

(1) Number of pages 24
(2) Date of repair 10.12.84
(3) Initials of the Referencer lms

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1835 W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	19-7-1886	198

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

19th July 1886, No. 1835 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

From G. T. WALCH, Esq., Superintending Engineer, Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Dowlaishwaram, 24th June 1886, No. 205 G.N.

With reference to your No. 1/52 G.N., dated 2nd June 1886, herewith returned, I have the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of the Bushikulyn division officer's letter, No. 196, dated 30th April 1886, explaining the necessity for the proposed change in the establishment at Injelly anicut.

RUSHIKULYA DIVISION

Proposition Statement for revision of the permanent establishment of Injelly anicut.

Number	Date	Office to which the proposition refers	NATURE OF CHANGE				PROPOSITION			
			Permanent		Temporary		Permanent		Temporary	
			Designation	Pay	Designation	Pay	Amount	Period	Amount	Period
Mentals of Com. 18th Nov. 1885. Revision No. 7, 1885.		Rushikulya division.	4 Lancers at Injelly anicut, each at Rs. 4.	Minimum. Maximum. Average of	Designation. Minimum. Maximum. Average of	Pay.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.
				Rs. 16	Rs. 16	Rs. 16	Rs. 3	7	Rs. 3	7
				Rs. 16	Rs. 16	Rs. 16	Rs. 3	6	Rs. 3	6

No. 112 G.N.

Submitted to Chief Engineer for Irrigation for sanction.

(Signed) J. HANNAN,
Executive Engineer, Rushikulya Division.

80th April 1886.

No. 51 E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with the recommendation that the alteration proposed in the sanctioned scale of establishment at the Injelly anicut, in the Ganjam division, may be sanctioned.

CHERIA,
2nd July 1886.(Signed) A. W. SMART, Captain, R.E.,
for Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Office note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 16th July 1886, No. 268.

Order--dated 19th July 1886, No. 1835 W., Public Works.

The revised statement of establishment required for the Injelly anicut, in the Rushikulya division, is sanctioned as recommended by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation. The net saving is Rs. 3 per mensem.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial, No. 21, 1886-87, and classified as Establishment, Permanent.

(True Extract)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.To the Superintending Engineer, 1 Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.Ed. E. Fernandez,
Spurs Copies

Fort Saint George, Public Works Consultation of 1st December 1857.

No. 56. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Government of India in the Public Works Department, dated Fort William, the 5th November 1857, No. 3355.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Right Honorable the Governor General of India in Council in the Financial Department, under date the 30th October 1857, No. 1644.

Read the following papers on the subject of an Establishment for the care of three Head Sluices in the District of Ganjam.

Endorsement from the Public Works Department No. 5832, dated 16th December 1856, and its annexure, together with the Financial order recorded thereon No. 115, dated 9th January 1857.

Endorsement from the Public Works Department No. 4914, dated 9th Instant and its accompaniments.

* Waddada Dassee and Doossee Dassee Head Sluices.

1 Head man	7
4 Lascars	10
	23

Byree Dassee Sluice.

1 Head man	7
2 Lascars @ 4	8
	15
Total	38

† Waddada Dassee ..	13,536
Doossee Dassee ..	8,090
Byree Dassee ..	23,632

RESOLUTION.—On the 3rd December 1856, the Government of Madras applied for sanction to the entertainment of the Establishment noted in the margin,* for the care of three Head Sluices built in 1856, to the same numbers of river channels in the District of Ganjam, for the preservation of the channel banks and for the proper distribution of water. These channels were represented as yielding Revenue to the extent stated in the margin†.

On receipt of this application this Government sanctioned the Establishment temporarily and called for further information in regard to the length of the channels referred to.

In supplying the required informations per margin, the Government of Madras now state that the Chief Engineer, having been requested to reconsider his proposition for an Establishment for the channels in question, with reference to Lieutenant Colonel B. Smith's views on the subject of channel Establishments and, if necessary, to revise the Establishment formerly proposed, has submitted a letter from Lieutenant Palmer Executive Engineer of Ganjam, in which, after noticing that the Establishment comes under the head of "Special Works Agency," for which Lieutenant Colonel B. Smith assigns $\frac{1}{6}$ of the Government demand as a proper per centage. Lieutenant Palmer shews that the Establishment applied for is very little in excess of that per centage, being only Rupees 8-11-7 per annum above it.

