[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

The 186 cases for which bills have been sent range as under :—

Public Works Department and Bellary-Kistna State Railway.		64	Local Fund Engineers ...		122
Within 1 month in arrear ...		47	... ...		90
More than 1 month in arrear ...		4	... ...		...
„ 2 „ ...		7	... ...		...
„ 3 „ ...		3	... ...		...
„ 6 „ ...		...	... ...		32
„ 15 „ ...		1	... ...		...
„ 29 „ ...		2	... ...		...
Total ...		64		Total ...	122

These are contained in the following bills :—

Public Works Department.

No.	Date.
59	28th Sept. 1885.
80	2nd April 1883.
21 and 22 ...	26th June 1885.
3	9th April 1883.
43 and 45 ...	22nd Aug. 1885.
24	16th July 1885.
55	20th June 1884.
8	13th Oct. 1885, Bellary-Kistna Railway.
71	13th Oct. 1885.
29, 35 and 37 ...	27th July 1885.
68, 69, 74, 75, 78, 88 and 89...	26th June 1884.

Local Funds.

No.	Date.
11	16th Mar. 1885.
12	19th „ „
31	24th Oct. 1885.

For 34 out of the 39 Department of Public Works, and 167 Local Fund cases entered in the last report as "within one month in arrear," cheques have been issued for payment.

The number of cases remaining undisposed of is 394 as detailed below :—

(*a*)	Under scrutiny in Divisional offices including cases pending payment, &c.		367 *
(*b*)	Under reference to Superintending Engineer		2
(*c*)	Do.	Local Fund Engineer	1
(*d*)	Do.	Zemindar of Devarakót	24
		Total ...	394

(*a*).—Orders have been issued to Divisional officers to hasten payments, &c., in the 351 cases pending, and to settle the 16 cases remaining untouched.

(*b and c*).—The Superintending and Local Fund Engineers have been reminded to dispose of the 2 and 1 case referred to them, respectively.

(*d*).—The Devarakót Zemindar was, on the 26th September 1885, asked if he would accept the rate offered by the Department of Public Works. A reminder was sent to him on the 26th October 1885.

5. The discrepancies between column 6 of statements Nos. I and II received from the General Duty and Temporary Deputy Collectors are explained in the foot-notes.

	Cases pending payment.	Cases for which compensation has practically not been settled.	Total.
* Sub-Collector	52	10	62
Head Assistant Collector	38	..	38
General Duty Deputy Collector	194	..	194
Temporary Deputy Collector	67	6	73
Total ..	351	16	367

Resolution—dated 3rd December 1885, Mis. No. 10782.

The total number of claims pending and received was 767, of which 181 were disposed of in the course of the month. Of the remaining 586 cases, compensation has been settled and statements submitted to the Board in 6, and bills to Engineers in 186 cases. 351 cases are pending payment, and the remaining 43 cases are distributed as follows :—10 pending with the Sub-Collector, 6 with the Temporary Deputy Collector, 2 under reference to the Superintending Engineer, 1 to the Local Fund Engineer, and 24 to the Zemindar of Devarakót.

2. In the 26 old cases referred to in paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th November 1885, No. 10101, cheques are said to have been sent by the Local Fund Engineer to the Head Assistant Collector in 1883, and the Collector is in correspondence with the latter officer on the subject.

3. The disposal of cases Nos. 82 to 84 on the Sub-Collector's list relating to the year 1881, in regard to which it is said that the Tahsildar's proposals are due, should be expedited, as also cases 54 to 59 relating to the year 1882-83. Cases Nos. 8 to 18 in the Temporary Deputy Collector's list, in regard to which bills were sent only in October, should not have been shown against the Public Works Department in the returns for September.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. S. LAFFAN,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and enclosures in original and
statements for August 1885.
„ the Collector of Kistna.

Order—dated 23rd December 1885, No. 1396, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

One hundred and eighty-one cases were disposed of out of a total of 767. The balance is accounted for as follows :—

	No. of cases.
Pending payment	351
Cases remaining for settlement	40
Compensation statements sent to Board	6
Bills sent to Engineers	186
Under reference to Superintending Engineer	2
Do. Local Fund Engineer	1
Total ...	586

Nos. 29, 36, 55 and 74.
Vide Superintending Engineer's letter in G.O., dated 24th November 1885, No. 2807 W.

2. The bills marginally noted appear to have been returned to the Collector or not to have reached the Engineers.

3. The cases pending payment may be removed from the registers if, as observed in the review * for August last, notices have been served on the claimants to appear and receive payment.

* G.O., dated 5th December 1885, No. 1349.

4. The disposal of the 40 cases remaining for settlement should be expedited.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with nine enclosures returned.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ the Financial Department.

Exd. L. D'Netto.

70 Copies.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd December 1885, Mis. No. 10782 :—

Read—the following letter from M. R. WELD, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 31st October 1885, No. 3697 :—

I have the honor to submit the compensation progress reports for September 1885.

