(1) Number of pages 46

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer D

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No.	728 - W	Press. Mis.
Dated	23.3-1885	~~198~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Bslg

728/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers :—

From R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, on special duty, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 19th January 1885, No. 24.

As explained in my letter No. 20, dated the 14th January, I have the honor to report that I visited Madura, and, in consultation with the Superintending Engineer, decided to divide the estimates connected with Tirumal Naick's palaces into three groups.

1 Estimate.
1 Specification.

2. *First.*—The estimate for restoration. This estimate would include all works necessary for the preservation of the structure and all works necessary to restore fractured or missing members. In point of fact it would include all such works coming strictly under the head of archæology.

Secondly.—The estimate for completing the judge's court which was to include no work of restoration.

Thirdly.—A complete estimate for locating the whole of the offices intended to be placed in the building including the construction of such minor offices as this scheme demands.

3. The first-mentioned estimate for restorations, I have now the honor to submit through the Superintending Engineer. The work will be completed according to the detailed specification attached to the estimate.

4. I have only to mention that when I visited Madura I found the work of restoration being carried much further than I intended, and ornaments (a good many conjectural) being executed which were not shown on my plans. In the specification I have now drawn out, a good deal of this has been suppressed and the work consequently lessened in cost.

5. The second mentioned estimate has been submitted with my letter, No. 21, dated 16th January 1885.

6. The estimate which embraces the whole question of locating the various public offices in Madura has become somewhat involved by the report of the committee appointed by Government in G.O., No. 1917 W., dated the 9th July 1884. The concluding paragraph of the report (No. 16) apart from being misleading in almost every particular, challenges the utility of the scheme from its foundations by stating that a large expenditure will only after all make "tolerable offices" and not "*good* ones."

1883

7. If the Government accept this statement unquestioned, the scheme for locating the public offices in the palace must be abandoned. The restorations are all but complete, and the money so expended cannot be recalled. If better public offices can be erected elsewhere for nearly the same figure required for palace accommodation, a very interesting structure will be to a great extent spoiled to no purpose.

8. I will report fully on this subject hereafter. I merely mention it here in order that Government, when considering the estimates submitted, may fully comprehend the bearings of the case.

From Lieutenant-Colonel H. R. MEAD, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 4th February 1885, No. 631 M.D.

As requested, I have the honor to forward the enclosed estimate and letter from Mr. Chisholm. I regret, although I have endeavoured to trace the items, I am unable to do so and much fear that the estimate may not include all the extra work that has been done without sanction.

2. I enclose a statement of the sanctions that have been given for different works and the recorded expenditure on each of them. The total sanction amounts to Rs. 1,81,821, but of this, work to the value of Rs. 17,098 had not been commenced, and for those items (except the last erecting a canopy over the judge's seat, Rs. 1,363, lately completed) Mr. Chisholm has forwarded revised estimates.

The sanction is, therefore, reduced to Rs. 1,64,723, and the expenditure up to the 31st March was Rs. 2,31,199. The excess of expenditure to that date over sanction was Rs. 66,476.

3. The estimate now sent asks for sanction for Rs. 76,325 for work done up to the end of November 1884. The expenditure this official year up to the end of November was Rs. 11,550, which, added to the excess up to the end of last official year, Rs. 66,476, makes Rs. 78,026. There has been some expenditure on the last item (canopy for judge), but this will not wholly account for the difference (Rs. 78,026 — Rs. 76,325) = Rs. 1,701, and I fear some items may have been left out, perhaps it may be some expenditure incurred by Mr. Chisholm's order on what he calls location of offices.

4. I think it as well for this point to be referred to Mr. Chisholm, as of course it is necessary for the whole of the expenditure that has been incurred without sanction to be sanctioned, and I am unable to say whether this has been done or not from the papers sent with the estimate and from Mr. Chisholm's report.

