8TH DECEMBER 1885, No. 1354, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 11th September 1885, No. 2611 :—

Resolution—dated 11th September 1885, No[illegible]611.

The Court of Wards resolve to submit t[illegible]ent Government their annual report on the administration of the estates of incapacitated proprietors under their charge during Fasli 1293, or the year ending 30th June 1884, accompanied by the prescribed statements and a file of Collectors' reports.

2. NUMBER OF ESTATES.—The number of estates under management in Fasli 1293 (1883-84) was the same as in Fasli 1292 (1882-83), viz., 39. Three estates, viz., Gopálpur in Godávari, Santhaiyur in Madura, and Urkad (Deposit) in Tinnevelly, were made over to their proprietors, and the three estates marginally noted were newly taken under management. The estate of one Puttamma, a lunatic, in South Canara, was ordered to be taken ov[illegible] G.O., dated 18th July 1883, No. 872, but no portion of the property belonging t[illegible] estate came into the Court's possession during the year under report.

Kangundi, in North Arcot.
Singavanam, in Tanjore.
Metrathi, in Coimbatore.

3. The following statement shows the condition of the three estates handed over to their proprietors at the time of restoration, as contrasted with their condition when the Court assumed charge :—

Districts.	Estates.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate came under Management. Current Demand.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate came under Management. Current Collections.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate was restored. Current Demand.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate was restored. Current Collections.	Balance in hand. When the Estate came under Management.	Balance in hand. When the Estate was restored.	Debts recovered during the period the Estate was under Management.	Debts paid off during the same period.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Godávari ..	Gopálpur	64,078	52,916	71,882	48,812	5,971	3,72,217	22,387	..
2. Madura	Santhaiyur ..	10,037	4,670	10,832	9,731	..	11,464	..	21,399
3. Tinnevelly ..	Urkad (Deposit) ..	763	195	137	108	13,816	22,757	800	..

Districts.	Estates.	Amount expended on Irrigation Works.	Amount expended on other permanent Improvements.	Age of the Proprietor. When the Estate came under Management.	Age of the Proprietor. When the Estate was restored.	Period for which the Estate was under Management.	Remarks.
		11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	YS. M. D.	YS. M. D.	YS. M. D.	
1. Godávari ..	Gopálpur	1,976	4,491	11 9 0	21 3 0	9 6 0	
2. Madura	Santhaiyur ..	7,093	344	9 0 0	..	12 0 0	The estate was handed over to the receiver appointed by the Madura Sub-Court on the death of the proprietrix.
3. Tinnevelly ..	Urkad (Deposit) ..	61	..	..	..	14 years and 2 months.	

4. The first estate—Gopálpur—was nine years and six months under management, during which period the rent-roll increased by over Rs. 7,000; Rs. 6,400 were expended on irrigation works and other permanent improvements; and a cash balance of Rs. 3,72,000 was handed over to the proprietor. The second estate—Santhaiyúr—when taken over, was involved in debts to the extent of Rs. 21,400, which were paid off during a management of twelve years, and Rs. 7,400 were expended on irrigation and other works. On the death of the proprietrix, the estate was handed over, with a cash balance of Rs. 11,000, to a receiver appointed by the Madura Sub-Court (East). There was a great fall in the rent-roll of the last estate, but this was due to the sale of a portion of the estate under Court decree for debts. There was, however, an increase of nearly Rs. 9,000 in the cash balance when the estate was restored.

5. NUMBER OF VILLAGES.—In Statement No. 1, particulars are given of the number and tenure of villages comprised in each estate, the age of the wards, and the years in which the estates are likely to be restored to their owners. The fifteen estates marginally noted consist of ryotwari lands scattered over different Government villages. In the remaining estates, the total number of villages is 4,061, of which 1,571 pay favorable assessment and 2,490 are fully assessed.

1. Chittecherla.
2. Ukkadai.
3. Velanganni.
4. Vadapathimangalam.
5. Alangudicheri.
6. Mathur.
7. Peramúr.
8. Nangavaram.
9. Vadagarai.
10. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate.
11. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate.
12. B. Sarvothama Rao's Estate.
13. Kavalpara.
14. Mangara.
15. Bimbalen.

6. SEASON.—The season is reported to have been, on the whole, favorable for agricultural operations in the Gopálpur estate in the Godávari district, in the Kangundi estate in North Arcot, in the Roshan Meah Sáhibs' estate in Tinnevelly, in the Poravipálaiyam estate in Coimbatore, and in all the estates in the Ganjam and Salem districts. In the Lakkavaram and Kapileswarapuram estates in Godávari, the rainfall was scanty in the early part of the year. Later on, heavy and protracted floods in the Godávari and breaches in the river embankments caused considerable damage to lands in the latter estate. In Ramnad, the south-west monsoon was very scanty and partial, and there was a decrease of acres 36,366, or 14 per cent., in the area of dry cultivation. Abundant rain, however, fell during October throughout the estate, which helped the wet cultivation very considerably. In Gandamanáyakanúr, the rainfall was both insufficient and unevenly distributed. The other Collectors have not noticed the subject separately in their reports.

7. HOLDINGS.—The total area of the holdings was acres 568,571, against acres 603,852 in the preceding year, as detailed below. In the twenty-five zemindari estates, the figures are exclusive of the areas not settled on the ryotwari system, as there are no means of ascertaining with any degree of accuracy the extent of such areas :—

Estates.	Holdings in Fasli 1292 (1882-83.)	Holdings in Fasli 1293 (1883-84.)
	ACRES.	ACRES.
1. Parlákimedi	112,183	112,656
2. Chinna Kimedi	11,595	12,360
3. Jarada	2,721	3,172
4. Urlám	7,098	2,968
5. Chidikada Jagannathapuram	2,278	2,278
6. Srípuram	2,775	2,826
7. Chipurupalle	2,488	2,488
8. Gopálpur	6,058	6,032
9. Kapileswarapuram	5,122	5,695
10. Ukkadai	2,809	2,820
11. Gandharvakóta	10,545	19,425
12. Vadapathimangalam	5,726	5,727
13. Papanad	18,029	4,524
14. Peramúr	2,436	2,436
15. Nangavaram	4,285	4,285
16. Ramnad	304,402 *	274,334
17. Gandamanáyakanúr	41,380	37,839

* Includes acres 36,377 omitted in the report for Fasli 1292.

Estates.	Holdings in Fasli 1292 (1882-83)	Holdings in Fasli 1293 (1883-84.)
	ACRES.	ACRES.
18. Vádimitta	11,403	11,409
19. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	2,532 *	2,491
20. Poravipálaiyam	8,051	9,472
21. Erumaipati	6,688	6,695
22. Kavalpara	11,462	11,462
Other estates	13,585	6,649
Estates handed over to their owners	8,201	..
Estates newly taken under management	...	18,528
Total	6,03,852	5,68,571

* Includes acres 965 omitted in the report for Fasli 1292.

8. Of the total area—acres 5,68,571—acres 166,385, or 29·2 per cent., were wet, and the remainder—acres 402,186 †—were dry lands. The large decrease in the holdings in Urlám is not explained by the Collector as it should have been. The omission should be supplied. The extent of holdings and the assessment are given in the margin for four faslis, from which it seems evident that the area given in the report for Fasli 1292 was erroneous. In Ramnad, there was an increase in wet cultivation, owing to the favorable character of the north-east monsoon and a decrease in dry, as the south-west monsoon was scanty and partial. In Gandamanáyakanúr, the decrease is ascribed to the character of the season, which was unfavorable for dry cultivation, and in Papanad to the gross extent of the zemindari lands having been shown last year instead of the actual extent of the ryots' holdings. The transfer of seven villages from the head amani to ryotwari accounts for the increase in Gandharvakóta. The variations in the other estates call for no remark.

† Includes acres 420 of the Vadagarai estate for which particulars are not available.

	Extent of Holdings	Assessment.
	ACRES.	Rs.
Fasli 1290	3,134	28,568
,, 1291	3,134	28,659
,, 1292	7,098	37,101
,, 1793	2,968	34,154

9. CULTIVATION.—In the estates noted in the margin, the whole occupied area is returned as cultivated. In the other estates, the percentage of cultivation to holdings was as under :—

Jarada.
Urlám.
Lakkavaram.
Ramnad.
Gandamanáyakanúr.
Vádimitta.
The estates in Vizagapatam, Coimbatore and the West Coast.

Estates.	Percentage of Cultivation to Holdings. Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	ACRES.	ACRES.
1. Parlákimedi	97·6	99·3
2. Chinna Kimedi	98·4	97·8
3. Gopálpur	87·2	89·6
4. Kapileswarapuram	72·5	72·8
5. Chittecherla	61·3	57·7
6. Kangundi	...	95·1
7. Soranjeri	82·3	87·8
8. Ukkadai	70·3	83·9
9. Velanganni	93·5	93·5
10. Gandharvakóta	88·6	92·2
11. Vadapathimangalam	99·2	99·3
12. Alangudicheri	97·2	97·2
13. Mathur	...	89·2
14. Papanad	25·2	97·1
15. Singavanam	...	70·7
16. Peramúr	79·9	74·4
17. Nangavaram	88·9	88·9
18. Vadagarai	...	76·9

Estates.	Percentage of Cultivation to Holdings. Fasli 1292 (1882-83)	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	ACRES.	ACRES.
19. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	95·7	96·2
20. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate	...	77·7
21 Erumaipati	87·9	88·8
22. Shulagiri	...	86·3

The increase in Papanad is nominal, the last year's figures being unreliable (*vide* paragraph 9 of the Court's report for Fasli 1292).

10. CURRENT DEMAND.—The total demand of all the estates under management during Fasli 1293 (1883-84) amounted to Rs. 19,60,895, as detailed below :—

	RS.
1. Land Revenue, including rents in money and grain	16,23,581
2. Jodi and quit-rent on inams	2,04,752
3. Land-cess	66,453
4. Water-rate and second-crop assessment...	8,638
5. Other items	1,26,499
Total ...	20,29,923
Deduct remissions ...	69,028
Net demand ...	19,60,895

The rent roll exceeded 7½ lakhs in Ramnad, 3¾ lakhs in Parlákimedi, ¾ of a lakh in Kangundi and ½ a lakh in each of the Chinna Kimedi, Gopálpur and Gandamanáyakanúr estates. The other estates in which the current demand exceeded Rs. 10,000 are noted in the margin.

	RS.
1. Vadapathimangalam	49,821
2. Merangi	45,784
3. Urlám	44,534
4. Gandharvakóta	37,627
5. Kapileswarapuram	36,615
6. Kavalpara	36,175
7. Jarada	23,528
8. Chidikada Jaganathapuram	22,679
9. Ukkadai	21,016
10. Sripuram	17,235
11. Shulagiri	17,032
12. Nangavaram	16,618
13. Vádimitta	15,821
14. Erumaipati	15,349
15. Papanad	14,131
16. Poravipálaiyam	12,980
17. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate ..	12,502
18. Peramúr	11,317
19. Lakkavaram	10,813

11. The remissions amounted to Rs. 69,028, of which Rs. 51,860, or 75·2 per cent., appear against Ramnad, and Rs. 5,492 against Gandamanáyakanúr, chiefly under deductions on account of emoluments to village servants. In Kapileswarapuram, Rs. 4,316 were remitted, owing to the unfavorable nature of the season and destructive floods, and in Erumaipati and Shulagiri, cowle remissions were granted to the extent of nearly Rs. 3,937.

12. Excluding the estates which were not under management in both years, the demand of Fasli 1293 (1883-84) shows a net decrease of Rs. 70,689, as compared with that of the preceding year :—

	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	RS.	RS.
Demand	19,61,046	19,60,895
Deduct revenue of estates which were not under management in both years	43,191	1,13,729
	19,17,855	18,47,166

The decrease of Rs. 70,689 was the net result of a gross decrease of Rs. 1,01,359 in twenty estates and of a gross increase of Rs. 30,670 in sixteen.

13. The variations are explained below :—

Estates.	Demand of Fasli 1293 (1883-84).	Increase or Decrease as compared with the preceding Year.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	3,86,137	+ 12,503	Increase due to less remissions having been granted and to increased cultivation.
Urlám	44,534	— 2,557	Decrease due to fall in the price of paddy.
Merangi	45,784	— 14,232	Decrease due to the transfer of a large portion of the estate to a renter under a decree of the High Court.
Kapileswarapuram	36,615	— 2,519	Decrease due chiefly to low bids obtained for the lankas or accretions belonging to the estate formed in the Godávari.
Gandharvakóta	37,627	— 20,151	Decrease due to the grain rents being estimated at a lower rate (average of three preceding years).
Vadapathimangalam	49,821	— 28,677	Decrease due to unfavorable season and also to the demand of Fasli 1292 having been erroneously swelled by the sale-proceeds of grain collected in Fasli 1291.
Mathur	5,102	— 2,724	Decrease due to the loss caused by inundations in the Shiyáli Taluk.
Papanad	14,131	— 1,561	Decrease due to unfavorable season.
Nangavaram	16,618	— 2,149	Decrease due to the introduction of money rents and to low prices.
Ramnad	7,68,910	+ 13,323	Increase due to the extension of wet cultivation owing to a favorable north-east monsoon.
Gandamanáyakanúr	53,486	— 4,120	Decrease due to the unfavorable character of the season.
Vádimitta	15,821	— 14,455	Decrease nominal, being due to the inclusion in the previous year's demand of a deposit of Rs. 13,500.
Kavalpara	36,175	+ 1,082	Not explained.
Mangara	5,214	— 3,159	Not explained.

14. CURRENT COLLECTIONS.—The current collections within the year are shown in Statement No. III ; they amounted to Rs. 15,74,053, or 80·3 per cent. of the demand. In the twelve estates noted in the margin, more than 90 per cent. of the demand was realized within the year. In nine estates, viz., Chinna Kimedi, Merangi, Chidikada, Vadapathimangalam, Vadagarai, Vádimitta, Metrathi, B. Sarvothama Row's Estate and Kavalpara, the collections ranged from 85 to 90 per cent., and in the following estates, the outstanding balances exceeded 15 per cent. :—

1. Parlákimedi.
2. Jarada.
3. Pandur Mallavaram.
4. Madugula.
5. Sripuram.
6. Lakkavaram.
7. Chittecherla.
8. Gandamanáyakanúr.
9. Alangudicheri.
10. Mathur.
11. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate.
12. Bimbalen.

	Balance at the end of the Year.	Percentage of the Balance to Demand.
	RS.	
1. Ukkadai	3,489	16·6
2. Shulagiri	3,473	20·3
3. Ramnad	1,60,331	20·8
4. Nangavaram	3,557	21·4
5. Chípurupalle	2,182	24·5
6. Velanganni	713	24·8
7. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	3,264	26·1
8. Erumaipati	4,220	27·4
9. Peramúr	3,135	27·8
10. Poravipálaiyam	3,661	28·2
11. Urlám	12,868	28·9
12. Kangundi	28,952	32·6
13. Mangara	1,885	36·2
14. Kapileswarapuram	17,541	47·9
15. Soranjeri	3,709	52·8
16. Gopálpur	40,318	58·3
17. Gandharvakóta	24,027	63·5
18. Papanad	10,391	73·5
19. Singavanam	2,215	76·6

15. In the estates marginally noted, the balance was reduced by subsequent collections up to the date of the Collectors' reports as shown against each. In the Ukkadai, Chípurupalle, Mangara, Kapileswarapuram and Papanad estates, the large balances outstanding are attributed to unfavorable season. In Velanganni, the balance had to be adjusted against debts due by the estate, and the Court's orders sanctioning the arrangement were only received by the Collector after the close of the fasli. In Urlam, the value of grain received during the year and remaining unsold is erroneously shown as balance. The value of the grain rents collected being deducted, the balance outstanding amounts to only Rs. 460, which has since been collected. In Kangundi and Soranjeri, a large amount had to be recovered by proceedings under Act VIII of 1865. Gopálpur was restored to the proprietor in March 1884, and the collections refer only to a portion of the year. In Gandharvakóta, Rs. 7,364 were expected to be collected on completion of certain arrangements for the introduction of money-rents which were under consideration. The disordered condition of the Singavanam Estate, recently taken under the management of the Court of Wards, and the absence of complete records, rendered the ascertainment of the demand and its realization within the year very difficult.

1. Ramnad	9·6
2. Poravipálaiyam	11·0
3. Erumaipati	11·9
4. Nangavaram	13·2
5. Shulagiri	16·2
6. Peramúr	19·4
7. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	20·0

16. ARREARS.—The arrears outstanding at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 8,06,302, of which Rs. 3,02,082 were collected and Rs. 16,226 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,87,994 on the 30th June 1884. Excluding the items noted in the margin, the balance is reduced to Rs. 4,28,273, as shown below:—

	Rs.
1. Collections after the close of the fasli	15,606
2. Amount written off the accounts	5,391
3. Amount sanctioned, but not yet written off ..	3,737
4. Amount now recommended	34,987
Total ..	59,721

	Rs.
1. Ramnad	2,18,733
2. Gandharvakóta	61,719
3. Merangi	36,970
4. Papanad	20,760
5. Gopálpur	18,695
6. Kangundi	15,638
7. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	8,895
8. Vadapathimangalam	8,533
9. Ukkadai	5,302
10. Chinna Kimedi	5,081
	4,00,326
Twenty-five other estates	27,947
Total ...	4,28,273

In Ramnad, of the recoverable balance, Rs. 1,41,932 were secured by bonds executed by ryots and by decrees obtained in the Courts, or formed the subject of suits then pending; Rs. 9,813 were classed as doubtful; and the remainder, which chiefly consisted of arrears of poruppu or quit-rent, was in course of collection. In Gandharvakóta, legal proceedings were instituted for the recovery of Rs. 3,900, and the remainder was in course of collection. The large balance outstanding in Merangi was due to the failure of the renter to furnish accounts of the collections made by him in the first half of Fasli 1290, when he was in possession of the lands of the estate. The estate was taken from the hands of the renter, but subsequently restored to him under a decree of the High Court. The arrears will be adjusted in the settlement of mesne profits due to the renter for the period that he was out of possession of the estate. In Papanad, at the time when the rents should have been collected, the Manager fell sick and was unable to devote proper attention to the work. Gopálpur was restored to the owner in March 1884. In Kangundi, suits were filed and processes issued for Rs. 8,300; Rs. 2,500 had to be recovered after the settlement of certain disputes under inquiry; and suits were to be filed for Rs. 3,000. A separate report is promised with regard to the Vadapathimangalam, Ukkadai and M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estates. The balances in the other estates do not call for any detailed notice.

17. The following abstract statement shows in one view the total land revenue demand, collection and balance, both arrears and current, in all the estates under the Court's management for Fasli 1293 (1883-84):—

—	Demand.	Collection			Amount written off.	Total.	Balance.
		Within the Year.	Subsequently.	Total.			
	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Arrears	8,06,302	3,02,082	15,606	3,17,688	21,617	3,39,305	4,66,997
Current	19,60,895	15,74,053	1,41,074	17,15,127	..	17,15,127	2,45,768
Total ..	27,67,197	18,76,135	1,56,680	20,32,815	21,617	20,54,432	7,12,765

18. Of the balance above shown, Rs. 35,371-14-4 are reported to be irrecoverable, exclusive of Rs. 679-8-7 appertaining to Soranjeri and Roshan Meah Sáhib's estates since handed over to the proprietors. Further explanation has been called for with regard to Rs. 2,706-0-11, and the remaining Rs. 32,665-13-5 are detailed below:—

Estates.	Poverty.	Desertion or Death.	Erroneous Demand.	Other Causes.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Parlákimedi	2,940 14 9	152 8 6	..	..	3,093 7 3
Jaradá	..	..	23 15 6	12 1 0	36 0 6
Madugula	..	..	12 6 0	..	12 6 0
Chedikada Jagannathapuram	..	..	..	..	225 1 1
Srípuram	197 13 11	60 12 2	132 12 9	23 4 1	414 10 11
Lakkavaram	39 6 7	..	..	52 5 3	91 11 10
Kapileswarapuram	812 15 2	..	122 6 6	..	935 5 8
Kangundi	..	..	1,764 5 4	4,833 10 0	6,597 15 4
Peramur	173 9 0	41 7 5	..	..	215 0 5
Nangavaram	1,672 5 7	24 6 0	..	..	1,696 11 7
Ramnad	..	..	16,121 8 1	842 9 10	16,964 1 11
Gandamanáyakanúr	44 1 3	647 5 8	21 4 8	..	712 11 7
Vádimitta	987 6 4	..	..	..	987 6 4
Shulagiri	..	..	..	3 0 0	3 0 0
Brahmawar Sarvothama Row's Estate ..	..	5 0 0	..	..	5 0 0
Mangara	173 5 8	..	501 13 4	..	675 3 0
Total ..	7,041 14 3	931 7 9	18,700 8 2	5,766 14 2	32,665 13 5

The amounts shown in columns 2 and 3 do not call for any explanation, as the defaulters possess no property by the sale of which the arrears could be realized. Of the Rs. 18,700-8-2 included in erroneous demand, Rs. 17,828-2-6 appertain to the Ramnad and Kangundi Zemindaris and are made up of the marginally-noted items. The balance, Rs. 872-5-8, is divided among seven estates and calls for no remark. Of the amount shown against Kangundi under "other causes" in column 5, Rs. 2,270-9-6 appertain to Fasli 1289 and its recovery is barred by limitation. Rs. 2,445-1-5 are irrecoverable owing to the non-exchange of puttas and muchilkas. This occurred before the estate was placed under the Court's management. The remaining Rs. 117-15-1 is the assessment on the lands in the occupation of the late Zemindar in Faslis 1290—92. The inclusion of the last item in the demand was unnecessary. The same

Ramnad.

	Rs. A. P.
(1) Rent charged from Fasli 1279 to Fasli 1288 on 1,000 kurukams of an inam village. It lies on the boundary between the estate and the Tinnevelly District and measures 1,888½ kurukams in excess of the extent entered in the accounts. A suit was filed in the Madura Sub-Court for the rent on the whole extent, but the Court divided the village between the estate and the inamdar, allowing the latter 1,000 kurukams and rejecting the claim of the estate for the rent on that area ..	14,343 11 3
(2) Wet assessment charged on dry lands ..	1,611 1 9
(3) Tree-tax charged on decayed trees ..	15 15 2
(4) Excess erroneously charged	150 11 11
Total ..	16,121 8 1

Kangundi.

(1) The difference between the dry and wet assessment to be remitted owing to the defective state of certain irrigation works in Faslis 1291 and 1292 ..	1,365 8 8
(2) The rent for Faslis 1291 to 1293 on a portion of a village mortgaged to the Zemindar and leased out by him to third parties but which was subsequently redeemed	341 1 9
Total ..	1,706 10 5

remark applies to the sum of Rs. 840, against Ramnad being the poruppu or quit-rent on certain inam lands which were resumed and which have since remained in the Zemindar's occupation. The irrecoverable arrears of the Chidikada estate are not classified, but the greater portion is stated to be due from pauper ryots and the remainder to have accrued before the estate came under management of the Court, the recovery whereof is now barred by the law of limitation. The whole of this amount, Rs. 32,665-13-5, will be written off the accounts.

19. Statement No. IV shows in detail the financial transactions of the several estates, of which the following is an abstract :—

		RS.	RS.
Cash balance at the beginning of the year			671,607
Receipts.			
(1)	Money rents and sale-proceeds of grain	19,27,147	
(2)	Interest on Government Promissory Notes	1,09,866	
(3)	Sale-proceeds of grain received in previous years ...	70,095	
	Sale-proceeds of Government paper	19,375	
	Sale-proceeds of lands and jewels	24,250	
(4)	Loan	2,000	
	Deposits by renters and purchasers of grain	12,156	
(5)	Debts recovered	41,360	
(6)	Miscellaneous	68,191	
			22,74,440
Total Balance and Receipts			29,46,047
Charges.			
(1)	Government demand (peishcush, assessment, and land-cess	8,20,804	
(2)	Commission to Government	28,315	
(3)	Establishment and contingencies	1,56,020	
(4)	Works	1,40,616	
(5)	Maintenance and education of the Wards and allowance to their families	2,58,327	
(6)	Payment of debts	3,62,740	
(7)	Purchase of Government paper	1,87,000	
(8)	Miscellaneous	3,89,975	
			23,43,797
Balance at the close of the year			6,02,250
Add—			
	Government paper		33,36,600
	Value of grain on hand		1,26,147
			40,64,997
Deduct opening balance—			
	Government paper	31,69,600	
	Cash	6,71,607	
	Value of grain	1,52,509	
			39,93,716
Increase			71,281

20. Of the closing balance above shown, over 24 lakhs belong to Parlákimedi, which has been under management uninterruptedly for fifty-four years; over $3\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to Gopálpur, and nearly $2\frac{1}{2}$ to Urlám. In Merangi, Ramnad, and Gandamanáyakanúr the surplus ranges from 1 to 2 lakhs, and in the five estates marginally noted from Rs. 50,000 to one lakh.

	RS.
Chinna Kimedi	76,942
Kavalpara	73,527
Kapileswarapuram	66,723
Lakkavaram	58,655
Peramur	53,345

21. The miscellaneous charges shown in paragraph 19 (*supra*) comprise the following unusual items :—

		RS.	RS.
Parlákimedi—			
	Survey and demarcation	37,013	
	Palace works	9,281	
	Purchase of jewels	15,590	
	Trip to Calcutta	25,000	
	Upanayanam and funerals	21,000	
			1,07,884
Chinna Kimedi—			
	Upanayanam	10,000	
			10,000
Jarada—			
	Trip to Calcutta	2,000	
	Marriage	15,100	
			17,100
Chipurupalle—			
	Marriage...	7,154	
			7,154
Lakkavaram—			
	Payment of legacies	22,676	
			22,676
Vadapathimangalam—			
	Marriage	4,000	
			4,000
	Total ...		1,68,814

The balance, Rs. 2,21,161, comprise certain usual charges falling under the following heads :—

Law charges, annual ceremonies and festivals, allowances to village officers, and allowances to religious and charitable institutions.

22. The following abstract shows the total charges, exclusive of the amount invested in Government paper, as compared with Fasli 1292 (1882-83) :—

Estates.	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	4,54,467	4,65,748	There was an increase of over Rs. 1,08,000 under Government demand and commission owing to payment of arrears against a decrease of about a lakh under miscellaneous.
Chinna Kimedi	67,260	70,231	There was an increase of Rs. 10,000 due to the Upanayanam ceremony of the minor, and a decrease of about Rs. 4,000 under works caused by the suspension of the manager for a portion of the year.
Jarada	30,615	42,196	The increase was due to the minor's trip to Calcutta and to a larger expenditure on marriage than in Fasli 1292.
Urlám	61,106	48,692	The decrease was due to a decrease of nearly Rs. 8,600 in the expenditure on works and to no charges having been incurred during the fasli on account of marriages.
Merangi	56,337	70,063	The increase was due to the payment of nearly Rs. 19,000 to the renter as law charges and mesne profits, and of Rs. 8,000 as arrears of peishcush and to a saving of nearly Rs. 12,000 under works, and to other savings.
Srípuram	15,966	18,589	The increase was due to the payment of arrears of peishcush.
Chipurupalle	9,142	11,769	The increase was due to the payment of Rs. 6,000 for marriages and to the non-payment of peishcush to the extent of Rs. 3,036.
Lakkavaram	19,620	37,672	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 22,000 as legacies under the will of the late proprietor.
Kapileswarapuram	32,225	34,209	The increase was due to the payment of Rs. 2,269 as law charges.
Gopálpur	46,494	42,136	The decrease is due to the expenditure shown relating to only a portion of the year, the estate having been handed over to the proprietor.
Ukkadai	37,786	25,291	The decrease was due to reduction of expenditure, necessitated by the embarrassed condition of the estate.
Gandharvakóta	17,479	22,948	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 8,200 towards the liquidation of debts and to a decrease of about Rs. 2,400 under Government demand for want of funds.

Estates.	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Vadapathimangalam	83,236	69,372	The decrease was due to the payment of arrears of Government demand in the previous fasli.
Mathur	1,790	29,323	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 20,000 under debts and also to the previous year's accounts showing the transactions only of six months.
Ramnad	7,85,179	7,81,459	The decrease is slight, being less than 1 per cent.
Gandamanáikayanúr	58,297	40,360	The decrease was chiefly under works owing to the reductions in the public works staff entertained for the estate and the consequent decrease in the amount of work executed during the year.
Kavalpara..	25,798	33,073	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 7,000 for the redemption of certain mortgaged lands.

23. WORKS.—The details of the expenditure on works and supervision in the several estates under management are given in enclosure A to statement No. IV, of which the following is an abstract :—

	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	RS.	RS.
1. Irrigation	89,208	69,530
2. Buildings	35,498	26,925
3. Roads	20,049	19,501
4. Supervision	28,866	24,660
Total ...	1,73,621	1,40,616

The decrease is Rs. 33,005, or 19 per cent. Over 85 per cent. of the expenditure under the first item occurred in the Parlákimedi, Chinna Kimedi, Kangundi, and Ramnad estates as detailed below :—

Ramnad.—Rs. 32,359 were expended on repairs rendered necessary by the floods of May and November 1883.

Parlákimedi.—Rs. 8,441 were expended on the construction and improvement of 31 calingulahs and sluices and Rs. 14,017 in repairing 126 irrigation works.

Chinna Kimedi.—Rs. 342 were spent in constructing calingulahs and weirs and Rs. 2,434 in repairs.

Kangundi.—Rs. 500 were spent in improvements to an irrigation channel and Rs. 1,391 in repairs.

Rupees 26,925 were spent on buildings—Rs. 16,288 on new works, and Rs. 10,637 on repairs. The expenditure on new works was as detailed below :—

	RS.
(1) Additions and improvements to the Zemindar's school at Parlákimedi	1,372
(2) Out-buildings at Ramalingeswaraswami's temple	1,313
(3) Building at Liviri for the accommodation of the Zemindar during festivals	1,486
(4) Building at Mokhalingam for the accommodation of the Zemindar during festivals	1,424
(5) Stables, hatikhana, cook-rooms, &c.	2,992
(6) Compound wall and Kaliyanamantapam to Ramalingeswaraswami's temple at Ramasagaram	1,652
(7) Completion of the temple at Devadi, Urlám	4,000
(8) Other buildings	2,049
Total ...	16,288

The expenditure under the third item—roads—was confined to the Parlákimedi, Urlám, and Kangundi estates. The bulk of the expenditure was incurred in the first-named estate—Rs. 10,016 in constructing new roads, planting avenues, constructing bridges and road dams, and Rs. 8,469 in repairs. The establishment charges were

confined to the Parlákimedi, Ramnad, Gandamanáyakanúr, and Kangundi estates. By a recent order* of Government, all estate works in the Ganjam and Madura Districts have been placed under the supervision of the Public Works Department.

* G.O., dated 18th June 1884, No. 1523 W.

24. DEBTS.—Statement No. V shows the debts due by and to each estate. The seventeen estates marginally noted are free from any liabilities. The debts due by the other estates at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 20,90,805 ; those newly contracted or brought to account and the interest which accrued during the year amounted to Rs. 1,07,292, making a total of Rs. 21,98,097. Of this sum, Rs. 3,62,740 were paid off and Rs. 6,318 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 18,29,039 at the close of the fasli, distributed among twenty estates as shown below :—

1. Parlákimedi.
2. Chinna Kimedi.
3. Jarada.
4. Urlám.
5. Merangi.
6. Pandur.
7. Chidikada.
8. Chípurupalle.
9. Kapaleswarapuram.
10. Chittecherla.
11. Soranjeri.
12. Peramur.
13. Gandamanáyakanúr.
14. Vadagurai.
15. B. Sarvothama Row's estate.
16. Kavalpara.
17. Mangara.

	RS.
1. Ramnad	9,96,654
2. Gandharvakóta	2,85,850
3. Ukkadai	83,825
4. Singavanam	80,566
5. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate...	64,856
6. Madugula	62,979
7. Vadapathimangalam	54,910
8. Vádimitta	52,629
9. Shulagiri	39,992
10. Papanad	34,268
11. Nangavaram	22,176
12. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	20,967
The remaining eight estates	29,367
Total ...	18,29,039

Of the amount shown against Ramnad, Rs. 26,654 represent the interest remaining to be paid and Rs. 9,70,000 the balance of a loan obtained in 1876 from Government, amounting to Rs. 12,72,830. The principal was reduced by subsequent payments in July and August 1884 to Rs. 8,70,000, and the Court expect that in about five years the debt will be paid off in full. The income of Gandhavarkóta is insufficient to repay the large amount of Rs. 2,85,850 with interest, and steps are being taken to sell a portion of the estate. For a similar reason, some of the outlying lands in Ukkadai were sold and realized Rs. 73,174, which will be paid to the creditors. The amount shown against Singavanam is the principal due at the end of the year, of which Rs. 10,000 are due to one Arunachala Chetti, who obtained a decree in the Tanjore Sub-Court and attached ten villages. Another creditor obtained a decree for Rs. 47,516, against which an appeal was preferred to the High Court and is still pending. The Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate was made over to the minor's relations since the close of the fasli, and it is therefore unnecessary to notice its liabilities. The Rs. 62,979 shown against Madugula is exclusive of the debts due to the Maharajah of Jeypore, who has the usufructuary mortgage of the Zemindari. The Collector reports that there are no funds available to pay off the debts. In Vadapathimangalam Rs. 4,000 more could have been paid in addition to Rs. 6,429 actually paid but for the marriage of the daughter of the late proprietor, and the Collector hopes that Fasli 1294 will show better results. The Vadimitta estate has been leased to a Chetti for eleven years on condition of his clearing it of its liabilities. The Government in their Order, dated 18th June 1884, No. 766, Revenue, sanctioned a loan of Rs. 35,000 to the Shulagiri estate which will be applied to the liquidation of all existing debts. In Papanad there were no funds and the new Manager has been instructed to pay strict attention to the collections. In the other estates the debts will be paid off as funds become available.

25. No debts were due to eleven estates. In the others, the debts due to them, inclusive of interest and new loans granted during the year, amounted to Rs. 5,00,988.

Of this sum, Rs. 41,360 were repaid and Rs. 23,215 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,36,413 at the end of the year, distributed among twenty-eight estates as noted in the margin. Of the amount shown against Gandharvakóta, Rs. 52,622 represent arrear rents of previous faslis which have been secured by formal bonds and transferred to the debt account; Rs. 40,360 are recommended to be written off and the balance, Rs. 7,293, will soon be recovered. The greater portion of the amount shown as appertaining to Vadapathimangalam is due from porakudis who are very poor and can pay the dues only by easy instalments. In Kangundi, Rs. 52,000 will fall due in future years. In Lakkavaram, Rs. 37,559 were secured by decrees, suits were filed for Rs. 2,864, and it remained to institute suits for the balance. Greater progress was made in the collection of the debts in Fasli 1294 which will be noticed in the report for that year. Rs. 64,446 were outstanding in three Tanjore estates, Alangudicheri, Papanad and Ukkadai; in the second of which the slack collection was due to the manager's illness as already explained, and in the others to the poverty of the porakudis who owed the money. In Singavanam, application has been made for the execution of a decree for Rs. 9,032, and Rs. 3,810 are stated to have been barred by limitation—Rs. 3,224 before, and Rs. 586 immediately after, the estate came under management. The Collector of Vizagapatam promises to submit a detailed statement of the debts due to Chípurupalle. Gopálpur was restored to the proprietor before the close of the year. In Merangi the balance should be reduced by Rs. 3,311 sanctioned to be written off, and there will, then, remain Rs. 5,488, of which the greater portion is payable in instalments. Of the amount shown against Poravipálaiyam, a decree was obtained for Rs. 251, and the balance, Rs. 6,000, is secured by the usufructuary mortgage of certain lands fully worth the money advanced. In Nangavaram the balance is made up of Rs. 140 already sanctioned to be struck off the accounts and of Rs. 5,692 for which decrees were obtained after the close of the fasli. A large portion of the balance in M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estate is stated to be irrecoverable, and the Collector promises a detailed report on the subject. The debts due to the remaining estates, excluding Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate, since restored, amounted to Rs. 10,589, of which Rs. 275 were ordered to be struck off the accounts and Rs. 1,082 are now reported to be irrecoverable, leaving a balance of Rs. 9,232.

	Rs.
1. Gandharvakóta	1,00,275
2. Vadapathimangalam	86,169
3. Kangundi	55,768
4. Lakkavaram	47,908
5. Alangudicheri	22,723
6. Papanad	22,285
7. Ukkadai	19,438
8. Singavanam	17,763
9. Chípurupalle	12,998
10. Gopálpur	12,054
11. Merangi	8,799
12. Poravipálaiyam	6,251
13. Nangavaram	5,832
14. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estate	5,072
The remaining fourteen estates	13,078
Total ..	4,36,413

26. The following statement shows the amount of debts which are due to the several estates and which are reported to be irrecoverable :—

Estate.		Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Grounds of Remission.
1. Gandharvakóta ...	(1) ...	32,152	0	0	Due from various persons who possess no property whatever to proceed against.
	(2) ...	8,000	0	0	Lent on the security of a village which the *ex*-Zemindar re-mortgaged to one Ayyakannu Chetty for Rs. 4,000. The Chetty has filed a suit which is still pending, and the village is reported to be not worth more than the Chetty's debt and interest, and there is not the least chance of recovering the debt due to the estate. The result of the suit will be awaited.
	(3) ...	208	0	0	Lent on the security of some property in the possession of the estate, and the debt is reported to be irrecoverable. This will be kept on in the accounts as still due to the estate.
	Total ...	40,360	0	0	

Estate.		Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Grounds of Remission.
2. Vadapathimangalam...	(1) ...	124	14	2	There is nothing to support the claim beyond entries in the late proprietor's accounts. The debts are eight years old.
	(2) ...	169	1	8	Stated to have been already paid to the proprietor. They are ten years old.
	(3) ...	1,570	14	0	The defaulters are either dead or have deserted and have left no property behind.
	(4) ...	293	6	8	Due from paupers.
	(5) ...	14	0	0	Stated to have been given away as a gift ten years ago.
	(6) ...	257	5	7	The defaulters cannot be identified.
	(7) ...	477	3	1	The defaulters were porakudis and received the loan twenty years ago. They have quitted the service of the estate, and no means exist of compelling them to repay the debts.
	(8) ...	109	4	2	Arrears of rents, the remission recommended on account of unfavorable season and destruction of tobacco crops by fire.
	(9) ...	47	10	0	
	(10)...	52	5	5	
	(11)...	9	12	0	Arrears of rent stated to have been assigned for service in a village temple and to have been erroneously included under Debts.
	Total ...	3,125	12	9	
3. Vadagarai		922	0	0	Of this sum Rs. 856-1-6 were sanctioned to be written off in Proceedings, dated 16th July 1884, No. 2435, and the balance appears to be the value of 24⅔ kalams of paddy and will be written off as irrecoverable.
4. Alangudicheri ...	(1) ...	127	11	0	Due from paupers.
	(2) ...	28	8	0	Erroneously included under Debts.
	(3) ...	203	8	9	The difference between the amount claimed and that for which a compromise was effected with the sanction of the Court of Wards.
	(4) ...	218	11	7	Consisting of 12 petty items, being the difference between the penal rate of interest and the proper rate. Of this Rs. 112-8-3 were disallowed by the Tiruvarur District Munsif.
	Total ...	578	7	4	
5. Merangi		215	1	8	The balance of interest remaining after the attachment and sale of all the defaulter's property.
6. Mádugula		160	0	0	Due from an admitted pauper.

With the exception of items (2) and (3) against Gandharvakóta the irrecoverable debts shown above will be written off.

27. Statement No. VI shows in detail the educational progress of the wards. Seven of them are females, of whom (*a*) one is of unsound mind; (*b*) four are precluded by their age and position from being instructed; and (*c*) the other two were under private tuition. Of the male wards, (*d*) three are of unsound mind; (*e*) two are too young to be educated; and the rest were under private tuition or were

(*a*) Erumaipati.
(*b*) Mádugula.
Chidikada.
Chípurupalle.
Kavalpara.
(*c*) Urlám.
Kapileswarapuram.
(*d*) Parlákimedi.
Peramur.
Bimbalem.
(*e*) Metrathi.
Shulagiri.

reading in the local schools, assisted, in some cases, by private tutors. The following is a brief account of the educational progress of such of the wards and their relations as were under instruction during the year under report.

Parlákimedi.—The Zemindar is an imbecile. His eldest son continued under Mr. Taylor's tuition, but having attained his majority he no longer goes through a regular course of study, but reads history and light literature with his tutor for about four hours a day. The Court of Wards are now in communication with the Collector on the subject of giving him some practical training in the system of management of the estate so as to fit him for the discharge of his duties as Zemindar when the estate is eventually handed over to him. Arrangements have been made for placing the Zemindar's two younger sons under tuition.

Chinna Kimedi.—The minor continued under the tuition of Mr. Taylor; though regular in attendance, quiet and obedient and seemingly anxious to learn, he made little progress, being of weak memory.

Jarada.—The minor was placed under the tuition of Mr. Taylor and is reported to be a bright, observant lad and to have made satisfactory progress.

Urlám.—The minor proprietrix made good progress in every branch of her studies. An English lady who visited her during the year spoke very favorably of her manners and attainments and confirmed the tutor's account.

Merangi.—The minor was under the tuition of Mr. Marsh till the 13th March 1884, and towards the close of the year attended the Hindu College, Vizagapatam. He is expert in all manly sports, but his conduct was not favorably reported on.

Pandur.—The minor made no progress whatever in his education; all efforts to make him attend school were unsuccessful. He exhibited aversion to study, but his conduct was good. An under-graduate was appointed private tutor.

Srípuram.—The minor is reported to be attentive to his studies and obedient to his tutor.

Chípurupalle.—The three elder daughters of the proprietrix were living with their husbands, and the other two attended the Roman Catholic Convent School at Vizagapatam and can read and write and play upon the piano fairly.

Lakkavaram.—The minor continued to attend a pial school and finished the first part of the English first book of lessons and of the Telugu second reader and learned a few stanzas of a Telugu second book called Nitisangraham.

Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.—The proprietrix was under the tuition of Miss Hadden and is reported to have made some progress.

Chittacherla.—The minor was promoted to the third class in the Local Fund Middle School at Madanapalle and is reported to attend school with exemplary regularity and to be very well conducted, but to have acquitted himself indifferently in his examination.

Kangundi.—The two minors were under the private tuition of a graduate appointed by the Court. The elder has finished Garthwaite's 1st English Reader and can work sums in decimals, while the younger has studied 23 lessons in that book and knows the simple and compound rules in arithmetic. Dr. Bradshaw recently examined them and considered their progress fair.

Soranjeri.—The minor appeared for the F.A. Examination in December 1884 but failed. His behaviour was favorably reported on by the Principal of the Presidency College as also his regularity in attendance.

Ukkadai.—The minor is reported to be intelligent and well behaved, but owing to general ill-health his attendance at school during the year was not satisfactory.

Velanganni.—The minor studied in the third class in the Tiruvarur High School. Owing to ill-health the minor's attendance was somewhat irregular. The progress made by him is reported to be tolerable and his conduct good.

Gandharvakóta.—The minor studied in the first class of the Native High School at Tanjore, but made little progress during the year, though his conduct and attendance were satisfactory.

Vadapathimangalam.—The elder minor attended the Government High School at Tiruvarur but lost his promotion to the next higher class owing to his indifferent performance at the annual examination. The private tutor to whose neglect this result was due was warned, and the Deputy Tahsildar was ordered to look after the minor. The younger minor has completed his sixth year and arrangements will be made for his education.

Alangudicheri.—All the four minors attended the Wesleyan Mission College at Negapatam. The Principal reports that their attendance was good and their conduct satisfactory. A private tutor on Rs. 20 per mensem has been appointed to attend upon them.

Mathur.—The minor was promoted to the Lower IV Class in the Municipal High School at Máyavaram. His attendance was very satisfactory and his progress fair.

Papanad.—The elder minor was under private tuition. The younger studied in the third class in the Tanjore Native High School. Their attendance was irregular as they were often withdrawn from Tanjore and taken to Papanad on various pretexts. The Deputy Inspector of Schools has reported that the progress of the elder minor was satisfactory, while that of the younger was as bad as in the previous year.

Singavanam.—Arrangements have been made to send the minor to Tanjore for tuition.

Peramur.—The ward continued in the same state of mental imbecility as in previous years. His son attended the Government Middle School at Srírangam and passed the Upper Primary Examination in December 1883 and was promoted to the third class. The Deputy Inspector reported favorably of his progress during the year. He has a private tutor on Rs. 10 to teach him while at home. The boy is said to be well behaved.

Nangavaram.—The elder minor attended the S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly, and passed the Primary Examination in December 1883, and was promoted to the third class. His progress was fair, and his general behaviour very good according to the report of the Principal of the Institution.

Ramnad.—Since the death of their late tutor, Mr. Gell, the minors have been under the tuition of Mr. A. W. Sewart. The elder passed the Matriculation Examination of 1884 in the first class, and has been attending the junior F. A. class of the Christian College. The younger passed the Middle School Examination in December 1884 in the third class. He has a very fair knowledge of English for his age and has improved in mathematics.

Gandamanávakavúr.—The minor was under Mr. Sewart's tuition. His handwriting has improved a little, but he still hesitates when reading either Tamil or English. He appeared for the Middle School Examination in December 1884, but did not pass.

Vadagarai.—The minor attended the third standard class, Native High School, Madura, and is reported to be fairly intelligent and well behaved.

Vadimittah.—Two of the boys learn English under a private tutor employed by the lessee, the third boy and the girl read Tamil.

M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate.—The elder minor has been married and is living with his wife and does not care to improve himself. The younger is reported to be attentive to his studies and to be making satisfactory progress.

Panagudi Roshan Meah Sahib's Estate.—The eldest minor passed the Fifth Standard Examination and joined the Tinnevelly Hindu College for further education. The other five study in the Result System School at Panagudi.

Poravipálaiyam.—The Minor Poligar and his brother studied in the Coimbatore High School. The elder being reported to be backward, idle and irregular in attendance, the services of a special tutor were secured under whom the minor made better progress.

B. Sarvothama Row's Estate.—The minor attended a private school. His attendance was regular and conduct satisfactory, but he failed in almost all the subjects in the periodical examination.

Mangara.—The minor studied in the Upper Fourth Class of the Pálghat High School. He was well behaved, and his educational progress fair in all subjects except mathematics in which he secured a fifteenth only of the maximum number of marks.

28. The following statement shows the financial transactions of certain charitable and religious institutions in the estates under management during the year:—

—	Opening Balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Closing Balance.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Peramur Chattram	759	334	1,093	493	600
Ramnad .. .. .. { Chattram	61,343	40,190	1,01,533	30,646	70,887
{ Devastanam	1,33,343	1,53,570	2,86,913	1,01,758	1,85,155
{ Dharmamagamai ..	7,055	15,208	22,263	9,192	13,071
M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	1,639	9,898	11,537	9,279	2,258
Gandharvakóta	4,482	2,484	6,966	2,080	4,886
Vadapathimangalam .. { Chattram	491	6,468	6,959	5,551	1,408
{ Devastanam	554	5,704	6,258	4,437	1,821

29. The Court have nothing new to add to their remarks on the economic condition of the ryots in the old Zemindari estates contained in paragraph 34 of their Administration Report for Fasli 1292. In two of the new estates which came under management during the year—Singavanam and Metrathi—the subject has not been adverted to by the Collectors. In the remaining estate, viz., Kangundi, the ryots appear to have been reduced to the status of tenants-at-will by the Zemindars. As compared with the neighbouring Government taluk of Palmanér, there is a smaller number of the higher and more intelligent ryots and artisans and a larger number of agricultural laborers and herdsmen. Their style of living, houses, clothes, &c., is stated to be poorer, but they possess numerous cattle and sheep. If the tenants can obtain permanency of tenure at rents not liable to arbitrary enhancement, they would be fairly well off.

30. ALIENATIONS.—There were 24 Zemindari estates under the Court's control at the close of the fasli, in three of which—Soranjeri, Gandamanáyakanúr, and Poravipálaiyam—there are no alienations remaining to be dealt with. In Parlákimedi and Erumaipati, the subject was finally disposed of in 1834 and 1880, respectively. In three others—Jarada, Vadimitta and Metrathi—final orders were recently passed on the alienations. Further information has been called for in case of seven * estates. The Tanjore Collector's report on the alienations in the Singavanam estate is under consideration, and for the remaining eight † estates reports are still due which the Collectors concerned are requested to expedite. A consolidated report will be submitted when the subject is finally disposed of by the Court.

* 1. Pandur Mallavaram.
2. Sripuram.
3. Lakkavaram.
4. Kangundi.
5. Papanád.
6. Ramnad.
7. Shulagiri.

† 1. Chinna Kimedi
2. Urlám.
3. Merangi.
4. Mádugula.
5. Chidikada.
6. Chípurupalle.
7. Kapileswarapuram.
8. Gandharvakóta.

31. GENERAL REMARKS.—The detailed survey of the Parlákimedi Estate progressed during the year; 286 villages were completed, and the survey of the remaining 181 villages was still going on on the 30th June 1884. The attempt to substitute money-rents in lieu of grain-rents in the Ramnad Estate was continued, but nothing could be done during the year under report owing to the opposition from ryots, but the Manager hopes to be able to induce them to accept the arrangement in course of time.

APPENDICES.

