(1) Number of pages 36

(2) Date of repair -12-87 ✓

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P. W DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. ~~B.P. No.~~	1865 W	**Press.** ~~Mis.~~
Dated	23-7-1886	~~198~~ .

A.K

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Archives—1 10,006-9 80

23rd July 1886, No. 1865 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following letter from J. THOMSON, Esq., President, Local Fund Board, Godávari, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 14th June 1886, No. 75:—

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., dated the 2nd February 1886, Mis. No. 142 L.F., I have the honor to submit, herewith, a revised estimate for gravelling and metalling the road from Cocanada to Nilapalli, and to request that Government may be pleased to accord sanction to it.

2. The estimate amounts to Rs. 57,230, and has been scrutinised by the Superintending Engineer. Copies of the District Board's resolution, No. 46, dated the 22nd March 1886, and of the Local Fund Engineer's letter, No. 1158, dated the 18th August 1884, on the subject are enclosed.

No. 423, Financial.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

(By Order.)

Madras,
7th July 1886.

(Signed) E. S. LAFFAN,
Acting Under Secretary.

Order—dated 23rd July 1886, No. 1865 W., Public Works.

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 57,230, for metalling the road from Cocanada to Nilapalli in the Godávari district, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 40,850 sanctioned in G.O., No. 1335 W., dated 29th May 1882.

2. The circumstances in which Rs. 3,800 were entered in the former estimate as the value of work already executed, instead of Rs. 20,182, should be explained.

3. The sanction accorded in paragraph 1 of these proceedings has been registered as Local, No. 10 W. of 1886-87.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Financial Department, with two enclosures.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1886) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 23rd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 23rd July 1886, No. 1865 W.

1.

JULY.

Road

Revised estimate of Rs. 57,230 for metalling the — from Cocanada to Nilapalli, in the Godávari district, sanctioned with remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

20th March 1879, No. 837 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following paper :—

No. 238. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th February 1879, No. 464.

From W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, Godávari District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 16th January 1879, No. 13.

IN forwarding herewith a comparative statement with a schedule of rates (to be returned) showing the differences between the original and revised estimates for gravelling the road from Cocanada to Nilapalli, received from the Acting District Engineer with his letter, dated 29th June 1878, No. 1,062, I have the honor to inform you that it was passed by the Local Fund Board of the Cocanada Circle on the 14th instant. Copy of their resolution is herein enclosed.

2. The Acting District Engineer says in his letter, of the 18th October last, No. 2,344, that in the original estimate the length of the Nilapalli road was given as 14 miles 6 feet, whereas the actual length has been found by subsequent measurements to be 15 miles 1[illegible] feet. The necessity for a revised estimate is also accounted for by the fact that it has been thought proper to bring the gravel for the road from a greater distance than was originally intended, because the gravel to be had at the place first proposed was not good enough.

3. I have therefore the honor to request the Board of Revenue will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the revised estimate, viz., Rs. 38,183, in lieu of the one sanctioned in G.O., No. 139, of 18th April 1872, for Rs. 30,490.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Estimate.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Schedule of Rates.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Extract from Proceedings of Local Fund Board.

THE revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 38,183, for gravelling the road from Cocanada to Nilapalli is submitted for the sanction of Government.

2. The present estimate is in excess of the original one* by Rupees 7,693, and the cause of the excess is explained in paragraph 2 of the President's letter.

3. The work appears as Item No. 4 in Part I of the current year's budget of the Cocanada Circle, with an allotment of Rupees 2,950.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Secretary.

* For Rupees 30,490.

No. 239. ORDER THEREON, 20th March 1879, No. 837 W.

THE revised estimate of Rupees 38,183, for graveling the road from Cocanada to Nilapalli in the Godavari District, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Local No. 243 B of 1878-79.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, Col., R.E.,
Ag. Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, First Circle.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
„ Board of Revenue.
„ Financial Department.

1.

Exd. C. Howarth.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1879) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FIRST CIRCLE,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated March 20th.