5. I feel it is not necessary to remark on paragraph 4 of Mr. Chisholm's letter further than I am glad to find he agrees with the committee's report and does not consider it advisable to carry out the work of restoration to the same extent as it has been in the judge's court and other parts of the building.

6. The estimate for completing the judge's court-house has been forwarded with my letter No. 616 M.D., dated 25th January 1885, as the estimate now sent includes, or is to include, all unauthorised expenditure to date. Should Government approve of it, I request sanction may be given to it as early as practicable, so that the work may be put in hand without delay.

7. Referring to paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 of Mr. Chisholm's letter I can only remark that he himself considers the arrangement of the walls, &c., in the present offices as not good, and I believe proposes to alter them. He has requested the Executive Engineer (and I have sanctioned his doing so) to make a new arrangement in one of the offices as a trial, and I trust that Mr. Chisholm will soon be able to make a definite proposal to Government for improvements to the present arrangements. I will add that, in my opinion, the nature of the building will not allow *good* offices to be made, but I hardly think that this opinion and that of the committee "challenges the utility of the scheme from the foundation."

8. In conclusion, I have to point out that provision has to be made for taking up the land round the palace and making roads, &c. Mr. Chisholm has as yet ignored this. Rs. 12,388 will be spent on this item this official year, and probably a further sum of Rs. 50,000 will hereafter be required.

No. 331 D., Public Works.

Referred to Mr. Chisholm, in view to his revising the estimate, with the least possible delay, with advertence to the Superintending Engineer's remarks.

(By Order.)

(Signed) C. BOWEN, Major, R.E.,
Deputy Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Chepák,
10th February 1885.

From R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., Consulting Architect to Government, on special duty, to the Chief Engineer, Roads and Buildings, dated Madras, 12th February 1885, No. 37.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your memorandum, No. 331 D., and to return the estimate connected with the restoration of Tirumal Naick's palace, which it will not be necessary to revise, as it includes, to the best of my belief, all the works completed and required.

2. The Superintending Engineer has, by an oversight, deducted from the total sanction a work which in one place is said to be "not commenced" and in another place in the same paragraph "lately completed," viz., constructing a canopy on the judge's seat, Rs. 1,363; thus making a total sanctioned expenditure of Rs. 1,64,723, instead of which it should have been Rs. 1,64,723 + 1,363 = 1,66,086. The difference referred to of Rs. 1,701 in the third paragraph of the Superintending Engineer's letter is this sum *plus* a possible saving of Rs. 358 on the executed work.

3. The following statement will, I think, show the facts and figures clearly:—

		RS.
(1) Total amount of sanctioned estimates		1,81,821
(2) Deduct the three estimates revised by me and which it is presumed have been cancelled, Rs. 1,81,821 —(320 + 14,375 + 1,040) = ...		15,735
(3) Total sanctioned estimates		1,66,086
(4) Sanction applied for by me, see revised estimate for locating the judge	7,261	
(5) Amount now estimated for "Palace restoration." ..	1,01,500	
		1,08,761
(6) Total sanctioned estimates including the sanctions now applied for		2,74,847
(7) Amount expended to 31st March 1884	2,31,199	
(8) Amount expended from 31st March to 30th November 1884	11,550	
		2,42,749
(9) Available balance on 30th November		32,098

That is to say, Rs. 7,261 for judge's cutcherry, and Rs. 24,837 for restoration.

4. The purchase of houses and making roads form items of the third estimate yet to be submitted.

Order—dated 23rd March 1885, No. 728 W., Public Works.

With his letters above recorded, Mr. Chisholm submits an estimate, amounting to Rs. 1,01,500, for completing the restoration works in connection with Tirumal Naick's palace, Madura.