No. I.—STATEMENT showing the Number of Villages under the several Modes of Settlement in the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards and the Age, &c., of the Wards in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	NUMBER OF VILLAGES. Ryotwari or Joint-rent.	Money Rent.	Rent in Kind.	Inam or Shrotriem.	Total.	AGE OF THE WARDS AT THE END OF THE FASLI. Years.	Months.	Days.	Government Order by which the Estates came under the Management of the Court.	Year in which the Wards will attain their Majority.	Remarks.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	506	..	..	390	896	54	..	..	July 1830.	....	
	Chinna Kimedi	78	7	..	74	159	15	..	6	No. 2188, 27th Dec. 1876.	June 1890.	The ward is an imbecile.
	Jarada	24	6	..	31	* 60	13	1	26	,, 2454, 19th July 1880.	May 1892.	* Exclusive of two deserted villages.
	Urlám	6	..	..	7	13	15	6	17	,, 740, 27th Mar. 1879.	January 1890.	
	Total ..	614	12	..	502	1,128	..	..	..			
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	1	49	..	36	86	16	4	28	No. 3042, 25th Nov. 1869.	1st Feb. 1889.	
	Pandur-Mallavaram ..	2	..	..	..	2	20	3	..	,, 2036, 19th June 1877.	1st April 1885.	
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	58	..	..	,, 3087, 9th Oct. ,,	....	
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram.	5	..	..	..	5	44	6	28	,, 2930, 25th Sept. ,,	....	
	Sripuram	5	..	..	5	10	9	10	5	,, 1449, 5th Sept. 1878.	16th Aug. 1895.	
	Chípurupalle	1	..	..	..	1	46	..	..	,, 610, 13th May 1882.	....	
	Total ..	14	49	..	41	104	..	..	..			
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	8	..	..	..	8	8	2	16	No. 2291, 15th Dec. 1879.	April 1897.	
	Gopálpur	10	1	..	..	11	..	..	..	,, 1230, 28th Sept. 1874.	Jan. 1884.	
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.	7	..	..	..	7	22	..	..	,, 2661, 27th ,, 1869.	....	
	Total ..	25	1	..	..	26	..	..	..			
Cuddapah	Chittoocherla	..	..	..	..	..	15	4	..	No. 1381, 29th Sept. 1876.	February 1890.	
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	33	174	..	123	330	14	11	..	No. 435, 11th April 1883.	August 1890.	
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	6	..	..	..	6	20	2	..	No. 1232, 14th Aug. 1875.	April 1885.	
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai	..	..	..	..	..	12	..	..	No. 1471, 12th July 1879.	July 1893.	
	Velanganni	..	..	..	..	..	18	..	18	,, 2179, 15th Nov. ,,	12th June 1887.	
	Gandharvakóta ..	11	† 15	20	7	53	10	6	20	,, 493, 9th Mar. 1881.	11th Dec. 1894.	† Includes Poyyanda-kóta.
	Vadapathimangalam ..	..	..	..	..	..	14	..	..	,, 1285, 29th Aug. ,,	7th Mar. 1891.	
	Alangudicheri	..	..	..	..	..	17	7	24	,, 1263, 25th ,, ,,	7th Nov. 1887.	
	Mathur	..	..	..	..	..	12	10	..	,, 1292, 20th Nov. 1882.	6th Sept. 1892.	
	Papanad	19	..	..	17	36	17	10	..	,, 290, 13th Mar. ,,	5th ,, 1887.	
	Singavanam	1	..	..	2	3	11	2	15	,, 169, 1st Feb. 1884.	15th Mar. 1895.	
	Total ..	31	15	20	26	92	..	..	..			

Statement showing the Number of Villages under the several Modes of Settlement, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of Villages. Ryotwari or Joint-rent.	Money Rent.	Rent in Kind.	Inam or Shrotriem.	Total.	Age of the Wards at the end of the Fasli. Years.	Months.	Days.	Government Order by which the Estates came under the Management of the Court.	Year in which the Wards will attain their Majority.	Remarks.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	..	..	..	..	..	36	..	..	No. 2985, 30th November 1868.	....	The ward is of unsound mind.
	Nangavaram	..	..	..	..	..	9	8	..	,, 1545, 18th ,, 1880.	31st October 1895.	
	Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Madura	Ramnad	..	336 7/32	1,003 5/8	827 5/32	2,167 5/8	15	8	..	No. 319, 15th March 1873.	October 1889.	
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	21	..	..	1	22	17	5	..	,, 2264, 27th Sept. 1867.	24th January 1888.	
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..	10	6	..	,, 1651, 7th Nov. 1881.	December 1894.	
	Total ..	21	336 7/32	1,003 5/8	828 5/32	2,189 5/8	..	..	..			
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	29	..	..	1	30	11	6	..	No. 2183, 17th November 1879.	7th January 1894.	
	M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate.	..	..	..	..	..	18	1	..	,, 1399, 20th September 1881.	10th June 1887.	
	Panagudi Roshan Miah Sáhib's estate.	..	..	..	..	..	17	1	24	,, 714, 7th July 1882.	....	
	Total ..	29	..	..	1	30	..	..	..			
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	3	..	..	..	3	20	9	..	No. 1163, 27th May 1879.	14th September 1884.	
	Metrothi	1	..	..	..	1	3	6	..	,, 860, 14th July 1883.	January 1901.	
	Total ..	4	..	..	..	4	..	..	..			
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam.	12	..	..	1	13	33	..	..	No. 694, 5th June 1874.	....	
	Shulagiri	35	55	..	49	139	2	..	..	,, 326, 5th March 1883.	March 1902.	
	Total ..	47	55	..	50	152	..	..	..			
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Row's estate.	..	..	..	..	..	13	7	..	No. 1230, 14th August 1875.	December 1891.	
Malabar	Kavalapara	..	..	..	..	..	18	..	..	No. 1187, 9th August 1872.	May 1887.	
	Mangara	..	..	..	..	..	18	..	..	,, 3341, 3rd December 1877.	June 1886.	
	Bimbalen's estate	..	..	..	..	..	31	..	..	,, 122, 2nd February 1880.	,,	The ward is a lunatic.
	Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Grand Total ..	824	642 7/32	1,023 5/8	1,571 5/32	4,061 5/8	..	..	..			

No. II.—STATEMENT showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Holdings. Dry. Extent.	Dry. Assessment.	Wet. Extent.	Wet. Assessment.	Total. Extent.	Total. Assessment.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Rent on Lands.	Value of Share of Produce received in Kind.	Jodi or Quit-rent on Inams.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and Second-crop Assessment.	Other Items.	Total Miscellaneous.	Total of Columns 8 and 15.	Deduct Remissions.	Net Revenue.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	47,527	59,890	65,129	2,81,905	112,656	3,41,795	..	..	22,411	17,731	..	4,672	44,814	3,86,609	472	3,86,137
	Chinna Kimedi	2,852	7,028	9,508	40,496	12,360	47,524	6,860	..	8,281	3,830	..	2,657	21,628	69,152	407	68,745
	Jarada	548	1,044	2,624	14,634	3,172	15,678	5,538	..	1,411	930	..	146	8,025	23,703	175	23,528
	Urlám	321	1,712	2,647	32,442	2,968	34,154	5,731	..	1,833	1,524	..	1,292	10,380	44,534	..	44,534
	Total ..	51,248	69,674	79,908	3,69,477	131,156	4,39,151	18,129	..	33,936	24,015	..	8,767	84,847	5,23,998	1,054	5,22,944
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	506	386	370	2,126	876	2,512	37,040	..	2,763	2,890	..	579	43,272	45,784	..	45,784
	Pandur-Mallavaram	466	982	90	718	556	1,700	43	..	812	261	26	124	1,266	2,966	..	2,966
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,200	..	..	114	..	1,839	3,153	3,153	..	3,153
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram ..	952	3,252	1,326	14,398	2,278	17,650	..	..	3,852	907	..	270	5,029	22,679	..	22,679
	Srípuram	1,256	2,921	1,570	11,490	2,826	14,411	513	..	984	696	457	246	2,896	17,307	72	17,235
	Chipurupalle	2,432	6,329	56	426	2,488	6,755	285	..	519	279	10	1,034	2,127	8,882	..	8,882
	Total ..	5,612	13,870	3,412	29,158	9,024	43,028	39,081	..	8,930	5,147	493	4,092	57,743	1,00,771	72	1,00,699
Godávari	Lakkavaram	41	24	225	550	266	574	8,024	..	1,098	234	13	970	10,339	10,913	..	10,913
	Gopálpur	6,032	57,213	..	..	6,032	57,213	2,918	..	3,784	1,520	2,876	891	11,989	69,202	109	69,093
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	4,187	29,930	1,508	6,270	5,695	36,200	289	..	1,090	2,395	..	957	4,731	40,931	4,316	36,615
	Total ..	10,260	87,167	1,733	6,820	11,993	93,987	11,231	..	5,972	4,149	2,889	2,818	27,059	1,21,046	4,425	1,16,621
Cuddapah ..	* Chittecherla	178	..	309	..	487	1,108	..	..	..	..	..	81	81	1,189	..	1,189
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	6,754	13,065	1,512	9,090	8,266	22,155	33,783	..	15,754	3,889	12	13,287	66,725	88,880	142	88,738
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	156	255	931	4,425	1,087	4,680	..	..	..	264	507	1,798	2,569	7,249	234	7,015
Tanjore	* Ukkadai	1,327	1,207	1,493	19,054	2,820	20,261	..	..	..	..	..	755	755	21,016	..	21,026
	* Velanganni	39	181	364	2,680	403	2,861	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,861	..	2,161
	Gandharvakóta	11,353	12,429	8,072	17,773	19,425	30,202	..	..	33	1,922	43	5,486	7,484	37,686	59	37,627
	* Vadapathimangalam	732	3,360	4,995	43,327	5,727	46,687	..	..	..	..	..	3,134	3,134	49,821	..	49,821
	* Alangudicheri	71	468	465	5,837	536	6,305	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,305	..	6,305
	* Mathur	126	82	647	4,802	773	4,884	..	..	..	..	..	218	218	5,102	..	5,102
	Papanad	3,214	5,130	1,310	6,395	4,524	11,525	..	..	632	276	..	1,698	2,606	14,131	..	14,131
	Singavanam	48	41	239	1,399	287	1,440	945	111	..	161	..	234	1,451	2,891	..	2,891
	Total ..	16,910	22,898	17,585	1,01,267	34,495	1,24,165	945	111	665	2,359	43	11,525	15,648	1,39,813	59	1,39,754

* Are ryotwari estates. The assessment in columns 4, 6 and 8 in these cases means the income derived from the land.

Statement showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—(*Continued*).

District.	Estates.	Holdings. Dry. Extent.	Holdings. Dry. Assessment.	Holdings. Wet. Extent.	Holdings. Wet. Assessment.	Holdings. Total. Extent.	Holdings. Total. Assessment.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Rent on Lands.	Value of Share of Produce received in Kind.	Jodi or Quit-rent on Inams.	Land-cess.	Water rate and Second-crop Assessment.	Other Items.	Total Miscellaneous.	Total of Columns 8 and 15.	Deduct Remissions.	Net Revenue.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly ..	* Peramur	2,040	..	396	..	2,436	10,906	..	..	..	..	..	411	411	11,317	..	11,317
	* Nangavaram	3,256	..	1,029	..	4,285	16,143	..	..	..	..	..	475	475	16,618	..	16,618
	Total ..	5,296	..	1,425	..	6,721	27,049	..	..	..	..	..	886	886	27,935	..	27,935
Madura ..	Ramnad	223,099	2,31,900	51,235	3,67,938	274,334	5,99,838	..	..	1,35,167	22,803	4,247	58,715	2,20,932	8,20,770	51,860	7,68,910
	Gandamanáyakanór ..	37,155	54,696	684	1,710	37,839	56,406	..	..	..	1,786	..	786	2,572	58,978	5,492	53,486
	* Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	420	2,700	..	..	..	..	..	797	797	3,497	..	3,497
	Total ..	260,254	2,86,596	51,919	3,69,648	312,593	6,58,944	..	..	1,35,167	24,589	4,247	60,298	2,24,301	8,83,245	57,352	8,25,893
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	11,255	12,860	154	1,097	11,409	13,957	318	..	..	439	..	1,107	1,864	15,821	..	15,821
	* M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate	1,753	1,706	738	8,659	2,491	10,365	..	..	..	..	..	2,137	2,137	12,502	..	12,502
	* Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate	279	69	122	3,307	401	3,376	78	..	..	..	..	1,814	1,892	5,268	..	5,268
	Total ..	13,287	14,635	1,014	13,063	14,301	27,698	396	..	..	439	..	5,058	5,893	33,591	..	33,591
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	7,957	8,692	1,515	4,097	9,472	12,789	..	..	..	288	..	12	300	13,089	109	12,980
	Metrothi	4,763	5,569	..	..	4,763	5,569	..	..	14	225	..	..	239	5,808	740	5,068
	Total ..	12,720	14,261	1,515	4,097	14,235	18,358	..	..	14	513	..	12	539	18,897	849	18,048
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	6,253	12,832	442	3,931	6,695	16,763	..	..	182	534	340	1,244	2,300	19,063	3,714	15,349
	Shulagiri	4,718	5,863	494	2,513	5,212	8,376	3,234	182	4,132	555	107	1,573	9,783	18,159	1,127	17,032
	Total ..	10,971	18,695	936	6,444	11,907	25,139	3,234	182	4,314	1,089	447	2,817	12,083	37,222	4,841	32,381
South Canara ..	* B. Sarvothama Row's estate	200	2,404	100	1,831	300	4,235	..	..	..	..	..	45	45	4,280	..	4,280
Malabar	* Kavalapara	7,635	1,790	3,827	20,008	11,462	21,798	..	..	..	..	..	14,377	14,377	36,175	..	36,175
	* Mangara	230	420	240	4,160	470	4,580	..	..	..	..	..	634	634	5,214	..	5,214
	* Bimbalen	55	..	19	414	74	414	..	..	..	..	..	4	4	418	..	418
	Total ..	7,920	2,210	4,086	24,582	12,006	26,792	..	..	..	..	..	15,015	15,015	41,807	..	41,807
	Grand Total ..	401,766	5,45,730	166,385	9,39,902	568,571	15,16,489	1,06,799	293	2,04,752	66,453	8,638	1,26,499	5,13,434	20,29,923	69,028	19,60,895

* Are ryotwari estates. The assessment in columns 4, 6 and 8 in these cases means the income derived from the land.

No. III.—STATEMENT showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand. Arrears.	Demand. Current.	Demand. Total.	Collections. Arrears.	Collections. Current.	Collections. Total.	Amount remitted.	Balance at the close of Fasli. Arrears.	Balance at the close of Fasli. Current.	Balance at the close of Fasli. Total.	Subsequent Collections and Remissions.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	67,635	3,86,137	4,53,772	51,745	3,64,842	4,16,587	2,031	13,859	21,295	35,154	14,604	20,550
	Chinna Kimedi	22,817	68,745	91,562	15,643	58,921	74,564	1,409	5,765	9,824	15,589	6,346	9,243
	Jarada	4,998	23,528	28,526	4,543	22,036	26,579	277	178	1,492	1,670	290	1,380
	Urlám	14,493	44,534	59,027	14,460	31,666	46,126	..	33	12,868	12,901	6,198	6,703
	Total ..	1,09,943	5,22,944	6,32,887	86,391	4,77,465	5,63,856	3,717	19,835	45,479	65,314	27,438	37,876
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	38,676	45,784	84,460	1,649	41,104	42,753	..	37,027	4,680	41,707	184	41,523
	Pandur-Mallavaram	670	2,966	3,636	196	2,859	3,055	435	39	107	146	91	55
	Madugula	1,537	3,153	4,690	133	2,980	3,113	933	471	173	644	60	584
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram ..	2,109	22,679	24,788	1,801	19,327	21,128	6	302	3,352	3,654	484	3,170
	Srípuram	5,852	17,235	23,087	651	16,689	17,340	1,177	4,024	546	4,570	298	4,272
	Chípurupalle	3,861	8,882	12,743	2,747	6,700	9,447	..	1,114	2,182	3,296	298	2,998
	Total ..	52,705	1,00,699	1,53,404	7,177	89,659	96,836	2,551	42,977	11,040	54,017	1,415	52,602
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	1,167	10,913	12,080	418	10,205	10,623	30	719	708	1,427	..	1,427
	Gopálpur	35,976	69,093	1,05,069	16,376	28,775	45,151	905	18,695	40,318	59,013	..	59,013
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	10,942	36,615	47,557	4,867	19,074	23,941	..	6,075	17,541	23,616	6,551	17,065
	Total ..	48,085	1,16,621	1,64,706	21,661	58,054	79,715	935	25,489	58,567	84,056	6,551	77,505
Cuddapah ..	Chittecherla	130	1,189	1,319	51	1,074	1,125	..	79	115	194	194	..
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	66,385	88,738	1,55,123	43,215	59,786	1,03,001	..	23,170	28,952	52,122	8,236	43,886
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	6,207	7,015	13,222	4,251	3,306	7,557	..	1,956	3,709	5,665	814	4,851
Tanjore	Ukkadai	6,142	21,016	27,158	685	17,527	18,212	155	5,302	3,489	8,791	105	8,686
	Velanganni	612	2,861	3,473	505	2,148	2,653	..	107	713	820	..	820
	Gandharvakóta	68,740	37,627	1,06,367	6,406	13,600	20,006	..	62,334	24,027	86,361	1,363	84,998
	Vadapathimangalam	11,273	49,821	61,094	2,740	44,249	46,989	..	8,533	5,572	14,105	..	14,105
	Alangudicheri	..	6,305	6,305	..	6,305	6,305	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mathur	357	5,102	5,459	357	5,102	5,459	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Papanad	25,878	14,131	40,009	5,118	3,740	8,858	..	20,760	10,391	31,151	4,148	27,003
	Singavanam	..	2,891	2,891	..	676	676	..	..	2,215	2,215	111	2,104
	Total ..	1,13,002	1,39,754	2,52,756	15,811	93,347	1,09,158	155	97,036	46,407	1,43,443	5,727	1,37,716

Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.			Collections.			Amount remitted.	Balance at the close of Fasli.			Subsequent Collections and Remissions.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	3,065	11,317	14,382	2,461	8,182	10,643	..	604	3,135	3,739	958	2,781
	Nangavaram	8,723	16,618	25,341	2,849	13,061	15,910	..	5,874	3,557	9,431	1,386	8,045
	Total ..	11,788	27,935	39,723	5,310	21,243	26,553	..	6,478	6,692	13,170	2,344	10,826
Madura	Ramnad	3,44,319	7,68,910	11,13,229	91,160	6,08,579	6,99,739	8,868	2,44,291	1,60,331	4,04,622	94,666	3,09,956
	Gandamanáyakanúr	10,031	53,486	63,517	6,805	51,969	58,774	..	3,226	1,517	4,743	853	3,890
	Vadagarai	466	3,497	3,963	440	3,035	3,475	..	26	462	488	267	221
	Total ..	3,54,816	8,25,893	11,80,709	98,405	6,63,583	7,61,988	8,868	2,47,543	1,62,310	4,09,853	95,786	3,14,067
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	7,505	15,821	23,326	3,789	13,954	17,743	..	3,716	1,867	5,583	227	5,356
	M. D. Kumarasawmy Mudaliar's estate	10,119	12,502	22,621	952	9,238	10,190	..	9,167	3,264	12,431	1,025	11,406
	Panagudi Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate	140	5,268	5,408	87	5,191	5,278	..	53	77	130	29	101
	Total ..	17,764	33,591	51,355	4,828	28,383	33,211	..	12,936	5,208	18,144	1,281	16,863
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	3,445	12,980	16,425	3,057	9,319	12,386	..	378	3,661	4,039	2,226	1,813
	Metrothi	678	5,068	5,746	598	4,471	5,069	..	80	597	677	536	141
	Total ..	4,123	18,048	22,171	3,665	13,790	17,455	..	458	4,258	4,716	2,762	1,954
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	9,010	15,349	24,359	5,539	11,129	16,668	..	3,471	4,220	7,691	2,687	5,004
	Shulagiri	1,892	17,032	18,924	1,636	13,559	15,195	..	256	3,473	3,729	703	3,026
	Total ..	10,902	32,381	43,283	7,175	24,688	31,863	..	3,727	7,693	11,420	3,390	8,030
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Row's estate.	1,137	4,280	5,417	1,010	3,850	4,860	..	127	430	557	341	216
Malabar	Kavalapara	6,312	36,175	42,487	2,211	32,078	34,289	..	4,101	4,097	8,198	223	7,975
	Mangara	3,003	5,214	8,217	921	3,329	4,250	..	2,082	1,885	3,967	178	3,789
	Bimbalen	..	418	418	..	418	418	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	9,315	41,807	51,122	3,132	35,825	38,957	..	6,183	5,982	12,165	401	11,764
	Grand Total ..	8,06,302	19,60,895	27,67,197	3,02,082	15,74,053	18,76,135	16,226	4,87,994	3,86,842	8,74,836	1,56,680	7,18,156

No. IV.—FINANCIAL STATEMENT of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the Credit of the Estates at the beginning of Fasli 1293 (1883-84).				Income in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).			Total of Columns 4 and 9.	Charges.					
		Government Paper.	Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Collections within the Fasli.	Interest on Funds invested and rents of Houses and other Income.	Total.		Establishment and Contingencies.	Maramut Expenditure.	Government Demand.		Government Commission.	Total Management and Peshkash.
												Fixed Peshkash.	Assessment and Land-cess.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Parálkimedi	22,50,800	1,63,082	..	24,13,882	4,16,587	74,115	4,90,702	6,53,784	20,799	69,027	1,26,246	36,360	9,429	2,61,861
	Chinna Kimedi ..	50,000	12,411	..	62,411	74,564	10,198	84,762	97,173	5,361	2,809	20,826	5,734	685	35,415
	Jarada	..	25,185	..	25,185	26,579	3,988	30,567	55,752	2,030	1,819	2,314	1,547	242	7,952
	Urlám	2,15,000	24,912	..	2,39,912	46,126	6,046	52,172	77,084	2,667	8,179	13,580	2,457	261	27,144
	Total ..	25,15,800	2,25,590	..	27,41,390	5,63,856	94,347	6,58,203	8,83,793	30,857	81,854	1,62,966	46,098	10,617	3,32,372
Vizagapatam.	Merangi	1,31,900	8,060	..	1,39,960	42,753	(*h*) 28,351	71,104	79,164	1,297	..	26,480	6,506	709	34,992
	Pandur-Mallavaram ..	..	1,288	..	1,288	3,055	739	3,794	5,082	219	46	1,950	290	43	2,548
	Madugula	..	3,332	..	3,332	3,113	1,409	4,522	7,854	408	..	..	264	76	748
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram.	..	22,377	..	22,377	21,128	1,473	22,601	44,978	1,163	1,729	4,855	1,860	301	9,908
	Srípuram	..	7,626	..	7,626	17,340	2,032	19,372	26,998	883	494	10,875	957	268	13,477
	Chipurupalle ..	..	3,517	..	3,517	9,447	2,252	11,699	15,216	627	18	..	707	..	1,352
	Total ..	1,31,900	46,200	..	1,78,100	96,836	36,256	1,33,092	1,79,292	4,597	2,287	44,160	10,584	1,397	63,025
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	43,000	26,327	..	69,327	10,623	16,377	27,000	53,327	2,114	1,145	5,389	902	1,168	10,718
	Gopálpur	2,28,100	1,26,276	..	3,54,376	45,151	8,546	53,697	1,79,973	2,778	105	13,883	4,656	1,087	22,509
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru ..	52,400	23,493	..	75,893	23,941	1,098	25,039	48,532	2,891	976	20,157	2,500	..	26,524
	Total ..	3,23,500	1,76,096	..	4,99,596	79,715	26,021	1,05,736	2,81,832	7,783	2,226	39,429	8,058	2,255	59,751
Cuddapah ..	Chittecherla	3,000	4,812	..	7,812	1,125	2,807	3,932	8,744	255	393	..	472	63	1,183
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	..	19,680	..	19,680	1,03,001	28,394	1,31,395	1,51,075	10,088	6,031	33,432	7,540	..	57,091
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	..	1,091	..	1,091	7,557	694	8,251	9,342	358	857	2,479	281	..	3,975
Tanjore ..	Ukkadi	..	5,245	7,234	12,479	11,958	(*a*) 8,975	20,933	26,178	2,131	429	..	9,067	236	11,863
	Velanganni	..	505	..	505	2,653	(*g*) 2,541	5,194	5,699	110	20	..	1,324	105	1,559
	Gandharvakóta ..	..	7,517	6,827	14,344	14,137	(*b*) 8,425	22,562	30,079	4,355	247	690	..	..	5,292
	Vadapathimangalam ..	..	7,050	23,092	30,142	24,815	(*c*) 52,554	77,369	84,419	6,459	2,688	..	17,422	..	26,569
	Alangudicheri ..	..	2,718	5,035	7,753	1,117	(*d*) 6,555	7,672	10,390	843	388	..	2,083	260	3,574
	Mathur	..	1,103	5,269	6,372	3,519	(*e*) 28,680	32,199	33,302	1,084	94	..	4,255	106	5,539
	Papanad	..	1,633	3,659	5,292	4,955	(*f*) 4,155	9,110	10,743	2,009	6	3,455	281	192	5,943
	Singavanam	..	..	..	..	47	20	67	67	25	..	..	..	..	25
	Total ..	..	25,771	51,116	76,887	63,201	1,11,905	1,75,106	2,00,877	17,016	3,872	4,145	34,432	899	60,364

(*a*) Includes Rs. 6,202 the sale-proceeds of previous year's grain and Rs. 2,048, the sale-proceeds of furniture and jewels.
(*b*) Do. „ 6,122 „ „ „
(*c*) Do. „ 45,046 „ „ „
(*d*) Do. „ 4,548 „ „ „
(*e*) Includes Rs. 5,128 the sale-proceeds of previous year's grain and Rs. 22,202, sale-proceeds of estate lands and jewels.
(*f*) Do. „ 3,049 „ „ „
(*g*) Do. „ 2,000 loan. „ „ „
(*h*) Do. „ 19,375 the sale-proceeds of Rs. 20,000 Government paper.

Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—(*Continued*). Maintenance and Education of Wards.	Allowance to other Members of the Family.	Payment of Debts.	Other Miscellaneous Disbursements.	Total Charges.	Balance to the Credit of the Estates at the end of Fasli 1293 (1883-84). Government Paper.	Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Difference between Columns 6 and 25. Increase.	Decrease.
		17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	84,022	5,219	..	(*i*) 1,64,646	5,15,748	23,00,800	1,38,036	..	24,38,836	24,954	..
	Chinna Kimedi	5,694	7,420	..	(*j*) 31,702	80,231	60,000	16,942	..	76,942	14,531	..
	Jarada	3,987	4,032	..	26,225	42,196	..	13,556	..	13,556	..	11,629
	Urlám	5,225	2,676	..	13,647	48,692	2,15,000	28,392	..	2,43,392	3,480	..
	Total	98,928	19,347	..	2,36,220	6,86,867	25,75,800	1,96,926	..	27,72,726	42,965	11,629
Vizagapatam	Merangi	11,000	3,400	..	20,671	70,063	1,11,900	9,101	..	1,21,001	..	18,959
	Pondur-Mallavaram	900	..	..	51	3,499	..	1,583	..	1,583	295	..
	Mádugula	..	1,379	2,687	2,402	7,216	..	638	..	638	..	2,694
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram	4,094	1,200	..	2,042	17,244	..	27,734	..	27,734	5,357	..
	Srípuram	1,096	1,200	..	2,816	18,589	..	8,409	..	8,409	783	..
	Chipurupalle	2,292	..	..	8,125	11,769	..	3,447	..	3,447	..	70
	Total	19,382	7,179	2,687	36,107	1,28,380	1,11,900	50,912	..	1,62,812	6,435	21,723
Godávari	Lakkavaram	1,300	195	7	25,452	37,672	43,000	15,655	..	58,655	..	10,672
	Gopálpur	8,487	..	..	(*k*) 1,03,640	1,34,636	3,20,600	45,337	..	3,65,937	11,561	..
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	3,451	..	..	(*l*) 9,234	39,209	57,400	9,323	..	66,723	..	9,170
	Total	13,238	195	7	1,38,326	2,11,517	4,21,000	70,315	..	4,91,315	11,561	19,842
Cuddapah	Chittecherla	788	984	..	(*m*) 3,272	6,227	6,000	2,517	..	8,517	705	..
North Arcot	Kangundi	4,981	12,092	35,580	13,987	1,23,731	..	27,344	..	27,344	7,664	..
Chingleput	Soranjeri	830	..	..	1,286	6,091	..	3,251	..	3,251	2,160	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	72	523	5,770	7,063	25,291	..	887	6,254	7,141	..	5,338
	Velanganni	503	..	2,615	137	4,814	..	885	..	885	380	..
	Gandharvakóta	983	1,498	8,184	6,991	22,948	..	7,131	5,869	13,000	..	1,344
	Vadapathimangalam	6,709	..	6,429	29,665	69,372	..	15,047	22,174	37,221	7,079	..
	Alangudicheri	340	403	2,342	3,212	9,871	..	519	5,188	5,707	..	2,046
	Mathur	..	426	20,278	3,080	29,323	..	3,979	1,940	5,919	..	453
	Papanad	750	1,508	..	1,537	9,738	..	1,005	3,903	4,908	..	384
	Singavanam	..	..	..	20	45	..	22	629	651	651	..
	Total	9,357	4,358	45,618	51,705	1,71,402	..	29,475	45,957	75,432	8,110	9,565

(*i*) Includes Rs. 50,000 invested in Government paper.
(*j*) Do. „ 10,000 „ „
(*k*) Do. „ 92,500 „ „
(*l*) Includes Rs. 5,000 invested in Government paper.
(*m*) Do. „ 3,000 „ „

Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c. (*Continued.*)

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the Credit of the Estates at the beginning of Fasli 1293 (1883-84). Government Paper.	Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Income in Fasli 1293 (1883-84). Collections within the Fasli.	Interest on Funds invested and Rents of Houses and other Income.	Total.	Total of Columns 4 and 9.	Charges. Establishment and Contingencies.	Maramut Expenditure.	Government Demand. Fixed Peshkash.	Assessment and Land-cess.	Government Commission.	Total Management and Peshkash.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	43,400	6,888	..	50,288	10,643	1,008	11,651	18,539	853	7	..	4,590	170	5,620
	Nangavaram	..	3,178	2,095	5,273	15,910	6,254	22,164	25,342	1,183	110	..	6,674	263	8,230
	Total	43,400	10,066	2,095	55,561	26,553	7,262	33,815	43,881	2,036	117	..	11,264	433	13,850
Madura	Ramnad	..	41,764	96,969	1,38,733	7,96,708	25,750	8,22,458	8,64,222	63,846	39,522	3,14,153	36,349	10,823	4,64,693
	Gandamanáyakanúr	1,17,300	39,941	..	1,57,241	58,774	10,503	69,277	1,09,218	5,052	2,374	13,415	4,810	829	26,480
	Vadagarai	..	4,039	2,329	6,368	3,475	738	4,213	8,252	420	114	..	1,718	82	2,334
	Total	1,17,300	85,744	99,298	3,02,342	8,58,957	36,991	8,95,948	9,81,692	69,318	42,010	3,27,568	42,877	11,734	4,93,507
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	17,743	..	17,743	17,743	751	604	5,433	838	..	7,626
	M. D. Kumaraswami Mudaliar's estate	..	6,775	..	6,775	10,190	62	10,252	17,027	1,480	..	..	7,030	174	8,684
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate	..	1,160	..	1,160	5,278	4	5,282	6,442	641	19	..	1,483	..	2,143
	Total	..	7,935	..	7,935	33,211	66	33,277	41,212	2,872	623	5,433	9,351	174	18,453
Coimbatore	Poravipalaiyam	..	8,488	..	8,488	12,386	73	12,459	20,947	271	..	2,950	1,368	..	4,589
	Metrothi	..	..	..	..	5,069	..	5,069	5,069	21	..	2,384	452	..	2,857
	Total	..	8,488	..	8,488	17,455	73	17,528	26,016	292	..	5,334	1,820	..	7,446
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	..	655	..	655	16,668	116	16,784	17,439	2,074	4	9,513	521	181	12,293
	Shulagiri	..	325	..	325	15,195	..	15,195	15,520	787	..	5,704	1,180	..	7,671
	Total	..	980	..	980	31,863	116	31,979	32,959	2,861	4	15,217	1,701	181	19,964
South Canara	B. Sarvothama Row's estate	4,000	1,167	..	5,167	4,860	131	4,991	6,158	304	125	..	1,940	..	2,369
Malabar	Kavalapara	21,000	49,913	..	70,913	34,289	1,398	35,687	85,600	5,906	237	..	3,668	447	10,258
	Mangara	9,700	7,710	..	17,410	4,250	832	5,082	12,792	1,451	..	..	520	109	2,080
	Bimbalen	..	364	..	364	418	..	418	782	26	..	..	35	6	67
	Total	30,700	57,987	..	88,687	38,957	2,230	41,187	99,174	7,383	237	..	4,223	562	12,405
	Grand Total	31,69,600	6,71,607	1,52,509	39,93,716	19,27,147	3,47,293	22,74,440	29,46,047	1,56,020	1,40,616	6,40,163	1,80,641	28,315	11,45,755

Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—(*Continued*).					Balance to the Credit of the Estates at the end of Fasli 1293 (1883-84).				Difference between Columns 6 and 25.	
		Maintenance and Education of Wards.	Allowance to other Members of the Family.	Payment of Debts.	Other Miscellaneous disbursements.	Total Charges.	Government Paper.	Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.
		17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	1,675	180	..	(*n*) 6,119	13,594	48,400	4,945	..	53,345	3,057	..
	Nangavaram	1,818	2,290	7,029	1,603	20,970	..	4,372	..	4,372	..	901
	Total ..	3,493	2,470	7,029	7,722	34,564	48,400	9,317	..	57,717	3,057	901
Madura	Ramnad	12,143	17,748	2,61,993	24,882	7,81,459	..	82,763	77,931	1,60,694	21,961	..
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	5,572	3,960	..	4,348	40,360	1,17,300	68,858	..	1,86,158	28,917	..
	Vadagarai	1,144	307	..	796	4,581	..	3,671	2,259	5,930	..	438
	Total ..	18,859	22,015	2,61,993	30,026	8,26,400	1,17,300	1,55,292	80,190	3,52,782	50,878	438
Tinnevelly	Vadimittah	..	3,161	..	6,956	17,743	..	..	..	..	..	..
	M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate ..	1,889	..	110	1,686	12,369	..	4,658	..	4,658	..	2,117
	Roshan Meah Sahib's estate	687	318	..	2,414	5,562	..	880	..	880	..	280
	Total ..	2,576	3,479	110	11,056	35,674	..	5,538	..	5,538	..	2,397
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	1,385	1,734	7,060	2,232	17,000	..	3,947	..	3,947	..	4,541
	Metrothi	..	..	666	106	3,629	..	1,440	..	1,440	1,440	..
	Total ..	1,385	1,734	7,726	2,338	20,629	..	5,387	..	5,387	1,440	4,541
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	934	..	1,050	1,469	15,746	..	1,693	..	1,693	1,038	..
	Shulagiri	322	2,573	794	705	12,065	..	3,455	..	3,455	3,130	..
	Total ..	1,256	2,573	1,844	2,174	27,811	..	5,148	..	5,148	4,168	..
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Row's estate	328	905	..	(*o*) 858	4,460	4,500	1,698	..	6,198	1,031	..
Malabar	Kavalapara	4,540	..	..	(*p*) 39,275	54,073	42,000	31,527	..	73,527	2,614	..
	Mangara	956	..	..	2,600	5,636	9,700	7,156	..	16,856	..	554
	Bimbalen	99	..	146	23	335	..	447	..	447	83	..
	Total ..	5,595	..	146	41,898	60,044	51,700	39,130	..	90,830	2,697	554
	Grand Total ..	1,80,996	77,331	3,62,740	5,76,975	23,43,797	33,36,600	6,02,250	1,26,147	40,64,997	1,42,871	71,590

(*n*) Includes Rs. 5,000 invested in Government paper.
(*o*) „ „ 500 „ „
(*p*) „ „ 21,000 „ „

8TH DECEMBER 1885, No. 1354, REVENUE. 27

ENCLOSURE A to Statement No. IV, showing the Expenditure incurred on Irrigation Works, Buildings and Communications in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Agricultural Works.		Buildings.		Communications.		Establishment.	Total.
		New Works.	Repairs.	New Works.	Repairs.	New Works.	Repairs.		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	8,441	14,017	10,239	1,245	10,016	8,469	16,500	69,027
	Chinna Kimedi	342	2,434	16	17	..	..	..	2,809
	Jamada	626	903	290	..	..	..	..	1,819
	Urlám	781	..	4,585	2,247	89	477	..	8,179
	Total ..	10,190	17,354	15,130	3,609	10,105	8,946	16,500	81,834
Vizagapatam ..	Pandur-Mallavaram ..	..	46	..	..	..	..	..	46
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram	..	1,727	..	2	..	..	..	1,729
	Seripuram	..	69	..	425	..	..	..	494
	Chipurupalle	..	18	..	..	..	..	..	18
	Total ..	..	1,860	..	427	..	..	..	2,287
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	..	1,145	..	..	..	..	..	1,145
	Gopálpur	..	..	..	105	..	..	..	105
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesamakurru ..	..	518	458	..	..	..	..	976
	Total ..	..	1,663	458	105	..	..	..	2,226
Cuddapah ..	Chittecheria	..	393	..	..	..	..	..	393
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	500	1,391	700	1,908	..	450	1,082	6,031
Chingleput ..	Soranjori	..	..	..	857	..	..	..	857
	Ukkadai	..	219	..	210	..	..	..	429
	Velangatini	..	..	..	20	..	..	..	20
	Gandharvakóta	..	230	..	17	..	..	..	247
Tanjore	Vadapathimangalam ..	..	1,701	..	987	..	..	..	2,688
	Alangudicheri	338	..	..	50	..	..	..	388
	Mathur	..	94	..	6	..	..	..	94
	Papanad	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6
	Total ..	338	2,244	..	1,290	..	..	..	3,872
Trichinopoly ..	Peravur	..	7	..	..	..	..	..	7
	Nangavaram	..	110	..	..	..	..	..	110
	Total ..	..	117	..	..	..	..	..	117
Madura	Ramnad	..	32,359	..	1,378	..	..	5,785	39,522
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	..	579	..	502	..	..	1,293	2,374
	Vadagarai	..	36	..	78	..	..	..	114
	Total ..	..	32,974	..	1,958	..	..	7,078	42,010
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	..	..	..	167	..	..	..	604
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate	..	437	..	19	..	..	..	19
	Total ..	..	437	..	186	..	..	..	623
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	..	4	..	..	..	..	..	4
South Canara ..	Brahmawar Sarvothama Row's estate	..	65	..	60	..	..	..	125
Malabar	Kavalapara	..	..	..	237	..	..	..	237
	Grand Total ..	11,028	58,502	16,288	10,637	10,105	9,396	24,660	1,40,616

No. V.—STATEMENT showing the Debts due to, and due by, the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	DEBTS DUE TO THE ESTATE.							DEBTS DUE BY THE ESTATE.						
		Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the Fasli.	Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account and Interest accumulated in the Fasli.	Total.	Debts paid or set off.	Debts struck off the Accounts.	Total.	Remainder due by the Estate at the end of the Fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	9,299	..	9,299	500	..	500	8,799	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Pondur-Mallavaram ..	100	..	100	..	..	..	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mádugula	2,111	..	2,111	465	..	465	1,646	65,666	..	65,666	2,687	..	2,687	62,979
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram	230	..	230	161	..	161	69	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Srípuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	670	..	670	..	670	670	..
	Chípurupalle	14,032	461	14,493	1,495	..	1,495	12,998	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	25,772	461	26,233	2,621	..	2,621	23,612	66,336	..	66,336	2,687	670	3,357	62,979
Godávari	Lakkavaram	49,432	4,157	53,589	5,681	..	5,681	47,908	7	..	7	7	..	7	..
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.	60	..	60	12	..	12	48	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Gopálpur	11,935	419	12,354	300	..	300	12,054	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	61,427	4,576	66,003	5,993	..	5,993	60,010	7	..	7	7	..	7	..
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	58,479	2,903	61,382	5,614	..	5,614	55,768	33,026	2,803	35,829	35,580	..	35,580	249
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	3,139	112	3,251	620	2,091	2,711	540	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	23,056	770	23,826	140	4,248	4,388	19,438	86,348	3,247	89,595	5,770	..	5,770	83,825
	Velanganni	1,262	79	1,341	318	999	1,317	24	6,760	2,275	9,035	2,615	..	2,615	6,420
	Gandharvakóta	45,950	56,403	1,02,353	2,078	..	2,078	1,00,275	2,68,609	25,425	2,94,034	8,184	..	8,184	2,85,850
	Vadapathimangalam ..	1,00,031	17,186	1,17,217	16,199	14,849	31,048	86,169	57,912	3,427	61,339	6,429	..	6,429	54,910
	Alangudicheri	24,326	1,033	25,359	1,819	817	2,636	22,723	5,783	131	5,914	2,342	..	2,342	3,572
	Mathur	2,462	13	2,475	73	..	73	2,402	30,069	1,307	31,376	20,278	4,653	24,931	6,445
	Papanad	21,219	1,529	22,748	463	..	463	22,285	32,810	1,458	34,268	..	..	..	34,268
	Singavanam	17,486	289	17,755	12	..	12	17,763	80,566	..	80,566	..	..	..	80,566
	Total ..	2,35,792	77,302	3,13,094	21,102	20,913	42,015	2,71,079	5,68,857	37,270	6,06,127	45,618	4,653	50,271	5,55,856

Statement showing the Debts due to, and due by, the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	DEBTS DUE TO THE ESTATE.							DEBTS DUE BY THE ESTATE.						
		Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the Fasli.	Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account and Interest accumulated in the Fasli.	Total.	Debts paid or set off.	Debts struck off the Accounts.	Total.	Remainder due by the Estate at the end of the Fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	15	..	15	..	..	..	15	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Nangavaram	8,245	1,729	9,974	4,142	..	4,142	5,832	27,891	1,314	29,205	7,029	..	7,029	22,176
	Total ..	8,260	1,729	9,989	4,142	..	4,142	5,847	27,891	1,314	29,205	7,029	..	7,029	22,176
Madura	Ramnad	211	..	211	..	211	211	..	12,04,696	53,951	12,58,647	2,61,993	..	2,61,993	9,96,654
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	1,459	52	1,511	970	..	970	541	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Vadagarai	2,371	98	2,469	73	..	73	2,396	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	4,041	150	4,191	1,043	211	1,254	2,937	12,04,696	53,951	12,58,647	2,61,993	..	2,61,993	9,96,654
Tinnevelly	Vadimittah	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	49,953	2,676	52,629	..	..	..	52,629
	Medai Dalavai Kumaraswami Mudaliar's estate.	5,134	..	5,134	62	..	62	5,072	21,077	..	21,077	110	..	110	20,967
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate.	2,396	116	2,512	23	..	23	2,489	60,807	5,044	65,851	..	995	995	64,856
	Total ..	7,530	116	7,646	85	..	85	7,561	1,31,837	7,720	1,39,557	110	995	1,105	1,38,452
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	6,251	..	6,251	..	..	..	6,251	7,060	54	7,114	7,060	..	7,060	54
	Metrothi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,777	666	6,443	666	..	666	5,777
	Total ..	6,251	..	6,251	..	..	..	6,251	12,837	720	13,557	7,726	..	7,726	5,831
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam.	2,372	..	2,372	5	..	5	2,367	5,600	350	5,950	1,050	..	1,050	4,900
	Shulagiri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	37,768	3,018	40,786	794	..	794	39,992
	Total ..	2,372	..	2,372	5	..	5	2,367	43,368	3,368	46,736	1,844	..	1,844	44,892
Malabar	Kavalapara	257	13	270	56	..	56	214	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mangara	293	13	306	79	..	79	227	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bimbalen	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,950	146	2,096	146	..	146	1,950
	Total ..	550	26	576	135	..	135	441	1,950	146	2,096	146	..	146	1,950
	Grand Total ..	4,13,613	87,375	5,00,988	41,360	23,215	64,575	4,36,413	20,90,805	1,07,292	21,98,097	3,62,740	6,318	3,69,058	18,29,039

No. VI.—STATEMENT showing the Educational Progress made by the Wards and their relations in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and Attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of School Days.	The number of Days the Ward attended the School.	Results of the Annual Examination.				Remarks as to the Ward's attendance at School, his hand-writing and general behaviour.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.				English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	Ward's son Srigoura Chandra Gajapati Narayana Deo.	21	2	..	..	..	172	160	Having attained his majority, the ward pursued no regular course of study and no particulars are given.				Attendance regular. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour excellent.
	Chinna Kimedi ..	Sri Braja Kisora Deo.	15	..	..	..	..	172	162	....	....	....	....	Attendance regular. Hand-writing not good. General behaviour very good.
	Jarada	Sri Raghunadah Samantaraya Deo.	13	1	..	..	..	172	110	....	....	....	....	Was absent for nearly three months. Hand-writing good for a beginner. General behaviour very good.
	Urlám	Kandukuri Mahalakshmamma.	15	5	..	..	..	..	..	....	....	....	....	Hand-writing — Chalmer's serial copy book. General behaviour good.
Vizagapatam.	Merangi	Sri Satrucherla Jagannatharaju Bahadur.	16	5	3	..	Lower IV Class.	..	20	....	....	....	....	Was under the tuition of Mr. Marsh for the greater part of the year; joined the Vizagapatam Hindu College on 10th June. There was nothing to say against his behaviour in the college, but his general conduct was eminently unsatisfactory.
	Pandur-Mallavaram.	Sri Rajah Kakarlapudi Venkata Suryanarayana Niladrirajugaru.	20	3	13	Elementary Class.	..	..	..	Was studying with a private tutor. Standard equal to a first class in a Government school.				Hand-writing very poor. Very dull and more fond of play than of study. Conduct good.
	Srípuram	Sri Rajah Innganti Rajagopalarayaningaru.	9	10	5	..	..	..	..	Was studying with a private tutor. Standard equal to a second class in a Government school.				Attentive to study and obedient to tutor.
	Lakkavaram ..	Sri Rajah Muntripragada Bhujanga Row.	8	2	..	..	..	..	..	The details to be shown in columns 6 to 14 cannot be given as the minor was under the tuition of a pial schoolmaster.				
Godávari ..	Gopálpur ..	Sri Rajah Uppalapati Venkata Vijaya Gopala Rajugaru.	20	5	10	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	....
	Kapileswarapuram *cum* Kesanakurru.	Balusu Rama Lakshmammagaru.	22	..	8	Last Class ..	Private tuition ..	240	120	The minor was not examined.				Hand-writing fair and general behaviour good.
Cuddapah ..	Chittecherla	Tarigonda Venkatappa Nayanivaru.	15	4	7½	Do. ..	III Class	248	248	Reading, translation into English, and Grammar poor. Explanation fair. Writing and spelling pretty fair.	Poor	History fair. Geography tolerable.	Reading rather unsatisfactory; recitation good; explanation fair, and Grammar pretty fair.	Attendance regular; behaviour good.
North Arcot.	Kangundi ..	1. Rama Subba Raju Nayanivaru.	14	11	13	Upper Primary.	..	..	..	Finished Mr. Garthwaite's First Book. Reading and meaning tolerable; dictation average.	Good	..	Reading good; dictation fair; studying Telugu second book.	Attendance regular. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour on the whole good.
		2. Subba Raju Nayanivaru.	12	10	11	Do. ..	..	..	..	Studying Mr. Garthwaite's First Book. Reading tolerable.	Can work Simple and Compound Rules.	..	Reading and dictation bad. Studying Telugu first book.	
Chingleput.	Soranjeri ..	V. S. Krishnasami Iyengar.	20	2	Eleven years and five months.	Lower IV ..	I and II Class in the Presidency College.	164	143	$\frac{20}{100}$	Absent	$\frac{35}{100}$	$\frac{11}{40}$	Attendance regular. Hand-writing good and general behaviour satisfactory.
Tanjore ..	Ukkadi	Annasami Tever ..	11	11½	6	..	Fourth Standard Class.	100 From January to June 1884	49	Absent owing to illness.				Attendance irregular. Hand-writing, Tamil, fair. Hand-writing, English, poor. General behaviour satisfactory.
	Velanganni ..	S. Ramalingam Pillai.	18	..	13½	..	III Class	246	136	$\frac{32}{110}$	$\frac{45}{75}$	$\frac{25}{70}$	$\frac{45}{125}$	Attendance irregular. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour very good.
	Gandharvakóta ..	Suryanarayana Pandarathar.	10	6	7½	Had begun the Tamil alphabet.	I Class	246	200	$\frac{84}{100}$	$\frac{12}{40}$	$\frac{6}{16}$	$\frac{1}{4}$ in Language and $\frac{27}{50}$ in Grammar.	Attendance regular. Hand-writing good and general behaviour good.

Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli		Age and Attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the year.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Tanjore—(*Contd.*)	Vadapathimangalam.	Somasundara Mudaliar.	14	4	11	II Class ..	IV, Lower ..
	Alangudicheri ..	Musali Naidu ..	17	7	15	Fifth Standard.	IV, Lower and Upper.
		Srinivasa Naidu ..	15	10	13	Do. ..	Do. ..
		Krishnasami Naidu..	13	10	11	Third Standard.	II and III Class..
		Sauri Naidu ..	9	10	7	First Standard.	I Class .. ..
	Mathur	Nataraja Iyer ..	12	10	Eleven years and four months.	III Class.	III Class and IV, Lower.
			..	..	..	..	..
	Papanad ..	Saminathavijaya Tevar.	17	10	15	Third Standard.	Private tuition. Studying Fourth Standard Class subjects.
		Kumarasamivijaya Tevar.	16	4	14	Do. ..	Private tuition. Studying Third or Fifth Standard Class subjects.
	Singavanam ..	Dorai Raja *alias* Aiyappa Meikan Gopalar.	11	2½	11	Third Standard Class.	....
	Peramur	Ward's son Prasanna Venkatesa Aiyar.	11	1½	..	..	II and III Class..
Trichinopoly.	Nangavaram ..	Lakshminarayana Iyer.	10	..	..	..	Do. ..
	Ramnad	Bhaskarasami Sethupathi.	15	7½	Four years and four months.	..	Sixth or Matriculation Class.
	....	Dinakarasami ..	13	2	Two years.	..	Upper IV ,,
Madura ..	Gandamanáyakanúr.	Sami Appen ..	17	5	..	..	Do. ..
	Vadagarai ..	Ramabhadra Naikar.	10	6	7	..	Third Standard Class.
	Vadimittah ..	Kodumudi Muthukumarasami Maniakar.	11	6	7	..	....
Tinnevelly	M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate.	M. D. Runganatha Mudaliar.	18	1	15	III Class ..	III Class .. ..
		M. D. Thirumalayappa Mudaliar.	12	10	10	I Class ,,	I Class .. ..