Issued

Read. *Read.*

G. O., *20th March 1879, No. 687 W.*

MARCH.

Nos. 238-239.

Road from Cocanada to Nilapalli, Goda[illegible] District—Sanctions revised estimate for gravelling the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

No. 37.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th October 1881, No. 9482 Miscellaneous.

Read—letter from the President, Local Fund Boards, Godávari District, dated 5th September 1881, No. 74.

Resolution—[illegible]

With the foregoing letter the President submits two statements, one showing works sanctioned by the Local Fund Board of the Cocanada Circle, and the other [illegible] by the Ellore Circle Board.

2. The Cocanada Circle [illegible] contains 10 items with an aggregate allotment of Rs. 75,426, of which Rs. 74,320 are chargeable to the Road and Rs. 1,106 to the General Funds of the Circle. Allotments, amounting to Rs. 6,020, are sanctioned for the works noted in the margin.

No. in list.	Name of work.	Allotment.
	Road Fund [illegible]	
	New Works—Communications.	
1.	Metalling a street at Kapileswarapuram village and constructing side channels to it	800
2.	Constructing a road in a punta leading to the village of Pasarlapudi from Mukteshwaram road ...	70
4.	Constructing a bridge between 17th and 18th miles of Rajanagaram and Pendapur road ...	370
11.	Constructing a culvert at Tuni ...	100
14.	Constructing roads in Ramachandrapuram village ...	143
15.	Constructing a road to Katravulapalli from 3rd mile of road from Juggampett to Pendapur ...	1,050
	Repairs—Communications.	
3.	Repairing a bridge near Kotipalli over the Yeleru ...	800
	Do. near Ramaswaram over do. ...	750
	Repairing the L. F. boat No. 266 ...	105
13.	Re-gravelling the village roads of Dowlaishwaram ...	800
		4,610

3. The Board sanction the estimate, amounting to Rs. 1,050, for constructing a road to Katravulapalli from 3rd mile of road from Juggampett to Pendapur, and note that the inhabitants concerned have paid a moiety of the cost.

4. Estimates for the four undermentioned works will be submitted for the sanction of Government through the Deputy Chief Engineer, Public Works Department. On receipt of sanction the Board will allot the requisite funds:—

		RS.
1.	Re-gravelling the road from Bickavole to Ramachandrapuram ...	22,610
2.	Metalling the road from Cocanada to Nelapalli ...	20,236
3.	Re-gravelling the road from Alamur to Tapeswaram ...	11,470
4.	Gravelling and metalling the road from Amalapuram to Yedurlanka ...	15,400

No. in list.	Name of Work.	Allotment.
	GENERAL FUND BALANCE.	
	New Works—Miscellaneous Public Improvements.	
10.	Raising a hedge around the cart-stand near Padagaya at Pithápuram	RS. 20
	Repairs—Civil Buildings.	
8.	Repairing choultry at Pithápuram near Padagaya	300
14.	Repairing the travellers' bungalow at Tuni	150
		470
	Grand Total ...	5,030

5. Regarding the other items in the list, the President is requested to report on the following points:—

Item No. 7.—When and under what authority was the rest-house at Turangi transferred to the Local Fund Board.

Item No. 9.—The President will explain the purpose for which the tiled shed is intended.

Items Nos. 12 and 19.—It should be reported if this is he same rest-house as that for which two servants are provided in Statement IV A of the current year's budget. If so, the necessity for an additional servant should be explained.

6. The allotments specified in the Ellore Circle statement are sanctioned, Rs. 6,460 from the Road and Rs. 225 from the General Fund balance of the Circle. The President will submit for Board's sanction, Estimates for Items Nos. 3 and 4.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) C. D. MACLEAN,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department,
through the Deputy Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with four Estimates.

No. 87-A.

MEMORANDUM—dated 9th November 1881, No. 2620, Public Works.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th October
1881, No. 9462 Mis.

In returning the four estimates forwarded with the Proceedings above quoted, the undersigned has the honor to make the following remarks.