2. The total amount of sanctioned estimates in connection with the palace project, exclusive of the estimate of Rs. 7,261 for locating the judge's court, sanctioned in G.O., No. 609 W., dated 14th March 1885, is Rs. 1,81,821, comprised as follows:—

(1)	G.O., No. 2386 W.,	dated 22nd Aug. 1874 ...	Rs. 2,340.	Restoring the northern turret.
(2)	" " 1587 W.,	" 5th June 1875 ...	" 74,960.+	Locating offices in the palace.
(3)	" " 3204 W.,	" 9th Nov. " ...	" 4,650.	Constructing "Napier gateway."
(4)	" " 3313 W.,	" 19th " " ...	" 32,265.	Restoration works.
(5)	" " 2639 W.,	" 19th " 1880 ...		
(6)	" " 1211 W.,	" 23rd April 1879 ...	" 1,300.	Providing office accommodation for sub-registrar.
(7)	" " 991 W.,	" 21st " 1880 ...	" 24,000.	Securing palace with iron ties.
(8)	" " 2639 W.,	" 19th Nov. " ...	" 13,600.	Under-pinning the palace.
(9)	" " 277 W.,	" 1st Feb. 1881 ...	" 2,970.	Completing plinth around court-yard.

+ N.B. The estimate sanctioned in G.O. 1587 d/5-6-75 was Rs 87000 — from this must be deducted Rs 12040 for [illegible] superstructure [illegible] a revised estimate of Rs 24000 for the latter having been sanctioned in April 1880 — vide item (7)
Rs 87000 - 12040 = Rs 74.960

G.O., No. 944 W.,	dated 8th April 1881	... Rs. 6,870.	Restoring portion of the judge's court.
" " 2082 W.,	" 14th Aug. 1882	... " 1,768.	Furniture for the judge's court.
" " 2431 W.,	" 2nd Oct. 1884	... " 1,363.	Erecting a canopy over the judge's seat.
" " 1885 W.,	" 4th Aug. "	... " 15,735.	Locating the judge's court.
	Total ...	1,81,821	

But of these, the last has been cancelled and superseded by the estimate of Rs. 7,621, above mentioned; so that the total sanctions for the whole of the work in the palace, together with that of Rs. 1,01,500 now applied for, will amount to Rs. 2,74,847.

3. The total booked outlay up to 30th November 1884 has been Rs. 2,42,749, leaving an available balance of Rs. 32,098 to complete the restoration works and the judge's court.

4. The estimate, amounting to Rs. 1,01,500, is hereby sanctioned as Provincial No. 284 W. of 1884-85, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

5. Estimates are still required for works outside the palace, such as the demolition of houses, the making of roads, the construction of subsidiary offices as recommended in the committee's report of the 4th January 1884, and the adaptation of the accommodation within the walls of the palace for offices for the Collector and Revenue officers; but these works form no part of the restoration proper of the ancient structure, which is fully provided for in the estimate now sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with eight enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with abstract estimate.
To R. F. Chisholm, Esq.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Judicial Department.
" Revenue "

Exd. A. Grubert.
Spare Copies

728/3

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1885 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 23rd.

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 23rd March 1885, No. 728 W.

MARCH.

Tirum[illegible] Naick's Palace.

Orders on estimate for completing the restoration works in connection with —.

Read the following [illegible]

p. 1

23rd Mar [illegible]

P. W. D.

Consulting Architect's Office,
Chepauk, Madras,
19th January 1885.

No. 24 72 8/4

From
R. F. Chisholm Esqre
~~The~~ Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras,
On special duty

To
The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

Enclosures.
1 Estimate
1 Specification

Sir,

As explained in my letter No 20 dated the 14th January, I have the honor to report that I visited Madura and in consultation with the Superintending Engineer decided to divide the estimates connected with Tirumal Naick's Palace into three groups—

2 - First_ The estimate for restoration; this estimate would include all works necessary for the preservation of the structure and all works necessary to restore fractured

fractured or missing members; in point of fact it would include all such works coming strictly under the head of archaeology.

Secondly – The estimate for completing the Judges Court which was to include no work of restoration.

