B.N. 2212 W 21/9/85.

B 1 / 21/9

[illegible] office

Issue — [illegible]
[illegible] relative to [illegible]
of [illegible] with the [illegible]
to [illegible] information.

H.C.
Issue today — 18/9/85
& return papers
[illegible] 21/9

Remembered W.C. 22.9.85
Q. 11.9.85. R. 21.9.85
Q. 17.9.85

23/9

21/9/85

P. W. D.

Consulting Architect's Office,
Chepauk, Madras,
2d September 1885.

No. 834

From

The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Dept.

Sir,

Enclosure.

In reply to your letter No. 1428 C. dated the 31st ultimo I have the honor to state that I think the process of well sinking for foundations can be conveniently illustrated by a series of models on a table to a scale of 1" to the foot something like the enclosed diagram; at the edge of the table another row of wells cut in half against a piece of plate glass would show what is going in the interior of the wells.

I shall be happy to undertake the execution of the models. The cost cannot be estimated but I would ask for a grant of R1200.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt. Servant
R Chisholm
Consg. Archt. to Gov't

No 1428 C. 31.8.85.
G.O. No 1471 W. 24.6.85.

ML 47728

Public Works Department.

(1)

From
THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Dept.

No. 834

Dated 2nd September 1885
Received 3 —"—

Recd in B Section
9/9/85

No. OF ENCLOSURES. 1 (diagram)

Subject.

Models illustrating well sinking.

O. 22.9.85
A.Y.S. 21/9.
Registered G.O. 11.9.85
E. 3.9.85 R. 9.9

No. 2212 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 21 Sep: 1885

READ the following

From the Consulting Architect to Govt. H. E. No: 834 d/ 2nd September 1885.

The Consulting Architect to Govt. is authorised to prepare a series of models on a table to a scale of 1" to the foot, of the process of well sinking for foundations, as exhibits for the London Colonial Exhibition of 1886, ~~[illegible]~~.

~~2. The question of funds to cover the cost of making the models will be considered in the Financial Department in continuation of G. O. No: 1471 W dated 24th June 1885.~~

CU
10.9.85

2. The question of provision of funds will be separately considered.

18.9.85

To The Consg. Architect to Govt
" Examiner P. W. Accounts
" ~~Financial~~ Department.
To (~~The Chief Secretary for favor of counter-initials~~)

W/1019

Despd
21/9/85

O. 17.9.85 1/10

B No 2212 W 21/9/85

B h
21/9
Madras office

Issue — copy of [illegible] memo relative to provision of funds will be sent to B for information.

HC

Issue today & return papers 18/9 [illegible]
to see JB
21/9

Received WC 22.9.85
C. 11. 9. 85. R. 21. 9. 85
C. 11. 9. 85

B. No 684 W of 9. 1. 85
" 688 W. " 18. 3. 85

~~No 2213 W of 22nd Septr/85~~

There are no funds available with Govt under Imperial Military Repairs

If possible the Supdg Engr will propose a transfer of Rs 132 from the Grant to the Trichinopoly Division under Military Repairs

There are no funds available with Govt under Imperial Military Repairs.
If ~~possible~~ the Supdg. Engr will propose a transfer of Rs 132 from the Grant to the Trichinopoly Division under Military Repairs

17.9.85

19.9.85

B D
22/9

20

The Supdg Engr. VI Circle
The Supdg. Engr. VI Circle
Despd
22/9

1830/7

AG. 85/9.
C. 17. 9. 85
1/10

From (7) Incl 8665

The Supdg Engineer
VI Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D

Dated 10
Recd 16
Despd 14 } Septr 85.