Looking at this trifling excess over the per centage allowed by Lieutenant Colonel B. Smith, the Madras Government concur in the opinion of the Chief Engineer, that no reduction should be made in the present Establishment.

Under these circumstances, the Right Honorable the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the Establishment as a permanent measure.

ORDER.—Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution be sent to the Public Works Department for communication to the Government of Madras.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) C. H. LUSHINGTON,
Secretary to the Government of India.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Madras for information, with reference to Mr. Acting Secretary Forbes' letter No. 1818, dated 24th September last.

By order of the Right Honorable the Governor General of India in Council.

(Signed) W. E. BAKER, Colonel,
Secretary to the Government of India.

Supr Engineer 1st Div

Vol. 37. ORDER THEREON, No. 2498; dated 28th November 1857.
Ordered to be communicated to the Chief Engineer with reference to his letter dated 2nd September 1857, No. 3331, and to the Officers of Transport and Audit.

MS. 114
Extract from the Proceedings of the Right Honble the Governor General of India in Council in the Financial Department under date the 30th October 1857.

Read the following papers on the subject of an Establishment for the care of the ³Widows in the District of Columbia.

Order sent from the Indian Trade
Department on 10th dated 10th December 1855
and also on 10th dated 10th January 1856
Order recorded there on 10th dated 10th
January 1856

Endorsement from the Public Health
Department of 1894 dated 10th. Instant amounts
accompaniments.

Sept 10 1897

1. Waddell's Prison
 2. George Johnson
 3. Head of Prison
 4. Head man of Prison
 5. Head man of Prison
 6. Head man of Prison
 7. Head man of Prison
 8. Head man of Prison
 9. Head man of Prison
 10. Head man of Prison
 11. Head man of Prison
 12. Head man of Prison
 13. Head man of Prison
 14. Head man of Prison
 15. Head man of Prison
 16. Head man of Prison
 17. Head man of Prison
 18. Head man of Prison
 19. Head man of Prison
 20. Head man of Prison
 21. Head man of Prison
 22. Head man of Prison
 23. Head man of Prison
 24. Head man of Prison
 25. Head man of Prison
 26. Head man of Prison
 27. Head man of Prison
 28. Head man of Prison
 29. Head man of Prison
 30. Head man of Prison
 31. Head man of Prison
 32. Head man of Prison
 33. Head man of Prison
 34. Head man of Prison
 35. Head man of Prison
 36. Head man of Prison
 37. Head man of Prison
 38. Head man of Prison
 39. Head man of Prison
 40. Head man of Prison
 41. Head man of Prison
 42. Head man of Prison
 43. Head man of Prison
 44. Head man of Prison
 45. Head man of Prison
 46. Head man of Prison
 47. Head man of Prison
 48. Head man of Prison
 49. Head man of Prison
 50. Head man of Prison
 51. Head man of Prison
 52. Head man of Prison
 53. Head man of Prison
 54. Head man of Prison
 55. Head man of Prison
 56. Head man of Prison
 57. Head man of Prison
 58. Head man of Prison
 59. Head man of Prison
 60. Head man of Prison
 61. Head man of Prison
 62. Head man of Prison
 63. Head man of Prison
 64. Head man of Prison
 65. Head man of Prison
 66. Head man of Prison
 67. Head man of Prison
 68. Head man of Prison
 69. Head man of Prison
 70. Head man of Prison
 71. Head man of Prison
 72. Head man of Prison
 73. Head man of Prison
 74. Head man of Prison
 75. Head man of Prison
 76. Head man of Prison
 77. Head man of Prison
 78. Head man of Prison
 79. Head man of Prison
 80. Head man of Prison
 81. Head man of Prison
 82. Head man of Prison
 83. Head man of Prison
 84. Head man of Prison
 85. Head man of Prison
 86. Head man of Prison
 87. Head man of Prison
 88. Head man of Prison
 89. Head man of Prison
 90. Head man of Prison
 91. Head man of Prison
 92. Head man of Prison
 93. Head man of Prison
 94. Head man of Prison
 95. Head man of Prison
 96. Head man of Prison
 97. Head man of Prison
 98. Head man of Prison
 99. Head man of Prison
 100. Head man of Prison

