(1) Number of pages 20

(2) Date of repair Jan. 88.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No. 1477

Press. / Mis.

Dated 11.6.1886 ~~198~~.

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

11th June 1886, No. 1477 W., Public Works.

1477/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following papers:—

Office note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 25th May 1886, No. 155.
" to " " " 1st June " " 2010 C.
" from " " " 3rd " " " 183.

Order—dated 11th June 1886, No. 1477 W., Public Works.

In his earlier note above recorded, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, requests orders as to whether any alteration should be made in the reprint of the Public Works Code as regards payments to contractors.

2. The Government are of opinion that no change should be made in existing rules * on the subject, and direct that they be carefully observed except in very exceptional cases, when the permission of the Superintending Engineer should be obtained to a departure from the rule.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Superintendent of Works, Tank Maintenance Scheme.
" Consulting Architect to Government.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with original file returned.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

---

* Paragraphs 1629, 1911, 1912, and 2095 of the Public Works Code.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1886} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 11th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 11th June 1886, No. 1477 W.

JUNE.

Payments to Contractors

Considering no change necessary in the existing Code rules on the subject of — and ordering them to be carefully observed in future.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1886. { P. W. DEPT.

1477/8

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 25 . May 1886.

OFFICE NOTE No. 155

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

N
ated 188 .

To

E. W.

Encs: —

I beg to be favored with your opinion as to whether any alteration should be made in the reprint of the Code as regards payments to Contractors. At present para 1629 requires that all payments shall be made direct from the divisional office, but in practice this is not done, Executive Engineers representing that it is more convenient that sub-divisional Officers should make the payments to Contractors in Sub-Divisions.

2. The procedure laid down in para 2175 might cause some comparative delay in payments, and more frequent operations under paras 1912 and 2095, but so long as Contractors are paid regularly, it matters little to them whence they receive payment, while the main advantage in adhering to the Code

I.W.I. No. 3956.

rule is that Sub-Divisional Offices would be relieved of a good deal of accounting, a very considerable advantage to them. The Divisional Office too would be sooner acquainted with the progress of work and expenditure and would have data for the monthly accounts earlier than awaiting submission of Sub-Divisional accounts.

C.

Recd: 26/5/86

25/5

25/5

26-5-86. R. 1-6-86

D.W.

1477/3

It seems advisable to refer the question to all S. Es. for an expression of their opinion. But the reprint of the Code is nearly ready and no doubt C. wants early orders on the present reference.

F.B.
26.5.86.

I think the Executive Engineer should be allowed to do what he thinks best and either make all payments by Cheque himself or allow sub divisional officers to do so. I myself have always preferred making all such payments and relieving the sub divisional officers of as much ~~office~~ office work as possible. But I daresay there are circumstances in which it might not be advisable to do so, and I think it is a matter that should be left to the discretion of Executive Engineers. I therefore consider that para 1629 and the other paras bearing on the subject should be modified accordingly.

26/5/86

Note by DW

Ayl. 175/6

c 24-5-86 CR. 1.6.86

No. 2010 C.

Government of Madras.

(492)/5

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepâk, 1st June 1886.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To C.

Your note No 155 da

25th May 1886.

Do you consider it necessary to ask the approval of ~~the~~ India to any alterations that may be considered desirable in Madras Code rules regarding payments to Contractors?

(Sd) J.H.
W
1.6.86

(Sd) D. Mc. C.
DW
1.6.86

Despd
1/6/86

2/6

3/6

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1886. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

527/6

Madras, 3. JUNE 1886.

OFFICE NOTE No. 183

To

Encs: 9

W.

YOUR OFFICE NOTE NO.2010.C
DATED 1ST.JUNE 1886.

CHAPTER VIII- PARA 28.

× CHAPTER XII- PARA 84

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

AS THE MADRAS CODE RULES REFERRED TO ARE THE SAME AS THOSE IN GOVT.OF INDIA NEW CODE, I THINK IT WOULD BE ADVISABLE TO REFER ANY MODIFICATION TO GOVT.OF INDIA BEFORE WE ADOPT IT. AT THE SAME TIME I DO NOT THINK ANY MODIFICATION DESIRABLE, AND THAT, IF GOVERNMENT DESIRES NO CHANGE, AN ORDER THAT THE CODE RULES ARE TO BE FOLLOWED EXCEPT IN VERY EXCEPTIONAL CASES WOULD BE SUFFICIENT FOR THIS OFFICE TO ACT UPON.

2. PLEASE SEE ALSO PREVIOUS OFFICE NOTE CORRESPONDENCE ON A SIMILAR SUBJECT ⊕ HEREWITH IN ORIGINAL (TO BE RETURNED)

⊕ CHIEF ENGINEER'S MEMO NO.461 DATED 7TH.SEPTEMBER 1881 AND REPLIES OF SUPG. ENGINEERS THERETO.

C.

No 2010 C. 1. 6. 86.

(8)

Folio 4.28

send ~~[illegible]~~

882

D.W.

(8) 1477/7

In the circumstances do you still consider alterations in Existing codal Rules necessary, or will an order such as suggested by C be sufficient.

FB.
5.6.6.

14774

except in very

No 2010 C. 1. 6. 86.

There has been previous correspondence on this subject & E.Es were asked for opinion and they were all of opinion that except in rare cases there would be no difficulty in making payment to Contractors. I therefore think it is unnecessary to alter Code Rule & the order suggested S.C. will suffice.

5/6/86

genl

Acct/ ~~[illegible]~~

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

7.6.86 [illegible]

No. 1477 W.

Genl Acct/ ~~Estt~~

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1477/9 1477/9 June 1886

READ the following

ce Note from the Exr. P.W. Accts H. E. No. 155 d/ 25 May 1886

Do — to the — do — H. E. No. 2010C d/ 1st June 1886

Do — from the — do — H. E. No. 183 d/ 3rd June 1886

In his earlier Note above recorded, the Examiner P.W. Accounts requests orders as to whether any alteration should be made in the reprint of the P.W. Code as regards payments to Contractors.

2. The Govt are of opinion that no change should be made in existing rules on the subject, and direct that they be carefully observed except in very

11, 1912, + 2095,
W. Code

exceptional cases, when the permission of the Supdg Engr should be obtained to a departure from the rules.

7/6/86

(8)

Ccr

9.6.86

11/6/86

To Supdg Engineer
S.W.T.W.S.
C.A.O. [illegible]

Desp
17/6/86

To.
The Examiner P.W. Accts
with original file
returned.

Dspl. 43/6
D. 7.6.86 R. 11.6.86

1477/10

3956
4238.

Govt. of (1886) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

[illegible]

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 11 June 1886, No. 1477 W

Payments to Contractors

Considering no change necessary in the existing Code rules on the Subject of ~~of~~ ordering them ~~[illegible]~~ to be carefully observed in future ~~shall require all such payments to be made direct from the Divisional office~~

180
8