

Accounts
Budget

It is requested that the above heading, with number and date of this communication, may be quoted in any subsequent correspondence on the subject.

To

THE SECRETARY

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In reply to your telegram dated 2nd April 1886, enquiring why the revised estimate for 1885/86 has been passed for Rupees 4,67,000 instead of Rupees 4,50,000, I am directed to state, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, that it was not quite clear from your telegram of the 5th March 1886 whether the Government of Madras could reduce the revised estimate from Rupees 4,67,000 to Rupees 4,50,000, or whether they had omitted to take into account the sum of Rupees 17,300 sanctioned for extra accommodation in Military Department telegram No. 75 M. W. dated 21st January 1886, and there being no time to clear up the doubt, the revised estimate was, to save inconvenience

No. 554 M. W.

Government of India.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Simla, the

19th April 1886.

pro

of

appeal


passed

passed for the higher sum of Rupees 4,67,000.-

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



J. L. Moaden Capt. M.L.

Recd. 29/4/86

Offy. Secretary to the Govt. of India.

B No 5908-5-3-86

No 1350 C-2-6-86

B No 1314-15-1-86

Suby. G.O. 1689 of 22-1-86

S.A.W.

3210

On receipt of our
endorsement No 66 D
of 1.5.86, on this current,
Mr James sent it back
to me with a copy of M.D.
Pro. No 489 of 22.1.86,
which contains the
G.O. Telegram of 21.1.86,
which apparently was
communicated to M.D.

Kindly cause it to
be ascertained whether
the G.O. reached us, &
if so, what was done
with it -

I don't remember
its receipt myself,
if it had reached
us, we should surely
have taken some action
with respect to the
increased grant for
1883-86 under Imperial
M.L. works.

On turning to the
previous M.L. Dept. Reg.
of 8.1.86, No 183, it
appears that

00W.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

12th May 1886

Read the following

H.E. No. 554 M.W. of 19 April 1886

ordered that the
following letter be sent to
the Government of India

(H.E. No. 1201 W of 12 May 1886)

To.

The Secy to the Govt. of India
Military Department

Sir,

I am directed to
acknowledge the receipt
of your letter No. 554 M.W.
dated 19th April 1886, and
in reply to state that
the telegram No. 75 M.W.
dated 21st January 1886
referred to by you

passed for the higher sum of

Your most a

B No 5904-5-3-86
No 1350C-2-6-86
B No 131W/15-1-86
Militry S.D. 489 of 22-1-86

L. Moadbur
Offg. Secretary

sum of Rs 17,300 sanctioned
in P.S. No 489 of 22-1-86, is
make up of two items, viz
Rs 5000 for repairs to Art.
barracks, St. Monica Mount,
& Rs 12,300 for barracks
at Secunderabad -

Now, we have nothing
to do with military works
at Secunderabad, & yet
the G.O. I. took the Rs.
12,300 on to our revised
estimate for 1885-86!

The first thing to do
is to prove that M.D. Pross
of 22-1-86 No 489 did not
reach us -

This done, B should
put up a draft in reply
to India's No 554 M.W. of
19-4-86, pointing out
that out of the addl
grant of Rs 17,300 sanctioned
in Mil Dept Telegram No
75 M.W. of 21-1-86, Rs
12,300 was allotted to
Secunderabad, which is
outside the Madras Prov
& that credit was not
taken in our accounts

for the grant of Rs 5000
for repairs to R.A. barracks,
St. Monica Mount, because the
telegram sanctioning it,
by accident, did not
reach the P.W.D. before
the close of the financial
year - That therefore
the ~~amount~~ in the final
issue of our final Budget,
the sum of Rs 4,50,000
has been added to
as the revised estimate
for 1885-86.

Cu
2-5-86

I am
Draft Reply to
India's No 554
as suggested by
C.A. & in fact
written hereunder
W
6/5

00W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.
125 May 1886
Read the following

H.E. No 554 M.W. of 19 April 1886

ordered that the
following letter be sent to
the Government of India.

(H.E. No 1201W of 12 May 1886)

To.
The Secy to the Govt. of India
Military Department.

Sir,
I am directed to
acknowledge the receipt
of your letter No 554 M.W.
dated 19th April 1886 and
in reply to state that
the telegram No 75 M.W.
dated 21st January 1886,
referred to by you, has

which was addressed to
~~the Secy to Govt~~ in the
Military Dept. was not
received in this Department.
^{Mil. Dept. P.W.D.}
the ~~Secy~~ Communicating
it having apparently been
miscarried.

2. It appears, however,
from previous Proceedings
of this Government in
the Military Department
No. 183, dated 8th January
1886, that of the sum of
Rs 17,300 proposed for
expenditure during 1885-86
on extra accommodation
in connection with the
increased strength of
British troops, Rs 12,300
were for works in
Secunderabad, a station

sum of Rs 17,300 sanctioned
in P.H. No 489 of 22.1.86, is
made up of two items, viz
Rs 5000 for repairs to Art.
barracks St Thomas Mount,
& Rs 12,300 for barracks
at Secunderabad -

Now, we have nothing
to do with military works
at Secunderabad, & yet
the G. of I. take the Rs.
12,300 on to our revised
estimate for 1885-86!