On the 3rd December 1866, the Government of Madras applied for sanction to the entertainment of the Estimates not noted in the Margin, for the care of three Head Works built in 1856 to the same number of river Channels in the District of Ganjam, for the preservation of the Channel Banks and for the proper distribution of water. These Channels were represented

Supr Engineer 1st Class

as yielding Revenue to the extent stated
in the margin

Madras Engineer 1856
Propose to be made
from 1856

On receipt of this application
this Government sanctioned the Establishment
temporarily and called for further information
as regards the length of the Channel referred
to.

On supplying the required information
as per margin, the Government of Madras now
state that the Chief Engineer, having been
requested to reconsider his proposition for an
Establishment for the Channel in question
with reference to Lieutenant Colonel B. Smith's
views on the subject of Madras Establishments
and, if necessary, to revise the Establishment
formerly proposed, has submitted a letter
from Lieutenant Palmer, Chief Engineer
of Mysore, in which, after stating that the
Establishment comes under the Head of Public
Works Agency, for which Lieutenant Colonel
B. Smith assigns 75 of the Government demand
as a proper percentage, Lieutenant Palmer
shows that the Establishment applied for
is very little in excess of that percentage,
being only Rs. 5,47 per annum above it.

Looking at this trifling excess
over the percentage allowed by Lieutenant
Colonel B. Smith, the Madras Government

concur in the opinion of the Chief Engineer
that no revision should be made in the
present Establishment.

Under these circumstances, the
Right Honble the Governor General in
Council is pleased to sanction the Estab-
lishment as a permanent measure.

Order

Ordered that a copy of the
foregoing Resolution be sent to the Public
Works Department for communication to the
Government of Madras.

[Signature]
J. H. C. Lambton
Secretary to the Govt of India
1856

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the
Government of Madras for information
with reference to our Acting Secretary's letter
of the 13th dated 24th September last.

By Order of the Right Honble the
Governor General of India in Council.

Public Works Dept.
The 5th November
1857

[Signature]
Secretary to the Govt of India

Rushikulya D. Office
Berhampore, 30 April/86.

188 6

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 5155

From

The Chief Engineer for Supt.

Enclos. — 2

No.

51 E. H.

Dated

23 July 1886

Received

3

SUBJECT.

Forwarding letter from the Supt.
Engr. I enclose explaining
the necessity for the proposed
change in the Establishment
at the Suptly. Amicut in the
Gangjam Division —

DISPOSAL.

No.

Dated

7/6 July 1886

To b.

For favor of check as
regards present scale
and return.

(Sd) D. Mc. B.

D. H.

7/6/86.

No. 2198.

Ordered to be examined

in detail to the Chief Engineer and

reference to his letter dated 2nd

July 1886, and to the

Office of the Supt. Engr. Secy.

23

28 E. H. 1886

Chief Engineer for Supt.
Berhampore, 30 April/86.

N^o 196

Rushikulya D^o Office
Berhampore, 30 April/86.

From,

The Executive Engineer
Rushikulya Division.

To,

The Sup^r Engineer
1st Circle.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a proposition statement for the Establishment required at Sujelli sluiceway and request that early sanction may be obtained.

The pay of the present 4 Lascars Rs 4 per head does not allow of securing the services of men who can keep the water Register the maintenance of which was ordered by Chief Engineer Irrigation in his last Inspection Notes. Besides for Lascars will not be required now the screw shutters are provided.

In the mean time I have in anticipation of your sanction dispensed with the services of the 4 Lascars at Rs 4 each and issued orders for the employment of 2 Lascars one on Rs 7 one on Rs 6 per month.