Thirdly – A complete estimate for locating the whole of the offices intended to be placed in the building including the construction of such minor offices as this scheme demands.

3 The first mentioned estimate for restorations, I have now the honor to submit through the Superintending Engineer. The work will be completed according to the detailed specification attached to the estimate.

4. I have only to mention that when

when I visited Madura I found the work of restoration being carried much further than I intended and ornaments (a good many 758/5 conjectural) being executed which were not shown on my plans. In the specification I have now drawn out, a good deal of this has been suppressed & the work consequently lessened in cost.

5 The second mentioned estimate has been submitted with my letter No. 21 dated 16th January 1885.

6 The estimate which embraces the whole question of locating the various public offices in Madura has become somewhat involved by the report of the Committee appointed by Government in G.O. No. 1917 W. dated the 9th July 1884. The concluding paragraph of the

the

the report (No 16) apart from being misleading in almost every particular, challenges the utility of the scheme from its foundations by stating that a large expenditure will only after all make "tolerable offices" and not "good ones".

7 If the Government accept this statement unquestioned, the scheme for locating the Public offices in the Palace must be abandoned. The restorations are all but complete and the money so expended cannot be recalled. If better Public offices can be erected elsewhere for nearly the same figure required for Palace accomodation a very interesting structure will be to a great extent spoiled to no purpose.

8. I will report fully on this subject

p 3

subject hereafter, I merely mention it here in order that Government when considering the estimates submitted, may fully comprehend the bearings of the case. 728/4

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obd Servant
R Chisholm
Consg Archt to Govt
On special duty

P. W. D.

Roads and Buildings.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
on Special duty
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public works Deptt.

No. 24

Dated 19th January 1885

Received

No. OF ENCLOSURES. 2

Subject.

Reports on the restoration connected with the work of Tirumal Naick's Palace - Madura.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly. 4th February 1885.

No. 631 Mi. D

728/8

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

ENCLOSURE. 5

1 Estimate
1 Report.
1 Specification
1 Letter.
1 Statement.

Sir,

As requested, I have the honor to forward the enclosed estimate and letter from Mr. Chisholm. I regret although I have endeavoured to trace the items I am unable to do so and much fear that the estimate may not include all the extra work that has been done without sanction.

2. I enclose a statement of the sanctions that have given for different works and the recorded expenditure on each of them, the total sanction amounts to Rs 1,81,821 but of this Work to the value of Rs 17,098 had not been commenced and for these items (except the last erecting a Canopy over the Judges seat Rs 1363 lately completed) — Mr. Chisholm has forwarded revised estimates.

The sanction is therefore reduced to Rs 1,64,723 and the expenditure up to the 31st March, was Rs 2,31,197, the excess of

Expenditure to that date over sanction was Rs 66,476.

3. The estimate now sent asks for sanction for Rs 76,325 for work done up to the end of November/84, the expenditure this official year up to the end of November was Rs 11,550 which added to the excess up to the end of last official year Rs 66,476 makes Rs 78,026, there has been some expenditure on the last item (Canopy for Judge) but this will not whole account for the difference (Rs 78,026 – Rs 76,325) = Rs 1701 and I fear some items may have been left out, perhaps it may be some expenditure incurred by Mr Chisholm's order on what he calls Location of Offices.

4. I think it is as well for this point to be referred to Mr Chisholm as of course it is necessary for the whole of the expenditure that has been incurred without sanction to be sanctioned, and I am unable to say whether this has been done or not from the papers sent with the estimate and from Mr Chisholm's report.

5. I feel it is not necessary to remark on paras of Mr Chisholm's letter further than I am glad to find he agrees with the Committees report and does not consider it advisable to carry out the work of restoration to the same extent as it has been in the Judges Court and other parts of the building.