Wards and their relations in Fasli 1293 (1883-84)—(*Continued*).

Number of School Days.	The number of Days the Ward attended the School.	Results of the Annual Examination.				Remarks as to the Ward's attendance at School, his hand-writing and general behaviour.
		English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
246	202	$\frac{56}{170}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{64}{100}$	$\frac{43}{180}$	Attendance rather irregular. Hand-writing middling. Diligent and well behaved.
213	191	$\frac{31}{100}$	$\frac{42}{100}$	$\frac{32}{100}$	$\frac{71}{100}$	
213	190	$\frac{35}{100}$	$\frac{41}{100}$	$\frac{30}{100}$	$\frac{75}{100}$	Attendance good. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour satisfactory.
213	195	$\frac{56}{100}$	$\frac{60}{100}$	$\frac{68}{100}$	$\frac{61}{100}$	
213	188	$\frac{76}{100}$	$\frac{95}{100}$	100	$\frac{60}{100}$	
199	167	$\frac{47}{110}$	$\frac{2}{40}$	$\frac{45}{100}$	$\frac{8}{38}$	Attendance very good. Hand-writing middling. Conduct satisfactory.
227	216	$\frac{40}{100}$	$\frac{34}{100}$	$\frac{34}{100}$	$\frac{30}{100}$	Intelligent, but rather slow. Hand-writing good.
268	164	$\frac{10}{100}$	$\frac{34}{100}$	$\frac{40}{100}$	$\frac{22}{100}$	Hand-writing poor. Dull and progress almost *nil*.
..	..	..	..	..	..	He was studying in the Mannárgudi Native High School, but stops at home since his father's death.
231	190	$\frac{31}{80}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{5}{12}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{4}$ in Grammar.	Attendance generally regular and punctual. Hand-writing and behaviour good.
186	148	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{9}{20}$	$\frac{17}{20}$	$\frac{1}{4}$ in Language and $\frac{13}{20}$ in Grammar.	At times irregular owing to ill-health. Hand-writing improving. General behaviour very good.
..	..	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{2}$ in Grammar.	Has passed the Matriculation in the first class. He has a fair knowledge of the English language. Hand-writing requires improvement. General behaviour and bearing good.
..	..	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{3}{4}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{2}$ in Grammar.	Has a very fair knowledge of the English language for his age. Pronunciation good. Has improved much in mathematics. He has passed the Middle School Examination in the third class. General behaviour and bearing good.
..	..	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{8}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{2}$ in Grammar.	His hand-writing improved; hesitates in reading both Tamil and English. His spelling is the weakest point and far below the average of a boy of his years. He appeared for the Middle School Examination, but failed.
280	263	..	$\frac{6}{40}$	..	$\frac{27}{47}$	Attendance regular. Hand-writing good. General behaviour commendable.
..	..	..	..	..	..	Has commenced the First Book in English.
Private tuition	..	..	..	..	..	The minor does not attend school having been married. Hand-writing somewhat improved. General behaviour satisfactory.
230	215	Was not promoted to the next higher class though he got half marks in each subject except Tamil dictation.				Regular attendance. Hand-writing improving. Behaviour very satisfactory.

Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and Attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the year.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Tinnevelly—(*Contd*).	Panagudi Roshan Meah Sahib's estate.	Abigi Meah.. ..	17	1	..	II Class ..	III Class .. ..
Coimbatore..	Poravipalaiyam..	Jaganmandaladhipati Gopana Mannadiar.	20	9	..	IV, Lower ..	Do. ..
		Chandra Gopana Mannadiar.	16	..	..	Do. ..	....
South Canara.	B. Sarvothama Row's estate.	Brahmavar Sarvothama Row.	13	7	4½	..	II Class .. ..
Malabar ..	Mangara	K. Katangi Nayar..	18	9	11	Second Standard.	Lower IV and Upper IV.

Wards and their relations in Fasli 1293 (1883-84)—(*Continued*).

Number of School Days.	The number of Days the Ward attended the School.	Results of the Annual Examination.				Remarks as to the Ward's attendance at School, his hand-writing and general behaviour.
		English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
..	260	$\frac{10}{104}$	$\frac{38}{58}$	$\frac{42}{84}$	$\frac{27}{42}$ in Language and $\frac{7}{12}$ in Grammar.	Attendance regular. Handwriting fair. General behaviour good.
230	168	He did not attend the annual examination.				Regular in attendance. Handwriting unsettled and general behaviour good.
..	..	$\frac{34}{45}$	$\frac{11}{50}$	$\frac{29}{50}$	$\frac{33}{45}$	Do. do.
252	248	$\frac{11}{48}$	..	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{5}{16}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{3}$ in Grammar.	Attendance regular. Handwriting middling. Conduct satisfactory.
195	133	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{3}$ in Grammar.	Attendance middling. Handwriting middling. Behaviour good.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. T. ROSS,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a file of reports.
,, all Collectors in charge of Wards' Estates.

ORDER—dated 8th December 1885, No. 1354, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

With the foregoing Proceedings, the Court of Wards submit their report on the administration of the estates under their charge for Fasli 1293 (1883-84). It was received in this office on the 28th of September last, or nearly 15 months after the close of the year to which it relates and five months after the date prescribed for its submission, *i.e.*, 1st May. No explanation of the inordinate delay which has occurred is furnished or even attempted, notwithstanding that the Government have had, of late years, to repeatedly notice such delays. The Court will take steps to ensure greater punctuality in the future submission of this report.

2. At the beginning of the year under report, the number of estates under the Court's management was 39. Three of these were made over to their proprietors during the year, while three were taken under management. The number of estates under the Court's control was therefore the same at the end of the year as at its commencement.

3. Of the estates that passed out of the Court's jurisdiction, the largest was Gopálpur in the Godávari district, and the Government are glad to observe that, after a management of 9½ years, the Court were able to make over the estate to its proprietor with a cash balance of over Rs. 3,72,000, although, when it came under management, there was only the small sum of Rs. 6,000 to its credit, and in addition to this there was an increase of over 12 per cent. in the rent-roll of the estate, upon irrigation works and permanent improvements in which the sum of Rs. 6,400 was expended during the time that it was on the hands of the Court.

The second estate, Santhaiyúr, came under wardship encumbered with debts to the extent of Rs. 21,400. It was under the Court's management for twelve years, during which period all the debts were liquidated, a sum of Rs. 7,400 was expended on irrigation works and other permanent improvements, and there was left a cash balance of Rs. 11,460 when on the death of the proprietrix the estate was handed over to the receiver appointed by the Sub-Court at Madura.

The third was an insignificant estate and continued under management pending a settlement between the several claimants to it.

4. Of the estates that newly came under the Court's control, Kangundi was the only one of any importance. The rent-roll of these estates and the debts due to and by each of them at the end of the fasli were as follows :—

Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Rent-roll.	Debts due to.	Debts due by.
		RS.	RS.	RS.
North Arcot...	Kangundi ...	88,738	55,768	249
Coimbatore ...	Metráthi ...	5,068	...	5,777
Tanjore ...	Singavanam...	2,891	17,763	80,566

5. Fifteen of the estates under management consisted merely of ryotwari lands scattered over Government villages. The number of villages comprised in the other estates was 4,061, of which 2,490 were proprietary and the rest inam. Of the former, 1,023, or 41 per cent., were held on the system of sharing the produce, 643 or 26 per cent. on money rents, and the remainder on ryotwari tenure. The system of grain rents prevails only in Ramnad and Gandharvakóta. As a tentative measure a system of money rents was introduced in a few villages of the former named estate; the endeavours of the manager to extend this to more villages, during the year under report, was opposed by the ryots and consequently nothing was done. No information has been furnished regarding the condition of the ryots in two of the three estates that came under management during the year. In the third (Kangundi) the ryots are reported to have been reduced to the status of mere tenants-at-will, but it is said that they would be fairly well off if they could obtain permanency of tenure at rents not liable to arbitrary enhancement. A Bill to secure this end is now under the consideration of a committee appointed by G.O., 15th July 1885, No. 827.

6. The agricultural statistics of the several estates under management are given in paragraphs 7 to 9 of the Court's Proceedings, but they are, for the reason given in the previous year's review,* not as satisfactory as could be desired.

* *Vide* paragraph 6 of G.O., dated 18th October 1884, No. 1146.

7. The arrears outstanding at the beginning of the year in the several estates amounted to Rs. 8,06,302, of which Rs. 3,02,082 were collected within the year and Rs. 16,226 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,87,994 at the end of the year. This was further reduced by subsequent collections and remissions to Rs. 4,66,997, nearly half of which relates to Ramnad alone.

The current demand of the year, after deducting remissions allowed, was Rs. 19,60,895, of which Rs. 15,74,053, or 80·3 per cent., was collected within the year, against 81·7 per cent. in that preceding it. Subsequent collections, up to the dates of Collectors' reports, amounted to Rs. 1,41,074 which reduced the balance to Rs. 2,45,768. The collections were not so satisfactory as they should be in many of the estates, and the Collectors concerned should be directed to pay special attention to this point.

The abstract of the demand, collection and balance, both arrears and current, given at paragraph 17 of the report, does not quite agree with the details in statement III as shown below.

	RS.
Remissions both within the year and subsequently + subsequent collections as per paragraph 17 of the report	1,78,297
Remissions both within the year and subsequently + subsequent collections as per statement III	1,72,906
Difference ...	5,391

The discrepancy requires explanation.

8. The entire cash receipts of all the estates amounted to Rs. 22,74,440 and the charges to Rs. 23,43,797, leaving thus a minus balance of Rs. 69,357. This deficit is, however, only apparent as the charges included Rs. 1,87,000 invested in Government paper. The total balance to the credit of the estates at the end of the year was Rs. 40,64,997 as shown on the margin, of which nearly 24½ lakhs belong to the Parlákimedi zemindari, which has been continuously under the Court's management for the last fifty-four years.

	RS.
Cash	6,02,250
Government paper	33,36,600
Value of grain on hand	1,26,147
Total ...	40,64,997

9. There was an expenditure of Rs. 1,40,616 on public works as classified below :—

	RS.
1. Irrigation	69,530
2. Buildings	26,925
3. Roads	19,501
4. Supervision	24,660

The bulk of the expenditure under the first item was in Ramnad and was devoted to repairs rendered necessary by the floods of 1883. Nearly the whole of the expenditure on roads was incurred in Parlákimedi. The statement in paragraph 23 of the Court's report that all estate works in the Ganjam and Madura districts have been placed under the supervision of the Public Works Department appears to be incorrect. The latest order on the subject is G.O., dated 28th October 1884, No. 2659-W, which was communicated to the Court of Wards by G.O., dated 14th November 1884, Mis. No. 5044. From this it will be seen that it is only in Ramnad that all the works are to be taken over by the Public Works Department, and that in other estates the Public Works Department exercise no supervision over minor irrigation works and repairs costing less than Rs. 1,000, which are left under the care of Collectors.

10. The particulars of the debts due to and by the several estates are given in detail in paragraphs 24 to 26 of the Court's report. The amount due to estates, inclusive of interest accrued and new loans granted during the year, was Rs. 5,00,988, of which only the small sum of Rs. 41,360 was recovered and Rs. 23,215 remitted during the year. Further remissions to the extent of about Rs. 37,000 have now been sanctioned by the Court of Wards. The debts due by estates, together with interest and new loans contracted during the year, aggregated Rs. 21,98,097, the bulk of which was made up of the loan obtained by Ramnad from Government. Of the debt due by this estate about two lakhs were paid during the year and another lakh during the first two months following its close, and the Government note with satisfaction that the Court expect to be able to pay off the balance in about five years, that is, before their connection with the estate ceases. Of the other indebted estates the three noted on the margin deserve special notice. The financial condition of the last of them seems almost hopeless. As regards the other two, the insufficiency of the income derived from them permits of no other method of liquidation than that of bringing portions of them to sale. Such a step has already been taken with regard to Ukkadai and is being taken in respect of Gandhavarakóta. The collections in the latter estate were unsatisfactory and require special attention.

1. Gandhavarakóta.
2. Ukkadai.
3. Mádugula.

11. With a few exceptions, the educational progress of the wards was, generally speaking, fair. Two * of them had passed the Matriculation Examination and were continuing their studies for the F.A. examination. The eldest son of the Parlákimedi ward having attained his majority does not go through a regular course of study; the Government note with approval that the question of giving him some practical training in the management of the estate is under the consideration of the Court.

* Soranjeri minor.
Elder minor of Ramnad.

12. Complete information on the subject of alienations in Wards' estates, subsequent to the permanent settlement, has not been furnished in this report as promised, and the Government desire to know by what date the receipt of the consolidated report of the Court may be expected. They note with displeasure the great delay on the part of certain Collectors in furnishing the requisite information on this important matter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
" the Public Works Department.
" the Secretary to the Rent Recovery Commission.

Exd. W. H. French.

100 Copies.

No 109 Rev 14 January 1886.

Communicated to Supty: Engineers for information.

(Signed) J. J.

Govt. of {1885} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 8th December 1885, No 1354.

No 109 Rev 14 January 1886.

DECEMBER.

Supty: Engineers
Exec. Engrs: for Irrign
Ex & P.W. Accts.

Estates of Incapacitated proprietors.

Court of Wards' report on the administration of — for Fasli 1293 (1883-84), reviewed.

With Order

18TH OCTOBER 1884, No. 1146, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 16th July 1884, No. 2435 :—

Resolution—dated 16th July 1884, No. 2435.

The Court of Wards resolve to submit to Government their annual report on the administration of the estates of incapacitated proprietors under their charge during Fasli 1292 or the year ending 30th June 1883, accompanied by the prescribed statements and a file of Collectors' reports. Many of the district reports were received earlier than usual, though not within the time prescribed. But in many instances, sufficient care had not been taken to see that the figures in the reports agreed with those given in the monthly accounts current. This has considerably delayed the tabulation of the general returns in this office. The last report from Tinnevelly was received only on the 22nd May 1884.

2. NUMBER OF ESTATES.—The number of estates under the control of the Court of Wards at the beginning and end of Fasli 1292 (1882-83) was 37 and 39, respectively. Four estates, viz., Sergada in Ganjam, Rewada in Vizagapatam, Núzvíd in Kistna, and Kanangi Srinivasa Row's Estate in South Canara, were made over to their proprietors on their attaining majority, and the six estates noted in the margin were newly taken under management. No report has been received for the last-named estate as it was taken over at the close of the year.

Papanad, Chípurupalle, Mathur, Roshan Miah Sáhib's Estate, Bimbalen, and Shulagiri.

3. The following statement shows the condition of the four estates mentioned above at the time they were handed over to their proprietors, as contrasted with their condition on their assumption by the Court of Wards.

Districts.	Estates.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate came under Management. Current Demand.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate came under Management. Current Collection.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate was restored. Current Demand.	Annual Revenue. When the Estate was restored. Current Collection.	Balance in Hand. When the Estate came under management.	Balance in Hand. When the Estate was restored.	Debts recovered during the period the Estate was under management.	Debts paid off during the same period.	Amount expended on Irrigation Works.	Amount expended on other permanent improvements.	Age of the Proprietor. When the Estate came under management.	Age of the Proprietor. When the Estate was restored.	Period for which the Estate was under management.	Remarks.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Y. M.	Y. M	Y. M.	
Ganjam ..	Sergada	18,041	14,136	26,692	17,569	..	22,340	..	5,601	11,099	..	10 6	21 0	10 9	The Minor is reported to speak English fairly well. His conduct has been good.
Vizagapatam ..	Rewada	6,197	5,945	5,703	4,889	408	5,405	3,315	309	3,700	408	7 4	21 0	13 8	The Minor is reported to be painstaking but dull. His behaviour was very good. He can manage his property.
Kistna	Núzvíd	7,16,978	6,33,604	8,682	8,682	3,68,951	*71,531	93,589	24,849	1,04,253	..	16 3	21 3	5 0	The Minor is reported to be able to read and write.
South Canara ..	K. Srinivasa Row's Estate.	1,653	1,472	1,440	809	2,442	3,475	14	3,479	..	..	13 8	21 0	7 4	The Minor is reported to have learnt to read and write Canarese tolerably well and to have also acquired a fair knowledge of Arithmetic.

4. The first estate, Sergada, was ten years and nine months under management during which period the rent-roll increased from Rs. 18,000 to nearly Rs. 26,700, and debts to the extent of Rs. 5,600 were paid off. A sum of Rs. 11,000 was also expended on irrigation works and a cash balance of over Rs. 22,000 was handed over to the proprietor. The second estate, Rewada, is a small one, and there has been a slight falling off in the rent-roll, which is ascribed by the Collector to some heavily-assessed dry lands having been thrown out of cultivation. The cash balance to the credit of the estate has risen, however, during the thirteen years and eight months of the Court's management from Rs. 400 to Rs. 5,400, while debts to the extent of Rs. 300 were paid off and Rs. 4,100 expended on account of irrigation and other works.

The third estate, Núzvíd, was divided under circumstances which were fully explained in the Court's report for the preceding year. The partition left the Minors under the Court's charge in possession of only one-sixth share of the property originally taken under management. This share was handed over to the eldest of the three brothers in August 1883.

The fourth estate handed over was the insignificant one belonging to K. Srinivasa Row. It was under the Court's management for seven years and four months during which debts to the extent of nearly Rs. 3,500 were paid off and the cash balance to the credit of the estate increased from Rs. 2,400 to Rs. 3,400. The falling off in the demand is merely nominal, being due to the low prices taken for fixing the money value of the produce received in kind. The Minor was first sent to school at the rather advanced age of thirteen years and eight months, when the estate was taken under management, and has not shown much aptitude for study. The Collector, however, considers that he is competent to manage the estate.

5. Number of Villages.—Particulars of the number and tenure of villages belonging to each estate, the age and education of the Wards, are given in Statement No. I. The total number of villages in all the estates, except those noted in the margin,* which consist of Ryotwari lands scattered over different Government villages, was 3,634, of which, 1,414 comprise villages paying favorable assessment and 2,220 are proprietary villages. Of the latter, 779 villages were settled on Ryotwari principles, 373 on money-rent and 1,068 on the system of sharing the produce. The last mode of settlement is in force chiefly in the Ramnad and Santhaiyúr estates in Madura, and in two† estates in the Tanjore District. The system of money-rent on wet lands, introduced as a tentative measure in lieu of the sharing system in ten villages of the Kamuti Taluk in the Ramnad estate, was reported in the previous year's report to be working well, but attempts made in the year under report to extend it in some more villages met with opposition from several of the leading ryots and had to be abandoned. The manager of the estate, however, still entertains a hope that the ryots will before long be induced to accept the change, and promises to submit his proposals at an early date.

* 1. Chittacherla.
2. Ukkadai.
3. Velanganni.
4. Vadapathimangalam.
5. Alangudicheri.
6. Mathur.
7. Peramur.
8. Nangavaram.
9. Vadagarai.
10. Urkád Partible Property.
11. Dalavoi Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's Estate.
12. Roshan Miah Sahib's Estate.
13. B. Sarvóthama Row's Estate.
14. Mangara.
15. Kavalpara.
16. Bimbalen.

† Papanad and Gandharvakóta.

6. Season.—The season is reported to have been favorable for agricultural operations in Soranjeri in the Chingleput District, in Peramur and Nangavaram in Trichinopoly, and in all the estates in Ganjam, except Parlákimedi, where the rainfall was unseasonable and unequally distributed. In some villages of the estate there was a scarcity of drinking-water. In Ramnad the south-west monsoon set in unpropitiously, but in August and September there were good showers which helped the dry cultivation to a considerable extent. The north-west monsoon was exceptionally good, and there were heavy freshes in some of the jungle streams which breached several of the tanks. These breaches were, however, promptly closed and sufficient water was retained for cultivation. In Gandamanáyakanúr and Santhaiyur in Madura and in Poravipálaiyam

in Coimbatore, the season was better than in the preceding year; but in the estates in the Godávari District it is reported to have been unfavorable. The other Collectors have not noticed the subject separately in their reports.

7. Holdings.—The total area of the holdings settled on Ryotwari principles or on joint rent was Acres 566,510 against Acres 540,625 in the preceding year as detailed below. The figures are exclusive of the area of the villages which were rented out or settled on the system of sharing the produce, the revenue derived from them being, as usual, included under Miscellaneous :—

Estates.	Holdings in Fasli 1291 (1881-82).	Holdings in Fasli 1292 (1882-83).
	ACRES.	ACRES.
1. Parlákimedi	113,059	112,183
2. Chinna Kimedi	10,781	11,595
3. Sergada	1,650	2,711
4. Jarada	2,922	2,721
5. Urlám	3,134	7,098
6. Merangi	7,833	8,267
7. Chidikada Jaganathapuram	2,277	2,278
8. Srípuram	2,739	2,775
9. Gopálpur	6,049	6,058
10. Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	4,793	5,122
11. Ukkadai	2,996	2,809
12. Gandharvakóta	10,782	10,545
13. Vadapathimangalam	5,824	5,726
14. Peramur	2,437	2,436
15. Nangavaram	4,271	4,285
16. Ramnad	255,615	268,025
17. Gandamanáyakanúr	34,068	41,380
18. Santhaiyur	4,559	4,600
19. Vadimitta	11,363	11,403
20. Poravipálaiyam	8,051	8,051
21. Erumaipati and Palayapalaiyam	6,597	6,688
22. Kavalpara	11,462	11,462
23. Other Estates	5,216	6,447
Estates handed over to the owners	22,147	...
Do. newly taken under management	...	21,845
Total ...	540,625	566,510

8. Of the total area, Acres 128,662, or 22·7 per cent., are under irrigation, and the remainder, Acres 437,848, are classed as dry or unirrigated. The large increase in the holdings in Urlám is not explained by the Collector, but it is stated that no accurate accounts were before available, and that the extent now given is the cultivated area according to the Census of 1881. The variations in Sergada, Jarada and Gandharvakóta estates are also attributed to the absence of accurate accounts of the extent of holdings. In Ramnad and Gandamanáyakanúr in Madura the increase is ascribed to the favorable character of the season, and in Kapileswarapuram, to the adoption in the year under review of the correct extent of a lanka (fluviatile accretion) called Nadimtippa which was found to measure 370 acres instead of 40 acres hitherto approximately entered. The decrease in Parlákimedi was due to the unfavorable character of the season, in Ukkadai to the mortgage of 187 acres of land to one of the estate creditors, and in Vadapathimangalam to the omission of 98 acres erroneously included in the previous year's accounts. The variations in the other estates do not call for remark.

9. Cultivation.—As stated in the report for Fasli 1291 (1881-82), there is no proper agency for correctly recording the particulars of cultivation in proprietary estates. In the estates noted in the margin, the whole occupied area is returned as cultivated. In the other estates the percentage of cultivation to holdings is given as under :—

Urlám.	Ramnad.
Jarada.	Santhaiyur.
All the six estates in Vizagapatam.	B. Sarvothama Row's Estate.
Lakkavaram.	Kavalpara.
Mathur.	Mangara.

Estates.	Percentage of Cultivation to Holdings. Fasli 1291 (1881-82).	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).
1. Parlákimedi	93·2	97·6
2. Chinna Kimedi	97·2	98·4
3. Sergada	91·3	95·4
4. Gopálpur	87·7	87·2
5. Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	71·8	72·5
6. Chittacherla	45·0	61·3
7. Soranjeri	81·1	82·3
8. Ukkadai	78·2	70·3
9. Velanganni	92·05	93·5
10. Gandharvakóta	84·4	88·6
11. Alangudicheri	97·2	97·2
12. Papanad	...	25·2
13. Vadapathimangalam	...	99·2
14. Peramur	81·5	79·9
15. Nangavaram	88·3	88·9
16. Gandamanáyakanúr	95·6	96·9
17. Dalavoi Kumarsawmi Mudaliar's Estate	89·5	95·7
18. Poravipálaiyam	93·7	91·2
19. Erumaipati and Palayapalaiyam	97·5	87·9

The increase in Chittacherla is the result of the favorable terms on which the whole of the estate lands were leased out to the ryots for fixed money-rents for five years—*vide* Court's Proceedings, dated 12th April 1883, No. 1031. The Collector of Tanjore states that the figures given for Papanad are wholly unreliable.

10. Current Demand.—The aggregate demand of all the estates under management during Fasli 1292 (1882-83) amounted to Rs. 19,61,046 as detailed below :—

	Rs.
1. Revenue from Ryotwari lands excluding remissions	11,60,005
2. Rent of lands	30,649
3. Value of share of produce received in kind	3,65,151
4. Jodi or quit-rent on Inams	2,01,475
5. Land-cess	54,110
6. Water-rate and second-crop assessment	11,452
7. Other items	1,38,204
Total ...	19,61,046

Ramnad is the largest of the estates under the Court's control. The rent-roll in Fasli 1292 (1882-83) exceeded $7\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs against $6\frac{3}{4}$ lakhs in the preceding year. In Parlákimedi it amounted to about $3\frac{3}{4}$ lakhs and in Vadapathimangalam in Tanjore to over $\frac{3}{4}$ lakhs; in Chinna Kimedi, Merangi Gopálpur, Gandharvakóta and Gandamanáyakanúr to over half-a-lakh of Rupees each. The other estates of which the current demand exceeded Rs. 10,000 are noted in the margin.

	Rs.
1. Urlám	47,091
2. Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	39,134
3. Kavalpara	35,093
4. Vadimitta	30,276
5. Sergada	26,702
6. Jarada	23,185
7. Chidikada Jaganathapuram	23,176
8. Ukkadai	21,621
9. Nangavaram	18,767
10. Srípuram	17,222
11. Papanad	15,692
12. Erumaipati and Palayapalaiyam	15,393
13. M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's Estate	12,623
14. Poravipálaiyam	12,338
15. Lakkavaram	11,617
16. Peramur	11,116
17. Santhaiyur	10,832

11. The remissions amounted to Rs. 68,161, of which over Rs. 44,000, or 64·5 per cent., appears against the Ramnad estate and Rs. 3,600 against Gandamanáyakanúr, under deductions on account of emoluments to village servants. In Parlákimedi, Rs. 11,800 and in Kapileswarapuram and Kesananakurru over Rs. 1,800 were remitted on account of lands left waste or crops lost from causes beyond the ryots' control. In Erumaipati and Palayapalaiyam cowle remissions were granted to the extent of nearly Rs. 3,700.

12. Excluding the demand of the estates noted in the margin which were not under management in both years, the revenue of Fasli 1292 (1882-83) shows a net increase of Rs. 1,55,857 as compared with that of the preceding year:—

Chípurupalle.
Núzvíd.
Mathur.
Papanad.
Roshan Miah Sahib's Estate.
K. Srinivasa Row's estate.
Bimbalen.

	Fasli 1291 (1881-82).	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).
	RS.	RS.
Demand	18,86,091	19,61,046
Deduct Revenue of the estates which were not under management in both years	1,19,233	38,331
Total ...	17,66,858	19,22,715

The increase was the net result of a gross increase of Rs. 1,90,897 in twenty-one estates and of a gross decrease of Rs. 35,040 in fourteen. More than half the increase occurs in Ramnad and was due to the favorable character of the season and the consequent increase in the extent brought under cultivation. The increase in the area was about 7 per cent. on the cultivation of Fasli 1291 (1881-82) and 15 per cent. on the average of the preceding five years. This satisfactory result was in some degree due also to the excellent arrangements made by the manager, T. Rajaram Rao, in regard to the supervision of the harvest operations and the division of the produce between the estate and the ryots, and to the prompt measures adopted in closing the breaches caused to several of the tanks during the floods of May 1883. The cost of repairing these damages amounted to about Rs. 40,000, but on the other hand a very large amount of remissions was thus saved to the estate and the ryots were enabled to raise second-crop cultivation to the extent of about half-a-lakh of Rupees. The increase in the revenue would have been very much greater, but for an extraordinary fall in the price of paddy noticed by the manager in paragraph 22 of his report.

13. The variations in some of the other estates are explained below:—

Estates.	Demand of Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Increase or decrease as compared with the preceding year.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	3,73,634	— 10,752	Decrease due to remissions on account of loss of crops owing to unfavorable season.
Chinna Kimedi	68,049	+ 4,673	Increase due to increased rentals owing to favorable season and to the absence of remissions.
Gandamanáyakanúr	57,606	+ 9,602	
Santhaiyur	10,832	+ 1,305	
Urlám	47,091	+ 9,124	Increase due to high prices at which grain was sold.
Srípuram	17,222	+ 1,328	Increase due to the absence of remissions.
Gopálpur	71,882	+ 1,510	Increase due to increased rents obtained for lanka lands owing to brisk competition.
Ukkadai	21,621	— 2,648	Decrease due to transfer of certain estate lands to a mortgagee.
Gandharvakóta	57,778	+ 22,284	Increase due to larger income derived from amani lands.
Vadapathimangalam	78,498	+ 42,152	Increase due to the efficient management by the Court for the whole year against only seven months in the previous year.
Alangudicheri	6,180	— 4,761	Decrease due to the fact that in the previous year the value of grain on hand at the time the estate came under management was also brought to account.
Vadagarai	3,227	— 2,702	
Erumaipati and Palayapalaiyam.	15,393	— 3,883	Decrease is nominal, being due to the inclusion in the previous year of certain recoveries on account of law suits (Rs. 2,624) and of contribution made by the ryots of Palayapalaiyam for repairing a tank (Rs. 2,200).
Kavalpara	35,093	— 7,077	Decrease due partly to smaller amount of renewal fees paid during the year and to the fall in the price of paddy.
Mangara	8,373	+ 3,409	Increase due to renewal fees paid on time-expired leases.

14. CURRENT COLLECTIONS.—The current collections within the year in all the estates (Statement No. III) amounted to Rs. 16,01,727, or only 81·7 per cent. of the demand; Rs. 5,942 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 3,53,377 at the close of the year.

Omitting the ten estates noted in the margin,* in which more than 90 per cent. of the demand was realized within the year, the current collections in most of the other estates were more or less unsatisfactory, notwithstanding that the special attention of Collectors was drawn to the subject in the review of the previous year's operations. In nine † estates, including Parlákimedi, Ramnad and Gandamanáyakanúr, the collections ranged from 85 to 90 per cent.; and in Jarada, Meranji, Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's Estate and Mangara, from 80 to 85 per cent.

*1. Madugula
2. Chidikada Jagannathapuram.
3. Srípuram.
4. Lakkavaram.
5. Chittacherla.
6. Ukkadai.
7. Velanganni.
8. Vadapathimangalam.
9. Mathur.
10. Roshan Miah Sáhib's Estate.

†1. Parlákimedi.
2. Pondur Mallavaram.
3. Kapileswarapuram.
4. Ramnad.
5. Gandamanáyakanúr.
6. Santhaiyur.
7. Vadagarai.
8. Vadimitta.
9. Kavalpara.

15. In the following estates (excluding those handed over to their proprietors), the outstanding balances were very large:—

	Balance at the End of the Year.	Percentage to Demand.
	RS.	
Chinna Kimedi	18,403	27·04
Urlam	14,433	30·6
Chípurupalle	3,142	35·1
Soranjeri	4,407	69·7
Gandharvakóta	44,055	76·2
Papanad	9,388	59·8
Peramúr	2,808	25·2
Nangavaram	5,146	27·4
Poravipálaiyam	3,067	24·5
Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam	5,889	38·2
B. Sarvothama Row's Estate	997	25·9

16. In Parlákimedi, Jarada, Madugula, Ramnad and Gandamanáyakanúr, the balances were reduced, by subsequent collections up to the date of the Collectors' reports, to 2·5, 1·9, 6, 8·5 and 2·5 per cent., respectively. Nearly two-thirds of the balance against Ramnad comprises poruppu or favorable assessment and quit-rent, which, under a recent decision of the Privy Council, can only be recovered by a civil suit.

The greater portion of the balance against Gandharvakóta is stated to form the subject of litigation in summary suits. In Soranjeri, no explanation is given for the short collection even after the close of the year; the balance at the date of the Collector's report amounted to more than 59·5 per cent. of the demand. The balance against Papanad was reduced from 59·8 to 27·9 (Rs. 4,378), at the end of August 1883, and that against Chípurupalle from 35·1 per cent. to 20·7 per cent. The large balance against Erumaipati, which is ascribed to the confused state in which the accounts were left by the late manager, was reduced by subsequent collections to 29·6 per cent. In Urlam, the subsequent collections up to September 1883 reduced the balance to Rs. 8,877, which represents the approximate value of the grain in store. In Poravipálaiyam and B. Sarvothama Row's estate, the subsequent collections were satisfactory, the balance being reduced to 4 and 3·4 per cent., respectively. But in Nangavaram and Peramúr, the balances at the date of the Collector's report exceeded 21 per cent. of the demand. The Collectors concerned are requested to see that the balances are collected without further delay.

17. ARREARS.—The arrear balance at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 8,57,687. Of this sum, Rs. 4,15,380 were collected and Rs. 39,732 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,02,575 on the 30th June 1883. Subsequent collections and remissions up to the date of the Collectors' reports, amounting to Rs. 13,918, reduced the balance to Rs. 3,88,657, or 45·3 per cent of the outstandings at the beginning of the year. More than three-fifths of the entire balance, or Rs. 2,34,286, appears against the Ramnad estate: of which nearly one lakh is secured either by bonds taken from ryots or by decrees obtained in the Civil Courts, or forms the subject of suits now pending; more than half a lakh consists of poruppu (favorable assessment), which, under the existing state of the law, as already stated, cannot be recovered under the

Rent Recovery Act; Rs. 8,076 are classed as doubtful of recovery and Rs. 8,868 are irrecoverable. Full particulars of these arrears are given in paragraphs 32 to 36 of the manager's report and steps are being taken to clear the balance sheet without further delay. There were also large balances in Merangi and Gopálpur, amounting to Rs. 27,790 and Rs. 12,592, respectively; the latter estate has since been handed over to the proprietor on his attaining majority, and the former has been transferred to the late renter, in pursuance of a decree passed by the High Court in his favor. The bulk of the arrear balance in Gandharvakóta, Rs. 24,622, which amounts to 76 per cent. of the demand, is stated to be due by some troublesome ryots, and forms the subject of litigation in summary suits. In the Papanad estate, the greater portion of the balance (Rs. 17,729), outstanding from before the estate came under management, is not secured by proper documents, and there is, consequently, no means of recovering it. The Collector should use his best endeavours to collect as much of the arrears as he can, and report, after full inquiry, what amount should be written off. The greater portion of the balance outstanding against Vadapathimangalam (Rs. 9,147) is due by porakudis (cultivating tenants), who are reported to be in an abject state of poverty. The Collector thinks that the greater portion of the amount will have to be written off and promises to report after fuller inquiry. The whole of the balance in Kavalpara, with the exception of Rs. 48, is reported to be irrecoverable owing to the poverty of the ryots. In the estates noted in the margin, the balances, though comparatively small in amount, exceeded 65 per cent. of the arrear demand.

	Balance.	Percentage to Demand.
	RS.	
Pondur Mallavaram	290	89·5
Madugulu	1,324	83·8
Srípuram	5,088	75·0
D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	7,968	85·3
Mangara	1,566	65·6

18. DEMAND, COLLECTION AND BALANCE.—The following abstract shows in one view the total land revenue demand, collection and balance, both arrears and current, in all the estates under the Court's charge, for Fasli 1292 (1882-83):—

—	Demand.	Collections.			Amounts written off or remaining to be written off.	Total.	Balance.
		Within the Fasli Year.	Subsequent to the Fasli.	Total.			
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Arrears	8,57,687	4,15,380	13,918	4,29,298	39,732	4,69,030	3,88,657
Current	19,61,046	16,01,727	1,34,898	17,36,625	5,942	17,42,567	2,18,479
Total ..	28,18,733	* 20,17,107	1,48,816	21,65,923	45,674	22,11,597	6,07,136

* Includes Rs. 1,091—value of grain collected in Fasli 1292 but sold in Fasli 1293.

19. Of the balance above shown, Rs. 29,297-1-8, are reported to be irrecoverable, as detailed below:—

Estate.	Amount recommended to be written off the Accounts.					
	Poverty of Ryots.	Desertion or Death.	Bad Season.	Erroneous Demand.	Other Causes.	Total.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1. Parlákimedi	3,468 3 9	81 12 8	..	..	744 5 3	4,294 5 8
2. Chinna Kimedi ..	178 3 6	40 2 1	..	..	410 0 0	628 5 7
3. Jarada	..	..	..	49 10 0	11 13 0	61 7 0
4. Pondur Mallavaram .	57 13 6	..	..	..	..	57 13 6
5. Madugula	58 15 1	..	..	..	6 3 0	65 2 1
6. Sripuram	670 15 6	..	..	..	..	670 15 6
7. Lakkavaram	24 3 2	..	..	4 4 0	..	28 7 2
8. Gopálpur	850 14 11	11 8 0	..	41 12 11	0 9 0	904 12 10
9. Kapileswarapuram ..	1,853 15 11	..	..	..	..	1,853 15 11
10. Chittacherla	..	..	..	..	79 0 9	79 0 9
11. Soranjeri	70 11 2	..	..	..	205 11 9	276 6 11
12. Ukkadai	..	103 2 7	164 12 0	2 4 4	..	270 2 11
13. Velanganni	..	..	99 2 6	..	..	99 2 6
14. Gandharvakóta ..	..	..	..	..	506 0 0	506 0 0
15. Peramúr	163 2 9	..	..	..	2 4 0	165 6 9

Estate.	Amount recommended to be written off the Accounts.					
	Poverty of Ryots.	Desertion or Death.	Bad Season.	Erroneous Demand.	Other Causes.	Total.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
16. Nangavaram ..	437 9 6	..	416 8 7	..	734 10 2	1,588 12 3
17. Ramnad	32 8 0	1,026 5 1	..	916 0 4	6,892 15 4	8,867 12 9
18. Gandamanáyakanúr .	322 1 9	412 2 5	..	15 11 5	..	749 15 7
19. Urkad	..	..	..	..	2 0 0	2 0 0
20. M. D. Komaraswami Mudaliar's Estate ..	..	..	..	..	5,923 0 0	5,923 0 0
21. Kavalpara	1,804 0 0	..	..	264 9 5	135 6 7	2,204 0 0
Total ..	9,993 6 6	1,675 0 10	680 7 1	1,294 4 5	15,653 14 10	29,297 1 8

The amounts shown as irrecoverable on the ground of poverty, death or desertion of the ryots do not require explanation. In the majority of cases, the defaulters appear to possess no property by the sale of which the arrears could be realized. In Chinna Kimedi, a sum of Rs. 410 is recommended for remission. This is the difference for two years between the old kattubadi (favorable assessment) of Rs. 90 charged on lands granted to certain paiks or peons for service to the zemindar, and the enhanced amount charged by the minor's uncle when he had temporary possession of the estate. As the service was reported to be absolutely necessary, the reduction of the kattubadi to the former rate was sanctioned in Court's Proceedings, Mis. No. 10622, dated 11th December 1883. The bulk of the amount entered against Chittacherla represents the value of grain lost by wastage. The sum of Rs. 205-11-9 shown under "other causes" against the Soranjeri estate is due by a vakil against whom judgment was obtained in the Munsif's Court. The decree was, however, reversed on appeal on the ground that there was no positive proof of a patta having been tendered, the District Judge remarking that the admission made by the appellant's vakil (in a summary suit filed by him) that a patta was tendered was not made as instructed. In the circumstances of the case, a second appeal to the High Court was considered undesirable. In Ukkadai, Rs. 103-2-7 are due by a defaulter, who, being suspected of theft, emigrated to Mauritius, leaving no property behind him. The sums noted in the margin are recommended for remission in favor of certain renters on account of loss of crops owing to unfavorable season. The Collectors concerned are requested to report whether, under the terms originally entered into with the renters, such remissions can be claimed as a matter of right; if not, whether processes have been taken against them and with what result. It should also be stated whether the renters collected any rent from the ryots in the cases referred to. In Nangavaram, a further sum of Rs. 689-1-0 is said to represent liabilities which are denied by the renters and to prove which there is no evidence. It should be explained how the amount came to be shown as an outstanding balance in the accounts, and whether it would not be possible, from an inspection of the agreement entered into with the renter and the receipts granted to him for payments made, to fix the amount of liability. Rupees 19-15-2 "alleged as presents" are also recommended to be written off, but the exact nature of the transaction is not understood. If it represents presents usually paid to the proprietor, its collection need not be enforced. The irrecoverable amount (Rs. 8,867-12-9) shown against the Ramnad estate is made up of the following items:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Ukkadai	164	12	0
Velanganni	99	2	6
Nangavaram	340	8	11

1. Amount of tree tax charged in the island of Rámeswaram on the trees standing in the backyards of temple priests and in the compounds of temples and chattrams, which were specially exempted under the District Court judgment confirming the zemindar's right to collect this rent in the island; also tree tax due by ryots who have since died or left no property, and the recovery of which is impossible as no pattas and muchilikas have been exchanged and is also barred by law of limitation ... 4,704 14 3

	RS.	A.	P.
2. Tree tax due by ryots, the suits filed against whom were disallowed by the Civil Court owing to the demise of the ryots and absence of legal heirs and to the omission to exchange pattas and muchilikas; also tree tax due by ryots against whom suits cannot be enforced for the above reasons and owing to lapse of time	1,713	0	4
3. Amount due by a cowledar (renter) who has deserted leaving no property whatever, and from whom a large decree-amount has to be recovered. The recovery is also barred	1,026	5	1
4. Amount erroneously charged	916	0	4
5. Value of damaged paddy including wastage	247	1	10
6. Difference between estimated price of paddy and the price at which it was actually sold	148	8	6
7. Other items	111	14	5
Total ...	8,867	12	9

The bulk of the arrears above-mentioned relates to Faslis 1279 to 1288 (1869-70 to 1878-79). The last item includes a sum of Rs. 65-3-2 due for Faslis 1285 and 1286 on account of rent charged on certain estate lands in an inam village. The recovery is barred and the amount may therefore be written off; but inquiry should be made as to the truth of the contention set up by the inamdar that the whole village was confirmed to him as inam by the Inam Commissioner and that there are no lands in the village belonging to the estate.

In Medai Delavoy Kumaraswami Mudaliar's estate, no proper accounts appear to have been kept previous to December 1881, when the estate came under the Court's control. The Collector promises to have the estate records and the village accounts carefully examined and submit a further report regarding the old arrears. Meanwhile, he recommends a sum of Rs. 5,923 to be written off. Of this sum, Rs. 5,689 are due in one village and relates to Faslis 1276-83. During these years the late proprietor and the tenants had recourse to the Civil Courts, the tenants claiming a saleable interest in the soil and withholding payment of the rent. A portion of the amount was recovered by civil suits, but the recovery of the remainder (Rs. 5,689) is barred by limitation. A sum of Rs. 209 is entered in the accounts as outstanding against another village in this estate, which was mortgaged by the late proprietor to two men who are now in possession. The arrear relates to a period prior to the mortgage. It cannot now be ascertained from whom and for what years the arrear is due.

Excluding Rs. 1,313-7-7 relating to the estates noted in the margin, regarding which further information is necessary, the remaining sum (Rs. 27,983-10-1)* may be written off the accounts.

1. Ukkadai. 2. Velanganni. 3. Nangavaram.

	RS.	A.	P.
* Fasli 1288 (1878-79) and previous years	6,949	1	10
Fasli 1289 (1879-80) ...	3,839	6	0
,, 1290 (1880-81) ...	5,134	9	9
,, 1291 (1881-82) ...	1,837	0	11
Without fasliwar details ...	10,223	7	7
Total ...	27,983	10	1

20. INCOME OTHER THAN LAND REVENUE.—The revenue under this head comprises interest on funds invested, rent of buildings, deposits by renters, and other petty items. The total income from these sources amounted to Rs. 3,03,794, as detailed below :—

	RS.
1. Interest on funds invested	1,65,825
2. Rent of buildings	2,457
3. Deposits by renters	12,672
4. Collection of debts	57,560
5. Recoveries from law suits	14,692
6. Interest on arrears of kist	7,591
7. Recoveries of advances	4,483
8. Tavaji and malikhana (pensionary) allowance	4,273
9. Forest revenue	3,452

	RS.
10. Sale-proceeds of trees and miscellaneous produce	1,642
11. Rent of fisheries	339
12. Refunds	3,704
13. Other items	25,104
Total ...	3,03,794

21. RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE.—The total cash receipts from all sources amounted to Rs. 23,13,914. This is exclusive of Rs. 1,091, being the value of grain received but not sold within the year, and of Rs. 5,896 representing the difference between the estimated value and the price actually realized by the sale of grain in Vadapathimangalam estate in Tanjore. Adding the balance in hand at the commencement of the year, namely, Rs. 37,52,757, the available assets amounted to Rs. 60,66,671. The charges aggregated Rs. 20,53,073, leaving a balance, to the credit of the several estates, of upwards of 40 lakhs. Of this amount, over 24 lakhs belong to the Parlákimedi zemindari, which, as observed in previous reports, has been under management uninterruptedly for the past fifty-three years; nearly $3\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to Gopálpur, since handed over to the proprietor; over $2\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs to Urlam and $1\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to Gandamanáyakanúr and $1\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs each to Merangi and Ramnad. In the marginally-noted estates,* the surplus ranged from Rs. 50,000 to Rs. 75,800. Of the surplus balance, Rs. 31,95,100 stand invested in Government promissory notes and Rs. 8,18,498 are in cash. Since the close of the year under report, the Court have invested a further sum of Rs. 1,82,000 in purchasing Government promissory notes in favor of the estates † named in the margin.

	RS.
* Peramúr	50,288
Chinna Kimedi	62,411
Lakkavaram	69,327
Kavalpara	70,913
Kapileswarapuram	75,893

	RS.
† Gopálpur	92,500
Parlákimedi	50,000
Kavalpara	21,000
Chinna Kimedi	10,000
Peramúr	5,000
Chittacherla	3,000
B. Sarvothama Row	500
Total ...	1,82,000

22. The details of the charges are given in Statement No. IV. They may be classified under the following heads :—

	RS.
1. Peishcush fixed on the estates	5,33,519
2. Assessment and land-cess	1,93,253
3. Commission paid to Government	16,748
4. Establishment and contingencies	1,36,740
5. Expenditure on irrigation works, buildings, &c.	1,73,600
6. Maintenance and education of the minor	1,75,571
7. Allowance to other members of the family	63,412
8. Payment of debts	3,25,148
9. Miscellaneous expenditure	4,35,082
Total ...	20,53,073

The charges on account of establishment and contingencies amounted to over Rs. 61,500 in the Ramnad estate and Rs. 19,000 in Parlákimedi. The expenditure on irrigation works, &c., will be noticed further on; that on account of the maintenance and education of minors amounted to Rs. 78,200 in Parlákimedi, Rs. 15,500 in Ramnad, Rs. 13,500 in Merangi, Rs. 12,100 in Gopálpur and from Rs. 5,000 to over Rs. 6,000 in Urlam, Chinna Kimedi and Gandamanáyakanúr. Of the amount shown under payment of debts, Rs. 2,68,800 were paid towards the liquidation of the loan granted by Government to the Ramnad estate. The miscellaneous charges (item 9 above) comprise the following items :—

	RS.
Demarcation and survey, Parlákimedi estate	84,400
Palace works do.	71,900

	RS.
Expenses on the occasion of the zemindar's first entry into the new palace	30,000
Balance paid over to the Gopálpur proprietor	21,800
Advance to cultivators and cultivation charges in the Vadapathimangalam estate	11,500
Law charges, including Rs. 13,100 incurred in the Ramnad estate, Rs. 4,000 in Gandharvakóta and Rs. 3,100 in Lakkavaram	33,400
Annual ceremonies and festivals, including Rs. 6,000 in Urlam, Rs. 5,000 in Parlákimedi, about Rs. 2,000 each in Jarada and Vadapathimangalam	21,100
Allowances to religious and charitable institutions—Parlákimedi Rs. 6,000, Kavalpara Rs. 8,300, Jarada Rs. 4,000, Vadapathimangalam Rs. 2,600, over Rs. 2,000 each in Urlam and Chinna Kimedi and over Rs. 1,000 each in Sergada, Ukkadai and Gandharvakóta	33,600
Allowances to village officials in 13 estates (Vadapathimangalam Rs. 3,400, Gandharvakóta Rs. 2,200, Vadimitta Rs. 1,000, Soranjeri, Ukkadai and Papanad between Rs. 800 and 900 each	10,400
Marriage expenses on account of minors or their relatives (Sergada Rs. 6,000, Poravipálaiyam Rs. 4,000, Jarada and Urlam over Rs. 3,500 each, Chinna Kimedi and Chípurupalle Rs. 1,000 each.	19,200

23. The following abstract shows the total charges in each of the more important estates as compared with Fasli 1291 (1881-82):—

Estates.	Fasli 1291 (1881-82).	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	6,23,917	4,54,467	The decrease was chiefly due to the absence of charges incurred in the previous fasli on account of marriage of the zemindar's son (Rs. 1,60,000) and to the non-payment during the year of a portion of peishcush and land-cess and the total amount of Government commission (Rs. 54,657).
Chinna Kimedi	1,03,096	67,260	The decrease was mainly due to the fact that the charges in Fasli 1291 (1881-82) were swelled by costs incurred by the zemindar in the civil suit brought to secure the estate for the minor (Rs. 26,637); by the expenses connected with the ear-boring ceremony of the minor (Rs. 5,000) and the purchase of horses and ornaments (Rs. 3,427).
Urlam	44,835	61,106	The increase was chiefly due to the large expenditure (Rs. 13,940) incurred in the construction of a road and temple and some agraharam houses, and to the adjustment of land-cess with arrears (Rs. 2,076) during the year.
Jarada	22,047	30,615	The increase was due chiefly to the arrears of maintenance allowance of the minor for Fasli 1290 (Rs. 1,910) having been drawn in the year under report and to the marriage expenses of the minor's sister and to the pagoda allowance paid during the year (Rs. 7,433).
Merangi	69,389	56,337	The net decrease on the whole is Rs. 13,052 which was due to the fact that there were no arrears of peishcush to pay or renter's deposits to refund as in the previous year. There was an increase of Rs. 9,177 in the maramat charges due to the construction of an upstair-house for the minor at Vizagapatam and to the repair of irrigation works, &c.
Madugula	7,468	4,277	The decrease was due to the reduction in the manager's establishment and their salaries, to the non-payment of allowance to the ward during the year and to the payment in Fasli 1291 (1881-82) of arrears of allowance to the junior ranee.
Chidikada Jagannathapuram	14,360	17,341	The increase was due to the repairs executed to the house at Vavilivalsa and to the indigo factory at Mamidivalsa (Rs. 921); to the payment of arrears on account of land-cess, quit-rent and kattubadi (Rs. 1,002); and to the increased rate of allowance sanctioned for the late proprietor's step-mother (Rs. 400).
Srípuram	14,807	15,966	The increase was due partly to the payment of arrears on account of peishcush and land-cess and partly to the increased rate of maintenance allowance drawn by the ward under Court's Proceedings, dated 19th August 1882, No. 6339, and to the purchase of new cloths for his use.
Lakkavaram	22,751	19,620	The decrease was chiefly due to a large amount of legacies having been paid in Fasli 1291 according to the will left by the late proprietor.
Gopálpur	44,588	46,493	The increase was chiefly due to the pay of the minor's tutor, Mr. Marsh, having been drawn for 13 months during the year while in the previous year it was drawn only for 8 months.