2. It is impossible for this office to express an opinion worth having upon these estimates from lack of sufficient information, viz. :—

I.—Distances and rates of haulage are not given for each mile or furlong.
II.—It is not stated how the roadway is brought up to proper transverse section where required, or whether the rate covers this work.
III.—Gravel and metal are estimated to cost the same amount per cubic yard.

3. If metal is as cheap as gravel why not use metal throughout ? For instance, in the estimate marked No. 5 it is proposed to use 6 inches of metal with 5 inches of gravel over it. Why use so much of the inferior material when the superior is the same price.

4. It is not stated whether the metal is consolidated or not.

5. Over a layer of metal 6 inches thick a screening of not more than 1 inch of gravel is required.

6. The width of the metalling is excessive, a hard consolidated metalled surface of 12 feet is ample for a first-class road.

7. The difference in cost between 12 and 15 feet widths of roadway should be saved.

8. It is noticed that the estimate for gravelling and metalling the road from Bickavole to Rámachandrapuram does not bear the countersignature of the President of the Local Fund Board.

(By Order.)

(Signed) ROSS THOMPSON, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Deputy Chief Engineer P.W.D.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, with five enclosures returned.

No. 87-B.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th March 1882,
No. 2581 Miscellaneous.

Read—the following letter from the President, Local Fund Boards, Godávari, to the
Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th February 1882, No. 31.

In reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 25th November last, Miscellaneous No. 10,189, I have the honor to submit copy of a letter from the Local Fund Engineer, Cocanada Circle, dated 22nd instant, No. 2661, with its seven enclosures,* which will supply the information asked for by the Deputy Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, regarding the roads therein alluded to.

* To be returned.

Resolution—dated 29th March 1882, No. 2581 Miscellaneous.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to his memorandum dated 9th November 1881, No. 2620.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with seven enclosures.

No. 88.

ORDER—dated 26th April 1882, No. 1035 W., Public Works.

The Government accept the opinion of the Chief Engineer that a metalled surface of 12 feet is ample for a first-class road, and accordingly sanction the undermentioned estimate, which has been reduced from Rs. 21,000 to Rs. 16,880:—

	RS.
Re-gravelling and metalling the road from Bickavole to Rámachandrapuram—	16,880

2. A similar reduction in the estimate for gravelling and metalling the road from Alamur to Tapeswaram has not been made, as the detailed quantities have not been furnished, but a revised estimate providing for only 12 feet width of readway should be submitted.

3. The sanction accorded in paragraph 1 of this order has been registered as Local No. 2 W. of 1882-83.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Board of Revenue, with seven enclosures.
" Accountant-General.
" Financial Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1882. Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

The Board of Revenue

APRIL

Nos. 3788

29th May 1882, No. 1335 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

No. 155.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th April 1882, No. 2960, Miscellaneous.

Read—the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Local Fund Boards, Godávari District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 22nd March 1882, No. 42:—

I have the honor to inform you that, in reference to the Revenue Board's Proceedings of the 25th November last, Miscellaneous No. 10189, the Local Fund Engineer has sent the enclosed estimate, amounting to Rs. 48,990, for metalling and gravelling the road from Cocanada to Neelapully, which was approved by the Local Fund Board of the Cocanada Circle at their adjourned meeting held on the 15th instant.

2. In forwarding herewith the correspondence as per margin, I request that the Board of Revenue will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government for the same.

One copy of resolution passed by the Local Fund Board.
One copy of letter from the Local Fund Engineer, dated 15th March 1882, No. 2853, with its four enclosures (to be returned).

Read—also the following letter from the same Officer, dated Cocanada, 25th March 1882, No. 49.

In reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 25th November last, Miscellaneous No. 10189, I have the honor to inform you that the Local Fund Engineer sent the enclosed revised estimate for metalling and gravelling the road from Amalápuram to Yedurlanka, amounting to Rs. 16,400, which was approved by the Local Fund Board of the Cocanada Circle at their meeting held on the 14th instant.

2. In forwarding the correspondence as per margin, I request the Board of Revenue will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government for the same.

