31/7/1886.

No. 170 M.D.

D 4/8.

From,

Lieut. Col. J. Pennycuick R.E.

Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle,

To,

The Chief Engineer

P. W. D.

Enclo: 1.

Rd. 6 C.E.

+ with file with 607 D
7/57/86

Sir,

With reference to your memorandum No. 407 D under date the 15th Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith a revised Statement of proposition to take the place of the one submitted with my No. 109. M.D dated 19: Ultimo. The latter contained several errors, which are regretted.

2. The increase of pay is recommended on account of the increased work thrown upon the staff by the present state of affairs.

3. There are already two temporary hands working in the Divisional

Office. The entertainment of additional temporary men is not at present considered necessary; the object is to increase the pay of the permanent establishment in consideration of their increased work and responsibility.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obdt. servt

Lieut. Col. R.E.

Supg. Engineer 6th Circle.

C. 6. 1351 W. 29.5.86.

Ootacamund, Aug: 10. 1886.

No. 517/D.

To,

E.I.

With advertence to your endorsement No. 50 EW. dated 28.6.86, please favour me with any further remarks you may have to offer on this proposal, after perusal of para 2 of this office No. 407 D d/ 15.7.86.

The present time does not appear to be a favourable one to consider proposals to increase existing salaries.

JM

10.8.86

W.

With complete file

C.E.S. 3078

C. 6.8.86 R. 11.9.86

S.W.C. No. 5096

392/86

R 29 E. W.

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th *Circle.*

To

The Chief Engineer

P.W.D.

13 AUG 1886
FOR IRRIGATION

No. 170 M.D.

Dated 31 July 1886.
Received 6.8.86

Enclosures.

Forwards statement of proposition for revision of the present Office Establishment of the Madura Dt.

12/8/86

To

E. I. Form No. 34-F.

KEEP-WITH.

No. dated 188 .

+ at Ooty

GOVT. OF MADRAS 1886. P.W. DEPT.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.

Madras, 3rd Septr 1886.

OFFICE NOTE.

No 243

Circulation Register.

To	From	Despatch Date.	Receipt Date.
W	E.I.		

With reference to your office No. 407. D. of 15 July 1886 I would point out that it is not so much a question of giving increased Establishment to the Executive Engineer, as of giving increased remuneration for increased responsibility to those office hands on whom such increased responsibility falls viz the Head Clerk, Head Draughtsman and

and Storekeeper, by raising the Madura Division from the 3rd to the 2d class, the three men in question will benefit. The increased responsibility is due to the Extra work in Connection with the Execution of the Tank Maintenance Scheme Estimates, the Cost of raising the Division to the 2nd class may therefore be fairly charged to the Tank Maintenance Scheme, and to obviate a similar difficulty in the future, it should be laid down as a Rule that all 3rd class Divisions will, as a temporary measure, be raised to the 2d class during such period as the execution of Tank Maintenance Estimate is carried on within their limits,

Folb

E. J.

[illegible]

No 517 D. 10-8-86
No 2378 C. 5-7-86
G. O. 1357 W. 29-5-86
" 3674 W. 18-9-78
No 407 D. 15-7-86

O.W. 3/9.

C. 7-9-86

P. W. C. No [illegible] 7392/86

Recd. 7.9.86

Inclo: 1

R No 386
15-9-86.

Enclo-7.

No. 3097 C of 13th Septr 1886

To C

For favor of remarks with respect to the proposal to charge to the Tank Maintenance scheme the cost of raising the Madura Division from the 3rd to the 2nd Class.

Folb
9/9/86. W.

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1886. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 20th September 1886.

OFFICE NOTE No. 438.

Enclo-8.

To W:

Your O. N. No. 3097 C. dated 13th September 1886.

The cost of the Establishment of the Tank Maintenance Scheme is charged to temporary Establishment and the proposal to charge to the Tank Maintenance Scheme, the cost of raising the Madura Division from the 3rd. to the 2nd. Class is the same as charging a portion of pay to permanent and another to temporary Establishment, which is inadmissible.

2. Attention is drawn to para 6 of G. O. No. 646 I. dated 12th. July 1884, in which it was decided that the execution of the Tank Maintenance Works should be executed by the ordinary staff of the Department.

C.

18/9

P.W.C. No 7392

Recd: 21-9-86

Encls: 3 + 1 file of pa

Supdt. of W. Tanks Rn. Scheme

It has now been obtained from Tank Office & is submitted

Ch
29-9-86

Forward the statement to the S.E. pointing out that the Expenditure has not increased at present therefore the necessity for increasing the pay of the Estab is not apparent.

Joh
1/10/86.

W

3414 C

S.E. VI asks that the Madras Divl Office might be raised from a 3rd to a 2nd class one on account of the additional work caused by the tank restoration operations.

I thereupon obtained a statement from C which shows that expenditure has actually decreased since tank scheme was started.

I do not think it is necessary for the tank estimates to be checked in the Madras Office, they are checked in the Tank Divl Office & again in ~~the~~ Supdt of Works Office & any further check seems superfluous.

I doubt if it is necessary to increase the Madras Office

29/9/86

3464C 7392

C's office note No 438 of 20.9.86.

To DW.

For orders –

If the proposal to raise the Madura Divn to 2d class be approved, it is clear that the whole cost must be charged to Provincial.