6

6. The estimate for completing the Judges Court House has been forwarded with my letter No 616/MD dated 25th January/85 as the estimate now sent includes or is to include all unauthorized expenditure to date, should Govt approve of it I request sanction may be given to it as early as practicable so that the work may be put in hand without delay.

7. Referring to para 6, 7, & 8 of Mr Chisholm's letter I can only remark that he himself considers the arrangement of the walls &c in the present offices as not good and I believe proposes to alter them, he has requested the Executive Engineer (and I have sanctioned his doing so) to make a new arrangement in one of the offices as a trial and I trust that Mr Chisholm will soon be able to make a definite proposal to Govt for improvements to the present arrangements. I will add that in my opinion the nature of the building will not allow *good* offices to be made but I hardly think that this opinion and that of the Committee "challenges the utility of the scheme from the foundation."

8. In conclusion I have to point out the provision has to be made for taking up the land round the Palace and making roads &c. Mr Chisholm has as

yet ignored this. Rs 12,388 will be spent on this item this official year and probably a further sum of Rs 50,000 will hereafter be required.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

Lt Col RE
Supt Engineer Circle.

728/10

p 8

No. c. 331 D
Chepauk
10th Feby/85

Referred to
Mr Chisholm, in
view to his revising
the estimate, with
the least possible delay
with advertence to the
Supg Engineer's remarks
(By order)

C. Browne Major R.E.
Deputy Chief Engineer
P. W. Deptt

[illegible]
9th 2-85

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 631, M-D

8 FEB 85

Dated 4th } Feby 1885.
Received

Enclosure 5

Tirumal Naik's
Palace at Madura

Forwards for
sanction an estimate
of Rs 1,01,500 for
completing the restoration of —

Referred

---

p 9

Office of Consg. Archt to Govt
On Special duty
Madras 12th February 1885
128/11

No 37

From
R. F. Chisholm Esqre
Consg Archt to Govt
On Special duty

To
The Chief Engineer
Roads & Buildings
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your memo No 331 D and to return the estimate connected with the restoration of Tirumal Naick's Palace, which it will not be necessary to revise as it includes to the best of my belief all the works

works completed and required.

2. The Superintending Engineer has by an oversight deducted from the total sanctioned a work which in one place, is said to be "not commenced" and in another place in the same para "lately completed" viz^t constructing a canopy on the judges seat Rupees 1363, thus making a total sanctioned expenditure of 1,64,723 instead of which it should have been. 1,64723+1363 = 1,66,086-0-0

The difference referred to of Rs 1701 in the 3^d para of the Superintending Engineers letter, is this sum plus a possible saving of Rs 358 on the executed work.

3 The following statement will I think show the facts and figures clearly.

Statement

Statement

728/12

① Total amount of Sanctioned Estimates		Rs 1,81,821
② Deduct the 3 Estimates revised by me and which it is presumed have been cancelled 181,821 — (320+14376+1040) —		15,735
③ Total Sanctioned Estimates		Rupees 1,66,086
④ Sanction applied for by me see revised estimate for locating the Judge —— Rs	7,261	
⑤ amount now estimated for "Palace Restoration"	1,01,500	1,08,761
⑥ Total sanctioned estimates including the sanctions now applied for ——		Rupees 2,74,847
⑦ amount expended to 31st March 1882 —	2,31,199	
⑧ amount expended from 31st March to 30th November 1882 —	11,550	2,42,749
⑨ available balance on 30th November ——		Rupees 32,098

That is to say 7,261 for Judges Cutcherry and $24{,}8\overset{3}{5}7$ for Restoration

728/13

4. The purchase of houses and making Roads form items of the 3d estimate yet to be submitted

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your Most Obt Servant

W Nicholson

Consg Archt to Govt

On Special duty

P. W. D.

Roads and Buildings.