The first thing to do
is to prove that M.D. P.W.D.
of 22.1.86 No 489 did not
reach us -

This done, I should
put up a draft in reply
to India's No 534, M.W. of
19.4.86, pointing out
that out of the add^l
grant of Rs 17,300 sanctioned
in Mil. Dept Telegram No
75 M.W. of 21.1.86, Rs
12,300 was allotted to
Secunderabad, which is
outside the Madras P.W.D.
& that credit was not
taken in our accounts.

for the grant of Rs 5000
for repairs to R.A. barracks
St Thomas Mount, because the
telegram sanctioning it,
by accident, did not
reach the P.W.D. before
the close of the financial
year - But therefore
~~no reason~~ in the final
issue of our final Budget,
the sum of Rs 4,5000
has been added to
as the revised estimate
for 1885-86.

Cu
3.5.86

I have
Draft reply to
India's No 534
as reported by
C. B. P. in which
mentioned here.

limits of the Madras
P. W. Department. No
credit could therefore
have been taken on
^{for that}
portion of the grant.
their account.

3. I am further directed
to explain that no credit
was taken in the accounts
of this Department for
the remaining sum of
Rs 5,000, provided for
repairing the Royal
Artillery barracks
at St. Thomas Mount,
owing to the non-receipt
of the telegram sanction-
ing the amount.

4. In these circum-
stances, the sum of
Rs 4,50,000, shown in the
final issue of the
Budget under Military,
has

which was addressed to
~~the Secy to Govt~~ in the
Military Dept. was not
received in this Department.
^{Mil. Dept. Pr. No. 11}
the ~~Govt~~ Communicating
it having apparently been
miscarried.

2. It appears, however,
from previous Proceedings
of this Government in
the Military Department
No. 183, dated 8th January
1886, that of the sum of
Rs 17,300 proposed for
expenditure during 1885-86
on extra accommodation
in connection with the
increased strength of
British troops, Rs 12,300
were for works in
Secunderabad, a station
which is outside the

S. K. W.

Mil. Dept. Pr. No 409
7th 22 Jan 86 has not
been received in
this office.

For early drafts
in accordance with
Capt's instructions
A. S. 5.5.86

limits of the Madras
P. W. Department. No
credit could therefore
^{for that}
have been taken on
^{portion of the grant}
their account.

3. I am further directed
to explain that no credit
was taken in the accounts
of this Department for
the remaining sum of
Rs 5,000, provided for
repairing the Royal
Artillery barracks
at St. Thomas Mount,
owing to the non-receipt
of the telegram sanction-
ing the amount.

4. In these circum-
stances, the sum of
Rs 4,50,000, shown in the
final issue of the
Budget under Military,
has

which was addressed to
~~the Secy to Govt~~ in the
Military Dept. was not
received in this Department,
^{Military Dept. P. W. 1.}
the ~~Govt~~ Communicating
it having apparently been
miscarried.

2. It appears, however,
from previous Proceedings
of this Government in
the Military Department
No. 183, dated 8th January
1886, that of the sum of
Rs 17,300 proposed for
expenditure during 1885-86
on extra accommodation
in connection with the
increased strength of
British troops, Rs 12,300
were for works in
Secunderabad, a station
which is outside the

No. 12
PROCEEDINGS OF

Letter from the Govt of India

limits of the Madras
P. W. Department. No
credit could therefore
^{for that}
have been taken on
^{portion of the grant.}
their account.

3. I am further directed
to explain that no credit
was taken in the accounts
of this Department for
the remaining sum of
Rs 5,000, provided for
repairing the Royal
Artillery barracks
at St. Thomas Mount,
owing to the non-receipt
of the telegram sanction-
ing the amount.

4. In these circum-
stances, the sum of
Rs 4,50,000, shewn in the
final issue of the
Budget under Military,
has

which was addressed to
~~the Secy to Govt~~ in the
Military Dept. was not
received in this Department.
^{Mil. Dept. Press.}
the ~~Govt~~ Communicating
it having apparently been
miscarried.

2. It appears, however,
from previous Proceedings
of this Government in
the Military Department
No. 183, dated 8th January
1886, that of the sum of
Rs 17,300 proposed for
expenditure during 1885-86
on extra accommodation
in connection with the
increased strength of
British troops, Rs 12,300
were for works in
Secunderabad, a station
which is outside the

communicated to the Govt
of India in the Mil. Dept.

limits of the Madras
P. W. Department. No
credit could therefore
^{for that}
have been taken ~~on~~
^{portion of the grant.}
their account.

3. I am further directed
to explain that no credit
was taken in the account
of this Department for
the remaining sum of
Rs 5,000, provided for
repairing the Royal
Artillery barracks
at St. Thomas Mount,
owing to the non-receipt
of the telegram sanction-
ing the amount.

4. In these circum-
stances, the sum of
Rs 45,000, shewn in the
final issue of the
Budget under Military
has

has been adhered to as
the revised estimate
for 1885-86.

W
6/5/86

W 4B
10-5-86 6-5-86

20/100
12/5

Nov. 1200 W. d/12 May 1886
1201

W 1/5
a. 7-5-86 a. 12-5-86

W