

Copy of letter from the Executive Engineer
Madura Division to the Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras No 28C dated 9th June 1886.

I have the honor to inform you
that the Post Master Madura refuses to
accept Cheques for amount to be paid
into Government Savings' Bank Madura
on account of Contractors' Security, and to
request therefore that you will be good
enough to ask the Provincial Accountant
General to issue necessary instructions
to the Postal Department to accept the
Cheques of this Department, as it will be
very inconvenient and unsafe to make
cash payments in similar cases

(True Copy)

G. E. Patton

Supdt. Govt. Branch

and
27th 19/6
19/6.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 4781

From

The Examiner P.W. Accts

ENCLOS.— 2

No. 418

Dated 19th June 1886Received 21st — — —

SUBJECT.

Govt. cheques — For forwarding
 certain correspondence regarding
 the non-receipt of — by Post
 Office Savings Bank.

DISPOSAL.

No. 2257 Dated 22nd June 1886.

To be

With reference to para 2
 please submit suggestions
 for meeting the difficulty
 complained of —

(Ed) D. Mc. C.

22/6/86.

D.H.

22/6/86

22/6/86



3
 4781/86
 4900

Copy of letter from the Post Master General
 Madras to the Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras
 No 4105 dated 18th June 1886.
 S.B. 1/19

In reply to your letter No 1342
 dated 15th Instant giving cover to a copy of letter
 No 28 C dated 9th June 1886 from the Executive
 Engineer Madras Division, I have the
 honor to observe that in my opinion the
 proper course is for the Public Works Depart-
 =ment to get its own Cheque cashed at the
 District Treasury, and then make the
 necessary deposit in the Savings Bank in
 cash. I do not think that the Post Master
 should have the trouble of cashing the cheques
 thrown upon him, and it is the universal
 practice at present to receive deposits ^{only}
 in the form of cash or Government currency
 notes.

(True Copy)

W. Stutton
 Supdt. Corr. Branch

27th 19/6
 19/6

It might be asked
 to state who provided
 the funds and how
 within much difficulty.
 I cannot say that
 that the main of the
 Post Master General
 was in his letter
 4105 18th June 1886
 an error as that
 the cheque should be
 cashed by the A. W. Sparrow
 & the amount paid in
 the savings bank either
 in Cash or Currency Notes

22/6/86



2
 4757/18
 4900

[C. No. 2.]

N.B.—In any future communication in this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 417

From

THE EXAMINER,
 PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
 MADRAS,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
 Madras, 19 JUNE

1886.

SIR,

I HAVE THE HONOR TO FORWARD FOR INFORMATION OF
 GOVERNMENT COPY OF LETTERS NOTED IN THE MARGIN REGARDING
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S (MADURA DIVISION)
 NO. 28 C. DATED 9TH. JUNE 1886.
 POSTMASTER GENERAL'S (MADRAS) NO. 4105
 DATED 18TH. JUNE 1886.
 OFFICE SAVINGS BANKS.

THE NON-RECEIPT
 OF GOVERNMENT
 CHEQUES BY POST

2. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT PROVISION COULD BE MADE
 WITHOUT MUCH DIFFICULTY TO MEET SUCH CASES, AND SO OBVIATE
 THE NECESSITY FOR THE TRANSPORT OF COIN AND RISK OF LOSS.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT.

D. P. Goudar

EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

Encs: 2

d.w.

For orders.

It is submitted that as the party who pays the money into the ^{Savings} Bank benefits thereby in the way of interest the onus of correct payment should rest with him -

The Bank can hardly be expected to ^{receive} cash cheques as if they were to be passed to the credit of a current account.

It is not understood what "provision" could be made to meet such cases -

The Govt. wd. like to transfer the risk of loss in the transport of cash to the Savings Bank! F.B.

(37)

I do not see any objection to the arrangement proposed by C and I think a reference unnecessary.

E. & Madama states that it will be in-
convenient & must
to make cash payments

but I cannot see why this should be so. The
E. & Madama frequently
have to send to the
Treasurer for Cash for
other purposes.

Wm
25/6/86

Iconcurs. W
5-8-86

[5]

d.w.

For orders.

It is not probable that Postmaster General will consent to the arrangement proposed by C, and perhaps a reference on the subject is unnecessary

F.B.
12/6/86

No 2257 C of 22^d June 1886.
To C. LAⁿ 229 Enc 2
23.6.86

With reference to
para 2 please sub-
mit suggestions
for meeting the diffi-
culty complained of.

1
22/6/86
D.H.

FROM

THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

NO.

DATED 19th JUNE 1886.

RECD. 21

ENCLOS. 2.

GOVT. CHEQUES. FORWARDS
CERTAIN CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING
THE NON RECEIPT OF ----- BY POST
OFFICE SAVINGS BANKS.



KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1886.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 24 JUNE

1886

OFFICE NOTE No. 232

To

W.

Encs: 3

YOUR O.N. NO. 2251 C. DATED 22ND.
JUNE 1886.

PREVIOUS TO THE TRANSFER
OF THE ACCOUNTS OF THE DISTRICT
SAVINGS BANKS TO THE POST OFFICE
SAVINGS BANKS, GOVT. CHEQUES OF
THIS DEPARTMENT WERE ACCEPTED.

2. THE BUSINESS OF THE DISTRICT
SAVINGS BANK WAS CONDUCTED BY THE
OFFICER IN CHARGE OF THE TREASURY
AND THE CHEQUES OF P.W. OFFICERS
WERE DOUBTLESS TREATED AS CASH,
SINCE THE OFFICIAL WHO RECEIVED
THEM FOR THE SAVINGS BANK AND WHO
CASHED THEM AS TREASURY OFFICER
WAS THE SAME, AND THERE WAS SCARCELY
A POSSIBILITY OF A TRANSACTION BY
A CHEQUE BEING IN ANY WAY IRREGULAR.

3. UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT
THE POSTMASTER CANNOT PERHAPS BE

No 2257C. 22.6.86.

EXPECTED TO TREAT A CHEQUE AS HE
WOULD TREAT CASH.

4. THE OPENING PARAS OF CHAPTER

25 VOLUME I. OF THE CIVIL ACCOUNT

CODE GIVE THE RULES FOR THE DISPOSAL

OF ' RECEIPTS ' BY POSTMASTERS.

MONEY FORWARDED TO TREASURY OR SUB-

TREASURY IS ACKNOWLEDGED, AND IN THE

SAME WAY AN ACKNOWLEDGMENT FOR

CHEQUES OF THE DEPARTMENT MIGHT BE

GIVEN SUCH ACKNOWLEDGMENT BEING

SUFFICIENT TO ENABLE THE POSTMASTER

TO COMPLETE HIS PART OF THE TRANS-

ACTION. IF THERE SHOULD BE ANY DELAY

DUE TO THE PROCESS OF VERIFYING THE

CHEQUES AS GOOD BEFORE THE ACKNOWLEDG-

MENT CAN BE GIVEN, PRELIMINARY RECEIPT

FOR THE AMOUNT OF THE CHEQUE MIGHT BE

GIVEN BY THE POSTMASTER AS IN THE

CASE OF OPENING AN ACCOUNT AT A

SUB POST OFFICE.

57
No 2027 W of 13th August 1886
Cuv 4781 42900

Bo.
The Govt. do not
consider that anything
can be done to meet
the wishes of the Ex. Eng.
Madura Division in
this matter; and they
agree in the views ex-
pressed by the Post-
master general in his
letter No 4105 of 18th
June 1886.

OCZ

c.

dy 24/6

29

24/6

13/8
10-8-86
Ex: M. assets
13/8