Br. Cir: 1885

From

The Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,
on Special duty

To

The Chief Engineer
Roads & Buildings

No. 23[illegible] d/22.8.74
" 1587 d/5. 6.75
" 3204 d/9. 11.75
" 3313 d/19. 11.75
" 3311 d/19. 11.75
" 1211 W d/23.4.79
" 991 W d/21.4.80
" 2362 W d/14.10.80
" 2639 W d/19.11.80
" 277 W d/1.2.81
" 944 W d/8.4.81
" 2082 W d/14.8.82
" 1917 W d/9.7.83
" 170 W d/19.1.84
" 1762 W d/17.7.84
" 2431 W d/2.10.84
" 1885 W d/4.8.84
" 609 W d/14.3.85

No. 37

Dated 12th February 1885

Received

No. of Enclosures. 6

Subject.

Tirumal Naick's Palace
Madura

Showing a Comparative statement of sanctioned estimates and those now applied for in connection with the restoration of —

S.a.w.

Yes – Sanction may now be drafted to this Estimate.

C.S.
1. 3. 85

Public Works Department, 1884-85.

Current No. 555 $\frac{72^8}{14}$

Letter No. 37

Dated the 12th February, 85

From the Consg. Architect to Govt.

NOTE OF REMARKS.

The accompanying is an estimate for completing the restoration of Tirumal Naick's Palace at Madura, and amounts to Rs 1,01,500. – No plans have been submitted.

2. C. A. classes the estimates connected with Tirumal Naick's Palace under two main heads as follows. –
(a) Works of restoration – strictly archaeological;
(b) The completion of the Judge's Court; and
(c) The location of the Public offices in the Palace including the construction of necessary minor offices. – The estimate now submitted finally

disposes of all work under heading (a); as does the late revised estimate for completing the Judge's Court of all work under heading (b). The final estimate for work under heading (c) has yet to be submitted.

3. The amount of sanctioned estimates connected with the Palace is Rs. 1,81,821 – of this, the sum of Rs. 15,736 has lapsed by estimates having been cancelled thus leaving a balance of Rs. 1,66,086. Mr. Chisholm by adding to this balance the amount of the present estimate, Rs. 1,01,500, and the amount of the late revised estimate above alluded to, Rs. 7,261, obtains a total of Rs. 2,74,847 which he states not only covers the amount expended on the Palace up to the 30th Nov/84, Rs. 2,42,749, but leaves Rs. 7,261

1885.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 1062 728/15

From The S. E. VI Circle

ENCLOS.—

No. 631 M. D.

Dated 4th February 1885

Received 6th Feby/85.

SUBJECT.

Tirumal Naik's Palace at Madura. Forwarding for sanction an Estimate of Rs 1,01,500/- for completing the restoration of—

DISPOSAL.

No. 331 Dated 10th February

Referred to Mr. Chisholm, in view to his revising the estimate, with the least possible delay with advertence to the Supt'g. Engineer's remarks

(Sd) C Bowen Major RE
Under Secy to Govt PWD

10/2/85

$\frac{728}{16}$

Subject to your approval it would be well to return the accompanying estimate for completing the restoration of Tirumal Naik's Palace to Mr. Chisholm with a view to his revising it in the light of S.E. VI's remarks in his letter No. 631 M.D. of the 4th Feb/85

B.H.
7/2/85

I confess I cannot fathom this Estimate & report thereon; the Estimates already sanctd, the work done with & without sanction, the work remaining to be doned, are so mixed up & complicated, and this so-called Revised Estimate does not provide approy for everything from beginning & up to completion of the whole, as it should do.

Yes — the Estimate may go back to Mr. Chisholm with a view to revising it as above proposed.

C.S.

to be spent in compl
the Judge's Court, and
Rs 24,837 for Restoration W
4. Subject to approval,
would be well had a
statement been mo
fully and clearly p
-pared for in its
present form it ca
scarcely be termed
satisfactory.

5th March/85
GH

[illegible]

The connected papers
are in the file relating to
Judge's Court [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

1885 P. W. Secretariat.

Note by Budget Section.