One copy of resolution passed by the Local Fund Board.
One copy of letter from the Local Fund Engineer, dated 1st March 1882, No. 2769.
One Report, } to be returned.
One Estimate, }
One Abstract, }
One Statement, }

Resolution—dated 19th April 1882, No. 2960, Mis.

Submitted for the sanction of Government, through the Deputy Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to his memorandum, dated 9th November 1881, No. 2520.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 156.

ORDER—dated 29th May 1882, No. 1335 W., Public Works.

With reference to paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 1035 W., dated 26th April 1882, the estimate for the Cocanada road has been reduced from Rs. 48,990 to Rs. 40,850 by restricting the width of metalling and gravelling to 12 instead of 15 feet.

2. The estimates submitted with the foregoing Proceedings of the Board of Revenue are accordingly sanctioned as follows :—

	RS.	
(1.) Metalling and gravelling the road from Cocanada to Neelapully ...	40,850	Registered as Local No. 4 W. of 1882-83.
(2.) Metalling and gravelling the road from Amalápuram to Yedurlanka.	16,400	Registered as Local No. 5 W. of 1882-83.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Board of Revenue, with 12 Enclosures.
" Accountant-General.
" Financial Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

7/1965

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of [1882] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 29th.

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 29th May 1882, No. 1335 W.

MAY.
Nos. 155-156.

Roads in Godavari District.

Metalling and gravelling certain— Sanctions with remarks estimates for

---

No.

GODAVARI CIRCLE,
LOCAL FUND'S OFFICE,
14th June 1886.

From,

The President, L. F. Board,
Godavari.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to para: 2 of G.O. dated the 2nd February 1886 No. 142 L.F. (Misc.), I have the honor to submit herewith a revised estimate for gravelling & metalling the road from Cacanada to Pitapaki and to request that Government may be pleased to accord sanction to it.

2. The estimate amounts to Rs. 57,230 and has been scrutinized by the Superintending Engineer. Copies of the District Boards' Resolution No. 46 dated the 22nd March 1886 and of the Local Fund Engineer's letter No. 1158 dated the 18th August 1884 on the subject are a-

accord

enclosed. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servt,

Prescott

13/W 20.3.79
• 1335 W 26.4.82
• 1335 W 29.5.82.

(6) Pub. 520. R

Local Fund [1886] Department
Financial Department
From, INT No. 13957

The President,
Godavari Circle.

No 2423

p 2

No. 75

Dated 14
Despatched 16
Received 22 } June 1886.

No 887/3 5

No. 4

Transferred to the Public Works Department
By order
Ag Under Secretary

MaNa 19
7th July 86

F.B. 7/7/86

SUBJECT.

Submitting for sanction a revised Estimate for gravelling and metalling the road from Cocanada to Nilapalli

To
The Public Works Departt
6.17.7.86

No 1158

Local Fund Engineer's
Office Cocanada
15/6/86
Dispd 22.6

From
The Local Fund Engineer,
Cocanada circle.

To
The Vice President,
Local Fund Boards,
Godavari.

Sir

Masonry Bridges Rs	7732-0-0
Gravelling "	26048-14-1
Contingencies "	3403-1-11
	38183-0-0

In this order No 887/3 dated 20th March 1879 the Government in the Public works Department Sanctioned an Estimate amounting to Rs 38183 as detailed in the margin for "Gravelling Road from Cocanada to Neelapalli". No provision for earth work, turfing & metalling was made in this [illegible]ate and since that time the road has been in many places entirely broken up by storms and cyclones; In the month of February 1882 I sent in the revised Estimate for Rs 48990. But in the order No 1335 W dated the 29th May 1882 the Government have reduced the same to Rs 40850 by restricting the width of Metalling and gravelling to 12' instead of 15' as was provided for by me.

2. My revision of the Estimate was based upon the principle that the total value of the actual work done with reference to the estimate framed by the D.P.W. and referred to in the paragraph one of this letter, has been added to the work required to be done as shewn in the margin.