Estates.	Fasli 1291 (1881-82).	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.	36,069	32,225	The decrease was principally owing to the adjustment in Fasli 1291 of the arrears of peishcush for Fasli 1290 and to the death of the mother-in-law of the minor, who was receiving an allowance of Rs. 90 per mensem.
Ukkadai	19,882	37,786	The large increase was mainly due to the payment of the arrears of salary to the manager's establishment for 7 months of the preceding year (Rs. 1,713); to the payment of arrears (Rs. 11,019) under maintenance, festivals and allowance to pagodas; to the refund to the lessees of the estate villages (Rs. 2,210).
Gandharvakóta	14,714	17,479	The increase was due partly to the payment of peishcush during the fasli and partly to the charges incurred on account of law suits.
Vadapathimangalam	14,233	83,236	The large increase was due to the fact that the estate came under the management of the Court of Wards only in the latter portion of Fasli 1291 (1881-82).
Alangudicheri	1,534	10,562	Do. do.
Nangavaram	15,701	21,543	The increase was chiefly due to the payment of the arrears of Government demand and to the payment of debts during the fasli.
Ramnad	7,22,315	7,85,179	There was an increase of Rs. 1,50,000 in the amount paid in liquidation of the Government loan and of Rs. 24,000 under land-cess owing to payment of arrears. On the other hand, there was a decrease of Rs. 49,700 under Peishcush, owing to the absence of arrear payments during the year, and of Rs. 43,300 under Miscellaneous, due chiefly to the payment in Fasli 1291 of the debts due to the devastanam funds and to the absence of similar payments in the year under review. There was also a decrease of Rs. 7,700 under Education and of Rs. 7,500 under Public Works.
Gandamanáyakanúr	41,387	58,297	The increase was chiefly due to the expenditure incurred on public works in Fasli 1291 having been adjusted in the year under report and to the refund of excess collections.
Poravipalaiyam	13,761	17,047	The increase was mainly due to the marriage expenses of the minor's sisters (Rs. 4,000).
Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam.	25,830	16,216	The decrease was chiefly due to smaller expenditure on account of public works.
Kavalpara	28,405	25,798	The decrease was chiefly due to the execution of large repairs (Rs. 3,587) to the estate temples in the preceding year.

24. PUBLIC WORKS.—The details of the expenditure on works and on account of supervision are given in Enclosure A to Statement No. IV. Over Rs. 89,200 were expended on irrigation works; about Rs. 35,500 on buildings, excluding the Parlákimedi Palace, the charges on account of which have hitherto been shown under Miscellaneous; Rs. 20,000 on roads; and over Rs. 28,800 on establishments. The corresponding figures for the preceding year were Rs. 97,700 (irrigation works); Rs. 15,700 (buildings); Rs. 13,300 (roads); and Rs. 33,400 (establishment).

25. IRRIGATION WORKS.—More than 84 per cent of the expenditure on irrigation works occurred in the following five estates:—

Ramnad.—Rs. 19,415 were expended on the repair of 162 irrigation works.

Parlákimedi.—Rs. 10,033 were spent on the construction of calingulahs and weirs and in carrying out other improvements, and Rs. 18,403 in repairs to 148 irrigation works—total Rs. 28,436.

Gandamanáyakanúr.—Rs. 16,300 are shown under repairs to irrigation works. Of this, only Rs. 2,594 were spent during the year, the remainder having been expended in Fasli 1291 (1881-82), but adjusted in the accounts of the year under report.

Chinnakimedi.—Rs. 1,383 were spent in constructing calingulahs and weirs, and Rs. 3,815 on repairs to 57 irrigation works—total Rs. 5,198.

Urlam.—Rs. 1,311 were expended on the construction of calingulahs and sluices, and Rs. 3,504 in repairing 13 irrigation works—total Rs. 4,815.

26. BUILDINGS.—Of the amount shown under this head, Rs. 11,740 were expended on repairs and Rs. 23,758 on new buildings, as detailed below:—

	RS.
Constructing an upstair house at Vizagapatam for the Merangi Minor	8,800
Constructing Agraharam houses (for Brahmins) at Urlam	2,800

	RS.
Completing a temple at Devadi, Urlam Estate	2,500
Constructing a house at Livery for the residence of the Parlákimedi Zemindar during festivals	2,600
Constructing compound wall and Kalianamandapam at Parlákimedi	2,400
Other buildings	4,600
	23,700

27. COMMUNICATIONS.—The expenditure on roads was confined almost entirely to the Parlákimedi and Urlam Estates. In the former, Rs. 5,100 were spent on new roads and avenues, while Rs. 9,400 were spent in repairing existing roads. In Urlam, about Rs. 5,300 were expended in making a road about three miles long from the proprietrix's house to the trunk road.

28. MARAMUT ESTABLISHMENT.—The establishment charges were confined to three estates only, viz., Parlákimedi Rs. 18,400, including the pay of an Engineer on Rs. 560 per mensem, Ramnad about Rs. 6,000, and Gandamanáyakanúr Rs. 4,400; total Rs. 28,800. By a recent order of Government, all works on estates in charge of the Court of Wards will be placed under the direction of the Public Works Department, the cost of supervision being met from the funds of the estates.

29. DEBTS.—Statement V shows the debts due *by* and *to* each estate. The eighteen estates* marginally noted are free from any liabilities. The debts due by the other estates at the beginning of the year, including Rs. 1,23,782 due by Mathur, Papanad, Roshan Miah Sáhib's Estate and Bimbálen, newly taken under management, amounted to Rs. 21,46,562; those subsequently contracted or brought to account and the interest which accrued during the year amounted to Rs. 94,332; making a total of Rupees 22,40,894. Of this sum, Rs. 3,31,625 were paid off during the year, leaving a balance at its close of Rs. 19,09,269. More than twelve lakhs, or 63·1 per cent. of the total amount, is due by the Ramnad Zemindari and the remainder by the estates† noted in the margin.

* *Ganjam.*
1. Parlákimedi.
2. Chinnakimedi.
3. Sérgadá.
4. Jarada.
5. Urlam.

Vizagapatam.
6. Rewada.
7. Merangi.
8. Pondur Mallavaram.
9. Chidikada Jagannathapuram.
10. Chipurupalie.

Godávari.
11. Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.
12. Gopálpur.

Chingleput.
13. Soranjeri.

Trichinopoly.
14. Peramur.

Madura.
15. Gandamanáyakanúr.

Malabar.
16. Kavalpara.
17. Mangara.

South Canara.
18. B. Sarvathama Row's Estate.

	RS.
† Gandharvakóta	2,68,610
Ukkadai	86,348
Madugula	65,667
Roshan Miah Sáhib's Estate ...	60,807
Vadapathimangalam	57,912
Vadimitta	25,547
Papanad	32,810
Mathur	30,069
Nangavaram	27,891
D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate ...	21,077
Other estates	27,835
Total ...	7,04,573

	RS.
‡ Principal	11,72,830
Interest	31,866
	12,04,696

Ramnad.—The amount due to Government by the Ramnad Estate on account of principal and interest amounted at the beginning of the year to Rs. 14,08,164; the interest which accrued during the year came to Rupees 65,402; total Rs. 14,73,566. Of this sum, Rs. 2,00,000 on account of principal and Rs. 68,870 on account of interest were paid during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 12,04,696 ‡ on 1st July 1883, which was further reduced by subsequent payments up to the end of April 1884 to Rs. 10,15,533.

Gandharvakóta.—This estate is almost hopelessly involved in debts contracted by the late Zemindar, who is now undergoing a sentence of transportation for life. The extent of the debts cannot be accurately ascertained until all the creditors come forward and state the exact amount due to them. From the information available, the debts, including interest, are estimated at Rs. 2,68,610. No portion of the amount was paid during the year for want of funds. Arrears of peishcush to the extent of Rs. 15,066 also remained unpaid owing to the same cause.

Ukkadai.—Including interest which accrued during the year, the debts due by the estate amounted to Rs. 92,176, of which only Rs. 5,828 were paid during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 86,348. Proposals for clearing off the amount, which the Collector promised to submit after the appeals now pending in the High Court are decided, have not yet been received.

Madugula.—The debts due to the Maharajah of Jeypore are put down at over 5 lakhs. Of this sum, the estate is answerable only for Rs. 1,71,114, for which amount it was mortgaged with possession to the Maharajah and separately registered in his name on the 3rd April 1883. The remainder is not secured by proper documents. Besides the above sum, there are other liabilities to the extent of Rs. 67,611, of which Rs. 1,944 only were paid during the year, leaving still a balance of Rs. 65,667. The affairs of the estate are in a state of extreme confusion and no satisfactory solution can be arrived at until the claim of the alleged adopted son, which has for some time past been awaiting judgment by the High Court, is finally disposed of.

Roshan Miah Sáhib's Estate.—The debts due by this estate, including interest (Rs. 5,122) and excluding Rs. 6,034 generously remitted by the Travancore Government on account of arrears of forest rent, amounted to Rs. 60,807. The net income of the estate, which was greatly over-rated at the time it was taken under management in July 1882, is now found to be insufficient to pay even the interest on the principal. Since the close of the fasli, three proposals were made to the Court of Wards for paying off these debts, viz., (1) a loan of Rs. 60,000 from Government; (2) sale of portion of the estate; (3) abandonment of the estate to the family. The first proposal was rejected by the Court, as the estate was worth far less than was estimated and it would take nearly 30 years to liquidate the loan. Moreover, as the family is sundered by conflicting claims which must sooner or later come before the Civil Court, the Court of Wards were of opinion that it would be impossible to obtain a joint mortgage-deed to secure a Government loan. As regards the third proposal, the Court directed the Collector to consult the responsible members of the family and report if they would be willing to take charge of the estate. The senior widow was unwilling to accept any such arrangement, while the junior widow would not consent to take more than her share. The former, since deceased, left one son, while the latter has seven, all of them minors. In these circumstances, the Court had no alternative but to instruct the Collector to sell a portion of the estate and clear off as much of the debts as possible. The coffee estate was accordingly sold first, but there were no bidders. Before the other landed property could be sold, one of the creditors obtained a decree and attached the dwelling house of the wards, and notice of another suit was also received by the Collector. As the parties would not agree to a compromise, the Court of Wards directed the Collector to file a petition of insolvency on behalf of the estate, so that the available assets may be rateably divided amongst all the creditors.

Vadapathimangalam.—Of the debts due by the estate, viz., Rs. 64,707, only Rs. 6,795 were paid off during the year. The Collector explains that owing to a fall in the price of paddy and the consequent difficulty of finding a good market for the grain in stock, and to the payment of Government dues to the extent of Rs. 41,807, it was not possible to clear off the remaining portion of the debts. He has, however, directed the manager to arrange for paying off as much of the balance as possible during the current year. The value of grain on hand on the 30th June 1883 was Rs. 23,000, and there was also cash to the amount of Rs. 6,100.

Vadimittah.—As stated in the report for Fasli 1291 (1881-82), this estate was handed over to a renter, who has undertaken to clear off all debts within 16 years in addition to defraying all expenses on account of the minor and other members of the family. It appears that a sum of Rs. 24,406 was paid during the year towards the liquidation of the debts. The amount is erroneously shown under Miscellaneous in the Collector's accounts.

Papanad and Mathur.—In these estates, no portion of the debts was paid during

the year for want of funds. In Mathur, however, arrangements have been made to clear off a portion of the debts by selling 162 acres of land, estimated at about Rs. 22,000.

Nangavaram.—The claims against this estate, which came under management in November 1880, amounted to Rs. 35,012, including interest Rs. 1,625. Of this sum, Rs. 7,121 were paid off during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 27,891.

M. Delavoi Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate.—No portion of the debts, which amounted to Rs. 21,077, was paid during the year owing to want of funds. Two villages of the estate are held on mortgage with possession by some of the creditors for Rs. 20,300. The terms of the mortgage have expired, but the redemption of the villages must be postponed until funds become available. The remaining debts, amounting to Rs. 27,835, are due by nine estates, and will be paid off as funds become available.

30. The total debts due to the several estates, excluding those handed over to their proprietors, together with interest which accrued during the year, amounted to Rs. 4,01,231, of which Rs. 60,395 were collected, and Rs. 5,937 written off in Chípurupalle, leaving a balance of Rs. 3,34,899. More than a lakh of rupees appertains to the Vadapathimangalam Estate in the Tanjore District, and the remainder to the estates noted in the margin.

	RS.
Lakkavaram	49,432
Gandharvakóta	45,950
Alangudicheri	24,326
Ukkadai	23,056
Papanad	21,219
Chípurupalle	14,032
Other estates	56,853
Total ...	2,34,868

Vadapathimangalam.—The bulk of the debts due to this estate, viz., Rs. 88,014, represents advances made to Porakudies or cultivating tenants. Of this sum, Rs. 13,068 are reported to be irrecoverable, and may be written off the accounts. The Collector is requested carefully to enquire into the remaining balance without further delay, and report the result to the Court.

Lakkavaram.—Of the balance shown against this estate, Rs. 30 were subsequently collected and Rs. 200 ordered to be written off the accounts. The remainder is composed of the following items:—

	RS.
1. Decrees obtained	22,792
2. Suits pending	17,035
3. The amount allowed to be paid by instalments	1,664
4. Amounts for which suits have to be filed	7,711
Total ...	49,202

In the report for the preceding year, the Collector stated that decrees had been obtained for a sum of Rs. 19,000. He is requested to report why these decrees have not yet been enforced and what steps have been taken in the matter.

Gandharvakóta.—Deducting a small sum of Rs. 293, realized during the year, the debts due to the estate at the end of the year amounted to Rs. 45,950, of which about Rs. 38,000 are reported to be irrecoverable as shown below:—

	RS.
Due by the Zemindar of Neduvasal, under a decree of the Sub-Court, Tanjore	6,553
Due by the Zemindar of Padarankottai, under a decree of the Sub-Court, Tanjore	5,670
Due by the Zemindar of Padaramkottai, under a mortgage-deed ...	8,000
Due by the late Mr. W. D. Kohlhoff, whose estate has become insolvent, under a mortgage-deed	18,000
Total ...	38,223

In the report for the preceding year, the Collector stated that the Zemindars of Neduvasal and Padarankottai were evading the processes issued in execution of the decrees obtained against them, and that decrees for Rs. 5,780 were obtained in nine cases and

that suits were filed for the recovery of Rs. 8,080. He is requested to report whether the processes against the Zemindar have been executed, and with what result. The steps taken to enforce the decrees should have been explained in detail. The omission should now be explained. As regards the amount due by Mr. Kohlhoff's estate, the Collector is requested to ascertain from the Administrator-General and report what the assets of the estate are and why they should not be rateably apportioned on the debts due.

Ukkadai.—The debts due to this estate, including interest, amounted to Rs. 27,668, of which Rs. 4,612 were collected within the year and Rs. 4,248 are reported to be irrecoverable, being due by persons who are either dead or have deserted the service of the estate and who possess no property to proceed against. The amount may be written off. The balance is due mostly by estate ryots, who are said to be wretchedly poor and scarcely able to pay their rents for the current year. The Collector thinks it hopeless to expect them to pay up the arrears now, and all that can be done is to wait till their circumstances improve and then gradually recover the amount out of their savings.

Alangudicheri.—The debts due to the estate amounted to Rs. 28,038, including Rs. 1,185 newly brought to account. Of this sum, Rs. 3,712 have been collected and Rs. 446 are reported to be irrecoverable. The balance is in course of collection. The irrecoverable amount may be written off the accounts for reasons explained below:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Amount due under decrees, but which cannot be recovered as the debtors have no property	118	12	11
Amount of interest to be remitted as a matter of grace, as the party is poor	2	8	3
Amount due as per settlement of accounts, but for the recovery of which no property is available	147	11	4
Amount for the payment of which from the estate funds there is no evidence, the bond being invalid for want of consideration	81	10	4
Amount due as per settlement of accounts, which cannot be recovered, as the account is neither signed nor dated and there is no evidence to prove the genuineness of the accounts on behalf of the estate. The claim is also said to be barred.	95	4	0
	445	14	10

Papanad.—The correct amount of the debts due to the estate is now reported to be Rs. 20,022. Suits were instituted in twenty-seven cases for Rs. 1,033 and decrees obtained in sixteen for Rs. 673; the remaining eleven cases have also since been disposed of. Execution was taken in nine cases and Rs. 176 were realized in three. Steps have been taken to enforce the other decrees which were passed late in the year.

Chípurupalle.—This estate came under management only in May 1882. In his letter disposed of in Court's Proceedings of 4th November 1882, No. 2662, the Collector gave the debts due to the estate at Rs. 26,419. It is now reported by the guardian that this figure is incorrect, as it includes a large amount of debts some of which had been repaid before the estate came under Court's control, and some which became barred during the late proprietor's lifetime.

The Collector accordingly recommends that a sum of Rs. 7,994 should be written off the accounts. The detailed statement referred to by the Collector showing particulars of these items has not yet been received by the Court. Pending its submission, the amount should be retained in the accounts. It should be explained clearly what evidence there is to prove the previous payments, and how a portion of the debts became barred and whether any attempt has been made to realize them.

Gopálpur.—The debts due to the estate including interest amounted to Rs. 14,472, of which Rs. 1,861 were remitted and Rs. 677 collected during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 11,934. The estate was handed over to the proprietor in February 1884.

Merangi.—Of the sum of Rs. 9,299 due to the estate, Rs. 4,800 are repayable in annual instalments of Rs. 400. The debt is secured by mortgage with possession of a village the rents of which are credited towards the liquidation of the debt. Rs. 2,658 are due by one Bakkadu, a resident of the Ganjam District, regarding whose solvency

a report was promised by the Collector in his report for Fasli 1291 (1881-82). The delay in disposing of the matter is most unsatisfactory. Another debt of Rs. 843 became barred so far back as 1877, owing to the neglect of the late guardian, who is now dead. The debtor is able to pay, and the Collector is endeavouring to bring him to terms. A sum of Rs. 341 is due by the heirs of the late guardian, against whom the Collector proposed to file a suit more than a year ago. Nothing has yet been done, and no explanation is given for the delay. In the case of another debt for Rs. 345, a decree has been obtained and Rs. 130 recovered. In one case, the bond given by the debtor is said not to be forthcoming. The Collector promises to make further enquiry, the result of which is awaited.

Nangavaram.—The debts due to this estate, which came under management in November 1880, amounted to Rs. 9,070, including an item omitted in the preceding year. Rs. 825 were recovered during the year, and Rs. 140-5-11 are reported to be irrecoverable and may be written off for the reasons given below :—

	RS.	A.	P.	
(1)	86	8	0	No document to prove the debt except mere entry in a will. The debtor denies his liability.
(2)	11	7	4	These three items represent interest, and the debtors paid the principal on condition of these small sums being remitted.
(3)	4	7	7	
(4)	37	15	0	

The Collector is requested to report what steps have been taken towards collecting the balance.

M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate.—The debts due to the estate proper and to the endowment amounted at the end of the year to Rs. 5,134 and 1,436, respectively. A large portion of the amount is said to be irrecoverable. The Collector is requested to expedite the report called for from the manager regarding these debts.

Poravipalaiyam.—Out of Rs. 6,251 due to this estate, a suit has been brought to recover Rs. 251. The Collector does not propose to take steps for realizing the balance, as it is advantageous to the estate to retain the land mortgaged to it as security for the sum borrowed.

Soranjeri.—The debts due to this estate, including interest, amounted to Rs. 4,807, of which Rs. 2,327 were ordered to be written off and Rs. 1,668 have been collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 813. Of this sum, Rs. 224 are now reported to be irrecoverable, including Rs. 174 due by a pauper, whose only house was sold and purchased on behalf of the minor. The amount may be written off.

	RS.	A.	P.
* Peramur	15	0	0
Ramnad	211	0	0
Vadagarai	856	1	6
Total ...	1,082	1	6

The debts due to the remaining estates (excluding those handed over to their proprietors) aggregated Rs. 12,851, of which Rs. 1,082-1-6 * are reported to be irrecoverable and may be written off. Steps have been taken for the collection of the remainder.

31. Statement VI shows in detail the educational progress of the minors.

(*a*) Erumaipati.
(*b*) Madugula.
Chidikada Jagannathapuram.
Chipurupalle.
Kavalpara.
(*c*) Urlam.
Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.
(*d*) Parlákimedi.
Peramur.
Bimbalen.
(*e*) Vadagarai.
(*f*) Ramnad } under Mr. Gell.
Gandamanáyakanúr }
Merangi } under Mr. Marsh.
Gopalpur }

Seven of the wards are females, of whom (*a*) one is of unsound mind; (*b*) four are precluded by their age and position from being instructed; (*c*) and the other two were under private tuition. Of the male wards, (*d*) three are of unsound mind; (*e*) one is too young to be educated; (*f*) four were under the tuition of Messrs. Gell and Marsh; and the others were reading in local schools, assisted in some cases by private tutors.

32. The following is a brief account of the educational progress made by the several wards and their relations who were under instruction during the year under report :—

Parlákimedi.—The two eldest sons of the Zemindar, who is an imbecile, continued under the tuition of Mr. Taylor. The second son, a very promising young lad of sixteen, died of cholera at Calcutta after the close of the year under report. As regards the first son, Mr. Taylor writes that he is now more than twenty years of age, and that he has therefore "allowed him to do pretty much as he pleased," that "his education lately has been of a desultory kind," and that "a regular course of study has not been followed." The minor is, however, reported to have attended to his studies with the utmost regularity, being absent only on eight occasions during the year owing to illness. The other sons and daughters of the Zemindar are not under instruction.

In September 1882, Mr. Goodrich, after a personal examination of the Parlákimedi and Chinnakimedi minors, reported that he was not satisfied with the progress made by them under Mr. Taylor's tuition. The Court called for further information from the Collector, and in their Proceedings dated 30th October 1882, Miscellaneous No. 8304, requested the Director of Public Instruction to oblige them by examining Mr. Taylor's pupils when he next visited Ganjam. Towards the end of March 1883, Mr. Grigg forwarded a copy of a report from Mr. Monro, Inspector of Schools, First Division, containing the result of his examination of the minors. The report was not satisfactory; but as the Court did not intend that Mr. Monro, who was considerably junior to Mr. Taylor as an educationist, should be deputed for inspecting and scrutinizing the work of the latter officer, they requested the Director to inform them if he could arrange to examine the boys himself within the course of the year. Mr. Grigg promised to comply with the above request in the event of his being able to visit the Northern Circars, but the Court extremely regret that no report of the result of the examination has yet been received, although it is understood that the Director was able to inspect the Northern Districts.

Chinnakimedi.—The minor Zemindar, Sri Broga Kisora Deo, aged 14 years, continued under the tuition of Mr. Taylor, but the progress made by him has been far from satisfactory. He is reported by the tutor to be extremely regular and punctual in attendance and quiet and docile in manners, but his memory is very bad and his mind either too weak or too fickle to grasp anything requiring the least thought.

Jarada.—The minor, who was twelve years old, was sent to Parlákimedi towards the close of the year for tuition under Mr. Taylor.

Urlam.—The minor is a widow aged 14 years, and is under the tuition of Miss Thompson at Urlam. She takes great interest in her studies, but owing to an attack of fever, her health has become indifferent, and she had to discontinue studying for some time.

Rewada.—The minor ceased to attend the Hindu College, where he had been previously studying. He attained his majority in October 1882, when the estate was handed over to him.

Merangi.—The minor was fifteen years and five months old at the end of the year, and was under the tuition of Mr. J. Marsh. He is said to be "rather slow in comprehension, but has fairly retentive power." His conduct has not been satisfactory, but Mr. Marsh entertains hopes of improvement. The minor rides well and plays polo with spirit.

Pandur Mallavaram.—The minor was nineteen years and three months old at the end of the year. He has apparently not made much progress in his studies, even in the year under report, owing chiefly to his dilatoriness and his frequent and long absence from school. Now that funds are available, the Collector has taken steps to appoint a separate private tutor to teach the minor at home.

Srípuram.—The minor was about nine years old at the end of the year. He continued to live at Vavivalsa with his natural father and guardian. He is being taught by a private tutor (a matriculate) appointed by the Court.

Chípurapalle.—The proprietrix and her five daughters are reported to have enjoyed good health during the year. The first two daughters are too old to attend

school and have discontinued their studies; the younger of them has been married during the year under report. The other three are attending the Roman Catholic Convent School at Vizagapatam. They are able to read and write and play upon the piano fairly well.

Lakkavaram.—The minor, who was seven years and two months old, is now learning Telugu under a pial schoolmaster and has completed the First Book of Lessons. The Collector promises to make arrangements for sending him to an English school.

Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.—The educational progress of the ward was unsatisfactory. She attained her majority at the end of the year; but as the local officers reported that she was incapable of managing the estate, the Court have resolved to retain charge of it till she shows that she is fit to manage it. Since the close of the year, they have appointed a lady tutor on a salary of Rs. 300 per mensem.

Chittacherla.—The minor, Venkatappa Nayanivaru, was fourteen years and four months old at the end of the fasli. His attendance at school was regular, but his educational progress was unsatisfactory. He is reported by the Sub-Collector to be of good disposition but not bright.

Soranjeri.—The minor was nineteen years and two months old at the end of the year. He was reading in Patchayappa's College and passed the University Matriculation Examination held in December 1882. On the recommendation of the Director of Public Instruction, he has since been allowed to join the Presidency College.

Ukkadai.—The minor was eleven years old at the end of the year. Owing to ill-health, his attendance at school was unsatisfactory. He is reported to be intelligent and well-behaved.

Vadapathimangalam.—The elder minor, Somasundara Mudali, aged thirteen years, was reading in the Government High School at Trivalur. He is reported to be intelligent, painstaking and well-behaved. His general health during the year was also fair. He has a brother and sister, who are respectively five and seven years old. The latter is being taught Tamil at home.

Velanganni.—The minor was seventeen years old at the end of the year. His conduct is good, but his educational attainments are reported to be poor.

Alangudicheri.—The minor was sixteen years and seven months old at the end of the year. He and his three brothers were reading at St. Joseph's College, Negapatam, but after the removal of that institution to Trichinopoly, they were sent to the Wesleyan Mission High School at the former place. The progress made by all the minors is reported to be satisfactory and their conduct good.

Gandharvakóta.—The minor was nine years and six months old at the end of the year. He was reading in the Local Fund School at Gandharvakóta in the second standard class and was promoted to the third standard class in February 1883. His attendance at school was pretty regular and conduct good. He was removed to Tanjore to be educated at the Native High School there.

Papanad.—The elder minor, Saminada Vijaya Thevar, was sixteen years and ten months old at the end of the year. Being too old to attend school, he began his studies under a private tutor only in February 1883. The younger minor, aged fifteen, was reading in the Native High School at Tanjore, where he is making fair progress.

Mathur.—The minor was eleven years and ten months old at the end of the year and was reading in the Municipal School at Máyavaram, where his attendance was satisfactory and his progress fair.

Ramnad.—The two minors, Bhaskara Sami Setupati and Dinakara Sami Setupati, were, respectively, fourteen years and seven and a half months, and twelve years and two months old, at the end of the year. They continued to be under the tuition of Mr. Gell, who reports favorably of their educational progress. The elder minor appeared for the Middle School Examination held in December 1882, but passed only in the third class. He failed somewhat in Arithmetic, but did very creditably in all the other subjects. The younger minor is reported to have made a marked improvement during the year. His reading and writing, both in English and in the Vernacular, is above the average for a boy of his age, and his English pronunciation is good.

Gandamanáyakanúr.—The minor, Tirumala Gantama Kondala Nagaya Ramakristnasami Nayudu, aged sixteen years and five months, was under the tuition of Mr. Gell at Madras. His educational progress, though better than in last year, is not equal to that of other boys of his age. His great disadvantage is that he has an unusually weak memory. His conduct is reported to be good.

Vadagarai.—The minor, Ramabhadra Nayaker, was nine years old at the end of the fasli. He was removed to Madura, and is studying in the Native High School there. He is reported to be regular in his attendance and to write a good hand both in English and Tamil. He passed the First Standard Examination held in November 1882.

Peramur.—The Court's Ward, Madava Row, who is now thirty-five years old, continues to be in the same state of mental incapacity as before. His son, who is now about ten years old, is studying in the Government Middle School at Srírangam. He passed the Lower Primary Examination in December last and was promoted to the second class. He is reported to be a well-behaved lad and desirous of improving.

Nangavaram.—The elder minor, Lachminarayanan, aged about nine years, continued to prosecute his studies in the Local Fund School at Nangavaram till the end of the fasli, when he was removed to the S.P.G. College at Trichinopoly. The younger minor is only three years old.

Vadimitta.—The eldest minor, Kodumudi Muthukumarasami Moniagar, and his brother, Kathirvelusami, aged $10\frac{1}{2}$ years and 7, respectively, at the end of the year, were under the tuition of a private tutor. The Deputy Collector, who personally examined the boys, reports unfavorably of their progress. Steps will be taken to engage another tutor if no improvement takes place in the current year.

M. D. Kumarasawmy Mudaliar's Estate.—The elder minor, who is seventeen years old and is married, is not making satisfactory progress in his studies. He is not bright and is inattentive to his lessons. The younger boy is intelligent and is getting on well.

Roshan Miah Sáhib's Estate.—There are eight minors, of whom the eldest, named Abujimiah Sáhib, sixteen years and one month old, is reading in the Result Grant School at Panagudi and has passed the Upper Primary Examination in December 1882. He is said to be polite and to have a taste for reading. No attempt was made to educate the other boys for want of funds.

Poravipalaiyam.—The two minor Poligars, Jaganmandalathipathi Gopana Mannadiar and Chandra Gopana Mannadiar, aged, respectively, nineteen and sixteen years, were learning in the Coimbatore College during the year, but their attendance was irregular and progress unsatisfactory. The Collector has, however, personally warned them, and made arrangements to see that they attend school more regularly.

Brahmavar Sarvothama Rao's Estate.—The minor, Brahmavar Sarvothama Rao, was twelve years and seven months old at the end of the fasli. He prosecuted his studies in the first class, third standard, in the Middle School, Mangalore, till the end of February 1883, when he was sent to the Middle School, Ganapathi Temple Street, where he was admitted in the second class. His attendance was regular, and he is reported to have done well at the periodical examination held in the latter school.

Mangara.—The minor was promoted to the Lower Fourth Class, Government High School, Pálghat, during the year, and his conduct continued to be good.

33. Chattrams and Religious Institutions.—The following statement shows the transactions connected with the charitable and religious institutions in some of the estates under management of the Court during the year :—

—	Balance at the beginning of the Year.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Balance.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Peramur Chattram	804	417	1,221	462	759
Ramnad { Chattram	48,668	36,479	85,147	23,804	61,343
Ramnad { Devastanam (Temple)	92,905	1,24,026	2,16,931	83,587	1,33,344
Ramnad { Darmamahimai*	2,384	14,334	16,718	9,663	7,055
D. Kumarasawmy Mudaliar's Estate	4,179	6,866	11,045	9,312	1,733
Gandharvakóta	6,363	3,379	9,742	1,740	8,002
Vadapathimangalam { Chattram	..	..	..	6,364	..
Vadapathimangalam { Devastanam	..	..	..	4,659	..
Papanad	..	748	748	275	473

34. Condition of the Ryots.—The following is a brief account of the condition of the ryots and of the improvements carried out in the several estates under the Court's management. Information on the first point was given in the Court's Proceedings, dated 2nd April 1883, No. 912, recorded in G.O., dated 16th May 1883, No. 609, Revenue Department :—

Ganjam—Parlákimedi.—The Principal Assistant Collector writes that the ryots of this estate are better off than those of the neighbouring Government taluk of Chicacole. They pay nothing for second-crop assessment, their irrigation works are better attended to, and much is done for them which the Chicacole ryot is expected to do by kudimaramut (or customary labor). The estate was surveyed on the block system in 1868, and the rents, fixed with reference to the rates proposed by the Settlement Department, give an average of Rs. 1-4-0 per acre for dry, and Rs. 4-5-0 for wet, which, there is no reason to suppose, is either too high or too low. The rainfall is heavier than in the Government taluks, and more than half the area is under irrigation. The estate is now being scientifically surveyed by the Survey Department, and the work is expected to be completed during the current official year. The cost of the survey was estimated at Rs. 1,82,800. Up to the end of June 1883, Rs. 1,39,500 were expended, and the Collector thinks that the estimates will be greatly exceeded by the time the survey and settlement are actually completed. Of the 735 villages belonging to the estate, containing an area of 615 square miles, 260 are agraharams * and 40 of the proprietary villages contain so little cultivation as not to be worth the expense of demarcation and survey. There remained, therefore, only 435 proprietary villages with an area of 286 square miles to be surveyed. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey reports that up to the end of 1882-83, an equivalent of 180 square miles of Revenue survey has been completed under various processes, leaving a balance of 106 square miles to be finished. The whole of the boundary and field demarcation has been completed; the survey of the village boundaries and fields is very nearly finished, and the mapping will be completed within the current official year. It was subsequently decided, on a reference from the Collector, that 38 out of the excluded villages should also be taken up by the Survey Department. The Collector considers that the survey and settlement when completed, will in no way benefit the proprietor or the ryot, unless individual puttahs are introduced in lieu of the joint-rent system, and apprehends that this course, though adopted by the Court, will not be continued after the proprietor has taken possession of the estate. Before this occurs, however, a change in the law may, perhaps, give the ryots fixity of tenure. In the matter of public works, the estate has been rather unfortunate in having had a succession of indifferent engineers for some years. Of late there has been some improvement, but it has since been finally decided to place all the works in this and in all other estates under the Court of Wards under the supervision of the Public Works Department (G.O., 28th April 1884, No. 1067-W). A statement furnished by the Collector shows that, during the past eleven years, Rs. 65,400 have been expended on buildings excluding the palace works which have cost nearly six lakhs, Rs. 1,71,200 on roads, Rs. 1,77,200 on irrigation works, and Rs. 1,28,000 on establishments, tools, plant and contingencies. The latter item represents about 23·6 per cent. of the total charges under this head. Of the estate roads, the Collector writes that it is impossible to speak with satisfaction. They were once in an excellent condition; they are now passable with great difficulty in wet weather.

* Villages granted to Brahmins on favorable assessment or rent-free.

G.O., dated 24th October 1873, No. 1162.
,, ,, 18th April 1874, ,, 1009.
,, ,, 26th June 1877, ,, 2115.
Court's Proceedings, dated 8th June 1881, No. 5727.

* This fund dates from the year 1788 and consists of a certain quantity of paddy annually set apart from the percentage deduction made on account of certain items of common charges from the gross produce of wet lands belonging to the estate proper. The fund is devoted for the support of religious and other schools, of religious Brahmins reciting the Vedas and Puranas in temples, and in the support of poor helpless persons, the lame, the sick and the blind.

Chinnakimedi.—The condition of the ryots in this estate is said to be the same as that of the Government ryots in the adjacent taluk of Berhampore. The former, however, are entirely dependent upon, and in the hands of, the renter, their sowkar,* for seed-grain, maintenance and other necessaries of life. Since the introduction of the joint-rent system, there has been some improvement in their condition. In a few villages, individual puttahs were granted at the request of the ryots, and the manager was ordered to measure the holdings of each ryot and prepare puttahs for money-rent in all cases where they expressed a wish for this system. There are altogether 205 tanks, of which 181 were out of repair at the time the estate came under management in Fasli 1286 (1876-77). Eighty-three of these works have been since put in order. Within the past four years, Rs. 5,700 have been expended on buildings and Rs. 27,000 on irrigation works (tanks, channels, calingulas, &c.)—total Rs. 32,700. The Collector has taken steps to investigate a project which the ex-zemindar commenced and left unfinished after spending several thousands of rupees, but which, if completed, would, it is believed, supply unfailing irrigation to fifteen villages of the estate.

* Banker.

Urlam.—There is no marked difference between the condition of the estate ryots and that of Government ryots in the adjoining villages, and no noticeable change has occurred in their condition since the date of the Collector's last report, disposed of in Court's Proceedings, dated 2nd April 1883, No. 912. The estate is unsurveyed, and no information is therefore available regarding the occupied area or the rate of rent per acre. The Principal Assistant Collector reports, however, that "no complaint of over-rent has been rejected without investigation," and that "in some cases when the ryots complained of the rates and offered to relinquish their land, they gave in at once when the offer was accepted and begged for the land at the old rates." There is little or no waste land in the estate, and all the lands held by tenants are always cultivated, never being left fallow. During the past five years the estate has been under the Court's management, five calingulas, five sluices and a road of $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles were constructed; sixteen channels, two calingulas, ten tanks and two sluices were repaired. Over Rs. 10,500 has been expended on buildings, Rs. 5,000 on roads and about Rs. 10,000 on irrigation works.

Jarada.—This is a small estate containing about Acres 3,660 of cultivated land, paying an assessment of Rs. 18,335. The average rate of rent is high, but, as stated in the Court's Proceedings already referred to, the figures are misleading, as the estate has not been surveyed and the extent of each ryot's holding is not accurately known. The Collector has, however, arranged for a rough measurement being made by the village accountants during the current year. About seventy per cent. of the ryots are said to be poor, though there has been some improvement in their condition since the introduction of the joint rent, in lieu of the renting system after the estate came under management in 1880. The lands are fertile and the water-supply generally good. There are twenty-nine tanks, five anicuts or river dams, and one hill-stream or channel maintained from estate funds. During Faslis 1291 and 1292 (1881—83), four calingulas and two sluices were constructed, and twenty-six tanks (of which one is a large one) and one

sluice were repaired at a total cost of over Rs. 5,200. The estate is surrounded by hills and its great want is a road to Ichápur, which cannot be taken up owing to want of funds.

Vizagapatam.—The condition of the ryots in Fasli 1292, in all the estates of this district, with the exception of Pandur, was almost the same as that reported in Court's Proceedings, dated 2nd April 1883, No. 912. The unsatisfactory condition of the ryots in Pandur is due to the unhealthiness of the climate and the failure of crops.

Godávari—Lakkavaram.—About half the ryots of this estate depend on money-lenders. They have no saleable interest in the land, and are in other respects almost in the same condition as the ryots of the adjoining Government villages. The irrigation works chiefly consist of tanks, some of which are fed by the Bayyaneru and some by the Yerrakalava. Nothing beyond ordinary repairs have been executed to them after the estate came under the Court's management. It is reported that the masonry sluices of some of them require to be repaired, that a dam should be constructed in the Yerrakalava and that a branch channel should be dug from the Bayyaneru river.

Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.—A full report on the condition of the ryots in this estate has recently been received from the Acting Sub-Collector, Mr. Cumming, and disposed of in Court's Proceedings, dated 14th July 1884, No. 2409. This officer reports, after personal inquiry, that, with the exception of the two poorest villages, the ryots of the other villages "are pretty well off and in no worse condition than the Government ryots," and that except in a very few cases, the rents paid by them have not been raised during the past twenty or thirty years. Of the total occupied area, Acres 3,200, assessed at Rs. 21,000, have been held on fixed rent since before the Court's management; Acres 660, assessed at Rs. 3,250, have been leased out for some time, but subsequently given on fixed rent; and Acres 1,800, assessed at Rs. 12,600, are annually leased out by auction. These latter consist chiefly of lankas and seri or home-farm lands belonging to the proprietrix. The condition of the ryots will be greatly improved if the Polavaram embankment, which was sanctioned so long ago as 1875 and for which the estate paid more* than its proportionate share of cost nearly eight years ago, is completed at an early date.

* G.O., dated 30th July 1875, No. 1153.

Chingleput—Soranjeri.—The general condition of the ryots is fair compared with that of the ryots in the neighbouring Government villages. They enjoy their mirasi rights and other privileges in the same way as Government ryots do, but are prone to litigation. The average rate of assessment per acre is Rs. 1-10-3 for dry and Rs. 4-12-1 for wet. The income of the estate is small, and funds are not available for carrying out improvements. There are two tanks and a tangal (spring) which supply irrigation to this estate. The Collector proposes carrying out the necessary repairs to the tanks (estimated to cost about Rs. 1,300) when funds become available.

Tanjore.—The first five estates noted in the margin consist of ryotwari villages; the cultivators are mere tenants-at-will, and their condition, like that of the agricultural laborers throughout the district, is said to be very miserable; their wages are exceedingly low and they possess little or no property.

1. Mathur.
2. Velanganni.
3. Alangudicheri.
4. Vadapathimangalam.
5. Ukkadai.
6. Gandharvakóta.
7. Papanad.

Gandharvakóta.—This is a Zemindari estate. A full account of the condition of the ryots was given in Court's Proceedings previously referred to. The irrigation works have all been more or less neglected during the time of the convict Zemindar, and all that could be done since the estate came under management was to repair the breaches caused by floods to some of the tanks. There is room for much improvement, but the present financial condition of the estate makes it impossible to provide funds for the purpose.

Ukkadai and Papanad.—In *Ukkadai*, there is no noticeable change in the condition of ryots compared with that reported last year. In *Papanad*, the condition of the ryots is reported to be much like that of ryots in Government villages, the only difference being in the tenure of their holdings. The estate ryots hold their lands

under the amani system, under which they have to harvest their crops with the permission and under the superintendence of the estate officials. The Collector has taken steps for the introduction of money rents as soon as possible.

Madura—Ramnad.—There has been no material change in the condition of the ryots of this large estate since the date of the Collector's report reviewed in Court's Proceedings referred to in the preceding paragraphs. The season during the year under review was more favorable than in 1881-82 and the outturn of crops was tolerably good, but the prices were extraordinarily low and not remunerative to the ryots. Their condition has latterly been steadily improving, but it will require a succession of several good seasons and a large expenditure on irrigation works before they can recover fully from the effects of previous mal-administration by the former Zemindars over a long series of years and of the great famine of 1876—78. The state of the irrigation works in this estate has long been most unsatisfactory. Several of the tanks are completely silted up,—some to such an extent that the bunds are hardly distinguishable from the surrounding land. Since the estate came under management in 1873, nearly $4\frac{3}{4}$ lakhs of rupees have been expended in repairing them, but there are still over 600 tanks (out of 1,215) on which no money has been spent. Many of the works were seriously damaged during the heavy rains of May 1883, and a sum of Rs. 40,000 had to be sanctioned for closing the breaches and executing other emergent repairs. Now that the Government have been pleased to sanction the transfer of all estate works to the supervision of the Public Works Department and the finances of the estate are improving, the Court trust they will be able to report satisfactory progress in the review of the operations of 1884-85.

Gandamandyakanúr.—The condition of the ryots is not so good as that of the ryots of the adjoining Government villages, and this is due to the following causes:—

(1) There is comparatively little wet cultivation.
(2) The soil is for the most gravelly and rocky, and dry-crop cultivation does not yield a good outturn.
(3) There are no roads.
(4) The rates imposed on garden cultivation are very high.
(5) The rains are not regular and sufficient.

The Collector promises to submit proposals for reducing the rates on well irrigation. These should be expedited. There are about 7,000 puttahdars, of whom hardly a dozen pay more than Rs. 50 each. The average size of the holdings is about 5·9 acres paying an assessment of Rs. 8-9-11, and the average incidence of land-tax per head of the population according to the Census of 1881 is Rs. 1-10-3. The Collector states, however, that very few of the ryots are dependent on money-lenders for the payment of their dues. Several of the tanks have been repaired at an outlay of about Rs. 70,000 since the estate came under the Court's control in 1867. This is exclusive of the amount (Rs. 19,000) expended in the investigation of some projects by the late Estate Engineer, Mr. Hardy, which had eventually to be abandoned. Owing to this same officer's neglect, the estate was subjected to a further loss of some 4,000 rupees in the repair of certain tanks referred to in the correspondence ending with Court's Proceedings, dated 19th May 1884, No. 1689.

Santhaiyur.—Four-sevenths of the ryots are entirely dependent on land, and the other three-sevenths partly on land and partly on labor. The average rate of assessment per acre in the estate is in excess of that obtaining in the Government villages.

Coimbatore—Poravipalaiyam.—The general condition of the ryots in this estate, which consists only of three villages, is much the same as that of the ryots in the adjoining Government villages.

Salem—Erumaipati and Palayapalaiyam.—The ryots are not generally involved in debts, and their condition is in no degree inferior to that of Government ryots. The area of the estate is 17·55 square miles and the total population 8,259, which gives an average of 470·6 per square mile. The area occupied amounts to 59·56 per cent. of the total

area, and the incidence of land-tax per head of population according to the Census of 1881 comes to Rs. 1-9-5, as against Rs. 1-10-8 in the neighbouring Government villages. The average size of the holdings in the year under report was about Acres 10·43, assessed at Rs. 43-3-0. Some of the relatives of the proprietrix hold large extents of land on puttahs, and so the general average appears high. There are five irrigation tanks, of which two, which are large, have been recently put in thorough order. Over Rs. 12,600 have been expended in repairing these works during the past nine years the estate has been under the Court's control. The other estates under the charge of the Court of Wards are either small or consist of ryotwari lands, and do not call for separate remark.

35. ALIENATIONS.—A brief summary of the steps taken from time to time to dispose of alienations subsequent to the permanent settlement in Ward's Estates was given in Court's Proceedings, dated 9th January 1884, No. 44. The detailed report therein called for has not been received from several of the districts. The law on the subject of such alienations is fully explained in the papers recorded in Court's Proceedings, dated 22nd May 1871, No. 2104, copy of which is submitted for the information of Government with reference to G.O., dated 7th February 1884, No. 192.

Of the thirty-nine estates which remained under the Court's control at the end of Fasli 1292 (1882-83), sixteen consist of Ryotwari lands paying full assessment to Government, while in two proprietary estates, Soranjeri and Poravipálaiyam, there have been no such alienations. The alienations in Parlákimedi were disposed of in 1834 and those in Erumaipati in 1880. The Collector of Ganjam has not yet reported the result of the inquiry into the alienations in the Chinna Kimedi Estate. His attention has again been drawn to the subject.

In the Shulagiri, Papanad and Gandharvakóta Estates considerable difficulty is reported to have been experienced in completing the inquiry into the alienations, owing to the confused state of the estate records. The Collectors concerned promise to submit their reports as soon as possible.

In Lakkavaram, in the Godávari District, there are altogether sixty-eight, cases of subsequent alienations involving Acres 305 bearing an assessment of Rs. 503, in all of which the Collector has reported that resumption was barred several years ago. He appears to have calculated the twelve years' limitation from the date of the grant instead of from the date of the death of the grantor, and has been requested to revise his proposals. Besides the above alienations, there are some service and charitable grants, which, with a few exception, have been ordered to be continued so long as the condition of the grant are duly fulfilled—Court's Proceedings, dated 21st July 1884, No. 2489.

The alienations in Ramnad have engaged the attention of the Court from the time the estate came under their control in 1873. A full report on the subject is contained in the Manager's report disposed of in Court's Proceedings, dated 21st July 1884, No. 2486.

The Collectors in charge of the other estates have been requested to expedite the reports called for by the Court.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a file of reports and statements,
and copy of Board's Proceedings, 21st May 1871, No. 2704.
" all Collectors in charge of Wards Estates.
Copy to Director of Public Instruction.

APPENDICES.