728/14

Work *Restoration works in connection with Tirumal Naick's Palace, Madura* Remarks and References.

Fund *Provincial*

Service Head *Civil Buildings.*

Departmental Head *Original Work*

Amount of Estimate	Rs.	2,74,847
Expenditure in previous year ...	„	2,31,962
Allotment in current year	„	31,498
Balance required to complete ...	„	11,387
Total Rs. ...		2,74,847

Note of available funds.

The total of the estimates sanctioned for the project including the one for the Judge's Court amounted to Rs1,73,347. If the estimate for restoration works is sanctioned the total sanction will be increased to Rs. 2,74,847.

The expenditure on the work up to 1883-84 amounted to Rs 2,31,962 and the current year's appropriation is Rs. 31,498. The outlay at the close of the official year will therefore be Rs. 2,63,460. A further provision of Rs. 30,000 is made for the work in the Budget for 1885-86. thus limiting the total outlay at Rs 2,93,460. The outlay is therefore still in excess of sanctioned estimates.

Budget Clerk.

14th March 1885.

Note by Budget Section.

Statement showing the sanction and Expenditure against [illegible]b. head of the estimate for Restoring Tirumal Naiks Palace at Madura.

	Sub. Heads of Estimates.	G.O. s[illegible]tion-ing	Amount Estimate			Expenditure up to 31st March 1884.			Remarks.
1.	Collectors Cutcherry	No 1587 of 5 June/75	1570	-	-	13585	15	10	
2.	Punkah for do.	Do.	51	-	-	51	-	-	
3	Dais	Do.	330	-	-	106	-	-	
4	Wooden Pla[illegible]	Do.	135	-	-	135	-	-	✓
5.	Iron strong r[illegible] Treasury	Do.	1933	-	-	477	6	-	
6	Deputy Collector's [illegible]letty	Do.	3236	-	-	2275	-	-	
7.	Restoring the Ce[illegible]ce.	Do.	4507	-	-	4186	-	-	
8.	Verandah for [illegible]	Do.	2740	-	-	2518	-	-	
9	Taluq Cutcherry	Do.	6110	-	-	5461	10	10	
10.	Range office.	Do.	29[illegible]		-	2735	4	-	
11.	Range store.	Do.	2000		-	653	6	7	
12	Taluq Overseer's office.	Do.	535		-	496	-	-	
13.	Dismantling the Racket Court	Do.	93		-	93	-	-	
14.	District Munsiff's Court	Do.	9790		-	4734	5	8	
15.	Lightning Conductor	Do.	1330		-	1056	3	6	
16.	Sets of Racks for Records.	Do.	1620		-	1723	1	4	
17	Securing Superstructure		24,000		-	21900	15	8	
18.	Accommodating Civil & Sessions Court	No 1587 of 5 June	24010	-	-	45030	1	1	
19	Restoring a portion of Judges Court		6870	-	-	6870	-	-	
20.	Do. Tirumal Naick's Palace	No 2639 W of 19-8-80.	32265	-	-	65337	12	11	
21.	Do. Clock tower		2340	-	-	2661	2	9	
22	Sholavandan Sub-Registrar's office.		1300	-		491	2	5	
23	[illegible]		[illegible]						
24.	Restoring the upper members around the Court yard	No 277 W of 1-2-81	2970	-	-	2600	3	8	
25.	Underpinning to Tirumal Naicks Palace	No 2639 W of 19-11-80	13,600	-	-	12968	-	-	
26.	Restoring Waggon Vault & the small Dome South of main Dome		-	-	-	27024	-	-	
27.	Furniture for Judges Court		1768	-	-	898	12	7	
			1,64,723	-	-				
28.	Const. a property room at S.E. Corner of the Judges Court	G.O. No 1835 W 4-8-84	320	-	-				
29.	Rebuilding the East aisle & converting the Mahomedan school into record room	Do.	14375	-	-				
30.	Locating Judges Chambers & bar library in the southern Gallery	Do.	1040	-	-				
31.	Erecting a Cano[illegible]er the Judges Seat	No 2431 W of 2d Oct 1884.	1363	-	-				
			17,098	-	-				
			=						
	Total Rupees		1,81,821	-	-	2,31,199	4	11.	