No 2213 W-22nd Septr/85

No 313 M.D

Imperial Military Repairs

Requests to sanction the grant of Rs 132 under ——— to meet the house rent of Sergeant Instructor at Madura of the S. I. Ry Rifle Corps

No. 313 MD Supg. Engr's Office 6th Circle
Kodaikanal 10th Septr/85
Despd. 14

From,
The Supg. Engineer
6th Circle

To,
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, has in his letter No. 271 S.E. dated 5th Instant, reported that Mr. William V. Eden a Serjeant Instructor attached to the South Indian Railway Volunteer Rifle corps at Madura, has applied to him for payment of rent for quarters at Rs. 15 per mensem from the 6th June 1885, & that he has no funds at his disposal to meet this demand. I request that you will be good

18 SEP 85

No 2216 W of 22d Septr/85

E M. 22.9

For guidance
G.
18.9.85

Sd 29/9

23/9

Cott
Sep. 21, 85

H. 30/9

O.H.S. 45/9. Circ: 15/9.

No. 313 MD Supg Engr's office 6 Circle
Kodaikanal 10: Septr/85
Desp. 14.

From,
The Supg Engineer
6: Circle

To,
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, has in his letter No. 271 S.E. dated 5: Instant, reported that Mr. William V. Eden a Serjeant Instructor attached to the South Indian Railway Volunteer Rifle corps at Madura, has applied to him for payment of rent for quarters at Rs 15 per mensem from the 6th June 1885, & that he has no funds at his disposal to meet this demand. I request that you will be good

---

Sir

In accordance with your instructions I submit herewith a note by E. on Mr Ussher's request that his promotion may be antedated.

His case was fully considered before G.O. No: 1465. W. d 13th June ~~1885~~ 1882 was passed and it is submitted that no good grounds have been adduced for a modification of that order. Mr: Ussher quotes Mr: Marjoribanks' case but that ~~was~~ is not analogous as appears clearly from E's note. For orders.

FB.
4.9.

Note

Mr. Ussher's first appeal against the very supersession now complained of was disposed of by G O No. 1465 W dated 13th June 1882.

Mr. Ussher in this as in his former appeal states that he took immediate action on Chief Engr's Memo: No. 2010 d/30th August 1881, but does not at all refer to G O No. 2275 W d/15th Septr ~~1885~~ 81 – This order directed that all those who had already passed the required examinations should receive immediate promotion and take precedence in the First grade of all men of the same year who have not so qualified –

Under this order therefore both Messrs. Malet and Lambert got immediate promotion and took precedence of Mr Ussher.

It will be seen from the cases of Messrs Manson, Young, & Jopp ~~and~~ disposed of in 1882, that the Chief Engr (Colll R H Sankey) would not allow that Memo No 2010 of 30. 8. 81 was the *first* warning to the unpassed Asstt Engrs about passing the necessary examinations.

Mr Ussher states that immediately (i e on the 15th Septr 1881) he received the Chief Engrs Memo No 2010 he applied to be examined before the 1st Octr. But para 91 of the Code directs that certain preliminary reports about the Candidate for examination should be first received and found satisfactory before the Candidate is entitled to be examined

Mr Ussher must have therefore known that it was nearly impossible that a Committee could be appointed to examine him before the 1st Octr 1881.

In Mr Ussher's case there was no exceptional delay in examining him while in Mr Marjoribanks's case there was, as will be seen from the following statement:-

Mr Ussher.
applies to be examined - 15-9-81
Committee appointed — 28- " "
Examined — 17-10- "

Mr Majoribanks
applies to be examined — 29-11-84
Committee appointed — 20.12 - "
Examined — 12. 2. 85

It was on account of this long delay in examining him that Mr Majoribanks as as a special case was allowed to receive his promotion from 1-1-85

In file of Memo 4 E of 10th April 1882 will be found a Note of the Chief Assistant regarding the positions of all the officers alluded to above. A statement of the dates these officers passed the Exams. is given overleaf.

