(1) Number of pages 140.

(2) Date of repair 16. 7. 87

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

— Public Works — DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No:	98. W.	Press. / Mis.
Dated	10 — 1 — 1885 ~~198~~.	

A.H

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—10,006 I -80.

10th January 1885, No. 98 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following letter from the Venerable J. F. BROWNE, Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 13th December 1884, No. 233 :—

I have the honor to forward, for the favorable consideration of Government, a letter from the Executive Engineer, Cuddapah division, endorsed by the Lay Trustee of Christ Church, Cuddapah, together with plan and estimate for enclosing the compound of Christ Church with wooden railing, at a cost of Rs. 400.

2. It will be observed from the plan that a portion of the present church compound is proposed to be left out of the enclosure. The ground was inspected by the Right Reverend the Bishop at his late visitation, and the work has his approval.

No. 2797, Ecclesiastical.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department, as the work is seemingly to be carried out by the Executive Engineer.

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

16th December 1884.

Order—dated 10th January 1885, No. 98 W., Public Works.

This estimate provides for enclosing the compound of Christ Church, Cuddapah, with a double set of timber rails and slab stone pillars. The work has been classified as a repair, but in no sense can it be regarded as such, and it must therefore be treated as original work.

2. In proceedings of Government, No. 1297 W., dated 23rd May 1884, it was shown that the Government were precluded from making any grant of funds for the erection of a belfry for the same church, because the maximum grant-in-aid admissible under the rules had already been exceeded. For the same reason, the Government regret that they are unable to sanction the construction of the proposed railing at the expense of the State.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, with three enclosures.
„ Ecclesiastical Department.

Exd. A. Grubert.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 10th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 10th Jan. 1885, No. 98 W.

JANUARY.

Christ Church, Cuddapah

Orders declining to sanction the estimate for the construction of railing round the compound of the — at the expense of the State.

Madras, 13th December, 1884

No 233. W

To The Hon:
The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the favorable consideration of Government a letter from the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division, endorsed by the Lay Trustee of Christ Church, Cuddapah, together with plan and Estimate for enclosing the Compound of Christ Church with wooden railing at a cost of Rs 400.

2. It will be observed from the plan that a portion of the Present Church Compound is proposed to be left out of the enclosure. The ground was inspected by the Lord Bishop at his late visitation and the work has his approval.—

[illegible] 85

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obed. Servant,
J. F. Browne
ARCHDEACON OF MADRAS.

Public Works Department, 188 4-85.

Current No. 10480

Letter No. 2797

Dated the 15th December/84

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. The accompanying plan and estimate provide for enclosing the compound of Christ Church at Cuddapah. The estimate amounts to Rs. 400.

2. The compound is to be enclosed "with a double set of timber rails and slab stone pillars."

3. This estimate should have been submitted to S.E. III. who has power to dispose of it finally — D.P.W. Code, para. 278.

15th Dec/84

Is the expenditure admissible? Some time ago I think Govt refused to incur further outlay on this church, the grant made to it having exceeded that permitted by Code rules — at least there was some correspondence about it which should be turned up.

CJ

18.12.84

D.W.

In the case of the proposed belfry for Cuddapah Church, it was decided that as the maximum first grant had been exceeded, the work could not be carried at the expense of the State.

The present estimate is however classified as Repairs.

19.12.84

CA No 10480

Paper out A. of Secy 5/1/85 VT

To W

The classification of the estimate can be corrected in this office; & attention can be drawn to the matter in the order disposing of the paper.

As the work is clearly not a repair, the question for decision is apparently, can it be carried out at the expense of Govt, seeing that the maximum grant has already been exceeded?

Ce
20.12.84

The G.O. of 23.5.84 shd be quoted, & sanction to this estimate refused on same grounds.

There is no urgency. Proposal can stand over until after the holidays, & return of Hon: Member.

W

W.

An Estimate of Rs. 400 for Enclosing the compound of the Church at Cudikapale submitted by the Venble the Archdeacon has been approved by the Chief Secty: & transferred to this Deptmt for disposal.

In G.O. No. 1297 W. of 23rd May, 84, it was ruled that a grant of funds could not be made for the erection of a belfry to this church, as the maximum grant-in-aid admissible had already been made.

To get over this difficulty apparently! the Estimate for a double set of rails & cut stone pillars round the compound has been classified as "Repairs". If the work be considered a "repair" it can be sanctioned, but I need hardly point out that it is not in any sense a repair.

C.S.
20. 12. 84

The work is clearly not a repair, and the estimate shd be corrected in that respect. What is correct procedure? to call for explanation - or to direct an alteration?

J.L.S.
20. 12. 84

17 DEC 94

No. 2797 d/16th December/84

Approved: & transferred to the P. W. dept as the work is seemingly to be carried out by the Executive Engineer.

Chief Secy

15/12

No. 504 d/13.2.68
" 2035 d/23.8.70
" 2218 d/15.9.70
" 2397 d/5.10.70
" 2398 d/5.10.70
" 2403 d/5.10.70
" 2904 d/1.12.70
" 2905 d/1.12.70
" 112 d/16.1.71
" 1894 d/25.7.76
" 467 d/25.1.78
" 314 d/13.7.78
" 2615 Wd/15.11.80
" 119 W d/17.1.81
" 1921 Wd/9.7.83
" 404 C d/19.2.84
" 825 Cd/4.10.84
" 2797 Wd/23.5.84 (Orig.) Circ. 7/1/85

353

~~Eccle~~

~~Dec~~ 12480

3

From
Venble the Archdeacon
No. 233

Dy 13th Dec/84

No /13 Dec/84

Encls forwarding a plan

Enclosing Compound Christ Church, Cuddapah with wooden railing — 1
Endorsed

No. 98 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 10th January/8

READ the following

Endt. Eccles. Dept No. 2797 dy 16th Decr 1884, on le
from the Venble the Archdeacon No. 233 dy 13.12.84

This estimate provi
for enclosing the Compound
of Christ Church, Cuddap
with a double set of
timber rails and slab
stone pillars — The work
has been classified
as a repair, but in
no sense can it be
regarded as such,
and it must therefore
be treated as original
work.

2. In P.G. No. 1297 W of 23rd May 1884, it was shown that the Govt were precluded from making any grant of funds for the erection of a belfry for the same church, because the maximum grant-in-aid admissible under the rules had already been exceeded. For the same reason, the Govt regret that they are unable to sanction the construction of the proposed railing at the expense of the State.

C.H.

31.12.84

6.1.85

6/1/85

Cir: 7/1/85

~~The Hon'ble Mr Sullivan~~

10 JAN 85

J — BH

B 1/1/

To the S.S. III circle, with Enclos.
& Eccles. Dept

([illegible])

164 80

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 10th Jany 1885, No. 98-W

Christ Church, Cuddapah

Orders declining to sanction an estimate for the construction of railing round the compound of the ___ at the expense of the State. ~~[illegible]~~
~~the [illegible]~~