No. 1.—STATEMENT showing the Number of Villages under the several Modes of Settlement in the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, and the Age, &c., of the Wards, in Fasli 1292 (1882-83).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of Villages. Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Money-rent.	Rent in kind.	Inam or Shrotriem.	Total.	Age of the Ward at the end of the Fasli. Yrs.	M.	D.	Government Order by which each Estate came under the Management of the Court of Wards.	Year in which the Minor will attain his Majority.	Remarks.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	501	..	..	390	891	53	0	0	G.O., July 1830, not forthcoming	Imbecile.	
	Chinna Kimedi	67	8	5	74	154	14	0	6	G.O., No. 2188, 27th Dec. 1876.	June 1890.	
	Sérgadá	18	8	..	12	38	21	2	3	G.O., No. 1020, 1st July 1872.	27th April 1883.	
	Jarada	16	3	1	34	*54	12	1	26	G.O., No. 2454, 19th July 1880.	May 1892.	* Exclusive of two deserted villages left waste.
	Urlám	6	..	..	7	13	14	5	17	G.O., No. 740, 27th Mar. 1879, R. D.	January 1890.	
	Total	608	19	6	517	1,150						
Vizagapatam	Rewada	2	..	..	1	3	21	8	10	G.O., No. 604, 16th Mar. 1869.	20th October 1882.	
	Merangi	50	..	..	36	86	15	4	28	G.O., No. 3042, 25th Nov. 1869.	1st February 1889.	
	Pondur Mallavaram	2	..	..	..	2	19	3	0	G.O., No. 2036, 19th June 1877.	1st April 1885.	
	Mádugula	No entire villages under management.					57	0	0	G.O., No. 3087, 9th Oct. 1877.	....	
	Chídikada Jagannathapuram	5	..	..	..	5	43	6	28	G.O., No. 2930, 25th Sept. 1877.	....	
	Srípuram	5	..	..	5	10	8	10	5	G.O., No. 1449, 5th Sept. 1878.	16th August 1895.	
	Chípurupalle	1	..	..	..	1	45	0	0	G.O., No. 510, 13th May 1882.	....	
	Total	65	..	..	42	107						
Godávari	Lakkavaram	..	8	..	..	8	7	2	16	G.O., No. 2291, 15th Dec. 1879.	June 1897.	
	Gopálpur	10	1	..	..	11	19	5	0†	G.O., No. 1230, 28th Sept. 1874.	1st February 1885.	† Age has since been altered.
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.	7	..	..	..	7	21	0	0	G.O., No. 2661, 27th Sept. 1869.	Attained majority in June 1883.	
	Total	17	9	..	..	26						
Cuddapah	Chittacherla	..	..	..	..	..	14	4	0	G.O., No. 1381, 29th Sept. 1876.	February 1890.	
Chingleput	Soranjeri	6	..	..	..	6	19	2	0	G.O., No. 1232, 14th Aug. 1875.	April 1885.	
Tanjore	Ukkadai	..	..	..	..	..	11	0	0	G.O., No. 1471, 12th July 1879.	July 1893.	
	Velanganni	..	..	..	..	..	17	0	18	G.O., No. 2179, 15th Nov. 1879.	12th June 1887.	
	Gandharvakóta	11	7	27	7	‡52	9	6	20	G.O., No. 493, 9th Mar. 1881.	11th December 1894.	‡ Exclusive of one alienated.
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	..	..	13	0	0	G.O., No. 1285, 29th Aug. 1881.	7th March 1891.	
	Alangudicheri	..	..	..	..	..	16	7	24	G.O., No. 1263, 25th Aug. 1881.	7th November 1887.	
	Mathúr	..	..	..	..	..	11	10	0	G.O., No. 1292, 20th Nov. 1882.	6th September 1892.	
	Papanad	..	..	19	17	36	16	9	24	G.O., No. 290, 13th Mar. 1882.	5th do. 1887.	
	Total	11	7	46	24	88						

No. 1.—Statement showing the Number of Villages under the several Modes of Settlement in the Estates, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of Villages. Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Money-rent.	Rent in kind.	Inam or Shrotriem.	Total.	Age of the Ward at the end of the Fasli. Yrs.	M.	D.	Government Order by which each Estate came under the Management of the Court.	Year in which the Minor will attain his Majority.	Remarks.
Trichinopoly ..	Péramur	..	..	..	..	..	35	0	0	G.O., No. 2985, 30th Nov. 1868.	....	
	Nangavaram	..	..	..	..	..	8	8	0	G.O., No. 1545, 18th Nov. 1880.	31st October 1895.	
Madura	Ramnad	..	338	1,002	827	2,167	14	8	0	G.O., No. 319, 15th Mar. 1873.	October 1889.	
	Gandamanâyakanûr ..	21	..	..	1	22	16	5	0	G.O., No. 2264, 27th Sept. 1867.	24th January 1888.	
	Santhaiyur	..	..	14	1	15	61	0	0	G.O., No. 1947, 16th Nov. 1871.	Died on the 15th August 1882.	
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..	9	6	0	G.O., No. 1651, 7th Nov. 1881.	24th December 1894.	
	Total ..	21	338	1,016	829	2,204						
Tinnevelly ..	Urkad Partible Property Deposit.	..	..	..	..	..	....			G.O., No. 1025, 2nd July 1872.	....	
	Vadimitta	29	..	..	1	30	10	6	0	G.O., No. 2183, 17th Nov. 1879, R.D.	7th January 1894.	
	Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate.	..	..	..	..	..	17	1	0	G.O., No. 1399, 20th Sept. 1881.	10th June 1887.	
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate	7	..	..	..	7	16	1	24	G.O., No. 714, 7th July 1882.	6th May 1887.	
	Total ..	36	..	..	1	37						
Coimbatore	Poravipálaiyam	3	..	..	..	3	19	9	0	G.O., No. 1163, 27th May 1879.	14th September 1884.	
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam.	12	..	..	1	13	32	0	0	G.O., No. 694, 5th June 1874.	....	
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Row's Estate.	..	..	..	..	..	12	7	0	G.O., No. 1230, 14th Aug. 1875.	December 1891.	
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	17	0	0	G.O., No. 1187, 9th Aug. 1872.	May 1887.	
	Mangara	..	..	..	..	..	17	0	0	G.O., No. 3341, 3rd Dec. 1877.	June 1886.	
	Bimbalen	..	..	..	..	..	30	0	0	G.O., No. 120, 2nd Feb. 1880.	Lunatic.	
	Grand Total ..	779	373	1,068	1,414	3,634						

No. 2.—Statement showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the several Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).

Districts.	Estates.	Holdings. Dry. Extent.	Dry. Assessment.	Wet. Extent.	Wet. Assessment.	Total. Extent.	Total. Assessment.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Rent on lands.	Value of share of produce received in kind.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	47,671	60,205	64,512	2,79,971	112,183	3,40,176	..	..
	Chinna Kimedi	2,465	6,150	9,130	39,614	11,595	45,764	7,151	1,104
	Sérgadá	602	1,172	2,109	10,189	2,711	11,361	8,417	460
	Jarada	447	902	2,274	12,903	2,721	13,805	4,135	287
	Urlám	936	1,712	6,162	35,389	7,098	37,101	..	..
	Total ..	52,121	70,141	84,187	3,78,066	136,308	4,48,207	19,703	1,851
Vizagapatam	Rewada	587	2,981	230	1,841	817	4,822	..	..
	Merangi	2,985	6,208	5,282	38,321	8,267	44,529	..	..
	Pondur-Mallavaram	475	1,019	90	718	565	1,737	46	..
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,200	..
	Chidikada-Jagannathapuram ..	952	3,252	1,326	14,428	2,278	17,680	..	..
	Srípuram	1,245	2,899	1,530	11,157	2,775	14,056	..	..
	Chipurupalle	2,432	6,329	56	426	2,488	6,755	..	..
	Total ..	8,676	22,688	8,514	66,891	17,190	89,579	1,246	..
Godávari	Lakkavaram	17	6	190	515	207	521	8,310	5
	Gopálpur	6,058	58,212	..	..	6,058	58,212	547	..
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru ..	3,742	25,457	1,380	5,627	5,122	31,084	..	..
	Total ..	9,817	83,675	1,570	6,142	11,387	89,817	8,857	5
Cuddapah	Chittacherla	120	..	299	..	419	1,100	2	12
Chingleput	Soranjeri	154	253	936	4,449	1,090	4,702	..	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	1,318	1,770	1,491	19,149	2,809	20,919	..	..
	Velanganni	39	179	364	2,121	403	2,300	..	..
	Gandharvakóta	7,818	7,423	2,727	5,339	10,545	12,762	..	39,981
	Vadapathimangalam	734	2,320	4,992	73,396	5,726	75,716	..	..
	Alangudicheri	71	502	465	5,678	536	6,180	..	..
	Mathúr	127	11	646	7,523	773	7,534	..	..
	Papanad	11,670	7,733	6,359	6,177	18,029	13,910	..	..
	Total ..	21,777	19,938	17,044	1,19,383	38,821	1,39,321	..	39,981

No. 2.—Statement showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the several Estates, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous Revenue—(*Continued*).					Total of columns 8 and 15.	Deduct Remissions.	Net Revenue.
		Jodi or Quit-rent on Inams.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second crop assessment.	Other Items.	Total Miscellaneous.			
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	22,411	17,601	..	5,300	45,312	3,85,488	11,854	3,73,634
	Chinna Kimedi	8,926	3,825	..	1,279	22,285	68,049	..	68,049
	Sórgadá	2,903	1,271	..	2,290	15,341	26,702	..	26,702
	Jarada	1,428	947	..	2,583	9,380	23,185	..	23,185
	Urlám	1,833	1,101	..	7,056	9,990	47,091	..	47,091
	Total	37,501	24,745	..	18,508	1,02,308	5,50,515	11,854	5,38,661
Vizagapatam	Rewada	404	225	5	65	699	5,521	..	5,521
	Merangi	11,373	3,419	..	695	15,487	60,016	..	60,016
	Pondur-Mallavaram	706	258	..	38	1,048	2,785	..	2,785
	Mádugula	..	114	..	1,795	3,109	3,109	..	3,109
	Chidikada-Jagannathapuram	3,853	1,330	..	313	5,496	23,176	..	23,176
	Srípuram	1,626	701	351	488	3,166	17,222	..	17,222
	Chipurupalle	608	276	339	978	2,201	8,956	..	8,956
	Total	18,570	6,323	695	4,372	31,206	1,20,785	..	1,20,785
Godávari	Lakkavaram	1,354	236	..	1,196	11,101	11,622	5	11,617
	Gopálpur	5,981	1,542	4,808	1,110	13,988	72,200	318	71,882
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	1,387	1,027	..	7,512	9,926	41,010	1,876	39,134
	Total	8,722	2,805	4,808	9,818	35,015	1,24,832	2,199	1,22,633
Cuddapah	Chittacherla	..	..	..	79	93	1,193	..	1,193
Chingleput	Soranjeri	..	263	418	1,593	2,274	6,976	654	6,322
Tanjore	Ukkadai	..	..	..	702	702	21,621	..	21,621
	Velanganni	..	..	..	166	166	2,466	..	2,466
	Gandharvakóta	32	1,691	39	3,273	45,016	57,778	..	57,778
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	2,782	2,782	78,498	..	78,498
	Alangudicheri	..	..	..	..	..	6,180	..	6,180
	Mathúr	..	..	283	9	292	7,826	..	7,826
	Papanad	632	251	..	899	1,782	15,692	..	15,692
	Total	664	1,942	322	7,831	50,740	1,90,061	..	1,90,061

No. 2.—Statement showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the several Estates, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Holdings.						Miscellaneous Revenue.	
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.		Rent on lands.	Value of share of produce received in kind.
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	2,040	..	396	..	2,436	10,819	..	..
	Nangavaram	3,256	..	1,029	..	4,285	17,958	..	..
	Total	5,296	..	1,425	..	6,721	28,777	..	..
Madura	Ramnad	259,465	2,63,305	8,560	20,967	268,025	2,84,272	..	3,08,020
	Gandamanáyakanúr	40,535	58,361	845	1,999	41,380	60,360	..	..
	Santhaiyur	4,434	5,870	166	962	4,600	6,832	..	1,705
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total	304,434	3,27,536	9,571	23,928	314,005	3,51,464	..	3,09,725
Tinnevelly	Urkád Partible Property Deposit	49	..	24	..	73	..	..	65
	Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate	1,478	1,344	89	1,044	1,567	2,388	..	7,874
	Vadimitta	11,249	13,550	154	987	11,403	14,537	420	38
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate	353	..	128	..	481	..	64	3,678
	Total	13,129	14,894	395	2,031	13,524	16,925	484	11,655
Coimbatore	Poravipalaiyam	7,955	8,660	96	1,550	8,051	10,210	..	1,922
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam	6,249	12,688	439	3,918	6,688	16,606	..	..
South Canara	B. Sarvothama Row's Estate	200	2,204	100	1,898	300	4,102	..	..
Malabar	Kavalpara	7,635	1,794	3,827	20,669	11,462	22,463	..	..
	Mangara	230	443	240	4,082	470	4,525	357	..
	Bimbalen	55	..	19	368	74	368	..	..
	Total	7,920	2,237	4,086	25,119	12,006	27,356	357	..
	Grand Total	437,848	5,64,914	128,662	6,33,375	566,510	12,28,166	30,649	3,65,151

No. 2.—Statement showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the several Estates, &c.—(*Continued.*)

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous Revenue—(*Continued*).					Total of columns 8 and 15.	Deduct Remissions.	Net Revenue.
		Jodi or Quit-rent on Inams.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second crop assessment.	Other Items.	Total Miscellaneous.			
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	..	..	10	287	297	11,116	..	11,116
	Nangavaram	..	..	..	809	809	18,767	..	18,767
	Total ..	..	..	10	1,096	1,106	29,883	..	29,883
Madura	Ramnad	1,35,145	14,580	4,691	53,081	5,15,517	7,99,789	44,202	7,55,587
	Gandamanáyakanúr	..	1,909	9	738	2,656	63,016	5,410	57,606
	Santhaiyur	56	223	236	1,798	4,018	10,850	18	10,832
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	3,227	3,227	3,227	..	3,227
	Total ..	1,35,201	16,712	4,936	58,844	5,25,418	8,76,882	49,630	8,27,252
Tinnevelly	Urkád Partible Property Deposit	..	..	..	71	136	136	..	136
	Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate ..	635	65	..	1,661	10,235	12,623	..	12,623
	Vadimitta	..	439	..	14,842	15,739	30,276	..	30,276
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate	..	..	..	1,743	5,485	5,485	..	5,485
	Total ..	635	504	..	18,317	31,595	48,520	..	48,520
Coimbatore	Poravipalaiyam	..	288	..	27	2,237	12,447	109	12,338
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	182	528	263	1,529	2,502	19,108	3,715	15,393
South Canara	B. Sarvothama Row's Estate	..	..	..	65	65	4,167	..	4,167
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	12,630	12,630	35,093	..	35,093
	Mangara	..	..	..	3,491	3,848	8,373	..	8,373
	Bimbalen	..	..	..	4	4	372	..	372
	Total ..	..	..	..	16,125	16,482	43,838	..	43,838
	Grand Total ..	2,01,475	54,110	11,452	1,38,204	8,01,041	20,29,207	68,161	19,61,046

No. 3.—Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under management for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).			Collection for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).			Amount remitted.	Balance at the Close of Fasli.			Subsequent Collections and Remissions.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	51,028	3,73,634	4,24,662	32,502	3,21,903	3,54,405	2,622	15,904	51,731	67,635	47,101	20,534
	Chinna Kimedi	14,408	68,049	82,457	9,745	49,646	59,391	249	4,414	18,403	22,817	7,652	15,165
	Sórgadá	3,153	26,702	29,855	2,314	17,579	19,893	35	804	9,123	9,927	..	9,927
	Jarada	2,910	23,185	26,095	1,891	19,206	21,097	..	1,019	3,979	4,998	3,826	1,172
	Urlám	4,122	47,091	51,213	4,088	32,658	36,746	..	34	14,433	14,467	5,590	8,877
	Total ..	75,621	5,38,661	6,14,282	50,540	4,40,992	4,91,532	2,906	22,175	97,669	1,19,844	64,169	55,675
Vizagapatam	Rewada	1,068	5,521	6,589	428	1,004	1,432	..	640	4,517	5,157	..	5,157
	Merangi	30,064	60,016	90,080	2,264	49,141	51,405	..	27,800	10,875	38,675	1,444	37,231
	Pondur Mallavaram	324	2,785	3,109	34	2,405	2,439	..	290	380	670	69	601
	Mádugula	1,580	3,109	4,689	243	2,909	3,152	..	1,337	200	1,537	25	1,512
	Chidikada-Jagannathapuram.	1,700	23,176	24,876	1,598	21,169	22,767	..	102	2,007	2,109	1,469	640
	Srípuram	6,778	17,222	24,000	1,534	16,614	18,148	..	5,244	608	5,852	248	5,604
	Chipurupalle	2,706	8,956	11,662	1,987	5,814	7,801	..	719	3,142	3,861	1,362	2,499
	Total ..	44,220	1,20,785	1,65,005	8,088	99,056	1,07,144	..	36,132	21,729	57,861	4,617	53,244
Godávari	Lakkavaram	1,583	11,617	13,200	747	11,078	11,825	208	628	539	1,167	259	908
	Gopálpur	28,201	71,882	1,00,083	14,863	48,812	63,675	432	12,906	23,070	35,976	7,106	28,870
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	16,796	39,134	55,930	10,068	33,300	43,368	1,620	5,108	5,834	10,942	2,263	8,679
	Total ..	46,580	1,22,633	1,69,213	25,678	93,190	1,18,868	2,260	18,642	29,443	48,085	9,628	38,457
Cuddapah	Chittacherla	631	1,193	1,824	554	1,140	1,694	..	77	53	130	51	79
Chingleput	Soranjeri	6,016	6,322	12,338	4,142	1,915	6,057	74	1,800	4,407	6,207	683	5,524
Tanjore	Ukkadai	39,695	21,621	61,316	16,141	19,512	35,653	19,521	4,033	2,109	6,142	..	6,142
	Velanganni	975	2,466	3,441	462	2,194	2,656	173	513	99	612	332	280
	Vadapathimangalam	25,191	78,498	1,03,689	16,044	76,372	92,416	..	9,147	2,126	11,273	..	11,273
	Gandharvakóta	32,347	57,778	90,125	7,662	10,785	18,447	2,938	24,685	44,055	68,740	555	68,185
	Alangadicheri	7,606	6,180	13,786	7,606	4,097	11,703	2,083	..	..	..	..	..
	Mathur	191	7,826	8,017	191	6,748	6,939	748	..	330	330	330	..
	Papanad	23,080	15,692	38,772	5,273	6,304	11,577	..	17,807	9,388	27,195	5,088	22,107
	Total ..	1,29,085	1,90,061	3,19,146	53,379	1,26,012	1,79,391	25,463	56,185	58,107	1,14,292	6,305	1,07,987

No. 3.—Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under management for Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).			Collection for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).			Amount remitted.	Balance at the Close of Fasli.			Subsequent Collections and Remissions.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	1,407	11,116	12,523	1,150	8,308	9,458	..	257	2,808	3,065	418	2,647
	Nangavaram	8,856	18,767	27,623	4,603	13,621	18,224	676	3,577	5,146	8,723	1,231	7,492
	Total ..	10,263	29,883	40,146	5,753	21,929	27,682	676	3,834	7,954	11,788	1,649	10,139
Madura	Ramnad	4,69,147	7,55,587	12,24,734	2,23,981	6,51,669	8,75,650	4,765	2,40,401	1,03,918	3,44,319	45,761	2,98,558
	Gandamanáyakanúr	32,079	57,606	89,685	20,702	50,733	71,435	8,219	3,158	6,873	10,031	5,584	4,447
	Santhaiyur	3,443	10,832	14,275	1,872	9,731	11,603	534	1,037	1,101	2,138	1,028	1,110
	Vadagarai	685	3,227	3,912	641	2,805	3,446	..	44	422	466	215	251
	Total ..	5,05,354	8,27,252	13,32,606	2,47,196	7,14,938	9,62,134	13,518	2,44,640	1,12,314	3,56,954	52,588	3,04,366
Tinnevelly	Urkád Partible Property Deposit	101	136	237	93	24	117	..	8	112	120	..	120
	M. Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate	9,334	12,623	21,957	1,366	10,472	11,838	..	7,968	2,151	10,119	304	9,815
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate.	..	5,485	5,485	..	5,345	5,345	..	..	140	140	43	97
	Vadimitta	11,289	30,276	41,565	7,455	25,828	33,283	777	3,057	4,448	7,505	3,312	4,193
	Total ..	20,724	48,520	69,244	8,914	41,669	50,583	777	11,033	6,851	17,884	3,659	14,225
Coimbatore	Poravipálaiyam	2,243	12,338	14,581	1,865	9,271	11,136	..	378	3,067	3,445	2,573	872
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam	8,840	15,393	24,233	5,719	9,504	15,223	..	3,121	5,889	9,010	1,383	7,627
South Canara	Brahmavar Sarvothama Rao's Estate	372	4,167	4,539	232	3,170	3,402	..	140	997	1,137	911	226
Malabar	Kavalpara	5,351	35,093	40,444	2,634	31,498	34,132	..	2,717	3,595	6,312	465	5,847
	Mangara	2,387	8,373	10,760	686	7,071	7,757	..	1,701	1,302	3,003	135	2,868
	Bimbalen	..	372	372	..	372	372	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	7,738	43,838	51,576	3,320	38,941	42,261	..	4,418	4,897	9,315	600	8,715
	Grand Total ..	8,57,687	19,61,046	28,18,733	4,15,380	16,01,727	20,17,107	*45,674	4,02,575	3,53,377	7,55,952	1,48,816	6,07,136

	Rs.
* Arrears	39,732
Current	5,942
Total ..	45,674

No. 4.—FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under management for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount at Credit at the end of Fasli 1291 (1881-82).			Income in Fasli 1292 (1882-83).			Total of Columns 5 and 8.	Charges.				
		Cash.	Government Paper.	Total.	Collections within the Fasli as in Column 8 of Statement No. 3.	Interest on Funds invested and Rents of Houses and other Income.	Total.		Establishment and Contingencies.	Maramut Expenditure.	Government Demand.		Government Commission.
											Fixed Peishcush.	Assessment and Land-cess.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	35,192	23,52,300	23,87,492	3,54,405	1,26,452	4,80,857	28,68,349	19,041	71,829	49,402	14,270	..
	Chinna Kimedi	14,536	50,000	64,536	59,391	5,744	65,135	1,29,671	5,304	8,574	20,826	6,432	796
	Sérgadá	25,454	..	25,454	19,893	2,089	21,982	47,436	1,463	1,037	5,823	1,952	632
	Jarada	33,525	..	33,525	21,097	1,178	22,275	55,800	1,880	3,099	2,314	1,618	331
	Urlám	39,082	2,15,000	2,54,082	36,746	10,190	46,936	3,01,018	2,597	16,761	13,580	3,428	498
	Total ..	1,47,789	26,17,300	27,65,089	4,91,532	1,45,653	6,37,185	43,02,274	30,285	1,01,300	91,945	27,700	2,257
Vizagapatam	Rewada	1,551	4,700	6,251	1,432	336	1,768	8,019	141	..	1,763	50	..
	Merangi	2,998	1,31,900	1,34,898	51,405	9,994	61,399	1,96,297	2,322	11,786	16,480	6,398	892
	Pondur Mallavaram	482	..	482	2,439	630	3,069	3,551	145	46	1,058	203	56
	Mádugula	2,122	..	2,122	3,152	2,335	5,487	7,609	430	..	..	276	61
	Chidikada Jagannathapuram ..	16,577	..	16,577	22,767	374	23,141	39,718	1,166	1,799	4,855	2,327	299
	Srípuram	3,772	..	3,772	18,148	1,672	19,820	23,592	804	1,061	10,362	950	228
	Chipurupalle	2,999	..	2,999	7,801	1,859	9,660	12,659	634	479	3,036	633	..
	Total ..	30,501	1,36,600	1,67,101	1,07,144	17,200	1,24,344	2,91,445	5,642	15,171	37,554	10,837	1,536
Godávari	Lakkavaram	22,836	43,000	65,836	11,825	11,286	23,111	88,947	2,210	1,475	5,749	1,125	..
	Gopálpur	96,384	2,28,100	3,24,484	63,675	12,710	76,385	4,00,869	3,694	209	18,511	7,764	1,287
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	9,239	52,400	61,639	43,368	3,111	46,479	1,08,118	2,599	1,390	20,154	2,806	524
	Total ..	1,28,459	3,23,500	4,51,959	1,18,868	27,107	1,45,975	5,97,934	8,503	3,074	44,414	11,695	1,811
Cuddapah	Chittacherla	3,215	3,000	6,215	1,694	3,139	4,833	11,048	447	193	..	439	61
Chingleput	Soranjeri	1	..	1	6,057	2,148	8,205	8,206	448	124	3,721	570	93
Tanjore	Ukkadai	339	..	339	34,562	15,364	49,926	50,265	2,273	252	..	12,090	..
	Velanganni	996	..	996	2,656	148	2,804	3,800	89	20	..	1,829	..
	Gandharvakóta	12,838	..	12,838	18,447	538	18,985	31,823	2,825	156	3,108	..	..
	Vadapathimangalam	3,450	..	3,450	86,520	23,408	1,09,928	1,13,378	3,596	640	..	41,807	..
	Alangudicheri	2,264	..	2,264	11,703	4,348	16,051	18,315	329	..	..	3,632	..
	Papanad	3,236	..	3,236	11,577	898	12,475	15,711	800	26	3,990	135	..
	Mathur	..	..	..	6,939	1,223	8,162	8,162	21	..	..	1,733	..
	Total ..	23,123	..	23,123	1,72,404	45,927	2,18,331	2,41,454	9,933	1,094	7,098	61,226	..

No. 4.—Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under management for Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—(*Continued*).						Balance to the Credit of the Estate.			Difference between Columns 5 and 23.	
		Total Management and Peishcush.	Maintenance and Education of Minors.	Allowance to other Members of the Family.	Payment of Debts.	Other Miscellaneous Disbursements.	Total Charges.	In Government Paper.	Cash.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	1,54,542	78,206	6,990	..	2,14,729	4,54,467	22,50,800	1,63,082	24,13,882	26,390	..
	Chinna Kimedi	41,932	6,177	7,382	..	11,769	67,260	50,000	12,411	62,411	..	2,125
	Sérgadá	10,907	3,414	..	..	32,615	46,936	..	500	500	..	24,954
	Jarada	9,242	2,150	5,679	..	13,544	30,615	..	25,185	25,185	..	8,340
	Urlám	36,864	5,266	2,676	..	16,300	61,106	2,15,000	24,912	2,39,912	..	14,170
	Total ..	2,53,487	95,213	22,727	..	2,88,957	6,60,384	25,15,800	2,26,090	27,41,890	26,390	49,589
Vizagapatam	Rewada	1,954	270	..	..	1,056	32,80	4,700	39	4,739	..	1,512
	Merangi	37,878	13,575	1,900	..	2,984	56,337	1,31,900	8,060	1,39,960	5,062	..
	Pondur Mallavaram	1,508	721	..	..	34	2,263	..	1,288	1,288	806	..
	Mádugula	767	..	602	1,944	964	4,277	..	3,332	3,332	1,210	..
	Chidikada Jagannathapuram	10,446	4,024	1,000	..	1,871	17,341	..	22,377	22,377	5,800	..
	Srípuram	13,405	800	1,200	..	561	15,966	..	7,626	7,626	3,854	..
	Chípurupalle	4,782	2,346	..	484	1,530	9,142	..	3,517	3,517	518	..
	Total ..	70,740	21,736	4,702	2,428	9,000	1,08,606	1,36,600	46,239	1,82,839	17,250	1,512
Godávari	Lakkavaram	10,559	1,000	150	1,225	6,686	19,620	*43,000	26,327	69,327	3,490	..
	Gopálpur	31,465	12,100	..	..	2,928	46,493	2,28,100	1,26,276	3,54,376	29,891	..
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	27,473	2,932	235	..	1,585	32,225	52,400	23,493	75,893	14,254	..
	Total ..	69,497	16,032	385	1,225	11,199	98,338	3,23,500	1,76,096	4,99,596	47,635	..
Cuddadah	Chittacherla	1,140	669	1,278	..	149	3,236	3,000	4,812	7,812	1,597	..
Chingleput	Soranjeri	4,956	720	..	..	1,439	7,115	..	1,091	1,091	1,090	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	14,615	772	1,000	5,828	15,571	37,786	..	12,479	12,479	12,140	..
	Velanganni	1,938	643	..	447	267	3,295	..	505	505	..	493
	Gandharvakóta	6,089	686	1,983	..	8,721	17,479	..	14,344	14,344	1,506	..
	Vadapathimangalam	46,043	2,917	..	6,795	27,481	83,236	..	30,142	30,142	26,692	..
	Alangudicheri	3,961	422	568	1,931	3,680	10,562	..	7,753	7,753	5,489	..
	Papanad	4,951	807	523	..	4,138	10,419	..	5,292	5,292	2,056	..
	Mathur	1,754	..	..	..	36	1,790	..	6,372	6,372	6,372	..
	Total ..	79,351	6,247	4,074	15,001	59,894	1,64,567	..	76,887	76,887	54,255	493

* Of this, Government promissory notes for Rs. 2,500 are with the Collector, being the deposit by an abkári contractor.

No. 4.—Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under management for Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount at Credit at the end of Fasli 1291 (1881-82).			Income in Fasli 1292 (1882-83).			Total of Columns 5 and 8.	Charges.				
		Cash.	Government Paper.	Total.	Collections within the Fasli as in Column 8 of Statement No. 3.	Interest on Funds invested and Rents of Houses and other Income.	Total.		Establishment and Contingencies.	Maramut Expenditure.	Government Demand.		Government Commission.
											Fixed Peishcush.	Assessment and Landcess.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	9,619	37,400	47,019	9,458	2,642	12,100	59,119	680	442	..	4,250	156
	Nangavaram	7,767	..	7,767	18,224	825	19,049	26,816	1,116	300	..	8,767	285
	Total ..	17,386	37,400	54,786	27,682	3,467	31,149	85,935	1,796	742	..	13,017	441
Madura	Ramnad	16,251	..	16,251	8,75,650	32,011	9,07,661	9,23,912	61,558	27,578	3,14,482	46,122	8,785
	Gandamanáyakanúr	16,987	1,17,300	1,34,287	71,435	9,816	81,251	2,15,538	5,034	22,413	13,415	1,940	523
	Santhaiyur	6,323	..	6,323	11,603	901	12,504	18,827	1,579	192	3,697	476	135
	Vadagarai	6,711	..	6,711	3,446	2,509	5,955	12,666	488	..	..	2,637	124
	Total ..	46,272	1,17,300	1,63,572	9,62,134	45,237	10,07,371	11,70,943	68,659	50,183	3,31,594	51,175	9,567
Tinnevelly	Medai Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate	8,780	..	8,780	11,838	8	11,846	20,626	818	161	..	7,198	..
	Urkád Deposit	1,183	20,800	21,983	117	825	942	22,925	51	..	..	75	..
	Vadimitta	5,220	..	5,220	33,283	..	33,283	38,503	901	140	4,798	627	..
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate	..	..	..	5,345	..	5,345	5,345	356	..	..	1,456	..
	Total ..	15,183	20,800	35,983	50,583	833	51,416	87,399	2,126	291	4,798	9,356	..
Coimbatore	Poravipálaiyam	3,758	..	3,758	11,136	10,641	21,777	25,535	540	123	2,660	1,183	188
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam	1,133	..	1,133	15,223	515	15,738	16,871	1,486	1,116	9,735	503	129
South Canara	B. Sarvothama Row's Estate	2,093	3,000	5,093	3,402	449	3,851	8,944	253	29	..	1,331	59
Malabar	Kavalpara	40,683	21,000	61,683	34,132	896	35,028	96,711	5,638	160	..	3,667	552
	Mangara	3,241	9,700	12,941	7,757	582	8,339	21,280	976	..	..	519	54
	Bimbalen	320	..	320	372	..	372	692	8	..	..	35	..
	Total ..	44,244	30,700	74,944	42,261	1,478	43,739	1,18,683	6,622	160	..	4,221	606
	Grand Total ..	4,63,157	32,89,600	37,52,757	20,10,120	3,03,794	23,13,914	60,66,671	1,36,740	1,73,600	5,33,519	1,93,253	16,748

No. 4.—Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under management for Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—(*Continued*).						Balance to the Credit of the Estate.			Difference between Columns 5 and 23.	
		Total Management and Peishcush.	Maintenance and Education of Minors.	Allowance to other Members of the Family.	Payment of Debts.	Other Miscellaneous Disbursements.	Total Charges.	In Government Paper.	Cash.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	5,528	1,308	180	..	1,815	8,831	43,400	6,888	50,288	3,269	..
	Nangavaram	10,468	1,713	765	7,121	1,476	21,543	..	5,273	5,273	..	2,494
	Total ..	15,996	3,021	945	7,121	3,291	30,374	43,400	12,161	55,561	3,269	2,494
Madura	Ramnad	4,58,525	15,568	19,955	2,68,870	22,261	7,85,179	..	1,38,733	1,38,733	1,22,482	..
	Gandamanáyakanúr	43,325	6,646	3,960	..	4,366	58,297	1,17,300	39,941	1,57,241	22,954	..
	Santhaiyur	6,079	300	..	..	984	7,363	..	11,464	11,464	5,141	..
	Vadagarai	3,249	939	..	521	1,589	6,298	..	6,368	6,368	..	343
	Total ..	5,11,178	23,453	23,915	2,69,391	29,200	8,57,137	1,17,300	1,96,506	3,13,806	1,50,577	343
Tinnevelly	Medai Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate	8,167	1,449	..	198	3,585	13,399	..	7,227	7,227	..	1,553
	Urkád Deposit	126	..	..	..	15	141	20,800	1,984	22,784	801	..
	Vadimitta	6,466	..	2,632	24,406	4,999	38,503	..	..	..	..	..
	Roshan Meah Sahib's Estate	1,812	22	22	..	2,381	4,237	..	1,108	1,108	1,108	..
	Total ..	16,571	1,471	2,654	24,604	10,980	56,280	20,800	10,319	31,119	1,909	1,553
Coimbatore	Poravipálaiyam	4,694	1,165	1,771	4,138	5,279	17,047	..	8,488	8,488	4,730	..
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam	12,969	667	..	1,094	1,486	16,216	..	655	655	..	478
South Canara	B. Sarvothama Row's Estate	1,672	288	961	..	856	3,777	4,000	1,167	5,167	74	..
Malabar	Kavalpara	10,017	3,908	..	..	11,873	25,798	21,000	49,913	70,913	9,230	..
	Mangara	1,549	855	..	..	1,466	3,870	9,700	7,710	17,410	4,469	..
	Bimbalen	43	126	..	146	13	328	..	364	364	44	..
	Total ..	11,609	4,889	..	146	13,352	29,996	30,700	57,987	88,687	13,743	..
	Grand Total ..	10,53,860	1,75,571	63,412	3,25,148	4,35,082	20,53,073	31,95,100	8,18,498	40,13,598	3,22,520	56,462

ENCLOSURE A to

STATEMENT No. 4 showing the Expenditure incurred on Irrigation Works, Buildings and Communications, in Fasli 1292 (1882-83).

Districts.	Estates.	Agricultural Works.		Buildings.		Communications.		Establishment.	Total.
		New Works.	Repairs.	New Works.	Repairs.	New Works.	Repairs		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	10,033	18,403	6,565	8,265	5,149	9,461	18,470	71,646
	Chinna Kimedi	1,383	3,815	1,992	1,477	..	..	..	8,667
	Uriám	1,311	3,504	6,023	880	5,279	..	..	16,997
	Sérgada	227	685	..	..	..	..	..	912
	Jarada	1,669	1,430	..	..	..	..	..	3,099
	Total ..	14,623	27,837	14,880	5,622	10,428	9,461	18,470	1,01,321
Vizagapatam	Merangi	..	2,908	8,878	..	..	..	..	11,786
	Chilikada Jagannathapuram ..	..	1,799	..	..	..	..	..	1,799
	Srípuram	..	1,001	..	60	..	..	..	1,061
	Pondur Mallavaram	..	46	..	..	..	..	..	46
	Chipurupalle	..	264	..	215	..	..	..	479
	Total ..	6,018	8,878	275	..	..	..	15,171	
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	..	1,387	..	88	..	..	..	1,475
	Gopálpur	..	209	..	..	..	..	..	209
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	..	148	..	1,242	..	..	..	1,390
	Total ..	1,744	..	1,330	..	..	..	3,074	
Cuddapah ..	Chittacheria	..	178	..	15	..	..	..	193
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	..	113	..	11	..	..	..	124
Tanjore ..	Velanganni	..	156	..	20	..	..	..	20
	Gandharvakóta	..	252	..	..	..	..	..	156
	Ukkadai	..	640	..	..	..	..	..	252
	Vadapathimangalam	..	26	..	..	..	..	..	640
	Papanad	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	26
	Total ..	1,074	..	20	..	..	..	1,094	
Trichinopoly	Peramur	..	442	..	..	..	..	..	442
	Nangavaram	..	..	..	300	..	..	..	300
	Total ..	..	442	..	300	..	..	..	742
Madura ..	Ramanad	..	19,415	..	300	..	..	..	27,578
	Gandamandyakandr	..	16,300	..	2,179	..	..	5,984	22,413
	Santhaiyur	..	192	..	1,701	..	..	4,412	192
	Total ..	..	35,907	..	3,880	..	..	10,396	50,183
Tinnevelly ..	M. Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate	..	19	..	132	..	..	..	151
	Vadimitta	..	108	..	32	..	..	..	140
	Total ..	..	127	..	164	..	..	..	291
Coimbatore ..	Poravipálaiyam	..	..	..	123	..	..	..	123
Salem ..	Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam	..	1,116	..	..	..	..	..	1,116
South Canara.	B. Sarvothama Row's Estate.	..	29	..	..	..	..	..	29
Malabar ..	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	160	..	160
	Grand Total ..	14,623	74,585	23,758	11,740	10,428	9,621	28,866	1,73,621

* These figures are as per Progress Report of Public Works. They differ from the figures given in Statement No. 4, column 7. The Collector has been called upon to explain the difference.

No. 5.—Statement showing the Debts due to and by the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1292 (1882-83).

Districts.	Estates.	DEBTS DUE TO THE ESTATE.							DEBTS DUE BY THE ESTATE.						
		Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the Fasli.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to account and interest accumulated in the Fasli.	Total.	Debts paid or set off.	Debts remitted or struck off the accounts.	Total.	Remainder due by the Estate at the end of the Fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagapatam ..	Rewada	934	33	967	80	..	80	887	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Merangi	10,150	19	10,169	870	..	870	9,299	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Pondur Mallavaram.	100	..	100	..	..	..	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mádugula	2,669	..	2,669	1,095	..	1,095	1,574	67,611	..	67,611	1,944	..	1,944	65,667
	Chidikada Jaganathapuram.	219	139	358	219	..	219	139	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Sripuram	Nil.	..	..	..	..	..	..	670	..	670	..	..	..	670
	Chipurupalle ..	..	21,170	21,170	1,201	5,937	7,138	14,032	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	14,072	21,361	35,433	3,465	5,937	9,402	26,031	68,281	..	68,281	1,944	..	1,944	66,337
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram ..	56,472	3,156	59,628	10,196	..	10,196	49,432	1,085	147	1,232	1,225	..	1,225	7
	Gopálpur	14,028	444	14,472	2,538	..	2,538	11,934	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kopileswarapuram and Kesanakurru ..	72	..	72	12	..	12	60	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	70,572	3,600	74,172	12,746	..	12,746	61,426	1,085	147	1,232	1,225	..	1,225	7
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	4,759	48	4,807	1,668	..	1,668	3,139	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	27,295	373	27,668	4,612	..	4,612	23,056	89,033	3,143	92,176	5,828	..	5,828	86,348
	Velanganni ..	1,199	91	1,290	29	..	29	1,261	6,334	873	7,207	448	..	448	6,759
	Gandharvakóta ..	41,550	4,693	46,243	293	..	293	45,950	2,61,700	6,910	2,68,610	..	..	..	2,68,610
	Vadapathimangalam.	1,06,806	14,210	1,21,016	20,985	..	20,985	1,00,031	61,014	3,693	64,707	6,795	..	6,795	57,912
	Alangudicheri ..	26,853	1,185	28,038	3,712	..	3,712	24,326	7,496	218	7,714	1,931	..	1,931	5,783
	Mathur	2,577	13	2,590	128	..	128	2,462	28,763	1,306	30,069	..	..	..	30,069
	Papanad	20,022	1,625	21,647	428	..	428	21,219	31,351	2,385	33,736	926	..	926	32,810
	Total ..	2,26,302	22,190	2,48,492	30,187	..	30,187	2,18,305	4,85,691	18,528	5,04,219	15,928	..	15,928	4,88,291
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	15	..	15	..	..	..	15	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Nangavaram ..	8,427	643	9,070	825	..	825	8,245	33,387	1,625	35,012	7,121	..	7,121	27,891
	Total ..	8,442	643	9,085	825	..	825	8,260	33,387	1,625	35,012	7,121	..	7,121	27,891

No. 5.—Statement showing the Debts due to and by the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	DEBTS DUE TO THE ESTATE.							DEBTS DUE BY THE ESTATE.						
		Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the Fasli.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to account and interest accumulated in the fasli.	Total.	Debts paid or set off.	Debts remitted or struck off the account.	Total.	Remainder due by the Estate at the end of the Fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Madura	Ramnad	201	10	211	..	..	..	211	14,08,164	65,402	14,73,566	2,68,870	..	2,68,870	12,04,696
	Gandamanáyakanúr.	2,045	114	2,159	700	..	700	1,459	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Vadagarai	2,627	6	2,633	181	..	181	2,452	521	..	521	521	..	521	..
	Total ..	4,873	130	5,003	881	..	881	4,122	14,08,685	65,402	14,74,087	2,69,391	..	2,69,391	12,04,696
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	47,277	2,676	49,953	24,406	..	24,406	25,547
	M. Dalavoi Kumaraswami Mudaliar's Estate.	5,142	..	5,142	8	..	8	5,134	21,275	..	21,275	198	..	198	21,077
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate.	2,297	117	2,414	18	..	18	2,396	61,719	5,122	66,841	6,034	..	6,034	60,807
	Total ..	7,439	117	7,556	26	..	26	7,530	1,30,271	7,798	1,38,069	30,638	..	30,638	1,07,431
Coimbatore ..	Poravipálaiyam ..	15,445	1,344	16,789	10,538	..	10,538	6,251	10,912	292	11,204	4,138	..	4,138	7,066
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapálaiyam.	216	..	216	44	..	44	172	6,300	394	6,694	1,094	..	1,094	5,600
Malabar ..	Kavalpara	245	12	257	..	..	..	257	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mangara	373	15	388	95	..	95	293	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bimbalen	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,950	146	2,096	146	..	146	1,950
	Total ..	618	27	645	95	..	95	550	1,950	146	2,096	146	..	146	1,950
	Grand Total ..	3,52,738	49,460	4,02,198	60,475	5,937	66,412	3,35,786	21,46,562	94,332	22,40,894	3,31,625	..	3,31,625	19,09,269

No. 6.—Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the Minor Proprietors under the Court of Wards in the Madras Presidency in Fasli 1292 (1882-83).

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and Attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of School Days	The Number of Days the Ward attended the School.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which it accords ing to the Standard of a Government School.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi.	Ward's sons, Srigoura Chendra Gajapati Narayana Deo.	20	2	..	..	Sixth Class ..	221	213
	Do. ..	Srihari Kristna Deo.	16	1	..	..	Third and Fourth Classes.	221	205
	Chinna Kimedi.	Sri Braja Kisora Deo.	14	..	..	..	First and Second Classes.	221	213
	Urlám ..	Kandukuri Maha Lakshmamma.	14	..	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam.	Rewada ..	Vasanta Row Vencata Lakshminarayana Row Puntulu.	21	8	7	..	..	..	..
	Merangi ..	Sri Satrucharla Jagannatha Raza Bahadur.	15	5	3	..	..	..	..
	Pondur Mallavaram.	Sri Rajah Kakarlapudi Venkata Suryanarayana Niladri Razu Gáru.	19	3	13	..	Second Class in the Primary School at Rajahmundry.	244	63
	Srípuram ..	Sri Rajah Inuganti Rajagopalarayanin Gáru.	8	10	5	..	..	..	..
	Lakkavaram.	Sri Rajah Mantripragada Bhujanga Row.	7	2	16	..	..	..	..
Godávari..	Gopálpur ..	Sri Rajah Uppalapati Venkata Vijaya Gopala Raju Gáru.	19	5	10	..	..	..	..

Results of the Annual Examination.					Remarks as to the Ward's Attendance at School, his Handwriting and General Behaviour.
English.			Vernacular.		
Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Language.	Grammar.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
Macaulay's Lays of Ancient Rome and General Reading. Progress satisfactory.	Arithmetic, the whole. Euclid, two books. Algebra, equations. Has a fair knowledge of the subjects.	History of India, the whole. History of England, to the reign of Charles I. Geography, the whole. Answering fair.	Nitichendrica and Nitisangraham. Hitopadesa and Ramayana. Has a fair knowledge of all these subjects.	Venkayya's Grammar. Lowry's Grammar.	Always punctual in attendance and of unexceptionable behaviour.
Barrow's Fourth Reader, 20 pages. Morgan's Grammar, etymology. Has a fair knowledge.	Arithmetic to the end of vulgar fractions. Progress not satisfactory.	Has begun Sinclair's outlines of Indian History. Geography, India, Asia and Europe. Progress not satisfactory.	Nitichendrica, 44 pages. Progress satisfactory. Exile of Sita, 56 pages. Sujana Satakam, 60 verses. Reading and writing good.	Venkayya's Grammar, 18 pages. Lowry's Grammar, 20 pages. Answering satisfactory.	English handwriting poor. Telugu and Oriya good. Attendance and general behaviour very good.
Second Reader, 25 pages. Unsatisfactory.	Compound rules and easy problems. Very unsatisfactory.	Geography, India.	Third Book of Lessons, 25 pages. Hitopadesa, Part II: Sujana Satakam, 20 verses.	Seshiah's Grammar, 20 pages. Radhanath's Grammar.	Handwriting very poor. Attendance and general behaviour good.
Royal Reader, No. I, and its Sequel, No. II, of the same series; and Mavor's Spelling Book and Part II of Morgan's Grammar. Progress is satisfactory.	Least common multiple and reduction and compound rule of three. Progress not satisfactory.	Duncan's Geography of India. Progress fair.	..	..	Takes great interest in her studies and has done exceedingly well in fancy work, embroidery and needlework. Is also able to play a few simple tunes on the piano and is beginning a little of drawing. Her health failed of late.
..	..	..	..	..	The proprietor ceased attending the Hindu College during the year. The estate has been handed over to him on the 1st November 1882.
..	..	..	..	..	Attendance generally regular and handwriting fairly good.
$1\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{0}{6}$	$\frac{0}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{0}{6}$	Attendance very poor. Handwriting very poor for his age. General behaviour not bad.
..	..	..	..	..	Attendance and general behaviour good.
..	..	..	..	..	The details required in 6 to 15 are not given here as the minor is under the tuition of a pial schoolmaster.
..	..	..	..	..	The minor attended no school but was under the tuition of Mr. Marsh, who remarks that his attendance has been regular and punctual. He is diligent, truthful and straightforward. His handwriting is good, but his abilities are decidedly poor owing to a weak memory.

No. 6.—Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the Minor Proprietors

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Year.		Age and attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the Year.	Number of School Days.	The Number of Days the Ward attended the School.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Godávari—(*Contd*).	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.	Balusu Rama Lakshmamma Garu.	21	..	8	Last Class.	Private tuition.	240	120
Cuddapah ..	Chittacherla.	Tarigonda Venkatappa Nayanivaru.	14	4	7½	Do.	Second Class.	242	235
Chingleput.	Soranjeri ..	V. S. Kristnaswami Iyengar.	19	2	11 years and 5 months.	Lower Fourth.	Matriculation Class, Junior F.A. Class, Presidency College.	187	164½
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai ..	Annasawmi Thevar.	10	11½	6	None.	..	..	..
	Vadapathimangalam.	Somasundara Mudaliar.	13	4	11	Second Class.	Third and Lower Fourth.	190	161
		Minakshisundrum.	5	2	3				Not
	Velanganni ..	S. Ramalinga Pillai.	17	..	13½	Not fit for the last class.	Third ..	251	168½
	Alangudicheri.	Musali Naidu ..	16	7	14 years and 11 months.	Fifth Results Standard.	Lower Fourth.	62	62
		Srinivasa Naidu.	14	10	13	Do.	Do. ..	56	47
		Krishnaswami Naidu.	12	10	11	*Nil.*	*Nil*	62	52
		Savurirajan ..	8	10	7	First Standard.	.. ..	36	35
	Gandharvakóta.	Suryanarayana Pandarathar.	9	6	7 years and 3 months.	A beginner. He had to begin the Tamil alphabet in school.	Third Standard since January 1883, and Second Standard before that.	290½	251
	Papanad ..	Saminatha Vijaya Thevar.	16	10	15	1st or 3rd Standard Class.	Private tuition.	126	105
		Sendal Kumarasami Vijaya Thevar.	15	4	14	First Class.	Second Class.	238	190
	Mathur ..	Nataraja Iyer..	11	10	11 years and 4 months.	Third Class.	Second Class from July to December 1882, and Third Class from January to June 1883.	238½	171
Madura ..	Ramnad ..	Bhaskarasawmi Setupathi.	14	7½	4 years and 4 months.	*Nil.*	Under the tuition of Mr. Gell. Fifth Class.	*Nil.*	*Nil.*
		Dinakarasawmi Thevar.	12	2	2	*Nil.*	Under the tuition of Mr. Gell. Lower Fourth.	*Nil.*	*Nil.*

under the Court of Wards in the Madras Presidency in Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued.*)

Results of the Annual Examination.					Remarks as to the Ward's Attendance at School, his Handwriting and General Behaviour.
English.			Vernacular.		
Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Language.	Grammar.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
..	..	..	..	..	Her handwriting is fair, but shows no improvement over last year. Conduct is good.
		Unsatisfactory.			Attendance very punctual. General behaviour very good. English writing bad. Telugu writing fair.
41½	48	21¼	51	..	The Principal of the Patcheappah's College reports that the minor was regular in attendance, his handwriting fair and general behaviour good.
Fair	Middling ..	Fair	Good	Good	Intelligent and well behaved, but sickly.
28 per cent. ..	33 per cent. ..	58 per cent. ..	32 per cent.		Conduct good. Attendance good. Handwriting tolerable.
under tuition.					
15¾/60	25¾/50	11½/40	31/60	1/20	Attendance improving. Writing tolerably fair. Conduct uniformly good.
25 per cent. ..	25 per cent. ..	25 per cent. ..	25 per cent. ..	25 per cent. ..	
23	30	11	25	25	Attendance good. Handwriting good. Behaviour good.
50	33⅓	50	33⅓	25	
50	70	Not examined.			
..	Fair	..	Pretty good ..	..	Attendance pretty regular. Handwriting not good. General behaviour good. The boy was removed to Tanjore to be educated at the Native High School (*vide* Proceedings, Mis. No. 2470, 19th March 1883).
The minor began his studies with the private tutor in February last. No examination has since been held.					Attendance regular. Handwriting fair. General behaviour good.
80 per cent.	40 per cent.	60 per cent.	33 per cent.	50 per cent.	Conduct good.
40¼/60	25⅗/50	27¾/40	22/30	15¾	Attendance satisfactory. Handwriting middling. General behaviour good.
⅞	¾	¾	⅞	¾	The minor went up for the Middle School Examination, but passed only in the Third Class. Though he failed somewhat in arithmetic he did very creditable in all the other subjects. His conduct is good.
⅝	½	⅞	¾	½	He is getting on satisfactorily and has improved remarkably during the fasli. His reading and writing both in English and Tamil are above the average for a boy of his age. His English pronunciation is good He is rather weak in arithmetic. His conduct and general behaviour is excellent.

