

Ob. No 1507 W. 15.6.86

No 2358 W of 17-9-86

B

Recorded in
Continuation of G.O.
Continuation
No. 1507 W. dated
of G.O. No. 1507-W.
15 June 1886.
8/5.6.86.

CP
10/9/86

CU
10/9/86

Da
13/9/86

(2) P.W.C. No 7051

Govt. of 1886 Madras.
JUDICIAL.

1380

11

Issued

Recd. 10.9.86

Encl.

G.O., 26th August 1886, No. 2273.

No 2358 W of 17-9-86

AUGUST.

Pleaders' Fees.

Concurring in the suggestions made by the High Court in their letter regarding the scale of Government — in civil cases; and directing that it be communicated to all District Judges and Collectors for information and guidance.

2 copies to be kept

Subd. M

S. Es. ✓

S. Es.

Exr. P.W. Accts.

Exr. Despd 17.9.86.

Oct. 5/9/86
10/9/86
11.9.86

Ms. No. 1507 W. 15.6.86

(2) P.W.C. No. 7051

No. 2359 Wd/17-9-86

Comm. to the S.E.V.C.
in continuation of G.O.
No. 1095 Wd/30.4.1886.
Sd/ J.D.H.

S. E. V. Circle.

Govt. of 1886 Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 25th August 1886,
Nos. 475, 476.

No. 2359 Wd/17-9-86

AUGUST.

Seven Pagodas Light.

Concurring with the Port Officer, Madras,
that, as the — is intended to be a warning beacon,
it should be a red light; and addressing the Gov-
ernment of Pondicherry in the Political Depart-
ment in view to ascertain what change is con-
templated in regard to the light at that port.
Copy to the Government of India.

Oct. 59/9. 1259. 10/9
C. 11.9.86

Incl. 11/11

Dr. No 1507 W. 15-6-86

(2) P.W.C.A. 7051

No 2358 Waf 17-9-86

Sept of 1886

25TH AUGUST 1886, Nos. 475, 476, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 12th May 1886, Mis. No. 241, Marine.

Read—the following letter from J. H. TAYLOR, Esq., Lieut., R.N.R., Master Attendant, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th April 1886, No. 3665:—

Administrative Department.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G.O., No. 167, Marine, dated 8th instant, and to report that, in obedience to the instructions conveyed in G.O., Mis.

No. 30, dated 16th January 1886.

„ 59, „ 1st February „

No. 84, dated 17th February 1886, copies of the Government Orders as per margin were distributed at Madras and the out-ports to Masters of vessels, in view to an

expression of their opinion in connection with the proposed site at the Seven Pagodas for the new light, and the following replies (herewith sent) have been received, opposite each of which is noted whether it is for or against the proposal:—

- | | |
|---|------------|
| 1. Commander of Steamer <i>Chyebassa</i> . | } For. |
| 2. Do. of Barque <i>Argonaut</i> . | |
| 3. Do. of Ship <i>White Rose</i> . | |
| 4. Do. of do. <i>Sea Queen</i> . | |
| 5. Do. of do. <i>Suffolk</i> . | |
| 6. Do. of Steamer <i>Straits of Gibraltar</i> . | |
| 7. Do. of do. <i>Nurjehan</i> . | |
| 8. Do. of do. <i>Sirdhana</i> . | |
| 9. Do. of do. <i>Agra</i> . | |
| 10. Do. of do. <i>Peshwa</i> . | |
| 11. Do. of do. <i>India</i> . | |
| 12. Do. of French Steamer <i>Colombo</i> . | |
| 13. Do. of P. and O. Steamer <i>Rohilla</i> . | |
| 1. Commander of Ship <i>Cambrian Prince</i> . | } Against. |
| 2. Do. of do. <i>Lorenzo</i> . | |
| 3. Do. of Steamer <i>Priam</i> . | |
| 4. Do. of French Steamer <i>Suez</i> . | |

2. It will be seen that, with the exception of four, all the rest are for the Seven Pagodas' site. The dissentients recommend Pálár river in lieu, which betrays an imperfect knowledge of local conditions.

Order—dated 12th May 1886, Mis. No. 241, Marine.

The Government have considered the replies of the Masters of vessels forwarded with the foregoing letter, and approve of the proposed site at the Seven Pagodas.

2. As to the description of light to be exhibited, the Master Attendant will state whether, in his opinion, the suggestion made by the Captain of the *Rohilla*, that a distinction should be made between the Pulicat light and that proposed for the Seven Pagodas, should not be adopted. The two places are not very far apart.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Master Attendant, Madras, with enclosures.

READ—also the following paper:—

From T. E. MARSHALL, Esq., Acting Port Officer, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 9th August 1886, No. 7537.

I have the honor, in attention to your official memorandum, No. 1288-C, of date 5th instant, to furnish the following remarks on paragraph 2 of G.O., Marine Department, No. 241, of date 12th May 1886.

2. The site selected for the Seven Pagodas light is situated 29 miles to the south of Madras, or about 9 miles beyond the range of the Madras light, and 47 miles north of Pondicherry. Madras displays a white revolving light, and Pondicherry a white fixed light, and it is in reference to these two lights that the description of the light to be fixed at the Seven Pagodas must be decided. Pulicat light is 21 miles from Madras and 49 miles from the Seven Pagodas, and a vessel running down the coast and missing the former light is bound to sight the Madras light, which is visible at a distance of 20 miles. The Seven Pagodas light will not be a port light, but a danger light to steamers bound to Madras from the southward during the prevalence of the North-east monsoon, and it might advantageously be a red light, and the fourth order dioptric lantern from Santopilly could, as proposed in this office letter, No. 385, dated 20th January last, be utilized by the substitution of red chimneys in lieu of white. Captain Taylor approves of this proposal.

3. I would, however, take this opportunity of bringing to the notice of Government that the French authorities are contemplating a change in the Pondicherry light. The British Consul at that port, on being asked to obtain information regarding the character of the new light, states, in reply, "that the question of the new light is still *sub judice*." It is to be hoped that it is not the intention of the authorities to alter the character of the Pondicherry light from "white fixed" to "white revolving" like the Madras light, and I would recommend that the French Government be communicated with on the subject, as two first-class lights of the same description, only 77 miles apart, each having a radius of 20 miles, are likely to lead to confusion.

ORDER—dated 25th August 1886, No. 475, Marine.

As the Seven Pagodas light is intended to be a warning beacon, the Government concur with the Port Officer, Madras, that it should be a red light, the present Santopilly light being utilized for the purpose by the substitution of red chimneys for white.

2. Copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India, in continuation of the letter from this department, dated 1st February 1886, No. 60, with the request that the Director of the Indian Marine may be informed of the change of the color of the light.

3. The Government of Pondicherry will be addressed in the Political Department in view to ascertain what change is contemplated in regard to the light at that port.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Port Officer, Madras.
" Collector of Chingleput.
" Public Works Department.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 25th August 1886, No. 476, Marine.

Copy to the Government of India, Military (Marine) Department.

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

Exd, A. Raitt,