

(1) Number of pages 22
(2) Date of repair 26-8-87
(3) Initials of the Referencer bus

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	771-W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	30-3-1885	198

Not to be folded or roughly handled

30TH MARCH 1885, No. 771 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 24th March 1885, No. 138 W. :—

I have the honor to submit, for information and orders of Government, notes by Mr. Rainier, Deputy Examiner, of his inspection of the office of the Rushikulya Project division.

2. The report is satisfactory.

ENCLOSURE.

NOTES of inspection by H. RAINIER, Esq., Deputy Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, of the office of the Executive Engineer, Rushikulya division, on the 9th and 10th March 1885.

Captain Dorward, R.E., the Executive Engineer, and Ayoilhyaramayya, the accountant, were present.

2. The division has compiled its own accounts since December 1883 and has not previously been inspected by an account's officer. The estimate for the Rushikulya project, classed under F. 34. Protective Works, Irrigation, as sanctioned (*vide* G.O., No. 500 I., of 23rd June 1883) by the Secretary of State, amounts to Rs. 28,60,339. Besides this the only work in the hands of the Executive Engineer is certain repairs to local fund roads.

3. There are two sub-divisions duly sanctioned, one under Mr. C. W. Wood, Assistant Engineer, and the other under Mr. J. E. Lafrenais, temporary Assistant Engineer. Neither of these officers has either a drawing account or imprest, but under Mr. Wood there is a Sub-Engineer, Mr. W. V. Venkatarreddi, who has a cash-chest replenished, as found necessary, by the Executive Engineer, and who keeps a cash-book and renders copy of same to Executive Engineer as in the case of a drawing officer. Mr. W. V. Venkatarreddi's balance on—

	RS.	A.	P.
1st January 1885 was	...	...	...
1st February 1885 was	...	...	...
1st March 1885 was	...	...	...

Under him is overseer Sundrum Pillay, who has an imprest of Rs. 300, which is recouped by Mr. Venkatarreddi. Under Mr. Lafrenais are two overseers, Manikaiyan Naicker and Krishnaji Vasudeo, the first with Rs. 500, the last with Rs. 300 as imprest, recouped by the Executive Engineer.

4. The balance of the Executive Engineer's drawing account at the close of February 1884 was proved correct. The treasuries drawn upon are (1) the Berhampore, (2) the Aska and (3) the Russellkonda treasury.

5. The imprest accounts were found to have undergone proper check by the accountant, as also the sub-divisional cash account. Vouchers here and there were examined and found in order. The cash-book is well kept and is thoroughly under the Executive Engineer's observation. The cash abstract book is in order.

6. The work of the division is principally piece work, there are no contractors. The register of works is up to date and neatly kept, the work abstracts are prepared on a proper principle. The charges under *Contingencies of Rushikulya canal earthwork*, sanctioned estimate Rs. 3,86,300, include cost of a stores shed at Janamilli, and the cost of fixing boundary stones.

7. Similarly under *Contingencies of Suradā reservoir dam* (Rs. 1,59,000) the cost of coolie lines, overseer's quarters at Suradā, the diversion of the road at the west end of the work, and a stores shed at Suradā are included.

8. This allocation is said to be by the Superintendent Engineer's order. The revised estimate should show all these works under their legal classification, according to paragraph 30 of part VI, appendix (F) of the Public Works Code.

9. The bills for piece work on the *Rushikulya canal earthwork* amounted in January 1885 to Rs. 1,626-4-0 all in order and supported by measurement; as were also similar bills respecting the *Saradá reservoir dam*, but such bills should accompany the accounts rendered to the office of the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, as vouchers, under code paragraph 2292. Bills appear to be promptly paid and so is all labor employed.

10. Materials at site aggregated in cost Rs. 3,107-5-6 and were placed at fifteen works at the end of January 1885. They were stated to have been recently verified, and as of good value; the account of such materials in part IV of the work abstract should be, or a copy should be attested by the officer in charge of the work, every month, in token of acknowledgment. The officer's signature of the ten-day report is not sufficient.