No. 6.—Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the Minor Proprietors

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the Year.	Number of School Days.	The Number of Days the Ward attended the School.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Madura—(*Contd.*)	Gandamanayákanúr.	Sawmi Appen..	16	5	*Nil.*	*Nil.*	Under the tuition of Mr. Gell, Lower Fourth.	*Nil.*	*Nil.*
	Vadagarai ..	Ramabhadra Naicker.,	9	6⅓	7 years and 11 months.	*Nil.*	Third Standard Class since February and under the tuition of the guardian before that.	172 days under the guardian and 120 days in the school at Periyákulam. Total 292 days.	276
Trichinopoly.	Peramúr ..	Prasanna Venkatésa Iyer.	10	1½	..	Second Class.	In the First Class, Government School, Srírangam, from 9th January to 31st December 1882. In the Second Class from the 5th January 1883.	230	185
	Nangavaram .	Lakshminarayana Iyer.	9	..	..	..	Second Class, S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly, since June 1883.	51	38
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta ..	Kodumudi Muthukumaraswami Maniyakar.	10	6	7	..	..	..	..
	Medai Dalavoi Kumarasawami Mudaliar's Estate.	Medai Dalavoi Runganada Mudaliar.	17	1	15	Third Class.	Third Class ..	Attended no school, but studied privately.	..
		Medai Dalavoi Tirumalayappa Mudaliar.	11	10	10	First Class	First Class ..	240	200
	Panagudi Roshan Meah Sahib's Estate.	Abigi Meah ..	16	1	..	Second Class.	Third Class..	310	290
Coimbatore..	Paravipalaiyam	Jaganmandalathipathi Gopana Mannadiar.	19	9	..	Fourth Lower.	Third Class and Fourth Lower.	265	200

under the Court of Wards in the Madras Presidency in Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued*).

Results of the Annual Examination.					Remarks as to the Ward's Attendance at School, his Handwriting and General Behaviour.
English.			Vernacular.		
Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Language.	Grammar.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
¾	¾	¾	¾	½	He has made considerable advance since last year, especially in arithmetic, but the unusual weakness of his memory is a great disadvantage, hence even in reading and writing he seems but little nearer the general standard of other boys of his own age than before. He is fond of gymnastics. His general behaviour and bearing are good.
The results of the First Standard Examination held in November 1882 were not furnished by the Deputy Inspector of Schools, and the examination for the Third Standard has not yet been held.					Attendance regular. Handwriting both in English and Tamil good. General behaviour and manners are good, though somewhat reserved.
..	¼	..	⅘	..	His attendance is generally regular. Handwriting good, and general behaviour satisfactory.
..	..	..	..	..	Some improvement in handwriting, and general behaviour good.
..	..	..	..	..	.....
Marden's Third Reader, English Grammatical Primer, dictation, translation.	Bernard Smith's Arithmetic, whole of simple, vulgar and decimal fractions and reductions.	Morris' History of India. Tamil Duncan's Geography of Europe.	Tamil Third Reader, dictation.	Mahalinga Aiyar's Grammar.	Handwriting fair and general behaviour good. His progress was unsatisfactory.
Garthwaite's First Reader, dictation and copy writing.	Bernard Smith's Arithmetic, from simple addition down to compound addition.	Morris' Tamil History of India, Second and Third Chapters, Madras Presidency.	Tamil Second Reader, dictation and copy writing.	Tamil Grammar (Pope's), Part I.	The younger minor got ⅓ of the marks in each subject and was promoted from the elementary to the first class. His attendance was regular, handwriting somewhat improved, general behaviour good.
4⅗	2¼	1⅔	3⅔	2¼	Attendance good, handwriting very fair, general behaviour good.
..	..	Absent.	..	..	.....

No. 6.—Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the Minor Proprietors

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the Year.	Number of School Days.	The Number of Days the Ward attended the School.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Coimbatore —(*Contd.*)	Poravipalaiyam	Chandra Gopana Mannadiar.	15	..	..	Fourth Lower.	Third Class and Fourth Lower.	265	200
South Canara.	Brahmavar Sarvothama Row's Estate.	Brahmavar Sarvothama Row.	12	7	4½	Had not been put under tuition being too young.	Second Class..	301	273
Malabar ..	Managara ..	K. Katangi Nayar.	17	9	11	Preparatory Class, Second Standard.	Third and LowerFourth Class.	188½	163

under the Court of Wards in the Madras Presidency in Fasli 1292 (1882-83)—(*Continued*).

Results of the Annual Examination.					Remarks as to the Ward's Attendance at School, his Handwriting and General Behaviour.
English.			Vernacular.		
Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Language.	Grammar.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
..	..	Absent.	..	..	Both the boys are generally unsatisfactory in everything. Their attendance is irregular and the manner in which their studies are attended to proves that they have no home direction or supervision of any but the worst kind. The younger of the two lads is more promising and something might be made of him under different circumstances. Their handwriting is indifferent.
13½/36	15½/50	17/20	10½/12	8/8	Attendance regular, handwriting middling, not improved; conduct good.
Number of marks obtained in the Third Class Comparative Examination is 123½.					Attendance good, handwriting improving, behaviour satisfactory.

Order—dated 18th October 1884, No. 1146, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The foregoing report on the administration of estates of incapacitated proprietors for Fasli 1292 was received in the Government office on the 25th ultimo instead of on the 1st May last. The delay in the submission of this report has been repeatedly noticed by Government of late years, but without the desired effect. The Court will, in future, use every possible endeavour to submit it by the prescribed date as promised in paragraph 3 of their Proceedings, dated 11th January 1881, No. 17.

2. At the close of Fasli 1291, there were thirty-seven estates under the Court's control, of which four were made over to their proprietors on their attaining majority and six were newly taken under management, making thus the number under the Court's jurisdiction thirty-nine at the end of the year under review.

3. Particulars are given in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Court's Proceedings in regard to the four estates surrendered during the year. The largest of them is Núzvíd in the Kistna district. It was under the Court's control only for a period of five years, during which it was not possible to do much either for the improvement of the estate or the education of the minors, in consequence of the harassing litigation in which the members of the family were engaged and which eventually terminated in the dismemberment of this large and fine estate. The Sérgadá estate in the Ganjam district had the full benefit of the Court's management, which extended over a period of nearly eleven years. The rent-roll of the estate has risen by nearly 50 per cent. in consequence of the improvements to irrigation works effected under the Court's supervision. The minor has received a fair education under Mr. Taylor, the tutor employed at Parlákimedi. The other two estates handed over are comparatively insignificant ones, and call for no special notice.

4. The six estates which came under the Court's control during the year are not of any considerable magnitude. Their annual rent-roll amounts to Rs. 51,460 as noted below :—

Estate.	District.	Income. Rs.
Chípurupalle	Vizagapatam	8,956
Mathúr	Tanjore	7,826
Papanád	Do.	15,692
Roshan Miah Sáhib's estate	Tinnevelly	3,678
Shulagiri	Salem	14,940
Bimbalen	Malabar	368

5. The total number of villages comprised in the estates under the Court's management is 3,634, of which 2,220 are proprietary and the rest inam. Of the former 48 per cent. are held on the system of sharing the produce, 17 per cent. on money-rents, and the rest on ryotwari tenure. The sharing system is in force chiefly in Ramnad and three other estates in Madura and Tanjore. The general condition of the ryots, as sketched in paragraph 34 of the Court's Proceedings, is on the whole satisfactory. In most cases, it is reported to be quite as good as that of the ryots in the adjoining Government taluks ; and in Parlákimedi, the estate which has been longest under the Court's control and is the largest after Ramnad, the ryots are said to be "better off than those of the neighbouring taluk of Chicacole," in itself a prosperous and fertile tract. The Government wish to know what orders have been passed on Mr. Goodrich's letter, 15th February 1883, No. 160 E, relating to the survey and settlement of this estate. The condition of the ryots in the Ramnad estate is reported to have been steadily improving of late years, but it is unfortunate that many of the irrigation works, which had been repaired at a considerable outlay, should have been seriously damaged by the heavy rains of May 1883. The condition of the ryots in five out of the seven estates in the Tanjore district is described as "very miserable." These people, however, are merely laborers paid by a share of the crop, and the use of the word "ryots" in regard to them is misleading. They are not worse off than similar farm hands employed under the Government ryots or mirasidars in neighbouring tracts of the country.

6. The agricultural statistics of the estates continue * to be defective, in that they do not show the area actually brought under cultivation in each estate. The omission, as pointed out by the Court, is due to want of proper agency for correctly recording the particulars of cultivation, &c., in the Zamindari tracts of this Presidency. Some improvement will perhaps be possible when the Karanams' Bill now before the Legislative Council becomes law.

* G.O., 15th November 1880, No. 1492, paragraph 4.

7. The current demand of all the estates aggregated Rs. 19,61,046, of which Rs. 16,01,727 or 81·7 per cent. were collected within the year, against 84·2 per cent. in the preceding year. The current collections were not quite satisfactory in many of the large estates. The Collectors concerned should pay closer attention to the matter in future. It is observed, however, that the balances were considerably reduced within the year, viz., from Rs. 8,57,687 to Rs. 7,55,952 and to Rs. 6,07,136 by subsequent collections. Of the "arrears" demand at the beginning of the year, nearly 50 per cent. was collected up to the date of the report, and 5 per cent. written off the accounts. Sixty per cent. of the balance or Rs. 3,44,319 was outstanding in Ramnad alone, but the balance was less by $1\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs than at the close of the preceding year.

8. Inclusive of the income other than rents, which amounted to Rs. 3,03,794, the entire cash receipts of all the estates came to Rs. 23,13,914, and the charges to Rs. 20,53,073, leaving thus a balance of over $2\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to be added to the accumulated balance of $37\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in hand at the commencement of the fasli. Of this amount, over 24 lakhs belong to the Parlákimedi Zamindari, which has been uninterruptedly under the Court's control for more than half a century.

9. It is observed that a sum of Rs. 1,73,621 was spent on public works in the various estates—51 per cent. being devoted to irrigation works, 17 per cent. to establishments, and the rest to roads and buildings. The amount spent on roads, viz., Rs. 20,000, was small, but it is to be remembered that the estates pay road cess, which is expended by the local Boards. There is doubtless much room for improvement, as the Court observe (paragraph 34), in respect of the roads in the Parlákimedi estate and the irrigation works in Ramnad. His Excellency the Governor in Council trusts that, under the recent arrangements whereby all works in the Wards' estates have been placed under the direction of the Public Works Department, the estates concerned will receive substantial benefit. The attention of the department has recently been specially called to the Polavaram embankment, a work in which the Kesanakurru estate is vitally interested, and for which the estate contribution was fully paid eight years ago (Court's Proceedings, 14th July 1884, No. 2409).

G.O., 28th April 1884, No. 1067-W.
„ 18th June „ „ 1523-W.

10. In paragraphs 29 and 30 of the Court's Proceedings are noticed at length the particulars of the debts due to and by the several estates. They amount respectively to Rs. 4,02,198 and Rs. 22,40,894. Of the former amount, Rs. 60,475 were collected during the year and Rs. 5,937 written off as irrecoverable. Of the latter $3\frac{1}{3}$ lakhs were liquidated during the year. The bulk of the debt due by the estates is made up of the sum due by Ramnad to Government. Of this debt, amounting to Rs. 13,72,830, the Manager was able to pay off two lakhs within the year and another lakh within three months after its close, which is very satisfactory. The Collector states that it is safe to reckon on the whole debt being paid off within the period of management. Of the other estates involved in debts, Gandharvakóta and Roshan Miah Sáhib's estate demand the special attention of the Court of Wards. The financial condition of these estates appears to be almost hopeless, but the Government do not doubt that the Court will do all they can to extricate the proprietors from their embarassments.

11. The educational progress of the Wards which is minutely described by the Court in paragraphs 31 and 32, and in statement 6 appended to the report, is fair on the whole. The Government, however, learn with regret that the pupils under Mr. Taylor's tuition in the Ganjam district have not made satisfactory progress. With reference to the Court's remarks on this subject at paragraph 32, it would no doubt have been advantageous had the other calls upon the Director of Public Instruction during his

tour in the Northern Circars admitted of his visiting Parlákimedi; the Government think that as Mr. Munro's report is now a year and a half old, a further examination should be made by the present Inspector of Schools, and that the Collector (Mr. Horsfall) should also express an opinion on the question. The three younger daughters of the proprietrix of Chípurupalle are, it is stated, attending the Roman Catholic Convent School at Vizagapatam, and the elder minor of Nangavaram, a boy aged about nine years, has been removed from the Local Fund School at Nangavaram to the S. P. G. College at Trichinopoly. The attention of the Court of Wards will be drawn to G.O., 6th June 1866, No. 1361, and the previous orders therein quoted, which prohibit such a course unless the kinsmen of the minors desire it.

12. The information furnished on the important subject of alienations which have taken place in the Wards' estates subsequent to the permanent settlement, is still incomplete, but the matter seems to be completely disposed of in 25 out of the 39 estates, and partially in others. In their Proceedings, dated 9th January 1884, No. 44, the necessary orders in the matter were issued by the Court. The Government hope to receive a consolidated and exhaustive report on the subject with as little further delay as possible. The subject of dividing off the peshkash in cases where this is possible, should not be lost sight of.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
„ the Educational Department.
„ the Public Works „

Exd. W. H. French.

80 Copies.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 18th October 1884, No 1146.

G.O. 8-2820 W dt 10-11-84

OCTOBER.

Estates of Incapacitated Proprietors.

Court of Wards' report on the administration of — for Fasli 1292, reviewed.

18th June 1884, No. 1523 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 4th June 1884, No. 1866.

Read—G.O., dated 14th May 1884, No. 2034, Revenue.

Resolution—dated 4th June 1884, No. 1866.

The Court of Wards accept the proposal made by Government.

2. The works on estates under the Court will be placed under the Public Works Department, and a contribution of 23 per cent. upon the outlay will be paid to Government.

3. The Superintending Engineer will regulate the strength of the subordinate establishment to be entertained by any estate, and this establishment will be under his orders. The cost of this subordinate establishment will be deducted from the contribution of 23 per cent. on outlay payable to Government.

4. The Court beg to represent that the Public Works Department staff will require some addition in the Ganjam and Madura districts.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

Mis. No. 2487, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department, with reference to the order in that Department, dated 28th April 1884, No. 1067 W.

Ootacamund,
13th June 1884.

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

Order—dated 18th June 1884, No. 1523 W., Public Works.

Communicated to Superintending Engineers for information and guidance, with reference to G.O., No. 1067 W., dated 28th April 1884.

2. The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, will issue such subsidiary instructions, in regard to accounts, as may be needed to give effect to the arrangements approved by Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.

Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 18*th*.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 18th June 1884, No. 1523 W.

JUNE.

Works in Estates under the Court of Wards

The Court's approval of the proposals of Government for the execution of —, communicated to Superintending Engineers.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 2nd September 1884, No. 3063.

Read—the following letter from Lieut.-Colonel H. R. MEAD, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 25th July 1884, No. 652 T.J. :—

With reference to G.O., No. 1523 W., dated 18th June 1884, ordering that works in estates under the Court of Wards be placed under this department, I have the honor to point out that, as paragraph 2 of the resolution of the Court of Wards No. 1866 directs the subordinate establishment employed on any estate to be under the orders of the Superintending Engineer, I suppose it is intended that *all the work* in each estate shall be carried out by this department, and as paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 1523 W. orders the Examiner to issue instructions with regard to accounts, I presume that Government intend that all the works should be carried out under code rules. If this is the case, I have to point out that for the estates of Ramnad and Gandamanáyakanúr, very heavy establishments will be necessary, and, in fact, it will be necessary for a new division and sub-division to be formed for these two estates, as it will be impossible for the Executive Engineer, Madura, to carry on all the works in the estates and his present division.

2. I venture to suggest that this should not be done.

In the Government districts, it has been thought right to relieve the department of all charge of what are called minor irrigation works (as a rule works irrigating less than 200 acres are called minor) and of all repairs to buildings and other works the cost of which is less than Rs. 1,000. I would propose that the same course should be adopted with regard to works in estates under the Court of Wards ; that the Collector should, through his managers, carry out all repairs to irrigation works that may be, by him and the Superintending Engineer, classed as minor, and also execute all repairs to buildings, &c., the estimated cost of which should be less than Rs. 1,000 ; that for this he should be given a small establishment, and, of course, be allowed to call on this department for advice when necessary. The execution of work, however, will be chiefly done through the Revenue establishments in the estates, as is done with regard to similar works in the Government districts. Of course, any work that the Collector and Superintending Engineer considered advisable to be carried out by the Public Works Department would be so carried out.

With regard to the larger irrigation works and important repairs, they will be done under code rules by this department.

3. I think the following facts as regards Ramnad zemindari, which have been kindly given to me by Honorary Deputy Collector Raja Ram Row, manager of the estate, will show that some arrangement, as above sketched out, will be necessary :—

Ramnad has an area of 2,361 square miles. There are in it 1,281 tanks. Of these, 922 belong to the estate proper, 315 to devastanam, and 44 to chattram funds. Of these—

64	irrigate	over	500	acres.		
138	,,	,,	200	and under	500	acres.
234	,,	,,	100	,,	200	,,
290	,,	,,	50	,,	100	,,
555	,,	under	50	acres.		

These tanks, as a rule, get a very short supply, but are liable to serious injury when the rainfall is heavy.

The repair allotment for the estate of this official year is Rs. 95,300, of which probably about Rs. 8,000 is for buildings, the remainder for irrigation.

In 1882-83, the expenditure on irrigation repairs was only Rs. 27,478 ; it is, however, notorious that this sum was not sufficient to keep the works in proper repair. Many of them, I am informed, require extensive repair. For a short time prior to 1880, there was a special establishment costing Rs. 833-8-0 a-month, or Rs. 10,002 a-year ; it consisted of one engineer, on Rs. 470, two overseers, two sub-overseers and an office establishment.

There is now an establishment costing Rs. 6,252 a-year; it consists of one supervisor, Rs. 150, two overseers, two sub-overseers and the same office establishment as before. The repairs to buildings include repairs to chattrams, &c.

4. If the proposal made in paragraph 2 of this letter is adopted, I would suggest the following establishment for Ramnad :—

Public Works Department.

One sub-officer under the Executive Engineer, Madura, with establishment and one extra draftsman.
Two overseers with establishment.

Under the Manager of the Estate.

Four sub-overseers and four lascars.
Two clerks in the manager's office.

For Gandamanáyakanúr.

One supervisor under the sub-officer of Dindigul, with ordinary establishment.
One extra clerk in the sub-division office.

Under Manager.

One or two sub-overseers.

The executive office in Madura should be raised from third class to second class. Of course, if any extensive works are taken up in either of the estates, the establishment will be increased. With regard to Tanjore and Trichinopoly, probably an extra overseer may be required in Tanjore and perhaps a maistry in each district under the Collectors.

5. I am sending a copy of this letter to the Collector of Madura, in order that his opinion on the proposal may be sent in.

No. 428 E., Public Works.

Referred, through the Revenue Department, to the Court of Wards for favor of early remarks.

OOTACAMUND, 4th August 1884. (Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Col., R.E., Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

Mis. No. 3572, Revenue.

Forwarded accordingly.

OOTACAMUND, 18th August 1884. (Signed) H. E. STOKES, Secretary to Government.

Resolution—dated 2nd September 1884, No. 3063.

The Court of Wards have no objection to minor irrigation works and repairs costing less than Rs. 1,000 being left to the Collectors in the smaller estates scattered over the Presidency, but they do strongly object to any such arrangement as regards the Ramnad estate.

2. It was the state of affairs in Ramnad shown in Board's proceedings, No. 96, dated 14th January 1884, that particularly led the Court to ask for the assistance of the Department of Public Works. Very large sums of their ward's money are being spent on the small irrigation works described by Colonel Mead, and the Court are not satisfied that the money is well spent. The larger and smaller tanks in Ramnad are, it is believed, intermingled together, and it does not appear to be advisable to have two establishments going over the same ground. The Court, therefore, trust that the Superintending Engineer will be directed to take over all the works in the Ramnad estate.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE, Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Mis. No. 4103, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

OOTACAMUND, 17th September 1884. (Signed) H. E. STOKES, Secretary to Government.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 5th September 1884, No. 3121.
Endorsement in the Revenue Department, dated 30th September 1884, No. 4261.

From Lieutenant-Colonel P. MONTGOMERIE, R.E., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 24th September 1884, No. 230 G.L. :—

With reference to your memorandum, No. 1161 D., dated 26th June 1884, I have the honor to forward, herewith, a statement showing the additional establishment required in the Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Godávari districts on account of the transfer of works on Wards' estates to the Public Works Department.

2. The proposed allotments for expenditure on works of the different estates in the Ganjam district during the fasli 1294 (from 6th July 1884 to 30th June 1885) according to the budget lists furnished to me by the Collector, amount to Rs. 2,30,991, and the contribution to be paid to Government at 23 per cent. upon the outlay will be Rs. 53,130. The cost of additional establishment required in the circle office and in the Ganjam division amounts to Rs. 14,298.

3. The two additional clerks applied for for the Superintending Engineer's office are absolutely necessary as the work in the office has already been greatly increased since the formation of the Godávari Central and Rushikulya divisions.

4. I have not yet received the budget lists of works for the fasli 1294 from the Collectors of Vizagapatam and Godávari, but taking the allotments for works the same as those for last fasli (*vide* statement printed with G.O., No. 1067 W., of 28th April 1884) I have applied for one temporary overseer, 3rd grade, for five months * for each of these districts for employment on estate works.

* From November 1884 to 31st March 1885.

5. The formation of sub-divisions in the Ganjam division will be reported hereafter.

Enclosure.

I CIRCLE.

Statement showing the additional establishment required in the Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Godávari districts on account of the transfer of works on Wards' estates to the Public Works Department.

Order sanctioning present Establishment, Government of Madras.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Change.										Proposition.					
			Present Scale.					Proposed Scale.					Permanent.		Temporary.			
					Pay.					Pay.			Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.	
No.	Date.		No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or Fixed.	No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Fixed.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.
										Superintending Engineer's Office.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.
									1	Clerk	..	..	..	30	..	..	..	30	..	..
									1	Do.	..	..	..	20	..	..	..	20	..	..
										Total per month ..	..	..	..	50	..	..	..	50	..	..
										Total per year ..	..	..	..	600	..	..	..	600	..	..
										Ganjam Division.										
										Engineer Establishment.										
									1	Assistant Engineer	..	..	..	450	..	..	..	450	..	..
										Upper Subordinates.										
									1	Overseer	..	..	..	80	..	..	..	80	..	..
										Petty Establishment.										
									1	Storekeeper	..	..	..	25	..	..	..	25	..	..
									4	Lascars at Rs. 6 each	..	..	..	24	..	..	..	24	..	..
										Conservancy Establishment.										
									1	Head lascar at Rs. 7	..	..	..	7	..	..	..	7	..	..
									12	Lascars at Rs. 4 each	..	..	..	48	..	..	..	48	..	..
G.Os., No. 1729 W., and No. 3211 W.	14th July 1881 and 6th December 1882.	Superintending Engineer's Office, I Circle.																		
										Office Establishment.										
										1st Class Division Establishment.										
									1	Clerk	..	..	..	60	..	..	..	60	..	..
									1	Do.	..	..	..	40	..	..	..	40	..	..
									1	Draftsman	..	..	..	35	..	..	..	35	..	..
										Sub-Divisional Establishment for three Sub-Divisions.										
									1	Clerk	..	..	..	20	..	..	..	20	..	..
									1	Do.	..	..	..	15	..	..	..	15	..	..
									1	Messenger at Rs. 6	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	..	..
										Overseer's Establishment for five Overseers.										
									1	Clerk	..	..	..	15	..	..	..	15	..	..
										Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	825	..	..
										Travelling allowance ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	300	..	..
										Contingencies	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	44	..	..
										Total per month ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,169	..	..
										Total per year ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	14,028	..	..
Budget for 1884-85.		Vizagapatam Division.								Vizagapatam Division.										
										Upper Subordinates.										
									1	Overseer	..	..	..	60	..	..	..	60	..	..
										Travelling allowance	..	..	..	45	..	..	..	45	..	..
										Total per month ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	105	..	..
										Total per year ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,260	..	..
		Godávari District.								Godavari District.										
										Upper Subordinates.										
									1	Overseer	..	..	..	60	..	..	..	60	..	..
										Travelling allowance	..	..	..	45	..	..	..	45	..	..
										Total per month ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	105	..	..
										Total per year ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,260	..	..
										Grand Total for the year ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	17,148	..	..

Dowlaishweram,
24th September 1884.

(Signed) P. MONTGOMERIE, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 11th October 1884, No. 89 E.W.

ORDER—dated 28th October 1884, No. 2659 W., Public Works.

Communicated to Superintending Engineers for information and guidance, in continuation of G.O., No. 1523 W., dated 18th June 1884.

2. Proposals for establishment required to carry out work in Wards' estates should be framed with reference to the arrangements now approved by the Court.

3. The Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, will arrange for taking over all the works in the Ramnad estate as proposed by the Court of Wards. To admit of the work being properly attended to, a temporary division will be formed under an Executive Engineer on Rs. 550, to whose appointment the sanction of the Government of India will be requested. The exact strength of the subordinate establishment to work under the Executive Engineer will now be reported by the Superintending Engineer. It is observed that the repair allotment for this estate, available for expenditure during the current official year, is set down as Rs. 95,300, and the scale of establishment should be fixed at a reasonable percentage on this amount.

4. The establishment proposed by the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for wards' estates in that circle is approved, subject, as regards the Assistant Engineer on Rs. 450, to the sanction of the Government of India to the creation of the post.

Note.—The Statement has been revised in the Secretariat; the additional establishments being all classed as *temporary*.

5. It must be understood that all establishment sanctioned for the execution of works on wards' estates will be *temporary*.

6. The sanction accorded in paragraph 4 above has been registered as Provincial No. 58 W. of 1884-85, and classified as "Establishment, Temporary."

(Here enter No. 2660 W., dated 28th October 1884.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Revenue Department, with manuscript copy of Mr. Sullivan's remarks.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 28th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 28th Oct. 1884, No. 2659 W.

OCTOBER.

Wards' Estates

Orders on proposals for establishment to carry out works in —, transferred to the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

LETTER—from

COLONEL J. SHAW-STEWART, R.E.,

Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In forwarding, for the information of the Government of India, the accompanying copy of proceedings, No. 2659 W., dated 28th October 1884, I am directed to request sanction to the appointment of a temporary Executive Engineer, on Rs. 550 per mensem, to supervise works in the Ramnad estate, and to the appointment of a temporary Assistant Engineer, on Rs. 450, for similar work on estates in the I Circle administered by the Court of Wards.

2. It has been decided, on the recommendation of the Court, to place in charge of the Public Works Department certain of the works on the estates of their wards; and, as regards the Ramnad estate, to take over all works without exception. To admit of these works being properly attended to, an additional temporary establishment will be necessary. I am accordingly to request that the appointments now applied for may be sanctioned at an early date, the cost being borne from the contribution of 23 per cent. on outlay on works which the Court of Wards have agreed to make.

3. The names of the officers who may be selected for the posts will be reported in due course.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
28th October 1884.

Extd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 28th.

Recd. *Encl.*

28th Oct. 1884, No. 2660 W.

OCTOBER.

Wards' Estates

Requesting sanction to the appointments of a temporary Executive and a temporary Assistant Engineer to supervise works in — on their transfer to the Public Works Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 10th January 1885, No. 319 V.Z. :—

With reference to G.O. No. 1523 W., dated 18th June 1884, ordering that works in the wards' estates in this circle be placed under this department, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of a letter, No. 1498, dated 19th December 1884, from the Collector of Vizagapatam informing me that the Court of Wards have, in their proceedings No. 4059, dated 26th October 1884, copy herewith, approved his recommendation for the exemption of the estates under the management in his district from the operation of the Government order above quoted, and I request your orders on the subject.

—

Enclosures.

From H. G. TURNER, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated Vizianagrum, 19th December 1884, No. 1498.

With reference to the proposition statement submitted to the Chief Engineer with your letter No. 230 G.L, dated 24th September last, in which you have provided for a temporary establishment, costing Rs. 1,260 per annum, to look after the works in the wards' estates in this district, I have the honor to inform you that, on receipt of the proceedings of the Court of Wards directing that all works in estates belonging to their wards be placed under the Public Works Department, I have addressed the Court recommending the exemption of the estates under management in this district from the operation of these orders, with the proviso that whenever, in the opinion of the Collector, a work requires professional supervision, its execution be entrusted to the Public Works Department, a contribution of 23 per cent. being paid to Government on the outlay on such particular work.

2. In their proceedings No. 4359, dated 20th October 1884, copy of which is herein enclosed, the Court of Wards approved of this proposal. It will, therefore, not be necessary for your department to take charge of any works in wards' estates in this district.

—

Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 26th November 1884, No. 4059.

Read—the following letter from H. G TURNER, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Lakkavarapukota, 8th November 1884, No. 1327 :—

With reference to the proceedings of the Court of Wards as per margin, directing that all works in estates under the Court of Wards be placed under the Public Works Department, a contribution of 23 per cent. on the outlay being paid to Government, I have the honor to request, for the following reasons, a reconsideration of their orders as far as this district is concerned.

No. 1866, dated 4th June 1884.
,, 2366, ,, 11th July ,,
,, 2420, ,, 15th ,, ,,

2. In this district there are at present six estates under management, namely,—

1. Merangi.
2. Srípuram.
3. Madugula.
4. Chipurupalle.
5. Pandur Mallavaram.
6. Chidikada Jagannadhapuram.

Of these, Merangi alone is a pretty large estate; but, as the Court are aware, it has been rented out to the minor zemindar's uncle. The lease granted to him is to last till the minor attains his majority, and, according to its terms, all repairs to irrigation works are to be executed by the

renter at his own expense. The only buildings belonging to the estate are the minor zemindar's houses at Vizagapatam and Merangi. The house at Vizagapatam was lately thoroughly repaired and improved at a cost of about Rs. 11,000 under the supervision of the Public Works Department officers.

3. The house at Merangi was also lately repaired under the supervision of the manager. These buildings do not probably call for any extensive repairs till the minor comes of age. It is thus clear that in the case of this estate, which alone can bear the costly supervision of the Public Works Department, there will be little or nothing to be done by that department.

4. All the other estates are small, none of them consisting of more than five villages. In the case of Madugula, Chipurupalle, and Pandur Mallavaram, the income is so small that great difficulty is experienced every year in balancing the charges with the receipts. In these estates there are hardly any irrigation works worth the name.

5. In that portion of the Madugula estates which is under our management, there are no irrigation works at all. The only buildings belonging to the estate are the houses in which the ranis live. These have never been repaired by us since the property came under our management. The temporary repairs are made by the ranis themselves out of the allowance given them by the Jeypore Maharaja. The same course must be followed in future, as otherwise we shall have no funds to spare for this purpose.

6. In the Pandur Mallavaram estate there are only four small irrigation works with jerayati ayacut ranging from 2 to 65 acres. The budget allotment for the four irrigation works for the current year is Rs. 200. We could hardly spare enough money for the education of this minor, and the estate is to be made over to the proprietor in April 1885, when he will attain his majority. There are no buildings whatever belonging to th s minor.

7. The Chipurupalle estate has four small irrigation works whose aggregate ayacut is 68 acres. The proprietrix owns some houses. They are all at Vizagapatam and in good order, and are not likely to call for any extensive repairs for some years. The ordinary repairs of these houses, such as turning the tiles, &c., can very well be done, as now, under the supervision of the guardian.

8. The income of the estate is small while the demands are large. There are still two of the proprietrix's daughters to be married. For want of funds, the entire peishcush for fasli 1293 and a part of that for fasli 1292 are in arrears. It is very necessary that in the expenditure connected with this estate strict economy should be enforced for some years to come. It is, therefore, highly undesirable to place the small works connected with this estate under the costly supervision of the Public Works Department.

9. Srípuram and Chidikada are just recovering from the effects of the bad management of the late proprietors. The number of irrigation works, even in these two estates, though larger than in the other three ones, is hardly worth the while of the Public Works Department to take them under their supervision.

10. In the Srípuram estate there are 32 irrigation tanks, all of which, with the exception of two, fall under the category of minor irrigation tanks. Of these 30 minor works, only one has an ayacut of 100 acres. The ayacut of the other 29 varies from 2 to 57 acres. The two works which come under the class of major works are the big Srípuram tank called "Tamara Cheruvu" and the Ramasagaram tank at Gorinta. The jerayati ayacut of the former tank is 500 acres, bearing an assessment of Rs. 3,720, while that of the latter is 235 acres, assessed at Rs. 1,768. The budget allotment for repairs to the Srípuram tank is Rs. 2,620, while that for all the other tanks is Rs. 180. The repairs to be done to the big tank are mostly earthwork, and I do not see why it should not be done by the manager. If the estate were under the management of the proprietor himself, he would never incur the costly supervision of the Public Works Department. There are hardly any buildings belonging to this estate.

11. In Chidikada there are 37 irrigation works. In no case does the ayacut exceed 200 acres; only one tank has an ayacut of 160 acres, the irrigable area of the rest varying from 4 to 85 acres.

12. There are some houses belonging to the estate at Vavilavalsa, but none of important kind. They can well be repaired by the ordinary establishment.

13. From what has been stated above, it is clear that the works to be executed in all the six estates are generally of a petty kind. They are seldom of a nature requiring professional skill. The necessary repairs are now efficiently carried out by the managers of the estates either on contract or under their personal supervision without any extra cost of superintendence. The area of the estates being small, there is hardly any work which the managers cannot themselves supervise. No permanent or temporary maramut establishment has been hitherto maintained. There is nothing to show that the works are not maintained in an efficient condition, or that repairs are not carried out in a satisfactory manner. Under these circumstances I think, with all deference to the orders of the Court of Wards and Government, that it is highly inexpedient to burden these small estates with the costly supervision of the Public Works Department for all petty works.

14. The wards' estates are situated in different parts of the district, and the Public Works Department will not be in a position to undertake the repairs of the petty works in them with their present establishment; and I doubt whether the contribution of 23 per cent. on the annual outlay will be enough to maintain any extra establishment. The upshot of the proposed arrangement will be, as has been the case in the case of the minor irrigation works in the Government taluks, that there will either be great delay in the execution of timely repairs or that they will be entirely neglected.

15. The manager, being always on the spot, will be in a position to take immediate precautions to prevent a threatened breach of a tank, or, in case of a breach, will take immediate steps to close it. Such will not be the case if all the works are transferred to the Public Works Department. The manager will then consider himself relieved of all responsibility, or he may consider that he has done his duty if he simply reported the matter to the Collector or to the Public Works Department officer. It will take a long time before the report reaches the latter. An estimate is prepared and sanctioned and the work commenced. In the meantime a breach which could have been closed at a cost of a few rupees will be so far enlarged as to require an outlay of as many hundreds to close it.

16. The repairs of all minor irrigation works in Government taluks, as also all repairs to buildings costing Rs. 1,000 or less, are now carried out by the Revenue Department without the intervention of the Public Works Department. I do not see why at least a similar latitude should not be given to Collectors in the case of works in wards' estates. If this is done, there will hardly be any works which the Public Works Department have to carry out; for, as shown above, almost all the irrigation tanks in these estates come within the category of minor works, and the repairs to buildings seldom exceed Rs. 1,000.

17. Under the foregoing circumstances, I would recommend that the present arrangements for the upkeep of works may be ordered to continue, with the proviso that whenever, in the opinion of the Collector, a work requires professional supervision, its execution be entrusted to the Public Works Department, a contribution of 23 per cent. being paid to Government on the outlay on such particular work.

Resolution—dated 26th November 1884, No. 4059.

In the exceptional circumstances reported, the Court concur in the Collector's proposal as made in his final paragraph.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. F. MacCARTIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

Order—dated 23rd January 1885, No. 185 W., Public Works.

Recorded.

2. The establishment sanctioned in G.O. No. 2659 W., dated 28th October 1884, for supervision of estate works in the Vizagapatam district, will not now be entertained.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.,E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1885} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 23rd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 23rd Jan. 1885, No. 185 W.

JANUARY.

Wards' Estates

Letter from the Superintending Engineer stating that the Collector of Vizagapatam reports that the Court of Wards have exempted the transfer of the — in that district to the Public Works Department, recorded with remarks.

8TH DECEMBER 1885, No. 1354, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 11th September 1885, No. 2611 :—

Resolution—dated 11th September 1885, No. 2611.

The Court of Wards resolve to submit to Government their annual report on the administration of the estates of incapacitated proprietors under their charge during Fasli 1293, or the year ending 30th June 1884, accompanied by the prescribed statements and a file of Collectors' reports.

2. NUMBER OF ESTATES.—The number of estates under management in Fasli 1293 (1883-84) was the same as in Fasli 1292 (1882-83), viz., 39. Three estates, viz., Gopálpur in Godávari, Santhaiyur in Madura, and Urkad (Deposit) in Tinnevelly, were made over to their proprietors, and the three estates marginally noted were newly taken under management. The estate of one Puttamma, a lunatic, in South Canara, was ordered to be taken over in G.O., dated 18th July 1883, No. 872, but no portion of the property belonging to the estate came into the Court's possession during the year under report.

Kangundi, in North Arcot.
Singavanam, in Tanjore.
Metrathi, in Coimbatore.

3. The following statement shows the condition of the three estates handed over to their proprietors at the time of restoration, as contrasted with their condition when the Court assumed charge :—

Districts.	Estates.	Annual Revenue.				Balance in hand.		Debts recovered during the period the Estate was under Management.	Debts paid off during the same period.
		When the Estate came under Management.		When the Estate was restored.		When the Estate came under Management.	When the Estate was restored.		
		Current Demand.	Current Collections.	Current Demand.	Current Collections.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Godávari ..	Gopálpur	64,078	52,916	71,882	48,812	5,971	3,72,217	22,387	..
2. Madura	Santhaiyur ..	10,037	4,670	10,832	9,731	..	11,464	..	21,399
3. Tinnevelly ..	Urkad (Deposit) ..	763	195	137	108	13,816	22,757	800	..

Districts.	Estates.	Amount expended on Irrigation Works.	Amount expended on other permanent Improvements.	Age of the Proprietor.		Period for which the Estate was under Management.	Remarks.
				When the Estate came under Management.	When the Estate was restored.		
		11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	YS. M. D.	YS. M. D.	YS. M. D.	
1. Godávari ..	Gopálpur	1,976	4,491	11 9 0	21 3 0	9 6 0	
2. Madura	Santhaiyur ..	7,093	344	49 0 0	..	12 0 0	The estate was handed over to the receiver appointed by the Madura Sub-Court on the death of the proprietrix.
3. Tinnevelly ..	Urkad (Deposit) ..	61	..	..	..	14 years and 2 months.	

4. The first estate—Gopálpur—was nine years and six months under management, during which period the rent-roll increased by over Rs. 7,000; Rs. 6,400 were expended on irrigation works and other permanent improvements; and a cash balance of Rs. 3,72,000 was handed over to the proprietor. The second estate—Santhaiyúr—when taken over, was involved in debts to the extent of Rs. 21,400, which were paid off during a management of twelve years, and Rs. 7,400 were expended on irrigation and other works. On the death of the proprietrix, the estate was handed over, with a cash balance of Rs. 11,000, to a receiver appointed by the Madura Sub-Court (East). There was a great fall in the rent-roll of the last estate, but this was due to the sale of a portion of the estate under Court decrees for debts. There was, however, an increase of nearly Rs. 9,000 in the cash balance when the estate was restored.

5. NUMBER OF VILLAGES.—In Statement No. 1, particulars are given of the number and tenure of villages comprised in each estate, the age of the wards, and the years in which the estates are likely to be restored to their owners. The fifteen estates marginally noted consist of ryotwari lands scattered over different Government villages. In the remaining estates, the total number of villages is 4,061, of which 1,571 pay favorable assessment and 2,490 are fully assessed.

1. Chittecherla.
2. Ukkadai.
3. Velanganni.
4. Vadapathimangalam.
5. Alangudicheri.
6. Mathur.
7. Peramúr.
8. Nangavaram.
9. Vadagarai.
10. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate.
11. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate.
12. B. Sarvothama Rao's Estate.
13. Kavalpara.
14. Mangara.
15. Bimbalen.

6. SEASON.—The season is reported to have been, on the whole, favorable for agricultural operations in the Gopálpur estate in the Godávari district, in the Kangundi estate in North Arcot, in the Roshan Meah Sáhibs' estate in Tinnevelly, in the Poravipálaiyam estate in Coimbatore, and in all the estates in the Ganjam and Salem districts. In the Lakkavaram and Kapileswarapuram estates in Godávari, the rainfall was scanty in the early part of the year. Later on, heavy and protracted floods in the Godávari and breaches in the river embankments caused considerable damage to lands in the latter estate. In Ramnad, the south-west monsoon was very scanty and partial, and there was a decrease of acres 36,366, or 14 per cent., in the area of dry cultivation. Abundant rain, however, fell during October throughout the estate, which helped the wet cultivation very considerably. In Gandamanáyakanúr, the rainfall was both insufficient and unevenly distributed. The other Collectors have not noticed the subject separately in their reports.

7. HOLDINGS.—The total area of the holdings was acres 568,571, against acres 603,852 in the preceding year, as detailed below. In the twenty-five zemindari estates, the figures are exclusive of the areas not settled on the ryotwari system, as there are no means of ascertaining with any degree of accuracy the extent of such areas:—

Estates.	Holdings in Fasli 1292 (1882-83.)	Holdings in Fasli 1293 (1883-84.)
	ACRES.	ACRES.
1. Parlákimedi	112,183	112,656
2. Chinna Kimedi	11,595	12,360
3. Jarada	2,721	3,172
4. Urlám	7,098	2,968
5. Chidikada Jagannathapuram	2,278	2,278
6. Sripuram	2,775	2,826
7. Chipurupalle	2,488	2,488
8. Gopálpur	6,058	6,032
9. Kapileswarapuram	5,122	5,695
10. Ukkadai	2,809	2,820
11. Gandharvakóta	10,545	19,425
12. Vadapathimangalam	5,726	5,727
13. Papanad	18,029	4,524
14. Peramúr	2,436	2,436
15. Nangavaram	4,285	4,285
16. Ramnad	304,402 *	274,334
17. Gandamanáyakanúr	41,380	37,839

* Includes acres 36,377 omitted in the report for Fasli 1292.

Estates.	Holdings in Fasli 1292 (1882-83)	Holdings in Fasli 1293 (1883-84.)
	ACRES.	ACRES.
18. Vádimitta	11,403	11,409
19. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	2,532 *	2,491
20. Poravipálaiyam	8,051	9,472
21. Erumaipati	6,688	6,695
22. Kavalpara	11,462	11,462
Other estates	13,585	6,649
Estates handed over to their owners	8,201	..
Estates newly taken under management	...	18,528
Total	6,03,852	5,68,571

* Includes acres 965 omitted in the report for Fasli 1292.

8. Of the total area—acres 5,68,571—acres 166,385, or 29·2 per cent., were wet, and the remainder—acres 402,186 †—were dry lands. The large decrease in the holdings in Urlám is not explained by the Collector as it should have been. The omission should be supplied. The extent of holdings and the assessment are given in the margin for four faslis, from which it seems evident that the area given in the report for Fasli 1292 was erroneous. In Ramnad, there was an increase in wet cultivation, owing to the favorable character of the north-east monsoon and a decrease in dry, as the south-west monsoon was scanty and partial. In Gandamanáyakanúr, the decrease is ascribed to the character of the season, which was unfavorable for dry cultivation, and in Papanad to the gross extent of the zemindari lands having been shown last year instead of the actual extent of the ryots' holdings. The transfer of seven villages from the head amani to ryotwari accounts for the increase in Gandharvakóta. The variations in the other estates call for no remark.

† Includes acres 420 of the Vadagarai estate for which particulars are not available.

	Extent of Holdings	Assessment.
	ACRES.	RS.
Fasli 1290	3,134	28,568
,, 1291	3,134	28,659
,, 1292	7,098	37,101
,, 1293	2,968	34,154

9. CULTIVATION.—In the estates noted in the margin, the whole occupied area is returned as cultivated. In the other estates, the percentage of cultivation to holdings was as under:—

Jarada.
Urlám.
Lakkavaram.
Ramnad.
Gandamanáyakanúr.
Vádimitta.
The estates in Vizagapatam, Coimbatore and the West Coast.

Estates.	Percentage of Cultivation to Holdings. Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	ACRES.	ACRES.
1. Parlákimedi	97·6	99·3
2. Chinna Kimedi	98·4	97·8
3. Gopálpur	87·2	89·6
4. Kapileswarapuram	72·5	72·8
5. Chittecherla	61·3	57·7
6. Kangundi	...	95·1
7. Soranjeri	82·3	87·8
8. Ukkadai	70·3	83·9
9. Velanganni	93·5	93·5
10. Gandharvakóta	88·6	92·2
11. Vadapathimangalam	99·2	99·3
12. Alangudicheri	97·2	97·2
13. Mathur	...	89·2
14. Papanad	25·2	97·1
15. Singavanam	...	70·7
16. Peramúr	79·9	74·4
17. Nangavaram	88·9	88·9
18. Vadagarai	...	76·9

Estates.	Percentage of Cultivation to Holdings. Fasli 1292 (1882-83)	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	ACRES.	ACRES.
19. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	95·7	96·2
20. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate	...	77·7
21 Erumaipati	87·9	88·8
22. Shulagiri	...	86·3

The increase in Papanad is nominal, the last year's figures being unreliable (*vide* paragraph 9 of the Court's report for Fasli 1292).

10. CURRENT DEMAND.—The total demand of all the estates under management during Fasli 1293 (1883-84) amounted to Rs. 19,60,895, as detailed below :—

	RS.
1. Land Revenue, including rents in money and grain	16,23,581
2. Jodi and quit-rent on inams	2,04,752
3. Land-cess	66,453
4. Water-rate and second-crop assessment...	8,638
5. Other items	1,26,499
Total ...	20,29,923
Deduct remissions ...	69,028
Net demand ...	19,60,895

The rent roll exceeded 7½ lakhs in Ramnad, 3¾ lakhs in Parlákimedi, ¾ of a lakh in Kangundi and ½ a lakh in each of the Chinna Kimedi, Gopálpur and Gandamanáyakanúr estates. The other estates in which the current demand exceeded Rs. 10,000 are noted in the margin.

	RS.
1. Vadapathimangalam	49,821
2. Merangi	45,784
3. Urlám	44,534
4. Gandharvakóta	37,627
5. Kapileswarapuram	36,615
6. Kavalpara	36,175
7. Jarada	23,528
8. Chidikada Jaganathapuram	22,679
9. Ukkadai	21,016
10. Sripuram	17,235
11. Shulagiri	17,032
12. Nangavaram	16,618
13. Vádimitta	15,821
14. Erumaipati	15,349
15. Papanad	14,131
16. Poravipálaiyam	12,980
17. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate ..	12,502
18. Peramúr	11,317
19. Lakkavaram	10,913

11. The remissions amounted to Rs. 69,028, of which Rs. 51,860, or 75·2 per cent., appear against Ramnad, and Rs. 5,492 against Gandamanáyakanúr, chiefly under deductions on account of emoluments to village servants. In Kapileswarapuram, Rs. 4,316 were remitted, owing to the unfavorable nature of the season and destructive floods, and in Erumaipati and Shulagiri, cowle remissions were granted to the extent of nearly Rs. 3,937.

12. Excluding the estates which were not under management in both years, the demand of Fasli 1293 (1883-84) shows a net decrease of Rs. 70,689, as compared with that of the preceding year :—

	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	RS.	RS.
Demand	19,61,046	19,60,895
Deduct revenue of estates which were not under management in both years	43,191	1,13,729
	19,17,855	18,47,166

The decrease of Rs. 70,689 was the net result of a gross decrease of Rs. 1,01,359 in twenty estates and of a gross increase of Rs. 30,670 in sixteen.

13. The variations are explained below :—

Estates.	Demand of Fasli 1293 (1883-84).	Increase or Decrease as compared with the preceding Year.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	3,86,137	+ 12,503	Increase due to less remissions having been granted and to increased cultivation.
Urlám	44,534	— 2,557	Decrease due to fall in the price of paddy.
Merangi	45,784	— 14,232	Decrease due to the transfer of a large portion of the estate to a renter under a decree of the High Court.
Kapileswarapuram	36,615	— 2,519	Decrease due chiefly to low bids obtained for the lankas or accretions belonging to the estate formed in the Godávari.
Gandharvakóta	37,627	— 20,151	Decrease due to the grain rents being estimated at a lower rate (average of three preceding years).
Vadapathimangalam	49,821	— 28,677	Decrease due to unfavorable season and also to the demand of Fasli 1292 having been erroneously swelled by the sale-proceeds of grain collected in Fasli 1291.
Mathur	5,102	— 2,724	Decrease due to the loss caused by inundations in the Shiyáli Taluk.
Papanad	14,131	— 1,561	Decrease due to unfavorable season.
Nangavaram	16,618	— 2,149	Decrease due to the introduction of money rents and to low prices.
Ramnad	7,68,910	+ 13,323	Increase due to the extension of wet cultivation owing to a favorable north-east monsoon.
Gandamanáyakanúr	53,486	— 4,120	Decrease due to the unfavorable character of the season.
Vádimitta	15,821	— 14,455	Decrease nominal, being due to the inclusion in the previous year's demand of a deposit of Rs. 13,500.
Kavalpara	36,175	+ 1,082	Not explained.
Mangara	5,214	— 3,159	

14. CURRENT COLLECTIONS.—The current collections within the year are shown in Statement No. III ; they amounted to Rs. 15,74,053, or 80·3 per cent. of the demand. In the twelve estates noted in the margin, more than 90 per cent. of the demand was realized within the year. In nine estates, viz., Chinna Kimedi, Merangi, Chidikada, Vadapathimangalam, Vadagarai, Vádimitta, Metrathi, B. Sarvothama Row's Estate and Kavalpara, the collections ranged from 85 to 90 per cent., and in the following estates, the outstanding balances exceeded 15 per cent. :—

1. Parlákimedi.
2. Jarada.
3. Pandur Mallavaram.
4. Madugula.
5. Sripuram.
6. Lakkavaram.
7. Chittecherla.
8. Gandamanáyakanúr.
9. Alangudicheri.
10. Mathur.
11. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate.
12. Bimbalen.