✓ Vide para 3

3 Further I subsequently found from the estimate as Sanctioned by Government that there is in one instance an error of Rs 90 crept in adding up the several items comprising the said amount as particularized in the margin while on the other hand the total sum representing the work already executed out of the old estimate prepared by D.P.W has been erroneously shewn in the revised estimate as Rs 3800 against Rs 20182-?-6 (exclusive of the value of the materials at site) so that the total amount of the revised estimate should be Rs 57322 or Rs 57330 in round numbers instead of Rs 40850 as Sanctioned.

Total value of work done as per estimate prepared by D.P.W. up to end of February 1882	29477-0-5
Deduct materials at site to do.	9294-9-10
	20182-6-7
Work required to be done as per estimate revised by me	37140-0-0
	57322-6-7
Deduct value of work done up to end of February 1882 vide Schedule of Expenditure for that month.	29477-0-5
Net amount requiring Sanction	27845-6-2

4 I beg also to point out that the estimate does not appear to me to require any radical change except in the total amount since there is very little difference between the amount requiring Sanction as shewn in the previous estimate and that in the present case being Rs 27860 and Rs 27845 respectively vide original Sanctioned estimate herewith submitted.

Earth work	1298
Turfing	1605
Gravelling	16648
metalling	15641
Contingencies	1948
	37140

5 Out of the sum of Rs 27845 a sum of Rs 1407 has not [illegible] the month of March 1882 and [illegible] since been expended from [illegible]

In 1882-83 [illegible] 1017-3-10 March 1883 to March 1884

1883-84 [illegible] corresponding with margin

[illegible]

and I request therefore you will be pleased to obtain an allotment of Rs 15938 from the road fund balance so that I may be able to complete the work during the current official year.

6 In conclusion I solicit you will be good enough to have the estimate amount corrected from Rs 40850 to Rs 57330 as explained above and to obtain an allotment of Rs 15938 at an early date.

I have &c &c &c

(Signed) P.H. Browne

L. Fund Engineer

(True Copy)

Vice President

Jud 1139 27/86
4

Copy of Proceedings of the District Board Godavari passed at their meeting held at Rajahmundry on the 22d March 1886.

Present

C Venkata Jaggarow Esqr Special assistant agent
in charge of Sub Division & Presiding member.

Surgeon L Beech District Surgeon

M.R.Ry. K Dakshinamurti Sastrulugar Dy Inspector of Schools
Cocanada Range

〃 A Kamaraju gar Rao Sahib
〃 P. Vissayya gar 〃
〃 D Seetaramaraju gar 〃
〃 M. Subbarayudu gar 〃
〃 G. Kistnaiah gar 〃
〃 A Subbarayudu gar 〃
〃 J. Ramakistnaiah gar 〃

46. Read Local Fund Engineers letter No 4125 dated 18th March 1886 forwarding a revised estimate for re-gravelling road from Cocanada to Neelapalli for Rs 57350.

Sanctioned.

(Signed) C. Venkata Juggarow.
Special assistant agent
in charge of Sub Division &
Presiding member.

(True Copy)

Vice President.

Fd 1139 27/7/86

(14)

Public Works Department.

5/13

Current No. 5296

Letter No. 223

Dated the 7th July 86

From the Financial Department

Note of Remarks.

1. The accompanying third revised estimate, amounting to Rs 57,233 for metalling the road from Cocanada to Neelapalli in the Godavari District.

2. The original estimate amounted to Rs 30,490.

3. The first revised estimate amounted to Rs 38,183 or Rs 7693 in excess of the original est. figures as per margin, and was sanctioned in G.O. No. 837 W dated 23/3/77.