3.9

In the Seniority List in the Coopers Hill College Calendar the names of the officers referred to in this Note, appear as follows:-

C. J. Ussher
G. E. Manson
A. A. G. Malet
W. Jopp
G. B. Lambert

Present position	Names	Date of passing Professional Exam:	Date of passing Vernacular Exam:	Date of appointment to Assistant Engineer 1st grade
10	C. J. Ussher.	31-10-81	23-2-81	~~January~~ 1882
11	G. E. Manson.	2-11-81	18-7-81	January 1882
8	A. A. G. Malet.	29-8-81	7-10-81	October 1881
12	W. Jopp.	31-12-81	31-12-81	January 1882
9	G. B. Lambert	20-9-80	28-9-80	October 1881

5

St Thomas Mount
27th August 1885

From,
C. J. Ussher Esquire,
Temporary Executive Engineer

To,
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Through the Superintending Engineer
Tank Maintenance Scheme

Sir,

I have the honor to bring the following circumstances regarding my supersession by two of my juniors on the List to your notice, in the hope that the matter may receive reconsideration.

In the month of August 1881 the then Chief Engineer issued a Circular (No 2010) intimating that several promotions would shortly be made, and reminding those Assistant Engineers who had not undergone the Examination prescribed in para 93 of the P.W.D. Code, that it was necessary for them to do so. A copy of this Circular was received by me on the 15th September, and I immediately applied to the Superintending Engineer II Circle to be examined, if possible, before the 1st October.

The examination was not however held until the middle of October, and in consequence of this delay of a few days (which probably would not have occurred had I happened to have then been serving in a District less remote from the Presidency) my promotion to 1st Grade Assistant Engineer was dated 1st January 1882 – thus causing me to be superseded by two Officers who received their promotions from 1st October 1881

I respectfully submit that this supersession, affecting, as it will the whole of my future service is a very severe punishment, and I trust that it may be found feasible to reinstate me in my former position by the grant of an indulgence similar to that lately conceded to an Assistant Engineer. Vide Fort Saint George Gazette dated 17th February 1885

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Tempy: Executive Engineer

G. No 2210. 28.9.81
B. No 2669W. 31.10.81
" 1465 W. 13.6.82.

R. No. 1994
31-8-85

(8)

Inch 7711

IRRIGATION BRANCH
31 AUG 85
TANK MAINTENANCE SCHEME

Recd 2/9/85.

No 2124. T.
Dated Chepauk 1st ~~Augt~~ Septr/85
No. 2216 W d/ 22d Septr/85

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for orders.

On the facts as stated by him Mr Usher's + session Supervision appears to have been due rather to accident than to any fault of his own, and his request for reinstatement to be reasonable.

Since Mr Usher has been employed under me his conduct has left nothing to be desired.

J. Pennycuick
Lieut Col R.E.
Supdg Engineer
T. M. S.

supersession ?

21. 9. 85

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 2216 W

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 22d Septr/85

READ the following

Letter from Mr C. J. Ussher
Tempy: Ex: Engineer H.E. No —— d/ 27th August/85.
Endt thereon by the Supdg:
Engr: Tank maintenance Scheme " " 2124. T d/ 1st Septr/85.

+ G.O. No 1187 W d/ 20 May 1885.

The Government have already (in Mr de Morgan's + case) accepted the principle "that an Assistant Engineer, "who fails to pass a quali-"fying examination in time, "and is thereby superseded "by one or more of his juniors, "should generally be allowed "to resume his previous posi-"tion when he does pass".

2. That ruling will be

applied to the six Officers who passed out of the Royal Indian Engineering College in 1877, and were posted to this Presidency.

3. In future, their names will stand in the Gradation List as follows:—

Mr. C. J. Ussher
Mr. G. E. Manson
Mr. A. A. G. Malet
Mr. W. Jopp
Mr. G. B. Lambert
Mr. B. H. Young.

4. The following reply will be sent to Mr. Ussher.—

"Mr. Ussher will be "informed that his position "with reference to his con="temporaries in the depart="ment will be kept in "view, and that the "circumstances of his "case will be considered "when opportunity offers."

15.9.85.

9c/10
22/9

S. E. Tank. M. Scheme.
(extract para 2 of order)

Ex Eal.

Cu