11. There are seven estimates for tools and plant, four of which have received Government sanction, the remainder being within the powers of the Superintendent Engineer and Executive Engineer, under G.O., No. 265 L, of 13th March 1885. Items of receipt were traced from M. 13. (balance return of tools and plant) through the daily register of receipts and into the cash-book, and through the work abstract into the register of works and work vouchers, the result being in every case satisfactory. A good voucher existed for all payments, but such vouchers should invariably accompany the accounts rendered to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts office. The transactions in tools and plant within the division (*i.e.*, the distribution of them by transfer from one place to another) are thoroughly accounted for. There are said to be no surplus or unserviceable tools or plant.

12. The Executive Engineer was of opinion that the accounts can generally be despatched from his office to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, by the 10th of the ensuing month.

13. The accounting for works up to Rs. 10,000 by the normal cost system lately ordered by Government is thoroughly understood by the accountant.

14. A rate-book has not yet been started, but the Executive Engineer only delays this till there is sufficient experience of the district to make such a record reliable.

15. The only deposit, that of the storekeeper, Mr. Potgeiter, Rs. 200, was put into the district savings' bank in February 1884, but the savings' bank pass-book has not yet been presented for entry of interest. This will now be done.

16. The departmental code requires more attention. The standing orders should be corrected as soon as received, and the last standing order received should be quoted on the inside of the cover.

17. The registers from which to readily compare outlay with budget appropriations and sanction, as regards each work, also to record the state of the grant, as from time to time altered, were in order. The register of liabilities, form No. M. 77, should be very carefully maintained and utilised.

18. The records of the office are in order and the accountant is sufficiently assisted.

ORDER—dated 30th March 1885, No. 771 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintendent Engineer, I Circle, for the information of the Executive Engineer, Rushikulya Project division.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent Engineer, I Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. Grubert.
Spare Copies —

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 30th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 30th March 1885, No. 771 W.

MARCH.

Notes of Inspection

Orders on --, submitted by the Examiner,
Public Works Accounts, of the office of the
Rushikulya Project division.

13TH MARCH 1885, No. 265 L., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation
Account.

READ--the following papers:--

From the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation,
dated Dowlaishweram, 17th January 1885, No. 514 G.N.:--

I have the honor to submit, herewith, copy of a letter, No. 716, dated 5th
December 1884, received from the Rushikulya division officer, together with a copy
of the Examiner's letter No. 250 S.E.-I, of the 25th November last, and to request
that you will be so good as to obtain sanction of Government authorising me and
the Rushikulya division officer to sanction estimates to the limit of Rs. 500 and 200,
respectively, from the lump sum provision made in the Rushikulya project estimate
under tools and plant.

2. It appears from the Examiner's letter referred to above that the powers given

Paragraphs 282, 1949 and 1948.

in code paragraphs noted in the margin
relative to the sanction of tools and plant
estimates do not extend to the estimates proposed to be met from the lump sum
provision in the project estimates.

3. The inconvenience to which the Rushikulya division officer may be put by the
delay in obtaining sanction to each estimate irrespective of its amount is stated in
his letter under reference, and I entirely concur with him in his views on the subject.

4. In the event of your agreeing
with the Examiner, I request that sanction
of Government may be obtained to the
estimates noted in the margin, which have
already been sanctioned by the Rushi-
kulya division officer at different times in
1884-85.

1 Estimate for 180 crowbars for	...	Rs. 200
1 " for mason's tools for	...	160
1 " for one iron pricker for	...	20
1 " for quarry wagon	...	196
		576

Office note to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 16th February 1885, No. 28.
" from " " " 26th " " " 252.

ORDER--dated 13th March 1885, No. 265 L., Public Works.