Masonry Bridge Rs 733 —
Gravelling Rs 35,046-4-4
Contingencies Rs 2403-11-8
Total Rs 38,183-0-0

4. The S. E. Engineer states, that as there was no provision made in the estimate (Rs 38,183) for earthwork, turfing and metalling and as the road was entirely broken up

G 19-7-86 R 23-7-86

in several places by storm + cyclones a revised esti=mate was therefore found necessary + submitted in February 1882 for Rs 48990, but agreeably to G.O. No 1035W dated 8th April 1882, the amount was reduced to Rs 40850 by restricting the width of the metalling + gravelling to 12 feet in=stead of 15 feet, and sanc=tioned in G.O. No 1335 W dated 29th May 1882. The L. F. Engineer states that the total sum representing the work already execu=ted, out of the old esti=mate prepared by the D.P.W., has been erroneously shown in the revised est. at Rs 3900 against Rs 20183 (exclusive of the value of the materials at site) so that, the total amount of the revised estimate should be Rs 57,230 in=stead of Rs 40850 as sanctioned.

5. He further states that the estimate now under consideration does not appear to require any radical change, except in the total amount

6 The comparative statement submitted, does not show any change or difference between the original + revised estimates, except under the item of "ex=penditure incurred"

7. In the comparative state=ment in the column of decrease, rupees 20 is entered, which is wrong as the quantities and rates are same in both the estimates, the amount also should agree, but it is otherwise.

8. No specification, report or detailed estimate accompany the abst. estimate.

9. It is impossible to ex=press an opinion upon the estimate for want of

of sufficient information

(a) distances that the materials have to be brought from is not given.

(b) It is not stated how the road is brought up to proper transverse section.

10. For want of details the rates cannot be checked.

11. In the first revised estimate of Rs 38183 an item of Rs 733 is provided for constructing masonry bridges. Whether these bridges were built or not it is impossible to say.

12. They should have (subject to approval) been brought on & shown in the comparative statement, and if not built proper explanation should have been noted in last column of Comparative Statement for the saving.

13. The estimate has been countersigned by the President Lo. F. Bd.

12.7.86

3rd July 1886.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The original estimate amounting to Rs 30,490 was sanctioned in 1878.

In March 1879 a Revised Estimate amounting to Rs 38,183 was sanctioned.

In ~~April~~ February 1882 a second Revised Estimate amounting to Rs 48,990 was submitted but by reducing the width of metalling this was reduced to Rs 40,850 and sanctioned in April 1882.

In this estimate there was an item Rs 9800 representing the amount of work already executed by the P.W.D. previous to the reorganization in 1880. and it is now stated that this amount was incorrect & should be Rs 20,182. (exclusive of the value of materials at site).

With this exception & two or three differences due to wrong calculation the items of the estimate now submitted do not differ at all from that sanctioned in 1882.

of sufficient inform
(a) distance that th
materials have t
brought from is

23rd July 1886

No explanation is given for the amount Rs 3000 having been entered instead of Rs 20,1[illegible]. and this should I think be called for before sanctioning the estimate but as the Estimate has been approved by the S. E. I. Circle & the President L. F. Board, perhaps it might be sanctioned & the explanation asked for.

C.M.
19.7.86

To D.W.

The estimate may be sanctioned

16/7/86

B
Forward sanction.
J.B.
17-7-86

of sufficient inform
(a) distance that th
materials have
brought from is

No. 1865 W. dated 23rd July 1886.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Local Fund Board, Godavari. H. E. No. 75 d/ 14th June 1886.
Endorsement by the Financial Department H. E. No. 423 d/ 7th July 1886.

The revised estimate amounting to Rs. 57,230, for metalling the road from Cocanada to Tilapatti in the Godavari District, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 40,850 sanctioned in G. O. No. 1335 W dated 29th May 1882.

2. The circumstances in which Rs. 3,800 were entered in the former estimate as the value of work already executed, instead of Rs. 20,182, should be explained.

3. The sanction accorded in para 1 of these Proceedings has been registered as Local No. 10 W of 1886-87.

29/7

To The Supdg. Engr. I Circle
" Financial Department with 2 encls

19/7/86

21-7-86

23·7·87

[illegible] 11717

9·7·86 to 23·7·87

18
1861

PUBLIC WORKS.

23rd July 1865.

Road

Revised Estimate of Rs 57,230 for metalling the [illegible] from Cocanada to Nilapalli in the Godavari district Sanctioned with remarks