Trichinopoly
4th February/85

Lt. Col. R.E.
Supdg. [illegible]neer, [illegible] Circle.

No 728.W PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 23d March/85

READ the following

Letter from R. F. Chisholm Esqr.
Cong: Archt: on Special duty. P.E. No 24 d/ 19th Jany: /85.
" from the Supptg: Engr: VI Circle " " 631.M.D d/ 4th Feby: /85
" from R. F. Chisholm Esqr.
Cong: Archt: on Special duty. " " 37 d/ 12th Feby: /85.

13

With his letters above recorded, Mr Chisholm submits an estimate amounting to Rs 1,01,500, for completing the restoration works in connection with Tirumal Naicks Palace, Madura.

2. The total amount

of sanctioned estimates in connection with the Palace project, exclusive of the estimate of Rs 7,261 for locating the Judge's Court, sanctioned in G.O. No. 609. W of 14th March 1885, is Rs 181,821, comprised as follows:—

G.O. No. 2386. W	of 22-8-74	- Rs	2,340	- Restoring the northern turret
" " 1587 W	of 5-6-75	"	74,960	- Locating offices in the Palace
" " 3204 W	of 9-11-75	"	4650	- Constructing "Napier gateway".
" " 3313 W " " 2639 W	of 19-11-75 of 19-11-80	"	32,265	- Restoration works.
" " 1211 W	of 23-4-79	"	1,300	- Providing office accommodation for Sub Registrar.
" " 991 W	of 21-4-80	"	24,000	- Securing palace with iron ties
" " 2639 W	of 19-11-80	"	13,600	Under-pinning the Palace
" " 277 W	of 1-2-81	"	2,970	Completing plinth around court yard
" " 944 W	of 8-4-81	"	6,870	- Restoring portion of the judges court
" " 2082 W	of 1[illegible]8-82	"	1,768	- Furniture for the judge's Court
" " 2431. W	of 2-10-84	"	1,363	Erecting a canopy over the judges seat
" " 1885. W	of 4-8-84	"	15,735.	Locating the judges court
		Total Rs	181,821	

But of these, the last has

bee

been cancelled and super=seded by the estimate of Rs 7,621 (728/21), above mentioned; so that the total sanctions for the whole of the work in the Palace, together with that of Rs 101,500 now ap=plied for, will amount to Rs 274,847.

3. The total booked outlay up to 30th November 1884 has been Rs 242,749, leaving an available balance of Rs 32,098 to complete the restoration works and the Judge's Court.

4. The estimate amounting to Rs 101500 is hereby—

sanctioned as Provl: No. 284 W of 1884-85, and classified as Civil Buildings Original works.

5 Estimates are still required for works outside the Palace, such as the demolition of houses, the making of roads, the construction of subsidiary offices as recommended in the Committee's Report of the 4th January 1884, and the adaptation of the accommodation within the walls of the Palace for offices for the Collector and Revenue officers; but

these works form no p t of the restoration prope of the ancient structur which is fully provided for in the estimate now sanctioned.

728/22

CV
20.3.85

20.3.85

To

The S. E. VI Circle with 7 enclos.
" Ex: P.W. accts: with abst. &
  rate:
" R. F. Chisholm Esqr.
" Consg: archt: to Govt:
" Judl: Dept:
" Rev: Dept:

1/4/85

23 MAR 85

728
23

1555

Gobt. of [1885] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 23 Nov 1885, No. 725

Tirumal Naick's

=

Palace, Madura

=

Orders on Est. for completing the restoration works in connection with —