2. The following statement shows the transactions for the four divisions of the district :—

Names of Divisional officers.	Pending at the beginning of September.		Received during September.		Total.		Disposed of during September.		Balance at the end of September.		Land shown in columns 10 and 11 for which compensation has been settled and bills, &c., have been sent.	
	No.	Extent.	No.	Extent.	No.	Extent.	No.	Extent.	No.	Extent.	No.	Extent.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		AC. C.		AC. C.		AC. C.		AC. C.		AC. C.		AC. C.
Sub-Collector..	101	222 27	..	..	101	222 27	2	0 70	99	221 57	89	203 37
Head Assistant Collector.	51	217 50½	..	..	51	217 50½	2	4 31	49	213 19½	49	213 19½
General Deputy Collector.	368	74 54	123	45 53	491	120 7	176	39 27	315	80 80	315	80 80
Temporary Deputy Collector.	124	794 66	..	..	124	794 66	1	14 39	123	780 27	118	680 25
Total ..	644	1,308 97½	123	45 53	767	1,354 50½	181	58 67	586	1,295 83½	571	1,177 61½

The decrease of 1 acre in the opening balance in the Sub-Collector's statement is explained in a foot-note which states that the total in the last statement was wrong.

3. The figures in the above table are as given by the Divisional officers.

4. Of the 586 cases in column 10, statements have, from this office, been submitted to the Board for 6 cases and bills to Engineers for 186—total 192, distributed as below :—

	No.
Sub-Collector	34
Head Assistant Collector	11
General Duty Deputy Collector	121
Temporary Deputy Collector	26
Total ...	192

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

No. 1901 Bellary 8th ~~MADRAS~~, ~~Chepauk~~, December 1885.

From Lt Col H. M. Vibart R.E.

~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

3d ~~1st~~ CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P. W. D

SIR, Madras

Enclosures.

Copy of Dr Lawrence's letter dated 21st October 1885 from Executive Engineer Tatipaka.

I have the honor to recommend that Dr Lawrence Temporary Surveyor attached to the G. Project Division be granted an increase of salary Rs 150 his present pay being Rs 100.

2. He is a very accurate Surveyor and has done good work in the G. Project Division. Executive Engineer the late Dr Tritsch and Dr Norfor have spoken very favourably of him. Copies of reports attached

3. He joined this Department

from the Local Government
of the Vizag District (where he stood
the chance of getting a Supervisor's
place) on the promise of being finally
graded as Surveyors; but this was
not carried out as the proposal
was not sanctioned by the Govern-
ment of India.

4. Mr. Lawrence passed out of
the Civil Engineering College, as a
1st class Surveyor and Taluq Overseer
9 years ago, and since he has
acquired considerable practical
knowledge and experience. I
should be glad to see that he had
secured a permanent post on the
Upper Subordinate Establishment,
already recommended on two pre-
vious occasions.

Letter No. 2122 of 30th October 1883.
Letter No. 114 of 10th April 85.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant
H. Hobbs
Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle

15-12-85

Chepauk
15th Dec/85

No. 2822 D

For favor of remarks.

Sd
12/12/85

DW

No. 746 of 16th Dec. 85.
Encl: 2.

W.

There is no audit objection.

DC

From

The Superintending Engineer,
III Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Dept
Madras

Dated 8th } December 1885.
Recd. 9 }

ENCLOSURES.

No. 1901

Mr C Lawrence Surveyor
Project Division

Recommending that the salary of — may be increased from Rs 100 to 150 under the reasons assigned.

—

To D.E.I. for orders

Chepauk
15th Dec/85

No. 2861 D

To E.I. 1229.

For favor of opinion.

If the application be acceded to the estimate for the establishment for the P.C. project division referred to in his No. 1666 W of 17th July 1885, will be increased.

Sd
13/12/85

15-12-85

Copy of letter No. 127 of 23rd Octr. from Mr. Fritsch Executive Engineer Project Division to the Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle Bellary.

—

I have the honor to forward herewith a letter (to your address) from Surveyor Mr. C. Lawrence for your favourable consideration and compliance.

From what I have seen of him and his work, I think he is a very deserving subordinate, attentive to duties and painstaking in every

—

Superintending Engineer
3rd Circle

8/12/85

Dept.

3
Dept. Rec.
10613/85
1086
111/86

Bobbery 26th November 1885.

C. Norfor Esquire
Executive Engineer
& Project &c

Sir

In respectfully addressing you with the following, I beg that you will kindly give it your favorable consideration and support.

It is now more than 9 years since I passed out of the Civil Engineering College Madras, with certificates of Taluq Overseer and 1st Class Surveyor, and to my misfortune, tho' taken on the permanent Establishment, I was thrown out, owing to reduction in 1879, and from that date from want of influence, never had a chance of securing a permanent employment in the Department, till end of 1882.

While I was in the service of the L. N. Board of the Vizagapatam District, the Chief Engineer sent me the enclosed memo to take up my present employment with a promise of grading me favorably, after the receipt of qualifications from my immediate Superiors. Under these circumstances, I declined to take up the Supervisorship offered by the L. N. Engineer and joined