Under paragraph 2 of the resolution of the Government of India, printed in
proceedings of Madras Government, No. 425, dated 13th February 1872, Rs. 5,000
and Rs. 2,000, out of the lump sum provided for tools and plant in the estimates
sanctioned for the Rushikulya project, in the Ganjam district, are placed at the
disposal of the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, and the Executive Engineer of the
Rushikulya division, respectively, from which such amounts as may be required may
be appropriated by them for distinct estimates within their respective powers of
sanction under the Public Works Code rules. The balance of the lump sum provided
for tools and plant in the project estimates will then be reduced to Rs. 64,212.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spure Copies

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of 1885 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 13th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 13th March 1885, No. 265 I

MARCH

Funds

Orders placing — under tools and plant
at the disposal of the Superintending
Engineer, I Circle, and the Executive
Engineer, Rushikulya division.

23RD JUNE 1883, No. 500 I., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following letter from Major G. F. L. MARSHALL, R.E., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Irrigation), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 6th June 1883, No. 226 I. :—

In continuation of the letter from this office, No. 51 I., dated 3rd February 1883, I am directed to forward, for information, the accompanying copy of a despatch, No. 25 P.W., dated 26th April 1883, from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State, communicating the sanction of Her Majesty's Government to the construction, as a famine relief protective work, of the Rushikulya Project, in the Ganjam District, at a total estimated cost of Rs. 28,60,239, of which Rs. 26,01,386 are for direct and Rs. 2,58,853 for indirect charges.

2. I am at the same time to invite your special attention to paragraph 5 of the despatch quoted above, and with reference thereto to request that the report of the Revenue authorities may in future always accompany projects for new works.

3. This sanction has been registered as No. 3 I. of 1883-84, and is in supersession of that conveyed in letter, No. 51 I., dated 3rd February, which sanction is now cancelled.

ENCLOSURE.

From the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Most Honorable the Governor-General of India in Council, dated India Office, London, 26th April 1883, No. 25, Public Works.

Your Excellency's Public Works letter, No. 9, dated the 20th of February last, reporting your action in respect to the construction of an irrigation project as a famine protective work in the Ganjam District of the Madras Presidency and requesting my sanction thereto has received my consideration in Council.

2. The necessity for the protection of the district in question from possible drought by means of irrigation has been rendered clearly apparent by the past history of that portion of the Madras Presidency, and I observe that the Report of the Famine Commission * dwells on the necessity for proceeding with the construction of certain irrigation works in the Madras Presidency, of which the project in question is one.

3. I learn from the papers accompanying your letter that the work, which is designed when fully completed to command an area of 120,000 acres, is estimated to cost Rs. 28,60,239, of which Rs. 26,01,386 are for direct and Rs. 2,58,853 for indirect charges. Besides these the accumulated charges for interest to date of completion of the work, in about ten years, are estimated at Rs. 5,37,724.

4. The anticipated returns on this outlay, on the supposition that the whole of the area commanded will be taken up for cultivation under irrigation, are calculated by the Madras Public Works Department at about five per cent. on the direct and indirect charges, and about four per cent. on these charges plus the accumulated interest charges.

5. No direct expression of opinion on the part of the Revenue officers connected with the locality accompanies the papers transmitted with your letter, an omission which is to be regretted; but I learn from your letter itself that the Revenue authorities of the Madras Government anticipate a return of about 8·3 per cent. on the capital outlay, though this does not appear in the papers transmitted to me, which indicate, as above stated, a probable return of four or five per cent.

23RD JUNE 1883, No. 500 I., PUBLIC WORKS.

6. The indirect advantages to be looked for from the construction of the work are, however, likely to be of so considerable and permanent a kind that I have, in view of your assurance that the requisite funds are available, no hesitation in according my sanction to the project being proceeded with as a protective work, at a total estimated cost of Rs. 28,60,239, inclusive of direct and indirect charges.

ORDER—dated 23rd June 1883, No. 500 I., Public Works.