C.
24.9.86

I got a statement from C showing that expenditure in Madura has not increased since the scheme began. Here is that statement

24/9/86

DW The statement was addressed to you as

Statement showing outlay in Madura District on Minor Original works and Repairs from 1872-3 to 1885-6

Years	Minor Original works	Repairs	Remarks
1872-73	2.816	37.402	
1873-74	3.500	42.055	
1874-75	2.742	42.025	
1875-76	3.479	47.343	
1876-77	8.113	41.540	
1877-78	4.636	118.216	Includes the normal cost of works done by Famine labor.
1878-79	2.546	79.803	
1879-80	4.926	59.259	
1880-81	3.033	42.597	Includes works of Trichi-nopoly District of whic Dn Madura was a portio in that year.
1881-82	580	4.215	
1882-83	9.166	36.128	
1883-84	9.546	28.699	
1884-85	12.619	33.867	
1885-86	5.152	9.537	

D. C. Gordon
Examiner, P.W. Account
Madras

Madras
August 1886

W 26/8

26/8

No [illegible]
7714/86

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1886. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras 27 August 1886.

OFFICE NOTE No. 371.

Enc: one

To

S. W. T.

Your O. N. No. 2788 T. dated 21st. August 1886.

The enclosed statement shows that the outlay on Minor Works in Madura has not been more than what it was since the formation of No. I Tank Division.

C.

Keep-with Genl. No.

dated 188 .

Eu
26/8

26
8.

[illegible] the figures
refer to irrigation

Pa cho 7714 ✓

Recd 4/10/86
Ex. 1

S.
5.

P. W. Department

No. 3464 C d/ 6th October/86

Letter from the S. E. VI Circle No. 170 M.D. d/ 31.7.86.
Office note from the C.E. for Irrign .. 243 d/ 3.9.86.
" from the Ex. P.W. Accts .. 438 d/ 20.9.86.
" do .. 371 d/ 27.8.86

Copy of the Examiner's office note to endorsement No. 3097 C d/ 13th Septr 1886 will be forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrign with advertence to the suggestion that the cost of raising the Madura division to 2nd class be charged to the Tank

Maintenance Scheme.

2 Copies of the statt submitted by the Examiner with his office note No. 371 d/ 27th August 1886, which shews that the expenditure on minor works in the Madura division has not increased since the formation of No. I Tank division will be forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation and to the Supdg Engineer VI Circle, with remark that the necessity for increasing the pay of the divisional office establt is not apparent.—

4/10/86

4/10/86

C.E. for I. &
S.E. VI Circle

Despd. 8.10.86

No. 3097C d/ 13-9-86
" 517 D " 10-8-86
" 2378C " 5-7-86
" 1351 W " 29-5-86
" 647 I " 12-7-84
3674 vy 18.9.78

maj

6.10

No. 3464C d/6th Oct/86

Statement showing the total expenditure incurred on Irrigation Works under the charge of the P.W.D. in the Madura district from 1882-83 to 1885-86.

	Ordinary Works				Tank maintenance Works			Total
	Original Works		Repairs	Total	Original Works	Repairs	Total	
	Major	Minor						
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
1882-83	529	9,166	36,128	45,823	"	"	"	45,823
1883-84	3,977	9,546	28,695	42,222	"	1,425	1,425	43,647
1884-85	5,308	12,620	33,867	51,795	3,536	38,908	42,444	94,239
1885-86	1,409	5,152	9,537	16,098	16,965	51,742	68,707	84,805

For EJ
11.10

E. I. Form No. 34-F.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1886. { P. W. DEPT.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.

Madras, 14th October 1886.

OFFICE NOTE. No. 271

Enclos
statem

Circulation Register.

To	From	Despatch Date.	Receipt Date.
W	E.I.		

KEEP-WITH.

No. dated 188 .

Your Memorandum 3464 of 6th Inst. enclosing statement from C. regarding expenditur in the Madura Divn. C's statement is misleading i as much as it only deals with expenditure on Mino New Works, and Repairs ordina the expenditure on Major Original Works, and that on Tank Maintenance Works is not shown in the statement.

J

I forward herewith a statement of expenditure in the Madura Divn. under various heads from 1882-83 to 1885-86 from which it will be seen that since the system of carrying out T. M. Scheme works by the Executive Engr. of the Divn. has got into working order, the expenditure of the Divn. has quite doubled itself.

Under these circumstances I think the raising of the Madura Divn. to the 2nd Class for such period as Tank maintenance scheme works are in progress, is reasonable and as the excess expenditure involved must not be met from T. M. S., it should be provided under Provincial.

J. R. Davidson
For E.E.
14.10

P.W.C. No. 8029

Rg 15-10-86

Su-1

Divn
For orders
16.10.86

...ure
...tenance
...which it was
supposed intended to show,
E.E. now submits a
statement showing that
the expenditure in the
Madura Divn has
largely increased since
Tank Maintenance operations
commenced, in these cir-
cumstances I think
Mem No 3464 C dated
5th Oct/86 may be
cancelled & the Madura
Divn classed as 2nd
Class as reported by
S.C. 6th

16/10/86

...pproved. The T.M. works Sundry
... 23 p.c. [illegible] [illegible]
16/10

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Octr 20. 1886.

READ the following

E. No 109 M.D. d/ 19. 6. 86.
" " 50 E.W. d/ 28. 6. 86
" " 170 M.D. d/ 31. 7. 86
" " 243 d/ 3. 9. 86
" " 438 d/ 20. 9. 86
" " 371 d/ 27. 8. 86
" " 271 d/ 14. 10. 86

In the circumstances now stated by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, the proposal to temporarily raise the status of the Madura Division fro the 3rd to the 2nd clas

while Tank Mainten

Works are in progress the

is sanctioned

19/10/86

19/10/86

27/10

Oct 27/10.

1/11