	Balance at the end of the Year.	Percentage of the Balance to Demand.
	RS.	
1. Ukkadai	3,489	16·6
2. Shulagiri	3,473	20·3
3. Ramnad	1,60,331	20·8
4. Nangavaram	3,557	21·4
5. Chípurupalle	2,182	24·5
6. Velanganni	713	24·8
7. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	3,264	26·1
8. Erumaipati	4,220	27·4
9. Peramúr	3,135	27·8
10. Poravipálaiyam	3,661	28·2
11. Urlám	12,868	28·9
12. Kangundi	28,952	32·6
13. Mangara	1,885	36·2
14. Kapileswarapuram	17,541	47·9
15. Soranjeri	3,709	52·8
16. Gopálpur	40,318	58·3
17. Gandharvakóta	24,027	63·5
18. Papanad	10,391	73·5
19. Singavanam	2,215	76·6

15. In the estates marginally noted, the balance was reduced by subsequent collections up to the date of the Collectors' reports as shown against each. In the Ukkadai, Chipurupalle, Mangara, Kapileswarapuram and Papanad estates, the large balances outstanding are attributed to unfavorable season. In Velanganni, the balance had to be adjusted against debts due by the estate, and the Court's orders sanctioning the arrangement were only received by the Collector after the close of the fasli. In Urlam, the value of grain received during the year and remaining unsold is erroneously shown as balance. The value of the grain rents collected being deducted, the balance outstanding amounts to only Rs. 460, which has since been collected. In Kangundi and Soranjeri, a large amount had to be recovered by proceedings under Act VIII of 1865. Gopálpur was restored to the proprietor in March 1884, and the collections refer only to a portion of the year. In Gandharvakóta, Rs. 7,364 were expected to be collected on completion of certain arrangements for the introduction of money-rents which were under consideration. The disordered condition of the Singavanam Estate, recently taken under the management of the Court of Wards, and the absence of complete records, rendered the ascertainment of the demand and its realization within the year very difficult.

1. Ramnad	9·6
2. Poravipálaiyam	11·0
3. Erumaipati	11·9
4. Nangavaram	13·2
5. Shulagiri	16·2
6. Peramúr	19·4
7. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	20·0

16. ARREARS.—The arrears outstanding at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 8,06,302, of which Rs. 3,02,082 were collected and Rs. 16,226 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,87,994 on the 30th June 1884. Excluding the items noted in the margin, the balance is reduced to Rs. 4,28,273, as shown below:—

	Rs.
1. Collections after the close of the fasli	15,606
2. Amount written off the accounts	5,391
3. Amount sanctioned, but not yet written off ..	3,737
4. Amount now recommended	34,987
Total ..	59,721

	Rs.
1. Ramnad	2,18,733
2. Gandharvakóta	61,719
3. Merangi	36,970
4. Papanad	20,760
5. Gopálpur	18,695
6. Kangundi	15,638
7. M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	8,895
8. Vadapathimangalam	8,533
9. Ukkadai	5,302
10. Chinna Kimedi	5,081
	4,00,326
Twenty-five other estates	27,947
Total ...	4,28,273

In Ramnad, of the recoverable balance, Rs. 1,41,932 were secured by bonds executed by ryots and by decrees obtained in the Courts, or formed the subject of suits then pending; Rs. 9,813 were classed as doubtful; and the remainder, which chiefly consisted of arrears of poruppu or quit-rent, was in course of collection. In Gandharvakóta, legal proceedings were instituted for the recovery of Rs. 3,900, and the remainder was in course of collection. The large balance outstanding in Merangi was due to the failure of the renter to furnish accounts of the collections made by him in the first half of Fasli 1290, when he was in possession of the lands of the estate. The estate was taken from the hands of the renter, but subsequently restored to him under a decree of the High Court. The arrears will be adjusted in the settlement; of mesne profits due to the renter for the period that he was out of possession of the estate. In Papanad, at the time when the rents should have been collected, the Manager fell sick and was unable to devote proper attention to the work. Gopálpur was restored to the owner in March 1884. In Kangundi, suits were filed and processes issued for Rs. 8,300; Rs. 2,500 had to be recovered after the settlement of certain disputes under inquiry; and suits were to be filed for Rs. 3,000. A separate report is promised with regard to the Vadapathimangalam, Ukkadai and M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estates. The balances in the other estates do not call for any detailed notice.

17. The following abstract statement shows in one view the total land revenue demand, collection and balance, both arrears and current, in all the estates under the Court's management for Fasli 1293 (1883-84):—

—	Demand.	Collection			Amount written off.	Total.	Balance.
		Within the Year.	Subsequently.	Total.			
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Arrears	8,06,302	3,02,082	15,606	3,17,688	21,617	3,39,305	4,66,997
Current	19,60,895	15,74,053	1,41,074	17,15,127	..	17,15,127	2,45,768
Total ..	27,67,197	18,76,135	1,56,680	20,32,815	21,617	20,54,432	7,12,765

18. Of the balance above shown, Rs. 35,371-14-4 are reported to be irrecoverable, exclusive of Rs. 679-8-7 appertaining to Soranjeri and Roshan Meah Sáhib's estates since handed over to the proprietors. Further explanation has been called for with regard to Rs. 2,706-0-11, and the remaining Rs. 32,665-13-5 are detailed below:—

Estates.	Poverty.	Desertion or Death.	Erroneous Demand.	Other Causes.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Parlákimedi	2,940 14 9	152 8 6	..	..	3,093 7 3
Jarada	..	..	23 15 6	12 1 0	36 0 6
Madugula	..	..	12 6 0	..	12 6 0
Chedikada Jagannathapuram	..	..	..	..	225 1 1
Srípuram	197 13 11	60 12 2	132 12 9	23 4 1	414 10 11
Lakkavaram	39 6 7	..	..	52 5 3	91 11 10
Kapileswarapuram	812 15 2	..	122 6 6	..	935 5 8
Kangundi	..	..	1,754 5 4	4,833 10 0	6,597 15 4
Peramur	173 9 0	41 7 5	..	..	215 0 5
Nangavaram	1,672 5 7	24 6 0	..	..	1,696 11 7
Ramnad	..	..	16,121 8 1	842 9 10	16,964 1 11
Gandamanáyakanúr	44 1 3	647 5 8	21 4 8	..	712 11 7
Vádimitta	987 6 4	..	..	..	987 6 4
Shulagiri	..	..	..	3 0 0	3 0 0
Brahmawar Sarvothama Row's Estate ..	..	5 0 0	..	..	5 0 0
Mangara	173 5 8	..	501 13 4	..	675 3 0
Total ..	7,041 14 3	931 7 9	18,700 8 2	5,766 14 2	32,665 13 5

The amounts shown in columns 2 and 3 do not call for any explanation, as the defaulters possess no property by the sale of which the arrears could be realized. Of the Rs. 18,700-8-2 included in erroneous demand, Rs. 17,828-2-6 appertain to the Ramnad and Kangundi Zemindaris and are made up of the marginally-noted items. The balance, Rs. 872-5-8, is divided among seven estates and calls for no remark. Of the amount shown against Kangundi under "other causes" in column 5, Rs. 2,270-9-6 appertain to Fasli 128[illegible] and its recovery is barred by limitatio[illegible] Rs. 2,445-1-5 are irrecoverable owing to the non-exchange of puttas and muchilkas. This occurred before the estate was placed under the Court's management. The remaining Rs. 117-15-1 is the assessment on the lands in the occupation of the late Zemindar in Faslis 1290—92. The inclusion of the last item in the demand was unnecessary. The same

Ramnad.

	Rs. A. P.
(1) Rent charged from Fasli 1279 to Fasli 1288 on 1,000 kurukams of an inam village. It lies on the boundary between the estate and the Tinnevelly District and measures 1,888½ kurukams in excess of the extent entered in the accounts. A suit was filed in the Madura Sub-Court for the rent on the whole extent, but the Court divided the village between the estate and the inamdar, allowing the latter 1,000 kurukams and rejecting the claim of the estate for the rent on that area ..	14,343 11 3
(2) Wet assessment charged on dry lands ..	1,611 1 9
(3) Tree-tax charged on decayed trees ..	15 15 2
(4) Excess erroneously charged	150 11 11
Total ..	16,121 8 1

Kangundi.

	Rs. A. P.
(1) The difference between the dry and wet assessment to be remitted owing to the defective state of certain irrigation works in Faslis 1291 and 1292 ..	1,365 8 8
(2) The rent for Faslis 1291 to 1293 on a portion of a village mortgaged to the Zemindar and leased out by him to third parties but which was subsequently redeemed	341 1 9
Total ..	1,706 10 5

remark applies to the sum of Rs. 840, against Ramnad being the poruppu or quit-rent on certain inam lands which were resumed and which have since remained in the Zemindar's occupation. The irrecoverable arrears of the Chidikada estate are not classified, but the greater portion is stated to be due from pauper ryots and the remainder to have accrued before the estate came under management of the Court, the recovery whereof is now barred by the law of limitation. The whole of this amount, Rs. 32,665-13-5, will be written off the accounts.

19. Statement No. IV shows in detail the financial transactions of the several estates, of which the following is an abstract :—

	RS.	RS.
Cash balance at the beginning of the year		671,607
Receipts.		
(1) Money rents and sale-proceeds of grain	19,27,147	
(2) Interest on Government Promissory Notes	1,09,866	
(3) Sale-proceeds of grain received in previous years ...	70,095	
(3) Sale-proceeds of Government paper	19,375	
(3) Sale-proceeds of lands and jewels	24,250	
(4) Loan	2,000	
(4) Deposits by renters and purchasers of grain	12,156	
(5) Debts recovered	41,360	
(6) Miscellaneous	68,191	
		22,74,440
Total Balance and Receipts		29,46,047
Charges.		
(1) Government demand (peishcush, assessment, and land-cess	8,20,804	
(2) Commission to Government	28,315	
(3) Establishment and contingencies	1,56,020	
(4) Works	1,40,616	
(5) Maintenance and education of the Wards and allowance to their families	2,58,327	
(6) Payment of debts	3,62,740	
(7) Purchase of Government paper	1,87,000	
(8) Miscellaneous	3,89,975	
		23,43,797
Balance at the close of the year		6,02,250
Add—		
Government paper		33,36,600
Value of grain on hand		1,26,147
		40,64,997
Deduct opening balance—		
Government paper	31,69,600	
Cash	6,71,607	
Value of grain	1,52,509	
		39,93,716
Increase		71,281

20. Of the closing balance above shown, over 24 lakhs belong to Parlákimedi, which has been under management uninterruptedly for fifty-four years; over $3\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs to Gopálpur, and nearly $2\frac{1}{2}$ to Urlám. In Merangi, Ramnad, and Gandamanáya-kanúr the surplus ranges from 1 to 2 lakhs, and in the five estates marginally noted from Rs. 50,000 to one lakh.

	RS.
Chinna Kimedi	76,942
Kavalpara	73,527
Kapileswarapuram	66,723
Lakkavaram	58,655
Peramur	53,345

21. The miscellaneous charges shown in paragraph 19 (*supra*) comprise the following unusual items :—

	RS.	RS.
Parlákimedi—		
Survey and demarcation	37,013	
Palace works	9,281	
Purchase of jewels	15,590	
Trip to Calcutta	25,000	
Upanayanam and funerals	21,000	
		1,07,884
Chinna Kimedi—		
Upanayanam	10,000	
		10,000
Jarada—		
Trip to Calcutta	2,000	
Marriage	15,100	
		17,100
Chípurupalle—		
Marriage...	7,154	
		7,154
Lakkavaram—		
Payment of legacies	22,676	
		22,676
Vadapathimangalam—		
Marriage	4,000	
		4,000
Total ...		1,68,814

The balance, Rs. 2,21,161, comprise certain usual charges falling under the following heads :—

Law charges, annual ceremonies and festivals, allowances to village officers, and allowances to religious and charitable institutions.

22. The following abstract shows the total charges, exclusive of the amount invested in Government paper, as compared with Fasli 1292 (1882-83) :—

Estates.	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	4,54,467	4,65,748	There was an increase of over Rs. 1,08,000 under Government demand and commission owing to payment of arrears against a decrease of about a lakh under miscellaneous.
Chinna Kimedi	67,260	70,231	There was an increase of Rs. 10,000 due to the Upanayanam ceremony of the minor, and a decrease of about Rs. 4,000 under works caused by the suspension of the manager for a portion of the year.
Jarada	30,615	42,196	The increase was due to the minor's trip to Calcutta and to a larger expenditure on marriage than in Fasli 1292.
Urlám	61,106	48,692	The decrease was due to a decrease of nearly Rs. 8,600 in the expenditure on works and to no charges having been incurred during the fasli on account of marriages.
Merangi	56,337	70,063	The increase was due to the payment of nearly Rs. 19,000 to the renter as law charges and mesne profits, and of Rs. 8,000 as arrears of peishcush and to a saving of nearly Rs. 12,000 under works, and to other savings.
Srípuram	15,966	18,589	The increase was due to the payment of arrears of peishcush.
Chipurupalle	9,142	11,769	The increase was due to the payment of Rs. 6,000 for marriages and to the non-payment of peishcush to the extent of Rs. 3,036.
Lakkavaram	19,620	37,672	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 22,000 as legacies under the will of the late proprietor.
Kapileswarapuram	32,225	34,209	The increase was due to the payment of Rs. 2,269 as law charges.
Gopálpur	46,494	42,136	The decrease is due to the expenditure shown relating to only a portion of the year, the estate having been handed over to the proprietor.
Ukkadai	37,786	25,291	The decrease was due to reduction of expenditure, necessitated by the embarrassed condition of the estate.
Gandharvakóta	17,479	22,948	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 8,200 towards the liquidation of debts and to a decrease of about Rs. 2,400 under Government demand for want of funds.

Estates.	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Vadapathimangalam	83,236	69,372	The decrease was due to the payment of arrears of Government demand in the previous fasli.
Mathur	1,790	29,323	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 20,000 under debts and also to the previous year's accounts showing the transactions only of six months.
Ramnad	7,85,179	7,81,459	The decrease is slight, being less than 1 per cent.
Gandamanákayanúr	58,297	40,360	The decrease was chiefly under works owing to the reductions in the public works staff entertained for the estate and the consequent decrease in the amount of work executed during the year.
Kavalpara..	25,798	33,073	The increase was due to the payment of about Rs. 7,000 for the redemption of certain mortgaged lands.

23. WORKS.—The details of the expenditure on works and supervision in the several estates under management are given in enclosure A to statement No. IV, of which the following is an abstract :—

	Fasli 1292 (1882-83).	Fasli 1293 (1883-84).
	RS.	RS.
1. Irrigation	89,208	69,530
2. Buildings	35,498	26,925
3. Roads	20,049	19,501
4. Supervision	28,866	24,660
Total ...	1,73,621	1,40,616

The decrease is Rs. 33,005, or 19 per cent. Over 85 per cent. of the expenditure under the first item occurred in the Parlákimedi, Chinna Kimedi, Kangundi, and Ramnad estates as detailed below :—

Ramnad.—Rs. 32,359 were expended on repairs rendered necessary by the floods of May and November 1883.

Parlákimedi.—Rs. 8,441 were expended on the construction and improvement of 31 calingulahs and sluices and Rs. 14,017 in repairing 126 irrigation works.

Chinna Kimedi.—Rs. 342 were spent in constructing calingulahs and weirs and Rs. 2,434 in repairs.

Kangundi.—Rs. 500 were spent in improvements to an irrigation channel and Rs. 1,391 in repairs.

Rupees 26,925 were spent on buildings—Rs. 16,288 on new works, and Rs. 10,637 on repairs. The expenditure on new works was as detailed below :—

	RS.
(1) Additions and improvements to the Zemindar's school at Parlákimedi	1,372
(2) Out-buildings at Ramalingeswaraswami's temple ...	1,313
(3) Building at Liviri for the accommodation of the Zemindar during festivals	1,486
(4) Building at Mokhalingam for the accommodation of the Zemindar during festivals	1,424
(5) Stables, hatikhana, cook-rooms, &c.	2,992
(6) Compound wall and Kaliyanamantapam to Ramalingeswaraswami's temple at Ramasagaram	1,652
(7) Completion of the temple at Devadi, Urlám	4,000
(8) Other buildings	2,049
Total ...	16,288

The expenditure under the third item—roads—was confined to the Parlákimedi, Urlám, and Kangundi estates. The bulk of the expenditure was incurred in the first-named estate—Rs. 10,016 in constructing new roads, planting avenues, constructing bridges and road dams, and Rs. 8,469 in repairs. The establishment charges were

confined to the Parlákimedi, Ramnad, Gandamanáyakanúr, and Kangundi estates. By a recent order* of Government, all estate works in the Ganjam and Madura Districts have been placed under the supervision of the Public Works Department.

* G.O., dated 18th June 1884, No. 1523 W.

24. DEBTS.—Statement No. V shows the debts due by and to each estate. The seventeen estates marginally noted are free from any liabilities. The debts due by the other estates at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 20,90,805 ; those newly contracted or brought to account and the interest which accrued during the year amounted to Rs. 1,07,292, making a total of Rs. 21,98,097. Of this sum, Rs. 3,62,740 were paid off and Rs. 6,318 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 18,29,039 at the close of the fasli, distributed among twenty estates as shown below :—

1. Parlákimedi.
2. Chinna Kimedi.
3. Jarada.
4. Urlám.
5. Merangi.
6. Pandur.
7. Chidikada.
8. Chípurupalle.
9. Kapaleswarapuram.
10. Chittecherla.
11. Soranjeri.
12. Peramur.
13. Gandamanáyakanúr.
14. Vadagarai.
15. B. Sarvothama Row's estate.
16. Kavalpara.
17. Mangara.

	RS.
1. Ramnad	9,96,654
2. Gandharvakóta	2,85,850
3. Ukkadai	83,825
4. Singavanam	80,566
5. Roshan Meah Sáhib's Estate...	64,856
6. Madugula	62,979
7. Vadapathimangalam	54,910
8. Vádimitta	52,629
9. Shulagiri	39,992
10. Papanad	34,268
11. Nangavaram	22,176
12. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	20,967
The remaining eight estates	29,367
Total ...	18,29,039

Of the amount shown against Ramnad, Rs. 26,654 represent the interest remaining to be paid and Rs. 9,70,000 the balance of a loan obtained in 1876 from Government, amounting to Rs. 12,72,830. The principal was reduced by subsequent payments in July and August 1884 to Rs. 8,70,000, and the Court expect that in about five years the debt will be paid off in full. The income of Gandhavarkóta is insufficient to repay the large amount of Rs. 2,85,850 with interest, and steps are being taken to sell a portion of the estate. For a similar reason, some of the outlying lands in Ukkadai were sold and realized Rs. 73,174, which will be paid to the creditors. The amount shown against Singavanam is the principal due at the end of the year, of which Rs. 10,000 are due to one Arunachala Chetti, who obtained a decree in the Tanjore Sub-Court and attached ten villages. Another creditor obtained a decree for Rs. 47,516, against which an appeal was preferred to the High Court and is still pending. The Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate was made over to the minor's relations since the close of the fasli, and it is therefore unnecessary to notice its liabilities. The Rs. 62,979 shown against Madugula is exclusive of the debts due to the Maharajah of Jeypore, who has the usufructuary mortgage of the Zemindari. The Collector reports that there are no funds available to pay off the debts. In Vadapathimangalam Rs. 4,000 more could have been paid in addition to Rs. 6,429 actually paid but for the marriage of the daughter of the late proprietor, and the Collector hopes that Fasli 1294 will show better results. The Vadimitta estate has been leased to a Chetti for eleven years on condition of his clearing it of its liabilities. The Government in their Order, dated 18th June 1884, No. 766, Revenue, sanctioned a loan of Rs. 35,000 to the Shulagiri estate which will be applied to the liquidation of all existing debts. In Papanad there were no funds and the new Manager has been instructed to pay strict attention to the collections. In the other estates the debts will be paid off as funds become available.

25. No debts were due to eleven estates. In the others, the debts due to them, inclusive of interest and new loans granted during the year, amounted to Rs. 5,00,988.

Of this sum, Rs. 41,360 were repaid and Rs. 23,215 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,36,413 at the end of the year, distributed among twenty-eight estates as noted in the margin. Of the amount shown against Gandharvakóta, Rs. 52,622 represent arrear rents of previous faslis which have been secured by formal bonds and transferred to the debt account; Rs. 40,360 are recommended to be written off and the balance, Rs. 7,293, will soon be recovered. The greater portion of the amount shown as appertaining to Vadapathimangalam is due from porakudis who are very poor and can pay the dues only by easy instalments. In Kangundi, Rs. 52,000 will fall due in future years. In Lakkavaram, Rs. 37,559 were secured by decrees, suits were filed for Rs. 2,864, and it remained to institute suits for the balance. Greater progress was made in the collection of the debts in Fasli 1294 which will be noticed in the report for that year. Rs. 64,446 were outstanding in three Tanjore estates, Alangudicheri, Papanad and Ukkadai; in the second of which the slack collection was due to the manager's illness as already explained, and in the others to the poverty of the porakudis who owed the money. In Singavanam, application has been made for the execution of a decree for Rs. 9,032, and Rs. 3,810 are stated to have been barred by limitation—Rs. 3,224 before, and Rs. 586 immediately after, the estate came under management. The Collector of Vizagapatam promises to submit a detailed statement of the debts due to Chípurupalle. Gopálpur was restored to the proprietor before the close of the year. In Merangi the balance should be reduced by Rs. 3,311 sanctioned to be written off, and there will, then, remain Rs. 5,488, of which the greater portion is payable in instalments. Of the amount shown against Poravipálaiyam, a decree was obtained for Rs. 251, and the balance, Rs. 6,000, is secured by the usufructuary mortgage of certain lands fully worth the money advanced. In Nangavaram the balance is made up of Rs. 140 already sanctioned to be struck off the accounts and of Rs. 5,692 for which decrees were obtained after the close of the fasli. A large portion of the balance in M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estate is stated to be irrecoverable, and the Collector promises a detailed report on the subject. The debts due to the remaining estates, excluding Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate, since restored, amounted to Rs. 10,589, of which Rs. 275 were ordered to be struck off the accounts and Rs. 1,082 are now reported to be irrecoverable, leaving a balance of Rs. 9,232.

	Rs.
1. Gandharvakóta	1,00,275
2. Vadapathimangalam	86,169
3. Kangundi	55,768
4. Lakkavaram	47,908
5. Alangudicheri	22,723
6. Papanad	22,285
7. Ukkadai	19,438
8. Singavanam	17,763
9. Chípurupalle	12,998
10. Gopálpur	12,054
11. Merangi	8,799
12. Poravipálaiyam	6,251
13. Nangavaram	5,832
14. Kumarasami Mudaliar's estate	5,072
The remaining fourteen estates	13,078
Total ..	4,36,413

26. The following statement shows the amount of debts which are due to the several estates and which are reported to be irrecoverable :—

Estate.		Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Grounds of Remission.
1. Gandharvakóta ...	(1) ...	32,152	0	0	Due from various persons who possess no property whatever to proceed against.
	(2) ...	8,000	0	0	Lent on the security of a village which the *ex*-Zemindar re-mortgaged to one Ayyakannu Chetty for Rs. 4,000. The Chetty has filed a suit which is still pending, and the village is reported to be not worth more than the Chetty's debt and interest, and there is not the least chance of recovering the debt due to the estate. The result of the suit will be awaited.
	(3) ...	208	0	0	Lent on the security of some property in the possession of the estate, and the debt is reported to be irrecoverable. This will be kept on in the accounts as still due to the estate.
	Total ...	40,360	0	0	

Estate.		Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Grounds of Remission.
2. Vadapathimangalam ...	(1) ...	124	14	2	There is nothing to support the claim beyond entries in the late proprietor's accounts. The debts are eight years old.
	(2) ...	169	1	8	Stated to have been already paid to the proprietor. They are ten years old.
	(3) ...	1,570	14	0	The defaulters are either dead or have deserted and have left no property behind.
	(4) ...	293	6	8	Due from paupers.
	(5) ...	14	0	0	Stated to have been given away as a gift ten years ago.
	(6) ...	257	5	7	The defaulters cannot be identified.
	(7) ...	477	3	1	The defaulters were porakudis and received the loan twenty years ago. They have quitted the service of the estate, and no means exist of compelling them to repay the debts.
	(8) ...	109	4	2	Arrears of rents, the remission recommended on account of unfavorable season and destruction of tobacco crops by fire.
	(9) ...	47	10	0	
	(10) ...	52	5	5	
	(11) ...	9	12	0	Arrears of rent stated to have been assigned for service in a village temple and to have been erroneously included under Debts.
	Total ...	3,125	12	9	
3. Vadagarai		922	0	0	Of this sum Rs. 856-1-6 were sanctioned to be written off in Proceedings, dated 16th July 1884, No. 2435, and the balance appears to be the value of 24⅔ kalams of paddy and will be written off as irrecoverable.
4. Alangudicheri ...	(1) ...	127	11	0	Due from paupers.
	(2) ...	28	8	0	Erroneously included under Debts.
	(3) ...	203	8	9	The difference between the amount claimed and that for which a compromise was effected with the sanction of the Court of Wards.
	(4) ...	218	11	7	Consisting of 12 petty items, being the difference between the penal rate of interest and the proper rate. Of this Rs. 112-8-3 were disallowed by the Tiruvarur District Munsif.
	Total ...	578	7	4	
5. Merangi		215	1	8	The balance of interest remaining after the attachment and sale of all the defaulter's property.
6. Mádugula		160	0	0	Due from an admitted pauper.

With the exception of items (2) and (3) against Gandharvakóta the irrecoverable debts shown above will be written off.

27. Statement No. VI shows in detail the educational progress of the wards. Seven of them are females, of whom (*a*) one is of unsound mind; (*b*) four are precluded by their age and position from being instructed; and (*c*) the other two were under private tuition. Of the male wards, (*d*) three are of unsound mind; (*e*) two are too young to be educated; and the rest were under private tuition or were

(*a*) Erumaipati.
(*b*) Mádugula.
Chidikada.
Chípurupalle.
Kavalpara.
(*c*) Urlám.
Kapileswarapuram.
(*d*) Parlákimedi.
Peramur.
Bimbalem.
(*e*) Metrathi.
Shulagiri.

reading in the local schools, assisted, in some cases, by private tutors. The following is a brief account of the educational progress of such of the wards and their relations as were under instruction during the year under report.

Parlákimedi.—The Zemindar is an imbecile. His eldest son continued under Mr. Taylor's tuition, but having attained his majority he no longer goes through a regular course of study, but reads history and light literature with his tutor for about four hours a day. The Court of Wards are now in communication with the Collector on the subject of giving him some practical training in the system of management of the estate so as to fit him for the discharge of his duties as Zemindar when the estate is eventually handed over to him. Arrangements have been made for placing the Zemindar's two younger sons under tuition.

Chinna Kimedi.—The minor continued under the tuition of Mr. Taylor; though regular in attendance, quiet and obedient and seemingly anxious to learn, he made little progress, being of weak memory.

Jarada.—The minor was placed under the tuition of Mr. Taylor and is reported to be a bright, observant lad and to have made satisfactory progress.

Urlám.—The minor proprietrix made good progress in every branch of her studies. An English lady who visited her during the year spoke very favorably of her manners and attainments and confirmed the tutor's account.

Merangi.—The minor was under the tuition of Mr. Marsh till the 13th March 1884, and towards the close of the year attended the Hindu College, Vizagapatam. He is expert in all manly sports, but his conduct was not favorably reported on.

Pandur.—The minor made no progress whatever in his education; all efforts to make him attend school were unsuccessful. He exhibited aversion to study, but his conduct was good. An under-graduate was appointed private tutor.

Srípuram.—The minor is reported to be attentive to his studies and obedient to his tutor.

Chípurupalle.—The three elder daughters of the proprietrix were living with their husbands, and the other two attended the Roman Catholic Convent School at Vizagapatam and can read and write and play upon the piano fairly.

Lakkavaram.—The minor continued to attend a pial school and finished the first part of the English first book of lessons and of the Telugu second reader and learned a few stanzas of a Telugu second book called Nitisangraham.

Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.—The proprietrix was under the tuition of Miss Hadden and is reported to have made some progress.

Chittacherla.—The minor was promoted to the third class in the Local Fund Middle School at Madanapalle and is reported to attend school with exemplary regularity and to be very well conducted, but to have acquitted himself indifferently in his examination.

Kangundi.—The two minors were under the private tuition of a graduate appointed by the Court. The elder has finished Garthwaite's 1st English Reader and can work sums in decimals, while the younger has studied 23 lessons in that book and knows the simple and compound rules in arithmetic. Dr. Bradshaw recently examined them and considered their progress fair.

Soranjèri.—The minor appeared for the F.A. Examination in December 1884 but failed. His behaviour was favorably reported on by the Principal of the Presidency College as also his regularity in attendance.

Ukkadai.—The minor is reported to be intelligent and well behaved, but owing to general ill-health his attendance at school during the year was not satisfactory.

Velanganni.—The minor studied in the third class in the Tiruvarur High School. Owing to ill-health the minor's attendance was somewhat irregular. The progress made by him is reported to be tolerable and his conduct good.

Gandharvakóta.—The minor studied in the first class of the Native High School at Tanjore, but made little progress during the year, though his conduct and attendance were satisfactory.

Vadapathimangalam.—The elder minor attended the Government High School at Tiruvarur but lost his promotion to the next higher class owing to his indifferent performance at the annual examination. The private tutor to whose neglect this result was due was warned, and the Deputy Tahsildar was ordered to look after the minor. The younger minor has completed his sixth year and arrangements will be made for his education.

Alangudicheri.—All the four minors attended the Wesleyan Mission College at Negapatam. The Principal reports that their attendance was good and their conduct satisfactory. A private tutor on Rs. 20 per mensem has been appointed to attend upon them.

Mathur.—The minor was promoted to the Lower IV Class in the Municipal High School at Máyavaram. His attendance was very satisfactory and his progress fair.

Papanad.—The elder minor was under private tuition. The younger studied in the third class in the Tanjore Native High School. Their attendance was irregular as they were often withdrawn from Tanjore and taken to Papanad on various pretexts. The Deputy Inspector of Schools has reported that the progress of the elder minor was satisfactory, while that of the younger was as bad as in the previous year.

Singavanam.—Arrangements have been made to send the minor to Tanjore for tuition.

Peramur.—The ward continued in the same state of mental imbecility as in previous years. His son attended the Government Middle School at Srírangam and passed the Upper Primary Examination in December 1883 and was promoted to the third class. The Deputy Inspector reported favorably of his progress during the year. He has a private tutor on Rs. 10 to teach him while at home. The boy is said to be well behaved.

Nangavaram.—The elder minor attended the S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly, and passed the Primary Examination in December 1883, and was promoted to the third class. His progress was fair, and his general behaviour very good according to the report of the Principal of the Institution.

Ramnad.—Since the death of their late tutor, Mr. Gell, the minors have been under the tuition of Mr. A. W. Sewart. The elder passed the Matriculation Examination of 1884 in the first class, and has been attending the junior F. A. class of the Christian College. The younger passed the Middle School Examination in December 1884 in the third class. He has a very fair knowledge of English for his age and has improved in mathematics.

Gandamanáyakanúr.—The minor was under Mr. Sewart's tuition. His handwriting has improved a little, but he still hesitates when reading either Tamil or English. He appeared for the Middle School Examination in December 1884, but did not pass.

Vadagarai.—The minor attended the third standard class, Native High School, Madura, and is reported to be fairly intelligent and well behaved.

Vadimittah.—Two of the boys learn English under a private tutor employed by the lessee, the third boy and the girl read Tamil.

M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate.—The elder minor has been married and is living with his wife and does not care to improve himself. The younger is reported to be attentive to his studies and to be making satisfactory progress.

Panagudi Roshan Meah Sahib's Estate.—The eldest minor passed the Fifth Standard Examination and joined the Tinnevelly Hindu College for further education. The other five study in the Result System School at Panagudi.

Poravipálaiyam.—The Minor Poligar and his brother studied in the Coimbatore High School. The elder being reported to be backward, idle and irregular in attendance, the services of a special tutor were secured under whom the minor made better progress.

B. Sarvothama Row's Estate.—The minor attended a private school. His attendance was regular and conduct satisfactory, but he failed in almost all the subjects in the periodical examination.

Mangara.—The minor studied in the Upper Fourth Class of the Pálghat High School. He was well behaved, and his educational progress fair in all subjects except mathematics in which he secured a fifteenth only of the maximum number of marks.

28. The following statement shows the financial transactions of certain charitable and religious institutions in the estates under management during the year:—

—	Opening Balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Closing Balance.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Peramur Chattram	759	334	1,093	493	600
Ramnad .. .. .. { Chattram	61,343	40,190	1,01,533	30,646	70,887
{ Devastanam	1,33,343	1,53,570	2,86,913	1,01,758	1,85,155
{ Dharmamagamai ..	7,055	15,208	22,263	9,192	13,071
M. D. Kumarasami Mudaliar's Estate	1,639	9,898	11,537	9,279	2,258
Gandharvakóta	4,482	2,484	6,966	2,080	4,886
Vadapathimangalam .. { Chattram	491	6,468	6,959	5,551	1,408
{ Devastanam	554	5,704	6,258	4,437	1,821

29. The Court have nothing new to add to their remarks on the economic condition of the ryots in the old Zemindari estates contained in paragraph 34 of their Administration Report for Fasli 1292. In two of the new estates which came under management during the year—Singavanam and Metrathi—the subject has not been adverted to by the Collectors. In the remaining estate, viz., Kangundi, the ryots appear to have been reduced to the status of tenants-at-will by the Zemindars. As compared with the neighbouring Government taluk of Palmanér, there is a smaller number of the higher and more intelligent ryots and artisans and a larger number of agricultural laborers and herdsmen. Their style of living, houses, clothes, &c., is stated to be poorer, but they possess numerous cattle and sheep. If the tenants can obtain permanency of tenure at rents not liable to arbitrary enhancement, they would be fairly well off.

30. ALIENATIONS.—There were 24 Zemindari estates under the Court's control at the close of the fasli, in three of which—Soranjeri, Gandamanáyakanúr, and Poravipálaiyam—there are no alienations remaining to be dealt with. In Parlákimedi and Erumaipati, the subject was finally disposed of in 1834 and 1880, respectively. In three others—Jarada, Vadimitta and Metrathi—final orders were recently passed on the alienations. Further information has been called for in case of seven * estates. The Tanjore Collector's report on the alienations in the Singavanam estate is under consideration, and for the remaining eight † estates reports are still due which the Collectors concerned are requested to expedite. A consolidated report will be submitted when the subject is finally disposed of by the Court.

* 1. Pandur Mallavaram.
2. Sripuram.
3. Lakkavaram.
4. Kangundi.
5. Papanad.
6. Ramnad.
7. Shulagiri.

† 1. Chinna Kimedi
2. Urlám.
3. Merangi.
4. Mádugula.
5. Chidikada.
6. Chípurupalle.
7. Kapileswarapuram.
8. Gandharvakóta.

31. GENERAL REMARKS.—The detailed survey of the Parlákimedi Estate progressed during the year; 286 villages were completed, and the survey of the remaining 181 villages was still going on on the 30th June 1884. The attempt to substitute money-rents in lieu of grain-rents in the Ramnad Estate was continued, but nothing could be done during the year under report owing to the opposition from ryots, but the Manager hopes to be able to induce them to accept the arrangement in course of time.

APPENDICES.

No. I.—STATEMENT showing the Number of Villages under the several Modes of Settlement in the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards and the Age, &c., of the Wards in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of Villages.					Age of the Ward at the end of the Fasli.			Government Order by which the Estates came under the Management of the Court.	Year in which the Wards will attain their Majority.	Remarks.
		Ryotwari or Joint-rent.	Money Rent.	Rent in Kind.	Inam or Shrotriem.	Total.	Years.	Months.	Days.			
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	506	..	..	390	896	54	..	..	July 1830.	....	
	Chinna Kimedi	78	.. 7	..	74	159	15	..	6	No. 2188, 27th Dec. 1876.	June 1890.	
	Jarada	24	6	..	31	* 60	13	1	26	,, 2464, 19th July 1880.	May 1892.	The ward is an imbecile. * Exclusive of two deserted villages.
	Urlám	6	..	..	7	13	15	5	17	,, 740, 27th Mar. 1879.	January 1890.	
	Total ..	614	12	..	502	1,128	..	..	..			
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	1	49	..	36	86	16	4	28	No. 3042, 25th Nov. 1869.	1st Feb. 1889.	
	Pandur-Mallavaram ..	2	..	..	..	2	20	3	..	,, 2036, 19th June 1877.	1st April 1885.	
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	58	..	..	,, 3087, 9th Oct. ,,	....	
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram.	5	..	..	..	5	44	6	28	,, 2930, 25th Sept. ,,	....	
	Sripuram	5	..	..	5	10	9	10	5	,, 1449, 5th Sept. 1878.	16th Aug. 1895.	
	Chípurupalle	1	..	..	..	1	46	..	..	,, 510, 13th May 1882.	....	
	Total ..	14	49	..	41	104	..	..	..			
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	8	..	..	..	8	8	2	16	No. 2291, 15th Dec. 1879.	April 1897.	
	Gopálpur	10	.. 1	..	..	11	..	..	..	,, 1230, 28th Sept. 1874.	Jan. 1884.	
	Kapileswarapuram and Kosanakurru.	7	..	..	..	7	22	..	..	,, 2661, 27th ,, 1869.	....	
	Total ..	25	1	..	..	26	..	..	..			
Cuddapah	Chittoor	..	..	..	..	..	15	4	..	No. 1381, 29th Sept. 1876.	February 1890.	
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	33	174	..	123	330	14	11	..	No. 435, 11th April 1883.	August 1890.	
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	6	..	..	..	6	20	2	..	No. 1232, 14th Aug. 1875.	April 1885.	
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai	..	..	..	..	..	12	..	..	No. 1471, 12th July 1879.	July 1893.	
	Velanganni	..	..	..	..	..	18	..	18	,, 2179, 15th Nov. ,,	12th June 1887.	
	Gandharvakóta ..	11	† 15	20	..	53	10	..	6	,, 493, 9th Mar. 1881.	11th Dec. 1894.	† Includes Poyyandakóta.
	Vadapathimangalam ..	..	..	..	7	14	14	..	20	,, 1285, 29th Aug. ,,	7th Mar. 1891.	
	Alangudicheri	..	..	..	..	..	17	7	24	,, 1263, 25th ,, ,,	7th Nov. 1887.	
	Mathur	..	..	..	..	..	12	10	..	,, 1292, 20th Nov. 1882.	6th Sept. 1892.	
	Papanad	19	..	..	17	36	17	10	..	,, 290, 13th Mar. ,,	5th ,, 1887.	
	Singavanam	1	..	..	2	3	11	2	15	,, 159, 1st Feb. 1884.	15th Mar. 1895.	
	Total ..	31	15	20	26	92	..	..	..			

Statement showing the Number of Villages under the several Modes of Settlement, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of Villages.					Age of the Wards at the end of the Fasli.			Government Order by which the Estates came under the Management of the Court.	Year in which the Wards will attain their Majority.	Remarks.
		Ryotwari or Jointrent.	Money Rent.	Rent in Kind.	Inam or Shrotriem.	Total.	Years.	Months.	Days.			
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	..	..	..	..	..	36	..	..	No. 2985, 30th November 1868.	....	The ward is of unsound mind.
	Nangavaram	..	..	..	..	..	9	8	..	,, 1545, 18th ,, 1880.	31st October 1895.	
	Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Madura	Ramnad	..	336 7/12	1,003 5/8	827 5/12	2,167 5/8	15	8	..	No. 319, 15th March 1873.	October 1889.	
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	21	..	..	1	22	17	5	..	,, 2264, 27th Sept. 1867.	24th January 1888.	
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..	10	6	..	,, 1651, 7th Nov. 1881.	December 1894.	
	Total ..	21	336 7/12	1,003 5/8	828 5/12	2,189 5/8	..	..	..			
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	29	..	..	1	30	11	6	..	No. 2183, 17th November 1879.	7th January 1894.	
	M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate.	..	..	..	..	..	18	1	..	,, 1399, 20th September 1881.	10th June 1887.	
	Panagudi Roshan Miah Sáhib's estate.	..	..	..	..	..	17	1	24	,, 714, 7th July 1882.	....	
	Total ..	29	..	..	1	30	..	..	..			
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	3	..	..	..	3	20	9	..	No. 1163, 27th May 1879.	14th September 1884.	
	Metrothi	1	..	..	..	1	3	6	..	,, 860, 14th July 1883.	January 1901.	
	Total ..	4	..	..	..	4	..	..	..			
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam.	12	..	..	1	13	33	..	..	No. 694, 5th June 1874.	....	
	Shulagiri	35	55	..	49	139	2	..	..	,, 326, 5th March 1883.	March 1902.	
	Total ..	47	55	..	50	152	..	..	..			
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Row's estate.	..	..	..	..	..	13	7	..	No. 1230, 14th August 1875.	December 1891.	
Malabar	Kavalapara	..	..	..	..	..	18	..	..	No. 1187, 9th August 1872.	May 1887.	
	Mangara	..	..	..	..	..	18	..	..	,, 3341, 3rd December 1877.	June 1886.	
	Bimbalen's estate	..	..	..	..	..	31	..	..	,, 122, 2nd February 1880.	,,	The ward is a lunatic.
	Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Grand Total ..	824	642 3/32	1,023 5/8	1,571 1/32	4,061 5/8	..	..	..			

No. II.—STATEMENT showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Holdings.						Miscellaneous Revenue.							Total of Columns 8 and 15.	Deduct Remissions.	Net Revenue.
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.		Rent on Lands.	Value of Share of Produce received in Kind.	Jodi or Quit-rent on Inams.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and Second-crop Assessment.	Other Items.	Total Miscellaneous.			
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	47,527	59,890	65,129	2,81,905	112,656	3,41,795	..	..	22,411	17,731	..	4,672	44,814	3,86,609	472	3,86,137
	Chinna Kimedi	2,852	7,028	9,508	40,496	12,360	47,524	6,860	..	8,281	3,830	..	2,657	21,628	69,152	407	68,745
	Jarada	548	1,044	2,624	14,634	3,172	15,678	5,538	..	1,411	930	..	146	8,025	23,703	175	23,528
	Urlám	321	1,712	2,647	32,442	2,968	34,154	5,731	..	1,833	1,524	..	1,292	10,380	44,534	..	44,534
	Total ..	51,248	69,674	79,908	3,69,477	131,156	4,39,151	18,129	..	33,936	24,015	..	8,767	84,847	5,23,998	1,054	5,22,944
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	506	386	370	2,126	876	2,512	37,040	..	2,763	2,890	..	579	43,272	45,784	..	45,784
	Pandur-Mallavaram	466	982	90	718	556	1,700	43	..	812	261	26	124	1,266	2,966	..	2,966
	Mádugula	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,200	..	..	114	..	1,839	3,153	3,153	..	3,153
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram ..	952	3,252	1,326	14,398	2,278	17,650	..	..	3,852	907	..	270	5,029	22,679	..	22,679
	Sripuram	1,256	2,921	1,570	11,490	2,826	14,411	513	..	984	696	457	246	2,896	17,307	72	17,235
	Chipurupalle	2,432	6,329	56	426	2,488	6,755	285	..	519	279	10	1,034	2,127	8,882	..	8,882
	Total ..	5,612	13,870	3,412	29,158	9,024	43,028	39,081	..	8,930	5,147	493	4,092	57,743	1,00,771	72	1,00,699
Godávari	Lakkavaram	41	24	225	550	266	574	8,024	..	1,098	234	13	970	10,339	10,913	..	10,913
	Gopálpur	6,032	57,213	..	..	6,032	57,213	2,918	..	3,784	1,520	2,876	891	11,989	69,202	109	69,093
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	4,187	29,930	1,508	6,270	5,695	36,200	289	..	1,090	2,395	..	957	4,731	40,931	4,316	36,615
	Total ..	10,260	87,167	1,733	6,820	11,993	93,987	11,231	..	5,972	4,149	2,889	2,818	27,059	1,21,046	4,425	1,16,621
Cuddapah ..	* Chittecherla	178	..	309	..	487	1,108	..	..	..	..	..	81	81	1,189	..	1,189
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	6,754	13,065	1,512	9,090	8,266	22,155	33,783	..	15,754	3,889	12	13,287	66,725	88,880	142	88,738
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	156	255	931	4,425	1,087	4,680	..	..	..	264	507	1,798	2,569	7,249	234	7,015
Tanjore	* Ukkadai	1,327	1,207	1,493	19,054	2,820	20,261	..	..	..	..	..	755	755	21,016	..	21,026
	* Velanganni	39	181	364	2,680	403	2,861	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,861	..	2,161
	Gandharvakóta	11,353	12,429	8,072	17,773	19,425	30,202	..	..	33	1,922	43	5,486	7,484	37,686	59	37,627
	* Vadapathimangalam	732	3,360	4,995	43,327	5,727	46,687	..	..	..	..	..	3,134	3,134	49,821	..	49,821
	* Alangudicheri	71	468	465	5,837	536	6,305	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,305	..	6,305
	* Mathur	126	82	647	4,802	773	4,884	..	..	..	..	..	218	218	5,102	..	5,102
	Papanad	3,214	5,130	1,310	6,395	4,524	11,525	..	..	632	276	..	1,698	2,606	14,131	..	14,131
	Singavanam	48	41	239	1,399	287	1,440	945	111	..	161	..	234	1,451	2,891	..	2,891
	Total ..	16,910	22,898	17,585	1,01,267	34,495	1,24,165	945	111	665	2,359	43	11,525	15,648	1,39,813	59	1,39,754

* Are ryotwari estates. The assessment in columns 4, 6 and 8 in these cases means the income derived from the land.

Statement showing the Holdings and Settled Demand of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—(*Continued*).

District.	Estates.	Holdings. Dry. Extent.	Holdings. Dry. Assessment.	Holdings. Wet. Extent.	Holdings. Wet. Assessment.	Holdings. Total. Extent.	Holdings. Total. Assessment.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Rent on Lands.	Value of Share of Produce received in Kind.	Jodi or Quit-rent on Inams.	Land-cess.	Water rate and Second-crop Assessment.	Other Items.	Total Miscellaneous.	Total of Columns 8 and 15.	Deduct Remissions.	Net Revenue.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly ..	* Peramur	2,040	..	396	..	2,436	10,906	..	..	..	..	..	411	411	11,317	..	11,317
	* Nangavaram	3,256	..	1,029	..	4,285	16,143	..	..	..	..	..	475	475	16,618	..	16,618
	Total ..	5,296	..	1,425	..	6,721	27,049	..	..	..	..	..	886	886	27,935	..	27,935
Madura ..	Ramnad	223,099	2,31,900	51,235	3,67,938	274,334	5,99,838	..	..	1,35,167	22,803	4,247	58,715	2,20,932	8,20,770	51,860	7,68,910
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	37,155	54,696	684	1,710	37,839	56,406	..	..	..	1,786	..	786	2,572	58,978	5,492	53,486
	* Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	420	2,700	..	..	..	..	..	797	797	3,497	..	3,497
	Total ..	260,254	2,86,596	51,919	3,69,648	312,593	6,58,944	..	..	1,35,167	24,589	4,247	60,298	2,24,301	8,83,245	57,352	8,25,893
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	11,255	12,860	154	1,097	11,409	13,957	318	..	..	439	..	1,107	1,864	15,821	..	15,821
	* M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate	1,753	1,706	738	8,659	2,491	10,365	..	..	..	..	..	2,137	2,137	12,502	..	12,502
	* Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate	279	69	122	3,307	401	3,376	78	..	..	..	..	1,814	1,892	5,268	..	5,268
	Total ..	13,287	14,635	1,014	13,063	14,301	27,698	396	..	..	439	..	5,058	5,893	33,591	..	33,591
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	7,957	8,692	1,515	4,097	9,472	12,789	..	..	..	288	..	12	300	13,089	109	12,980
	Metrothi	4,763	5,569	..	..	4,763	5,569	..	..	14	225	..	..	239	5,808	740	5,068
	Total ..	12,720	14,261	1,515	4,097	14,235	18,358	..	..	14	513	..	12	539	18,897	849	18,048
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	6,253	12,832	442	3,931	6,695	16,763	..	..	182	534	340	1,244	2,300	19,063	3,714	15,349
	Shulagiri	4,718	5,863	494	2,513	5,212	8,376	3,234	182	4,132	555	107	1,573	9,783	18,159	1,127	17,032
	Total ..	10,971	18,695	936	6,444	11,907	25,139	3,234	182	4,314	1,089	447	2,817	12,083	37,222	4,841	32,381
South Canara ..	* B. Sarvothama Row's estate	200	2,404	100	1,831	300	4,235	..	..	..	..	..	45	45	4,280	..	4,280
Malabar	* Kavalapara	7,635	1,790	3,827	20,008	11,462	21,798	..	..	..	..	..	14,377	14,377	36,175	..	36,175
	* Mangara	230	420	240	4,160	470	4,580	..	..	..	..	..	634	634	5,214	..	5,214
	* Bimbalen	55	..	19	414	74	414	..	..	..	..	..	4	4	418	..	418
	Total ..	7,920	2,210	4,086	24,582	12,006	26,792	..	..	..	..	..	15,015	15,015	41,807	..	41,807
	Grand Total ..	401,766	5,45,730	166,385	9,39,902	568,571	15,16,489	1,06,799	293	2,04,752	66,453	8,638	1,26,499	5,13,434	20,29,923	69,028	19,60,895

* Are ryotwari estates. The assessment in columns 4, 6 and 8 in these cases means the income derived from the land.