Communicate to the officers concerned and also to all Superintending Engineers, who will see that in future all projects for new works are accompanied by the report of the Revenue authorities, as directed in paragraph 1292, XV, of the Code.

2. This sanction is registered as No. 45 I. of 1883-84 and supersedes that conveyed in Proceedings, Madras Government, No. 147 I., of 13th February 1883.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Superintending Engineer, First Circle.
" Other Superintending Engineers.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.
" Board of Revenue.
" Director of Revenue Settlement and Agriculture.

Exd. C. Howarth.
Spare Copies

7714.
IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1883) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 23rd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 23rd June 1883, No. 500 I.

JUNE.

Rushikulya Project

Sanction of the Secretary of State to the execution of the —, communicated to officers concerned, and to Superintending Engineers for note that a report by the Revenue authorities should accompany all projects.

Read the following letter
N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

No. 138 W.

From

THE EXAMINER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Madras, 24 March

1883

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for information and orders of Government, notes by Mr. Rainier Dy. Examiner, of his inspection of the Office of the Rushikulya Project Division.

2. The report is satisfactory.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. C. Curzon

Examiner P.W. Accounts.

Condonne *7/2*
4/1

NOTES OF INSPECTION BY H. RAINIER ESQUIRE, DEPUTY
EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS MADRAS, OF THE OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE
ENGINEER RUSHIKULYA DIVISION ON THE 9TH. AND 10TH. MARCH
1885.

CAPTAIN DORWARD R.E. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER AND
AYODHYARAMAYYA THE ACCOUNTANT, WERE PRESENT.

2. THE DIVISION HAS COMPILED ITS OWN ACCOUNTS SINCE
DECEMBER 1883; AND HAS NOT PREVIOUSLY BEEN INSPECTED BY AN
ACCOUNTS OFFICER. THE ESTIMATE FOR THE RUSHIKULYA PROJECT
CLASSED UNDER F.34. PROTECTIVE WORKS, IRRIGATION, AS SANCTION-
ED (VIDE G.O. NO. 500.1. OF 23RD. JUNE 1883) BY THE SECRETARY
OF STATE, AMOUNTS TO RS. 28,60,239. BESIDES THIS THE ONLY
WORK IN THE HANDS OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IS CERTAIN
REPAIRS TO LOCAL FUND ROADS.

3. THERE ARE TWO SUB DIVISIONS DULY SANCTIONED, ONE
UNDER MR. C.W. WOOD ASSISTANT ENGINEER, AND THE OTHER UNDER
MR. J.E. LAFENNAIS, TEMPORARY ASSISTANT ENGINEER. NEITHER
OF THESE OFFICERS HAVE EITHER A DRAWING ACCOUNT OR IMPREST,
BUT UNDER MR. WOOD THERE IS A SUB-ENGINEER, MR. W.V. VENKATA-
REDDI WHO HAS A CASH CHEST REPLENISHED AS FOUND NECESSARY
BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, AND WHO KEEPS A CASH BOOK AND
RENDERS COPY OF SAME TO EXECUTIVE ENGINEER AS IN THE CASE
OF A DRAWING OFFICER. MR. W.V. VENKATAREDDI'S BALANCE ON

1ST. JANUARY 1885 WAS RS. 590- 2-11.
1ST. FEBRUARY 1885. WAS RS. 477- 6- 1.
1ST. MARCH 1885 WAS RS. 386-10- 9.

UNDER HIM IS OVERSEER SUNDHUM PILLAY, WHO HAS AN IMPREST

OF RS.300, WHICH IS RECOUPED BY MR.VENKATAREDDI. UNDER MR.LAFRENAIS ARE TWO OVERSEERS, MANIKAIYAN NAICKER, AND KRISHNAJI VASUDEV, THE FIRST WITH RS.500, THE LAST WITH RS.300 AS IMPREST, RECOUPED BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER.