I have seen

(Sd) J. Hamman

Engrg: Rushikulya D^o

(True Copy)

Sup^r Engineer 1st Circle

No. 2054 of

FROM,

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

DOWLAISHWARAM, 24 June 1886.

Suprs 2054 of 86

SIR,

With reference to your letter dated 9-6-86, herewith returned, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Rushikulya Division Office's letter No 196 dated 30-4-86 explaining the necessity for the proposed change in the Establishment at Ingelli Inicut.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most Obedient St.

[Signature]

Suprs Engineer 1st Circle

RECEIVED
JUL 86
CHANDER, P. W. AGGARWAL

1835-4

No 2405 C. 7.7.86

Ind. Pr. 2498 2.6.11.57

N^o 268 dated 10 July 1886

Ch. 2

To W.

Your O.N. No. 2405 C. dated 7th.
July 1886.

Entries in column "Present
Scale" of the Proposition Statement
checked and found correct.

[Signature]
C.
[Signature]
97

FROM,
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

DATED 24
DESPATCHED 25
RECEIVED 26

No. 205 of

ENCLOSURES 2

Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer P. W. D. with the
recommendation that the alteration
proposed in the sanctioned
scale of establishment at the
Surgely District in the Punjab
Division may be sanctioned.

In Chief Engineer for Punjab
Bhopal
24 July 1886
P. W. D.
P. 24052 of 7th July 1886.

For favor of check
and regards present
scale and return.

Establishment at the
Surgely District
Forwarded Copy of Division
Officers letter explaining
the necessity for the
proposed change in
the

Rushikulya Division.

PROPOSITION STATEMENT FOR REVISION OF THE *Permanent* ESTABLISHMENT OF THE *Angely Anicut.*

Order sanctioning
the present estab-
lishment Govern-
ment of Madras
Public Works
Department.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Permanent.

Temporary.

Present scale.

Prop. scale.

Increase
per
month.
Decrease
per
month.

Increase
per
month.
Decrease
per
month.

Remarks.

No.

Date.

Office to which the
Proposition refers.

No.

Designation.

Pay.

Minimum.

Increment.

Maximum.

Average or
fixed.

No.

Designation.

Pay.

Minimum.

Increment.

Maximum.

Average or
fixed.

Amount.

Amount.

Period.

Amount.

Period.

Amount.

*Minutes of Consultation
12/2/98.*

20/1/99.

Rushikulya Division

*4 Laccas at Angely
Anicut - each
at 4 Rs*

*Laser - 7
do - 6*

*Submitted to the Chief Engineer
for Irrigation for sanction.*

Camp Sattar

Dowling

14/5/98

*10/ J. Harman
Executive Engineer*

Superintending Engineer 1st Circle

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation
Madras

Subd. Secy
May/86

I
32/5/86

returned to the S. B. 125 Circle
the request that the necessity for the
Batalahah
may be explained.

From
The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle
To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
Madras

Dated 24th May
Despatch 31st May 1886
Received

N^o 112 G. N.
Statement of Proposition
for revision of Establishment
at Sijelly ancient in Rushikulya Division.
Submits for sanction

Chief
for Irrigation

No. 1935 W. of 19th July 1886
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT
HEAD for following

From the Supty. Engineer I Circle. H. E. No. 205 G. N. of 24th June 1886.
Endorsement by the Chief Engr. for Irrigation. H. E. No. 51 G. N. of 2nd July 1886.
Office note from the Examiners of P. W. Accounts. H. E. No. 268 of 10th July 1886.

The revised statement of
Ancient in the Rushikulya Division
is sanctioned as recommended by
the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
The net saving is Rs. 3 per annum.

2. This sanction has been regis-
tered as Provincial No. 31 W of 1886-87,
and classified as Establishment,
Permanent.

16/7/86

16/7/86

To The Supty. Engr. I Circle
Examiners of P. W. Accounts
Chief Engr. for Irrigation

5410.

Cantt. of 1886 Madras.
POBING WORKS.

19 July 1835

Re-establishment
=

a revised statement
of — required for
the largely absent
in the Duchibity
Division, function.

50
24

1837

B5

A4