No. III.—STATEMENT showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand. Arrears.	Demand. Current.	Demand. Total.	Collections. Arrears.	Collections. Current.	Collections. Total.	Amount remitted.	Balance at the close of Fasli. Arrears.	Balance at the close of Fasli. Current.	Balance at the close of Fasli. Total.	Subsequent Collections and Remissions.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	67,635	3,86,137	4,53,772	51,745	3,64,842	4,16,587	2,031	13,859	21,295	35,154	14,604	20,550
	Chinna Kimedi	22,817	68,745	91,562	15,643	58,921	74,564	1,409	5,765	9,824	15,589	6,346	9,243
	Jarada	4,998	23,528	28,526	4,543	22,036	26,579	277	178	1,492	1,670	290	1,380
	Urlám	14,493	44,534	59,027	14,460	31,666	46,126	..	33	12,868	12,901	6,198	6,703
	Total ..	1,09,943	5,22,944	6,32,887	86,391	4,77,465	5,63,856	3,717	19,835	45,479	65,314	27,438	37,876
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	38,676	45,784	84,460	1,649	41,104	42,753	..	37,027	4,680	41,707	184	41,523
	Pandur-Mallavaram	670	2,966	3,636	196	2,859	3,055	435	39	107	146	91	55
	Madugula	1,537	3,153	4,690	133	2,980	3,113	933	471	173	644	60	584
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram ..	2,109	22,679	24,788	1,801	19,327	21,128	6	302	3,352	3,654	484	3,170
	Sripuram	5,852	17,235	23,087	651	16,689	17,340	1,177	4,024	546	4,570	298	4,272
	Chipurupalle	3,861	8,882	12,743	2,747	6,700	9,447	..	1,114	2,182	3,296	298	2,998
	Total ..	52,705	1,00,699	1,53,404	7,177	89,659	96,836	2,551	42,977	11,040	54,017	1,415	52,602
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	1,167	10,913	12,080	418	10,205	10,623	30	719	708	1,427	..	1,427
	Gopálpur	35,976	69,093	1,05,069	16,376	28,775	45,151	905	18,695	40,318	59,013	..	59,013
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	10,942	36,615	47,557	4,867	19,074	23,941	..	6,075	17,541	23,616	6,551	17,065
	Total ..	48,085	1,16,621	1,64,706	21,661	58,054	79,715	935	25,489	58,567	84,056	6,551	77,505
Cuddapah ..	Chittecherla	130	1,189	1,319	51	1,074	1,125	..	79	115	194	194	..
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	66,385	88,738	1,55,123	43,215	59,786	1,03,001	..	23,170	28,952	52,122	8,236	43,886
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	6,207	7,015	13,222	4,251	3,306	7,557	..	1,956	3,709	5,665	814	4,851
Tanjore	Ukkadai	6,142	21,016	27,158	685	17,527	18,212	155	5,302	3,489	8,791	105	8,686
	Velanganni	612	2,861	3,473	505	2,148	2,653	..	107	713	820	..	820
	Gandharvakóta	68,740	37,627	1,06,367	6,406	13,600	20,006	..	62,334	24,027	86,361	1,363	84,998
	Vadapathimangalam	11,273	49,821	61,094	2,740	44,249	46,989	..	8,533	5,572	14,105	..	14,105
	Alangudicheri	..	6,305	6,305	..	6,305	6,305	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mathur	357	5,102	5,459	357	5,102	5,459	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Papanad	25,878	14,131	40,009	5,118	3,740	8,858	..	20,760	10,391	31,151	4,148	27,003
	Singavanam	..	2,891	2,891	..	676	676	..	..	2,215	2,215	111	2,104
	Total ..	1,13,002	1,39,754	2,52,756	15,811	93,347	1,09,158	155	97,036	46,407	1,43,443	5,727	1,37,716

Statement showing the Demand, Collection and Balance of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.			Collections.			Amount remitted.	Balance at the close of Fasli.			Subsequent Collections and Remissions.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	3,065	11,317	14,382	2,461	8,182	10,643	..	604	3,135	3,739	958	2,781
	Nangavaram	8,723	16,618	25,341	2,849	13,061	15,910	..	5,874	3,557	9,431	1,386	8,045
	Total ..	11,788	27,935	39,723	5,310	21,243	26,553	..	6,478	6,692	13,170	2,344	10,826
Madura	Ramnad	3,44,319	7,68,910	11,13,229	91,160	6,08,579	6,99,739	8,868	2,44,291	1,60,331	4,04,622	94,666	3,09,956
	Gandamanáyakanúr	10,031	53,486	63,517	6,805	51,969	58,774	..	3,226	1,517	4,743	853	3,890
	Vadagarai	466	3,497	3,963	440	3,035	3,475	..	26	462	488	267	221
	Total ..	3,54,816	8,25,893	11,80,709	98,405	6,63,583	7,61,988	8,868	2,47,543	1,62,310	4,09,853	95,786	3,14,067
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	7,505	15,821	23,326	3,789	13,954	17,743	..	3,716	1,867	5,583	227	5,356
	M. D. Kumarasawmy Mudaliar's estate	10,119	12,502	22,621	952	9,238	10,190	..	9,167	3,264	12,431	1,025	11,406
	Panagudi Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate	140	5,268	5,408	87	5,191	5,278	..	53	77	130	29	101
	Total ..	17,764	33,591	51,355	4,828	28,383	33,211	..	12,936	5,208	18,144	1,281	16,863
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	3,445	12,980	16,425	3,067	9,319	12,386	..	378	3,661	4,039	2,226	1,813
	Metrothi	678	5,068	5,746	598	4,471	5,069	..	80	597	677	536	141
	Total ..	4,123	18,048	22,171	3,665	13,790	17,455	..	458	4,258	4,716	2,762	1,954
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	9,010	15,349	24,359	5,539	11,129	16,668	..	3,471	4,220	7,691	2,687	5,004
	Shulagiri	1,892	17,032	18,924	1,636	13,559	15,195	..	256	3,473	3,729	703	3,026
	Total ..	10,902	32,381	43,283	7,175	24,688	31,863	..	3,727	7,693	11,420	3,390	8,030
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Row's estate.	1,137	4,280	5,417	1,010	3,850	4,860	..	127	430	557	341	216
Malabar	Kavalapara	6,312	36,175	42,487	2,211	32,078	34,289	..	4,101	4,097	8,198	223	7,975
	Mangara	3,003	5,214	8,217	921	3,329	4,250	..	2,082	1,885	3,967	178	3,789
	Bimbalen	..	418	418	..	418	418	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	9,315	41,807	51,122	3,132	35,825	38,957	..	6,183	5,982	12,165	401	11,764
	Grand Total ..	8,06,302	19,60,895	27,67,197	3,02,082	15,74,053	18,76,135	16,226	4,87,994	3,86,842	8,74,836	1,56,680	7,18,156

No. IV.—FINANCIAL STATEMENT of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the Credit of the Estates at the beginning of Fasli 1293 (1883-84).				Income in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).			Total of Columns 4 and 9.	Charges.					
		Government Paper.	Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Collections within the Fasli.	Interest on Funds invested and Rents of Houses and other Income.	Total.		Establishment and Contingencies.	Maramut Expenditure.	Government Demand.		Government Commission.	Total Management and Peshkash.
												Fixed Peshkash.	Assessment and Land-cess.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Parálkimedi	22,50,800	1,63,082	..	24,13,882	4,16,587	74,115	4,90,702	6,53,784	20,799	69,027	1,26,246	36,360	9,429	2,61,861
	Chinna Kimedi ..	50,000	12,411	..	62,411	74,564	10,198	84,762	97,173	5,361	2,809	20,826	5,734	685	35,415
	Jarada	..	25,185	..	25,185	26,579	3,988	30,567	55,752	2,030	1,819	2,314	1,547	242	7,952
	Urlám	2,15,000	24,912	..	2,39,912	46,126	6,046	52,172	77,084	2,667	8,179	13,580	2,457	261	27,144
	Total ..	25,15,800	2,25,590	..	27,41,390	5,63,856	94,347	6,58,203	8,83,793	30,857	81,834	1,62,966	46,098	10,617	3,32,372
Vizagapatam.	Merangi	1,31,900	8,060	..	1,39,960	42,753	(*h*) 28,351	71,104	79,164	1,297	..	26,480	6,506	709	34,992
	Pandur-Mallavaram ..	..	1,288	..	1,288	3,055	739	3,794	5,082	219	46	1,950	290	43	2,548
	Madugula	..	3,332	..	3,332	3,113	1,409	4,522	7,854	408	..	..	264	76	748
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram.	..	22,377	..	22,377	21,128	1,473	22,601	44,978	1,163	1,729	4,855	1,860	301	9,908
	Sripuram	..	7,626	..	7,626	17,340	2,032	19,372	26,998	883	494	10,875	957	268	13,477
	Chipurupalle ..	..	3,517	..	3,517	9,447	2,252	11,699	15,216	627	18	..	707	..	1,352
	Total ..	1,31,900	46,200	..	1,78,100	96,836	36,256	1,33,092	1,79,292	4,597	2,287	44,160	10,584	1,397	63,025
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	43,000	26,327	..	69,327	10,623	16,377	27,000	53,327	2,114	1,145	5,389	902	1,168	10,718
	Gopálpur	2,28,100	1,26,276	..	3,54,376	45,151	8,546	53,697	1,79,973	2,778	105	13,883	4,656	1,087	22,509
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru ..	52,400	23,493	..	75,893	23,941	1,098	25,039	48,532	2,891	976	20,157	2,500	..	26,524
	Total ..	3,23,500	1,76,096	..	4,99,596	79,715	26,021	1,05,736	2,81,832	7,783	2,226	39,429	8,058	2,255	59,751
Cuddapah ..	Chittecherla	3,000	4,812	..	7,812	1,125	2,807	3,932	8,744	255	393	..	472	63	1,183
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	..	19,680	..	19,680	1,03,001	28,394	1,31,395	1,51,075	10,088	6,031	33,432	7,540	..	57,091
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	..	1,091	..	1,091	7,557	694	8,251	9,342	358	857	2,479	281	..	3,975
Tanjore ..	Ukkadi	..	5,245	7,234	12,479	11,958	(*a*) 8,975	20,933	26,178	2,131	429	..	9,067	236	11,863
	Velanganni	..	505	..	505	2,653	(*g*) 2,541	5,194	5,699	110	20	..	1,324	105	1,559
	Gandharvakóta ..	..	7,517	6,827	14,344	14,137	(*b*) 8,425	22,562	30,079	4,355	247	690	..	..	5,292
	Vadapathimangalam ..	..	7,050	23,092	30,142	24,815	(*c*) 52,554	77,369	84,419	6,459	2,688	..	17,422	..	26,569
	Alangudicheri ..	..	2,718	5,035	7,753	1,117	(*d*) 6,555	7,672	10,390	843	388	..	2,083	260	3,574
	Mathur	..	1,103	5,269	6,372	3,519	(*e*) 28,680	32,199	33,302	1,084	94	..	4,255	106	5,539
	Papanad	..	1,633	3,659	5,292	4,955	(*f*) 4,155	9,110	10,743	2,009	6	3,455	281	192	5,943
	Singavanam	..	..	..	..	47	20	67	67	25	..	..	..	..	25
	Total ..	..	25,771	51,116	76,887	63,201	1,11,905	1,75,106	2,00,877	17,016	3,872	4,145	34,432	899	60,364

(*a*) Includes Rs. 6,202 the sale-proceeds of previous year's grain and Rs. 2,048, the sale-proceeds of furniture and jewels.
(*b*) Do. „ 6,122 „ „ „
(*c*) Do. „ 45,046 „ „ „
(*d*) Do. „ 4,548 „ „ „
(*e*) Includes Rs. 5,128 the sale-proceeds of previous year's grain and Rs. 22,202, sale-proceeds of estate lands and jewels.
(*f*) Do. „ 3,049 „ „ „
(*g*) Do. „ 2,000 loan.
(*h*) Do. „ 19,375 the sale-proceeds of Rs. 20,000 Government paper.

Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—(*Continued*).					Balance to the Credit of the Estates at the end of Fasli 1293 (1883-84).				Difference between Columns 6 and 25.	
		Maintenance and Education of Wards.	Allowance to other Members of the Family.	Payment of Debts.	Other Miscellaneous Disbursements.	Total Charges.	Government Paper.	Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.
		17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlâkimedi	84,022	5,219	..	(*i*) 1,64,646	5,15,748	23,00,800	1,38,036	..	24,38,836	24,954	..
	Chinna Kimedi	5,694	7,420	..	(*j*) 31,702	80,231	60,000	16,942	..	76,942	14,531	..
	Jarada	3,987	4,032	..	26,225	42,196	..	13,556	..	13,556	..	11,629
	Urlâm	5,225	2,676	..	13,647	48,692	2,15,000	28,392	..	2,43,392	3,480	..
	Total	98,928	19,347	..	2,36,220	6,86,867	25,75,800	1,96,926	..	27,72,726	42,965	11,629
Vizagapatam	Merangi	11,000	3,400	..	20,671	70,063	1,11,900	9,101	..	1,21,001	..	18,959
	Pondur-Mallavaram	900	..	..	51	3,499	..	1,583	..	1,583	295	..
	Mâdugula	..	1,379	2,687	2,402	7,216	..	638	..	638	..	2,694
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram	4,094	1,200	..	2,042	17,244	..	27,734	..	27,734	5,357	..
	Sripuram	1,096	1,200	..	2,816	18,589	..	8,409	..	8,409	783	..
	Chipurupalle	2,292	..	..	8,125	11,769	..	3,447	..	3,447	..	70
	Total	19,382	7,179	2,687	36,107	1,28,380	1,11,900	50,912	..	1,62,812	6,435	21,723
Godâvari	Lakkavaram	1,300	195	7	25,452	37,672	43,000	15,655	..	58,655	..	10,672
	Gopâlpur	8,487	..	..	(*k*) 1,03,640	1,34,626	3,20,600	45,337	..	3,65,937	11,561	..
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	3,451	..	..	(*l*) 9,234	39,209	57,400	9,323	..	66,723	..	9,170
	Total	13,238	195	7	1,38,326	2,11,517	4,21,000	70,315	..	4,91,315	11,561	19,842
Cuddapah	Chittecherla	788	984	..	(*m*) 3,272	6,227	6,000	2,517	..	8,517	705	..
North Arcot	Kangundi	4,981	12,092	35,580	13,987	1,23,731	..	27,344	..	27,344	7,664	..
Chingleput	Soranjeri	830	..	..	1,286	6,091	..	3,251	..	3,251	2,160	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	72	523	5,770	7,063	25,291	..	887	6,254	7,141	..	5,338
	Velanganni	503	..	2,615	137	4,814	..	885	..	885	380	..
	Gandharvakôta	983	1,498	8,184	6,991	22,948	..	7,131	5,869	13,000	..	1,344
	Vadapathimangalam	6,709	..	6,429	29,665	69,372	..	15,047	22,174	37,221	7,079	..
	Alangudicheri	340	403	2,342	3,212	9,871	..	519	5,188	5,707	..	2,046
	Mathur	..	426	20,278	3,080	29,323	..	3,979	1,940	5,919	..	453
	Papanad	750	1,508	..	1,537	9,738	..	1,005	3,903	4,908	..	384
	Singavanam	..	..	..	20	45	..	22	629	651	651	..
	Total	9,357	4,358	45,618	51,705	1,71,402	..	29,475	45,957	75,432	8,110	9,565

(*i*) Includes Rs. 50,000 invested in Government paper.
(*j*) Do. „ 10,000 „ „
(*k*) Do. „ 92,500 „ „
(*l*) Includes Rs. 5,000 invested in Government paper.
(*m*) Do. „ 3,000 „ „

Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c. (*Continued*.)

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the Credit of the Estates at the beginning of Fasli 1293 (1883-84).				Income in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).			Total of Columns 4 and 9.	Charges.					
		Government Paper.	Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Collections within the Fasli.	Interest on Funds invested and Rents of Houses and other Income.	Total.		Establishment and Contingencies.	Maramut Expenditure.	Government Demand.		Government Commission.	Total Management and Peshkash.
												Fixed Peshkash.	Assessment and Land-cess.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	43,400	6,888	..	50,288	10,643	1,008	11,651	18,539	853	7	..	4,590	170	5,620
	Nangavaram	..	3,178	2,095	5,273	15,910	6,254	22,164	25,342	1,183	110	..	6,674	263	8,230
	Total	43,400	10,066	2,095	55,561	26,553	7,262	33,815	43,881	2,036	117	..	11,264	433	13,850
Madura	Ramnad	..	41,764	96,969	1,38,733	7,96,708	25,750	8,22,458	8,64,222	63,846	39,522	3,14,153	36,349	10,823	4,64,693
	Gandamanâyakanûr	1,17,300	39,941	..	1,57,241	58,774	10,503	69,277	1,09,218	5,052	2,374	13,415	4,810	829	26,480
	Vadagarai	..	4,039	2,329	6,368	3,475	738	4,213	8,252	420	114	..	1,718	82	2,334
	Total	1,17,300	85,744	99,298	3,02,342	8,58,957	36,991	8,95,948	9,81,692	69,318	42,010	3,27,568	42,877	11,734	4,93,507
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	17,743	..	17,743	17,743	751	604	5,433	838	..	7,626
	M. D. Kumaraswami Mudaliar's estate	..	6,775	..	6,775	10,190	62	10,252	17,027	1,480	..	..	7,030	174	8,684
	Roshan Meah Sâhib's estate	..	1,160	..	1,160	5,278	4	5,282	6,442	641	19	..	1,483	..	2,143
	Total	..	7,935	..	7,935	33,211	66	33,277	41,212	2,872	623	5,433	9,351	174	18,453
Coimbatore	Poravipalaiyam	..	8,488	..	8,488	12,386	73	12,459	20,947	271	..	2,950	1,368	..	4,589
	Metrothi	..	..	..	..	5,069	..	5,069	5,069	21	..	2,384	452	..	2,857
	Total	..	8,488	..	8,488	17,455	73	17,528	26,016	292	..	5,334	1,820	..	7,446
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	..	655	..	655	16,668	116	16,784	17,439	2,074	4	9,513	521	181	12,293
	Shulagiri	..	325	..	325	15,195	..	15,195	15,520	787	..	5,704	1,180	..	7,671
	Total	..	980	..	980	31,863	116	31,979	32,959	2,861	4	15,217	1,701	181	19,964
South Canara	B. Sarvothama Row's estate	4,000	1,167	..	5,167	4,860	131	4,991	6,158	304	125	..	1,940	..	2,369
Malabar	Kavalapara	21,000	49,913	..	70,913	34,289	1,398	35,687	85,600	5,906	237	..	3,668	447	10,258
	Mangara	9,700	7,710	..	17,410	4,250	832	5,082	12,792	1,451	..	..	520	109	2,080
	Bimbalen	..	364	..	364	418	..	418	782	26	..	..	35	6	67
	Total	30,700	57,987	..	88,687	38,957	2,230	41,187	99,174	7,383	237	..	4,223	562	12,405
	Grand Total	31,69,600	6,71,607	1,52,509	39,93,716	19,27,147	3,47,293	22,74,440	29,46,047	1,56,020	1,40,616	6,40,163	1,80,641	28,315	11,45,755

Financial Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—*(Continued)*.

CHARGES—*(Continued)*.

Districts.	Estates.	Maintenance and Education of Wards.	Allowance to other Members of the Family.	Payment of Debts.	Other Miscellaneous disbursements.	Total Charges.
		17	18	19	20	21
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	1,675	180	..	6,119	13,594
	Nangavaram	1,818	2,290	7,029	1,603	20,970
	Total ..	3,493	2,470	7,029	7,722	34,564
Madura ..	Ramnad	12,143	17,748	2,61,993	24,882	7,81,459
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	5,672	3,960	..	4,348	40,360
	Vadagarai	1,144	307	..	796	4,681
	Total ..	18,859	22,015	2,61,993	30,026	8,26,400
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	..	3,161	..	6,956	17,743
	M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate ..	1,889	..	110	1,686	12,369
	Roshan Meah Sahib's estate	687	318	..	2,414	5,562
	Total ..	2,576	3,479	110	11,056	35,674
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	1,385	1,734	7,060	2,232	17,000
	Mettuthi	..	..	666	106	3,629
	Total ..	1,385	1,734	7,726	2,338	20,629
Salem ..	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	934	..	1,050	1,469	15,746
	Shulagiri	322	2,573	794	705	12,065
	Total ..	1,256	2,573	1,844	2,174	27,811
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Row's estate	328	905	..	(*o*) 858	4,460
Malabar ..	Kavalapara	4,540	..	..	(*p*) 39,275	54,073
	Mangara	956	..	146	2,600	5,636
	Bimbalen	99	..	..	23	335
	Total ..	5,595	..	146	41,898	60,044
	Grand Total ..	1,80,996	77,331	3,62,740	5,76,975	23,43,797

BALANCE TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATES AT THE END OF FASLI 1293 (1883-84).

Cash.	Grain.	Total.	Government Paper.
23	24	25	22
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4,945	..	53,345	48,400
4,372	..	4,372	..
9,317	..	57,717	48,400
82,763	77,931	1,60,694	..
68,858	..	1,86,158	1,17,300
3,671	2,259	5,930	..
1,55,292	80,190	3,52,782	1,17,300
..	..	..	..
4,658	..	4,658	..
880	..	880	..
5,538	..	5,538	..
3,947	..	3,947	..
1,440	..	1,440	..
5,387	..	5,387	..
1,693	..	1,693	..
3,455	..	3,455	..
5,148	..	5,148	..
1,698	..	6,198	4,500
31,527	..	73,527	42,000
7,156	..	16,856	9,700
447	..	447	..
39,130	..	90,830	51,700
6,02,250	1,26,147	40,64,997	33,36,600

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN COLUMNS 6 AND 25.

Increase.	Decrease.
26	27
Rs.	Rs.
3,057	..
..	901
3,057	901
21,961	..
28,917	..
..	438
50,878	438
..	..
..	2,117
..	280
..	2,397
..	4,641
1,440	..
1,440	4,641
1,038	..
3,130	..
4,168	..
1,031	..
2,614	..
.. 83	554
2,697	554
1,42,811	71,590

(*a*) Includes Rs. 6,000 invested in Government paper.
(*o*) " 500 " "
(*p*) " 21,000 " "

ENCLOSURE A to Statement No. IV, showing the Expenditure incurred on Irrigation Works, Buildings and Communications in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	Agricultural Works. New Works.	Agricultural Works. Repairs.	Buildings. New Works.	Buildings. Repairs.	Communications. New Works.	Communications. Repairs.	Establishment.	Total.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	8,441	14,017	10,239	1,345	10,016	8,469	16,500	69,027
	Chinna Kimedi	342	2,434	16	17	..	..	..	2,809
	Jarada	626	903	290	..	..	..	..	1,819
	Urlám	781	..	4,585	2,247	89	477	..	8,179
	Total ..	10,190	17,354	15,130	3,609	10,105	8,946	16,500	81,834
Vizagapatam ..	Pandur-Mallavaram ..	..	46	..	..	..	..	..	46
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram	..	1,727	..	2	..	..	..	1,729
	Sripuram	..	69	..	425	..	..	..	494
	Chipurupalle	..	18	..	..	..	..	..	18
	Total ..	..	1,860	..	427	..	..	..	2,287
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	..	1,145	..	..	..	..	..	1,145
	Gopálpur	..	..	..	105	..	..	..	105
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru	..	518	458	..	..	..	..	976
	Total ..	..	1,663	458	105	..	..	..	2,226
Cuddapah ..	Chittecherla	..	393	..	..	..	..	..	393
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	500	1,391	700	1,908	..	450	1,082	6,031
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	..	..	..	857	..	..	..	857
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai	..	219	..	210	..	..	..	429
	Velanganni	..	..	..	20	..	..	..	20
	Gandharvakóta	..	230	..	17	..	..	..	247
	Vadapathimangalam ..	..	1,701	..	987	..	..	..	2,688
	Alangudicheri	338	..	..	50	..	..	..	388
	Mathur	..	94	..	..	..	..	..	94
	Papanad	..	..	..	6	..	..	..	6
	Total ..	338	2,244	..	1,290	..	..	..	3,872
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	..	7	..	..	..	..	..	7
	Nangavarm	..	110	..	..	..	..	..	110
	Total ..	..	117	..	..	..	..	..	117
Madura ..	Ramnad	..	32,359	..	1,378	..	..	5,785	39,522
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	..	579	..	502	..	..	1,293	2,374
	Vadagarai	..	36	..	78	..	..	..	114
	Total ..	..	32,974	..	1,958	..	..	7,078	42,010
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimittah	..	437	..	167	..	..	..	604
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate	..	..	..	19	..	..	..	19
	Total ..	..	437	..	186	..	..	..	623
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam	..	4	..	..	..	..	..	4
South Canara ..	Brahmawar Sarvothama Row's estate	..	65	..	60	..	..	..	125
Malabar	Kavalapara	..	..	..	237	..	..	..	237
	Grand Total ..	11,028	58,502	16,288	10,637	10,105	9,396	24,660	1,40,616

No. V.—STATEMENT showing the Debts due to, and due by, the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards for Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Estates.	DEBTS DUE TO THE ESTATE.							DEBTS DUE BY THE ESTATE.						
		Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the Fasli.	Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account and Interest accumulated in the Fasli.	Total.	Debts paid or set off.	Debts struck off the Accounts.	Total.	Remainder due by the Estate at the end of the Fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Vizagapatam ..	Merangi	9,299	..	9,299	500	..	500	8,799	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Pondur-Mallavaram ..	100	..	100	..	..	..	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mádugula	2,111	..	2,111	465	..	465	1,646	65,666	..	65,666	2,687	..	2,687	62,979
	Chidikada Jagannadhapuram	230	..	230	161	..	161	69	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Srípuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	670	..	670	..	670	670	..
	Chípurupalle	14,032	461	14,493	1,495	..	1,495	12,998	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	25,772	461	26,233	2,621	..	2,621	23,612	66,336	..	66,336	2,687	670	3,357	62,979
Godávari	Lakkavaram	49,432	4,157	53,589	5,681	..	5,681	47,908	7	..	7	7	..	7	..
	Kapileswarapuram and Kesanakurru.	60	..	60	12	..	12	48	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Gopálpur	11,935	419	12,354	300	..	300	12,054	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	61,427	4,576	66,003	5,993	..	5,993	60,010	7	..	7	7	..	7	..
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	58,479	2,903	61,382	5,614	..	5,614	55,768	33,026	2,803	35,829	35,580	..	35,580	249
Chingleput ..	Soranjeri	3,139	112	3,251	620	2,091	2,711	540	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore	Ukkadai	23,056	770	23,826	140	4,248	4,388	19,438	86,348	3,247	89,595	5,770	..	5,770	83,825
	Velanganni	1,262	79	1,341	318	999	1,317	24	6,760	2,275	9,035	2,615	..	2,615	6,420
	Gandharvakóta	45,950	56,403	1,02,353	2,078	..	2,078	1,00,275	2,68,609	25,425	2,94,034	8,184	..	8,184	2,85,850
	Vadapathimangalam ..	1,00,031	17,186	1,17,217	16,199	14,849	31,048	86,169	57,912	3,427	61,339	6,429	..	6,429	54,910
	Alangudicheri	24,326	1,033	25,359	1,819	817	2,636	22,723	5,783	131	5,914	2,342	..	2,342	3,572
	Mathur	2,462	13	2,475	73	..	73	2,402	30,069	1,307	31,376	20,278	4,653	24,931	6,445
	Papanad	21,219	1,529	22,748	463	..	463	22,285	32,810	1,458	34,268	..	..	..	34,268
	Singavanam	17,486	289	17,755	12	..	12	17,763	80,566	..	80,566	..	..	..	80,566
	Total ..	2,35,792	77,302	3,13,094	21,102	20,913	42,015	2,71,079	5,68,857	37,270	6,06,127	45,618	4,653	50,271	5,55,856

Statement showing the Debts due to, and due by, the Estates under the Management of the Court of Wards, &c.—(*Continued*).

Districts.	Estates.	DEBTS DUE TO THE ESTATE.							DEBTS DUE BY THE ESTATE.						
		Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the Fasli.	Debts as per last year's Account.	Debts newly contracted or newly brought to Account and Interest accumulated in the Fasli.	Total.	Debts paid or set off.	Debts struck off the Accounts.	Total.	Remainder due by the Estate at the end of the Fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	15	..	15	..	..	..	15	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Nangavaram	8,245	1,729	9,974	4,142	..	4,142	5,832	27,891	1,314	29,205	7,029	..	7,029	22,176
	Total ..	8,260	1,729	9,989	4,142	..	4,142	5,847	27,891	1,314	29,205	7,029	..	7,029	22,176
Madura	Ramnad	211	..	211	..	211	211	..	12,04,696	53,951	12,58,647	2,61,993	..	2,61,993	9,96,654
	Gandamanáyakanúr ..	1,459	52	1,511	970	..	970	541	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Vadagarai	2,371	98	2,469	73	..	73	2,396	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	4,041	150	4,191	1,043	211	1,254	2,937	12,04,696	53,951	12,58,647	2,61,993	..	2,61,993	9,96,654
Tinnevelly	Vadimittah	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	49,953	2,676	52,629	..	..	..	52,629
	Medai Dalavai Kumaraswami Mudaliar's estate.	5,134	..	5,134	62	..	62	5,072	21,077	..	21,077	110	..	110	20,967
	Roshan Meah Sáhib's estate.	2,396	116	2,512	23	..	23	2,489	60,807	5,044	65,851	..	995	995	64,856
	Total ..	7,530	116	7,646	85	..	85	7,561	1,31,837	7,720	1,39,557	110	995	1,105	1,38,452
Coimbatore ..	Poravipalaiyam	6,251	..	6,251	..	..	..	6,251	7,060	54	7,114	7,060	..	7,060	54
	Metrothi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,777	666	6,443	666	..	666	5,777
	Total ..	6,251	..	6,251	..	..	..	6,251	12,837	720	13,557	7,726	..	7,726	5,831
Salem	Erumaipati and Palaiyapalaiyam.	2,372	..	2,372	5	..	5	2,367	5,600	350	5,950	1,050	..	1,050	4,900
	Shulagiri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	37,768	3,018	40,786	794	..	794	39,992
	Total ..	2,372	..	2,372	5	..	5	2,367	43,368	3,368	46,736	1,844	..	1,844	44,892
Malabar	Kavalapara	257	13	270	56	..	56	214	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mangara	293	13	306	79	..	79	227	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bimbalen	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,950	146	2,096	146	..	146	1,950
	Total ..	550	26	576	135	..	135	441	1,950	146	2,096	146	..	146	1,950
	Grand Total ..	4,13,613	87,375	5,00,988	41,360	23,215	64,575	4,36,413	20,90,805	1,07,292	21,98,097	3,62,740	6,318	3,69,058	18,29,039

No. VI.—STATEMENT showing the Educational Progress made by the Wards and their relations in Fasli 1293 (1883-84).

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and Attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of School Days.	The number of Days the Ward attended the School.	Results of the Annual Examination.				Remarks as to the Ward's attendance at School, his hand-writing and general behaviour.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.				English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	Ward's son Srigoura Chandra Gajapati Narayana Deo.	21	2	..	..	..	172	160	Having attained his majority, the ward pursued no regular course of study and no particulars are given.				Attendance regular. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour excellent.
	Chinna Kimedi ..	Sri Braja Kisora Deo.	15	..	..	..	..	172	162	....	....	....	....	Attendance regular. Hand-writing not good. General behaviour very good.
	Jarada	Sri Raghunadah Samantaraya Deo.	13	1	..	..	..	172	110	....	....	....	....	Was absent for nearly three months. Hand-writing good for a beginner. General behaviour very good.
	Urlám	Kandukuri Mahalakshmamma.	15	5	..	..	..	..	..	....	....	....	....	Hand-writing — Chalmer's serial copy book. General behaviour good.
	Merangi	Sri Satrucherla Jagannatharaju Bahadur.	16	5	3	..	Lower IV Class.	..	20	....	....	....	....	Was under the tuition of Mr. Marsh for the greater part of the year; joined the Vizagapatam Hindu College on 10th June. There was nothing to say against his behaviour in the college, but his general conduct was eminently unsatisfactory.
Vizagapatam.	Pandur-Mallavaram.	Sri Rajah Kakarlapudi Venkata Suryanarayana Niladrirajugaru.	20	3	13	Elementary Class.	..	..	..	Was studying with a private tutor. Standard equal to a first class in a Government school.				Hand-writing very poor. Very dull and more fond of play than of study. Conduct good.
	Srípuram	Sri Rajah Inuganti Rajagopalarayaningaru.	9	10	5	..	..	..	..	Was studying with a private tutor. Standard equal to a second class in a Government school.				Attentive to study and obedient to tutor.
	Lakkavaram ..	Sri Rajah Muntripragada Bhujanga Row.	8	2	..	..	..	..	..	The details to be shown in columns 6 to 14 cannot be given as the minor was under the tuition of a pial schoolmaster.				
Godávari ..	Gopálpur ..	Sri Rajah Uppalapati Venkata Vijaya Gopala Rajugaru.	20	5	10	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	....
	Kapileswarapuram *cum* Kesanakurru.	Balusu Rama Lakshmammagaru.	22	..	8	Last Class ..	Private tuition ..	240	120	The minor was not examined.				Hand-writing fair and general behaviour good.
Cuddapah ..	Chittecherla	Tarigonda Venkatappa Nayanivaru.	15	4	7½	Do. ..	III Class	248	248	Reading, translation into English, and Grammar poor. Explanation fair. Writing and spelling pretty fair.	Poor	History fair. Geography tolerable.	Reading rather unsatisfactory; recitation good; explanation fair, and Grammar pretty fair.	Attendance regular; behaviour good.
North Arcot.	Kangundi ..	1. Rama Subba Raju Nayanivaru.	14	11	13	Upper Primary.	..	..	..	Finished Mr. Garthwaite's First Book. Reading and meaning tolerable; dictation average.	Good	..	Reading good; dictation fair; studying Telugu second book.	Attendance regular. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour on the whole good.
		2. Subba Raju Nayanivaru.	12	10	11	Do. ..	..	..	..	Studying Mr. Garthwaite's First Book. Reading tolerable.	Can work Simple and Compound Rules.	..	Reading and dictation bad. Studying Telugu first book.	
Chingleput.	Soranjeri ..	V. S. Krishnasami Iyengar.	20	2	Eleven years and five months.	Lower IV ..	I and II Class in the Presidency College.	164	143	$\frac{29}{100}$	Absent	$\frac{36}{100}$	$\frac{11}{40}$	Attendance regular. Hand-writing good and general behaviour satisfactory.
Tanjore ..	Ukkadi	Annasami Tever ..	11	11½	6	..	Fourth Standard Class.	100 From January to June 1884.	49	Absent owing to illness.				Attendance irregular. Hand-writing, Tamil, fair. Hand-writing, English, poor. General behaviour satisfactory.
	Velanganni ..	S. Ramalingam Pillai.	18	..	13½	..	III Class	246	136	$\frac{32}{110}$	$\frac{48}{70}$	$\frac{26}{70}$	$\frac{45}{135}$	Attendance irregular. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour very good.
	Gandharvakóta ..	Suryanarayana Pandarathar.	10	6	7½	Had begun the Tamil alphabet.	I Class	246	200	$\frac{84}{100}$	$\frac{11}{40}$	$\frac{6}{16}$	¼ in Language and $\frac{37}{80}$ in Grammar.	Attendance regular. Hand-writing good and general behaviour good.

Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and Attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the year.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Tanjore—(*Contd.*)	Vadapathimangalam.	Somasundara Mudaliar.	14	4	11	II Class ..	IV, Lower ..
	Alangudicheri ..	Musali Naidu ..	17	7	15	Fifth Standard.	IV, Lower and Upper.
		Srinivasa Naidu ..	15	10	13	Do. ..	Do. ..
		Krishnasami Naidu..	13	10	11	Third Standard.	II and III Class..
		Sauri Naidu ..	9	10	7	First Standard.	I Class .. ..
	Mathur	Nataraja Iyer ..	12	10	Eleven years and four months.	III Class.	III Class and IV, Lower.
	Papanad ..	Saminathavijaya Tevar.	17	10	15	Third Standard.	Private tuition. Studying Fourth Standard Class subjects.
		Kumarasamivijaya Tevar.	16	4	14	Do. ..	Private tuition, Studying Third or Fifth Standard Class subjects.
	Singavanam ..	Dorai Raja *alias* Aiyrappa Meikan Gopalar.	11	2½	11	Third Standard Class.	....
	Peramur	Ward's son Prasanna Venkatesa Aiyar.	11	1½	..	..	II and III Class..
Trichinopoly.	Nangavaram ..	Lakshminarayana Iyer.	10	..	..	..	Do. ..
Madura ..	Ramnad	Bhaskarasami Sethupathi.	15	7½	Four years and four months.	..	Sixth or Matriculation Class.
	....	Dinakarasami ..	13	2	Two years.	..	Upper IV ..
	Gandamanáyakanúr.	Sami Appen ..	17	5	..	..	Do. ..
	Vadagarai ..	Ramabhadra Naikar.	10	6	7	..	Third Standard Class.
	Vadimittah ..	Kodumudi Muthukumarasami Maniakar.	11	6	7	..	....
Tinnevelly	M. D. Kumarasawmi Mudaliar's estate.	M. D. Runganatha Mudaliar.	18	1	15	III Class ..	III Class .. ..
		M. D. Thirumalayappa Mudaliar.	12	10	10	I Class ..	I Class .. ..

Wards and their relations in Fasli 1293 (1883-84)—(*Continued*).

Number of School Days.	The number of Days the Ward attended the School.	Results of the Annual Examination.				Remarks as to the Ward's attendance at School, his hand-writing and general behaviour.
		English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
246	202	$\frac{48}{170}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{24}{100}$	$\frac{43}{100}$	Attendance rather irregular. Hand-writing middling. Diligent and well behaved.
213	191	$\frac{31}{100}$	$\frac{42}{100}$	$\frac{32}{100}$	$\frac{70}{100}$	Attendance good. Hand-writing fair. General behaviour satisfactory.
213	190	$\frac{36}{100}$	$\frac{41}{100}$	$\frac{30}{100}$	$\frac{75}{100}$	
213	195	$\frac{66}{100}$	$\frac{50}{100}$	$\frac{52}{100}$	$\frac{61}{100}$	
213	188	$\frac{75}{100}$	$\frac{95}{100}$	100	$\frac{60}{100}$	Attendance very good. Hand-writing middling. Conduct satisfactory.
199	167	$\frac{55}{110}$	$\frac{23}{40}$	$\frac{45}{100}$	$\frac{6}{35}$	
227	216	$\frac{49}{100}$	$\frac{38}{100}$	$\frac{34}{100}$	$\frac{30}{100}$	Intelligent, but rather slow. Hand-writing good.
268	164	$\frac{10}{100}$	$\frac{34}{100}$	$\frac{40}{100}$	$\frac{22}{100}$	Hand-writing poor. Dull and progress almost *nil*.
..	..	..	..	..	..	He was studying in the Mannárgudi Native High School, but stops at home since his father's death.
231	190	$\frac{31}{60}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{5}{8}$	$\frac{8}{15}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{4}$ in Grammar.	Attendance generally regular and punctual. Hand-writing and behaviour good.
186	148	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{9}{20}$	$\frac{17}{20}$	$\frac{1}{4}$ in Language and $\frac{43}{80}$ in Grammar.	At times irregular owing to ill-health. Hand-writing improving. General behaviour very good.
..	..	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{2}$ in Grammar.	Has passed the Matriculation in the first class. He has a fair knowledge of the English language. Hand-writing requires improvement. General behaviour and bearing good.
..	..	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{3}{4}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{2}$ in Grammar.	Has a very fair knowledge of the English language for his age. Pronunciation good. Has improved much in mathematics. He has passed the Middle School Examination in the third class. General behaviour and bearing good.
..	..	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{2}$ in Grammar.	His hand-writing improved; hesitates in reading both Tamil and English. His spelling is the weakest point and far below the average of a boy of his years. He appeared for the Middle School Examination, but failed.
280	263	..	$\frac{6}{40}$	..	$\frac{21}{45}$	Attendance regular. Hand-writing good. General behaviour commendable.
..	..	..	..	..	..	Has commenced the First Book in English.
Private tuition	..	..	..	..	..	The minor does not attend school having been married. Hand-writing somewhat improved. General behaviour satisfactory.
230	215	Was not promoted to the next higher class though he got half marks in each subject except Tamil dictation.				Regular in attendance. Hand-writing improving. Behaviour very satisfactory.

Statement showing the Educational Progress made by the

Districts.	Names of Estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the Fasli.		Age and Attainments when taken under the Court of Wards.		Class in which the Ward was reading during the year.
			Years.	Months.	Years.	Class to which fit according to the Standard of a Government School.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Tinnevelly—(*Contd*).	Panagudi Roshan Meah Sahib's estate.	Abigi Meah.. ..	17	1	..	II Class ..	III Class .. ..
Coimbatore..	Poravipalaiyam..	Jaganmandaladhipati Gopana Mannadiar.	20	9	..	IV, Lower ..	Do. ..
		Chandra Gopana Mannadiar.	16	..	..	Do. ..	....
South Canara.	B. Sarvothama Row's estate.	Brahmavar Sarvothama Row.	13	7	4½	..	II Class .. ..
Malabar ..	Mangara	K. Katangi Nayar..	18	9	11	Second Standard.	Lower IV and Upper IV.

Wards and their relations in Fasli 1293 (1883-84)—(*Continued*).

Number of School Days.	The number of Days the Ward attended the School.	Results of the Annual Examination.				Remarks as to the Ward's attendance at School, his hand-writing and general behaviour.
		English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
..	260	$\frac{70}{104}$	$\frac{33}{50}$	$\frac{42}{84}$	$\frac{37}{52}$ in Language and $\frac{7}{13}$ in Grammar.	Attendance regular. Handwriting fair. General behaviour good.
230	168	He did not attend the annual examination.				Regular in attendance. Handwriting unsettled and general behaviour good.
..	..	$\frac{34}{50}$	$\frac{14}{50}$	$\frac{29}{50}$	$\frac{33}{50}$	Do. do.
252	248	$\frac{11}{42}$	..	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{5}{14}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{3}$ in Grammar.	Attendance regular. Handwriting middling. Conduct satisfactory.
195	133	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{13}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ in Language and $\frac{1}{3}$ in Grammar.	Attendance middling. Handwriting middling. Behaviour good.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. T. ROSS,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a file of reports.
,, all Collectors in charge of Wards' Estates.

ORDER—dated 8th December 1885, No. 1354, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

With the foregoing Proceedings, the Court of Wards submit their report on the administration of the estates under their charge for Fasli 1293 (1883-84). It was received in this office on the 28th of September last, or nearly 15 months after the close of the year to which it relates and five months after the date prescribed for its submission, *i.e.*, 1st May. No explanation of the inordinate delay which has occurred is furnished or even attempted, notwithstanding that the Government have had, of late years, to repeatedly notice such delays. The Court will take steps to ensure greater punctuality in the future submission of this report.

2. At the beginning of the year under report, the number of estates under the Court's management was 39. Three of these were made over to their proprietors during the year, while three were taken under management. The number of estates under the Court's control was therefore the same at the end of the year as at its commencement.

3. Of the estates that passed out of the Court's jurisdiction, the largest was Gopálpur in the Godávari district, and the Government are glad to observe that, after a management of 9½ years, the Court were able to make over the estate to its proprietor with a cash balance of over Rs. 3,72,000, although, when it came under management, there was only the small sum of Rs. 6,000 to its credit, and in addition to this there was an increase of over 12 per cent. in the rent-roll of the estate, upon irrigation works and permanent improvements in which the sum of Rs. 6,400 was expended during the time that it was on the hands of the Court.

The second estate, Santhaiyúr, came under wardship encumbered with debts to the extent of Rs. 21,400. It was under the Court's management for twelve years, during which period all the debts were liquidated, a sum of Rs. 7,400 was expended on irrigation works and other permanent improvements, and there was left a cash balance of Rs. 11,460 when on the death of the proprietrix the estate was handed over to the receiver appointed by the Sub-Court at Madura.

The third was an insignificant estate and continued under management pending a settlement between the several claimants to it.

4. Of the estates that newly came under the Court's control, Kangundi was the only one of any importance. The rent-roll of these estates and the debts due to and by each of them at the end of the fasli were as follows :—

Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Rent-roll.	Debts due to.	Debts due by.
		RS.	RS.	RS.
North Arcot...	Kangundi ...	88,738	55,768	249
Coimbatore ...	Metráthi ...	5,068	...	5,777
Tanjore ...	Singavanam...	2,891	17,763	80,566

5. Fifteen of the estates under management consisted merely of ryotwari lands scattered over Government villages. The number of villages comprised in the other estates was 4,061, of which 2,490 were proprietary and the rest inam. Of the former, 1,023, or 41 per cent., were held on the system of sharing the produce, 643 or 26 per cent. on money rents, and the remainder on ryotwari tenure. The system of grain rents prevails only in Ramnad and Gandharvakóta. As a tentative measure a system of money rents was introduced in a few villages of the former named estate; the endeavours of the manager to extend this to more villages, during the year under report, was opposed by the ryots and consequently nothing was done. No information has been furnished regarding the condition of the ryots in two of the three estates that came under management during the year. In the third (Kangundi) the ryots are reported to have been reduced to the status of mere tenants-at-will, but it is said that they would be fairly well off if they could obtain permanency of tenure at rents not liable to arbitrary enhancement. A Bill to secure this end is now under the consideration of a committee appointed by G.O., 15th July 1885, No. 827.

6. The agricultural statistics of the several estates under management are given in paragraphs 7 to 9 of the Court's Proceedings, but they are, for the reason given in the previous year's review,* not as satisfactory as could be desired.

* *Vide* paragraph 6 of G.O., dated 18th October 1884, No. 1146.

7. The arrears outstanding at the beginning of the year in the several estates amounted to Rs. 8,06,302, of which Rs. 3,02,082 were collected within the year and Rs. 16,226 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,87,994 at the end of the year. This was further reduced by subsequent collections and remissions to Rs. 4,66,997, nearly half of which relates to Ramnad alone.

The current demand of the year, after deducting remissions allowed, was Rs. 19,60,895, of which Rs. 15,74,053, or 80·3 per cent., was collected within the year, against 81·7 per cent. in that preceding it. Subsequent collections, up to the dates of Collectors' reports, amounted to Rs. 1,41,074 which reduced the balance to Rs. 2,45,768. The collections were not so satisfactory as they should be in many of the estates, and the Collectors concerned should be directed to pay special attention to this point.

The abstract of the demand, collection and balance, both arrears and current, given at paragraph 17 of the report, does not quite agree with the details in statement III as shown below.

	RS.
Remissions both within the year and subsequently + subsequent collections as per paragraph 17 of the report	1,78,297
Remissions both within the year and subsequently + subsequent collections as per statement III	1,72,906
Difference ...	5,391

The discrepancy requires explanation.

8. The entire cash receipts of all the estates amounted to Rs. 22,74,440 and the charges to Rs. 23,43,797, leaving thus a minus balance of Rs. 69,357. This deficit is, however, only apparent as the charges included Rs. 1,87,000 invested in Government paper. The total balance to the credit of the estates at the end of the year was Rs. 40,64,997 as shown on the margin, of which nearly 24½ lakhs belong to the Parlákimedi zemindari, which has been continuously under the Court's management for the last fifty-four years.

	RS.
Cash	6,02,250
Government paper	33,36,600
Value of grain on hand	1,26,147
Total ...	40,64,997

9. There was an expenditure of Rs. 1,40,616 on public works as classified below :—

	RS.
1. Irrigation	69,530
2. Buildings	26,925
3. Roads	19,501
4. Supervision	24,660

The bulk of the expenditure under the first item was in Ramnad and was devoted to repairs rendered necessary by the floods of 1883. Nearly the whole of the expenditure on roads was incurred in Parlákimedi. The statement in paragraph 23 of the Court's report that all estate works in the Ganjam and Madura districts have been placed under the supervision of the Public Works Department appears to be incorrect. The latest order on the subject is G.O., dated 28th October 1884, No. 2659-W, which was communicated to the Court of Wards by G.O., dated 14th November 1884, Mis. No. 5044. From this it will be seen that it is only in Ramnad that all the works are to be taken over by the Public Works Department, and that in other estates the Public Works Department exercise no supervision over minor irrigation works and repairs costing less than Rs. 1,000, which are left under the care of Collectors.

10. The particulars of the debts due to and by the several estates are given in detail in paragraphs 24 to 26 of the Court's report. The amount due to estates, inclusive of interest accrued and new loans granted during the year, was Rs. 5,00,988, of which only the small sum of Rs. 41,360 was recovered and Rs. 23,215 remitted during the year. Further remissions to the extent of about Rs. 37,000 have now been sanctioned by the Court of Wards. The debts due by estates, together with interest and new loans contracted during the year, aggregated Rs. 21,98,097, the bulk of which was made up of the loan obtained by Ramnad from Government. Of the debt due by this estate about two lakhs were paid during the year and another lakh during the first two months following its close, and the Government note with satisfaction that the Court expect to be able to pay off the balance in about five years, that is, before their connection with the estate ceases. Of the other indebted estates the three noted on the margin deserve special notice. The financial condition of the last of them seems almost hopeless. As regards the other two, the insufficiency of the income derived from them permits of no other method of liquidation than that of bringing portions of them to sale. Such a step has already been taken with regard to Ukkádai and is being taken in respect of Gandhavarakóta. The collections in the latter estate were unsatisfactory and require special attention.

1. Gandhavarakóta.
2. Ukkadai.
3. Mádugula.

11. With a few exceptions, the educational progress of the wards was, generally speaking, fair. Two * of them had passed the Matriculation Examination and were continuing their studies for the F.A. examination. The eldest son of the Parlákimedi ward having attained his majority does not go through a regular course of study; the Government note with approval that the question of giving him some practical training in the management of the estate is under the consideration of the Court.

* Soranjeri minor.
Elder minor of Ramnad.

12. Complete information on the subject of alienations in Wards' estates, subsequent to the permanent settlement, has not been furnished in this report as promised, and the Government desire to know by what date the receipt of the consolidated report of the Court may be expected. They note with displeasure the great delay on the part of certain Collectors in furnishing the requisite information on this important matter.

(True Extract.)

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, the Secretary to the Rent Recovery Commission.

Exd. W. H. French.

100 Copies.

2542

B

Communicated
to Supts: Engineers for
information.

9/1/86

11.
9-1-86

Supts: Engineers &
Coll. L. for Insps &
Exs P. W. Accts &

Despd 14-1-86.

Govt. of {1885} Madras.

REVENUE.

204.C

Issued

Recd. 6/1/86 Encl.

G.O., 8th December 1885, No 1354.

B. No. 109 Rd 14 Jany 86

DECEMBER.

Estates of Incapacitated proprietors.

Court of Wards' report on the administration of — for Fasli 1293 (1883-84), reviewed.

2 Copies to be sent with the order when issued