4. THE BALANCE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S DRAWING ACCOUNT AT THE CLOSE OF FEBRUARY 1884 WAS PROVED CORRECT. THE TREASURIES DRAWN UPON ARE (1) THE BERNAMPORE, (2) THE ASKA & (3) THE RUSSELKONDA TREASURY.

5. THE IMPREST ACCOUNTS WERE FOUND TO HAVE UNDERGONE PROPER CHECK BY THE ACCOUNTANT, AS ALSO THE SUB DIVISIONAL CASH ACCOUNT. VOUCHERS HERE AND THERE WERE EXAMINED AND FOUND IN ORDER. THE CASH BOOK IS WELL KEPT AND IS THOROUGHLY UNDER THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OBSERVATION. THE CASH ABSTRACT BOOK IS IN ORDER.

6. THE WORK OF THE DIVISION IS PRINCIPALLY PIECE WORK, THERE ARE NO CONTRACTORS. THE REGISTER OF WORKS IS UP TO DATE, AND NEATLY KEPT, THE WORK ABSTRACTS ARE PREPARED ON A PROPER PRINCIPLE. THE CHARGES UNDER CONTINGENCIES OF RUSHIKULYA CANAL EARTH WORK, SANCTIONED ESTIMATE RS.3,86,300, INCLUDE COST OF A STORE SHED AT JANAMILLI, AND THE COST OF FIXING BOUNDARY STONES.

7. SIMILARLY UNDER CONTINGENCIES OF SURADA RESERVOIR DAM (RS.1,59,000) THE COST OF COOLIE LINES, OVERSEER'S QUARTERS AT SURADA, THE DIVERSION OF THE ROAD AT THE WEST END OF THE WORK, AND A STORE SHED AT SURADA ARE INCLUDED.

8. THIS ALLOCATION IS SAID TO BE BY THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S ORDER. THE REVISED ESTIMATE SHOULD SHOW ALL THESE WORKS UNDER THEIR LEGAL CLASSIFICATION, ACCORDING TO PARA 30 OF PART VI, APPENDIX (F) OF THE P.W. CODE.

9. THE BILLS FOR PIECE WORK ON THE RUSHIKULYA CANAL. EARTH WORK AMOUNTED IN JANUARY 1885 TO RS.1,626-4-0 ALL IN ORDER, AND SUPPORTED BY MEASUREMENTS; AS WERE ALSO SIMILAR BILLS RESPECTING THE SURADA RESERVOIR DAM, BUT SUCH BILLS SHOULD ACCOMPANY THE ACCOUNTS RENDERED TO THE OFFICE OF THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS AS VOUCHERS, UNDER CODE PARA 2292. BILLS APPEAR TO BE PROMPTLY PAID AND SO IS ALL LABOR EMPLOYED.

10. MATERIALS AT SITE AGGREGATED IN COST RS.3,107-5-6 AND WERE PLACED AT 15 WORKS AT THE END OF JANUARY 1885. THEY WERE STATED TO HAVE BEEN RECENTLY VERIFIED, AND AS OF GOOD VALUE; THE ACCOUNT OF SUCH MATERIALS IN PART IV OF THE WORK ABSTRACT SHOULD BE, OR A COPY SHOULD BE ATTESTED BY THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF THE WORK, EVERY MONTH, IN TOKEN OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT. THE OFFICER'S SIGNATURE OF THE TEN-DAY REPORT IS NOT SUFFICIENT.

11. THERE ARE 7 ESTIMATES FOR TOOLS AND PLANT, FOUR OF WHICH HAVE RECEIVED GOVERNMENT SANCTION, THE REMAINDER BEING WITHIN THE POWERS OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER AND EXECUTIVE ENGINEER UNDER G.O.NO.265.1. OF 13TH.MARCH 1885. ITEMS OF RECEIPT WERE TRACED FROM M.13. (BALANCE RETURN OF TOOLS AND PLANT) THROUGH THE DAILY REGISTER OF RECEIPTS.

AND INTO THE CASH BOOK, AND THROUGH THE WORK ABSTRACT, INTO THE REGISTER OF WORKS AND WORK VOUCHERS-THE RESULT BEING IN EVERY CASE SATISFACTORY. A GOOD VOUCHER EXISTED FOR ALL PAYMENTS, BUT SUCH VOUCHERS SHOULD INVARIABLY ACCOMPANY THE ACCOUNTS RENDERED TO THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS OFFICE.

THE TRANSACTIONS IN TOOLS AND PLANT, WITHIN THE DIVISION, (I.E. THE DISTRIBUTION OF THEM BY TRANSFER FROM ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER) ARE THOROUGHLY ACCOUNTED FOR. THERE ARE SAID TO BE NO SURPLUS OR UNSERVICEABLE TOOLS OR PLANT.

12. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER WAS OF OPINION THAT THE ACCOUNTS CAN GENERALLY BE DESPATCHED FROM HIS OFFICE TO THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS, BY THE 10TH. OF THE ENSUING MONTH.

13. THE ACCOUNTING FOR WORKS UP TO RS. 10,000 BY THE NORMAL COST SYSTEM LATELY ORDERED BY GOVERNMENT IS THOROUGHLY UNDERSTOOD BY THE ACCOUNTANT.

14. A RATE BOOK HAS NOT YET BEEN STARTED, BUT THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ONLY DELAYS THIS TILL THERE IS SUFFICIENT EXPERIENCE OF THE DISTRICT TO MAKE SUCH A RECORD RELIABLE.

15. THE ONLY DEPOSIT, THAT OF THE STOREKEEPER, MR. POTGEITER, RS. 200, WAS PUT INTO THE DISTRICT SAVINGS BANK IN FEBRUARY 1884, BUT THE SAVINGS BANK PASS BOOK HAS NOT YET BEEN PRESENTED FOR ENTRY OF INTEREST. THIS WILL NOW BE DONE.

16. THE DEPARTMENTAL CODE REQUIRES MORE ATTENTION.

THE

8/4
9/27

THE STANDING ORDERS SHOULD BE CORRECTED AS SOON AS RECEIVED, AND THE LAST STANDING ORDER RECEIVED SHOULD BE QUOTED ON THE INSIDE OF THE COVER.

17. THE REGISTERS FROM WHICH TO READILY COMPARE OUT-LAY WITH BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS AND SANCTION, AS REGARDS EACH WORK, ALSO TO RECORD THE STATE OF THE GRANT, AS FROM TIME TO TIME ALTERED, WERE IN ORDER. THE REGISTER OF LIABILITIES, FORM NO. M. 771, SHOULD BE VERY CAREFULLY MAINTAINED AND UTILISED.

18. THE RECORDS OF THE OFFICE ARE IN ORDER AND THE ACCOUNTANT IS SUFFICIENTLY ASSISTED.

Madras.
20TH. MARCH 1885.

H. Raimier
DEPUTY EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

89.24/3
24/3/85

Qu 1
2800/88

10
571

500 9/7/23/16/03
705 9/7/13/3/05

6

771 Waj 30 March/85

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Communicated
to the Suptg. Engr. I
Circle, for the informa-
-tion of the Ex. Engr.
Rushikulya Project
Division.

The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department.



CD.

17.3.85

No. 130 W

US
27.3.85

Dated 24 March 1885.

Recd.

Encl. 1.

Notes of inspection of the
Office of the Rushikulya Project
Division by Mr. Examiner Dy. Examiner.
Forwards with remarks.

S. G. I. Circle
Ex. P. W. Accts.

Withheld

2877

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

see

Dated

Recd

Encl

G.O. 30 Mar 1885, No 7714

Notes of Inspection

Orders in - submitted by
the Engineer-in-Charge, of the
Office of the District Engineer
Project No.

21/4 80 3