

8 10. 240.
S. E.

Barur Project Divisional Office,
Barur 2nd March 1884.

FROM
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
BARUR PROJECT DIVISION.

TO
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
4TH CIRCLE.

SIR,

With reference to your Endorse-
ment No. 22 of 25th February 1885 on
G.O. No. 279.W. of 6th Idem, I have
the honor to point out that in G.O.
No. 793.W. of 24th March 1884 two
lascars under overseers at the rate
of Rs 7 a month have been sanctioned
whereas in the Statement of
Proposition of Revision of Establish-
ment under "Present Scale" printed
in G.O. No. 279.W. of 6th February 1885.
these two are allowed only Rs 6 a
month thus decreasing the pay of
each lascar by one Rupee and
this

Sanctioned 407931
24-3-84-

this I think a clerical error in
recasting the Statement for sub-
mission to Government.

3 I therefore request you
will be good enough to let me
know if I can draw Rs 7 for these
lascars as per original sanction
of Government in G.O. No. $\frac{793.W.}{24-3-84}$.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedient Servant.

~~W. H. M. M.~~ Captain R.E.

Executive Engineer.

No. 117
2nd M.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer,
P. W. D. with a request that he will
be good enough to have the Statement
of proposition appended to G.O. No. 279 M
of 6th February/85 Corrected as pointed
out in the foregoing letter.

Comptroller
6th March/85

~~W. H. M. M.~~ Col. R.E.
Supg. Engineer Hth Circle.

BARUR PROJECT DIVISIONAL
OFFICE.

FROM
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
BARUR PROJECT DIVISION.



Dated *2nd March* 1885.

No. *240.*
S. E.

ENCLOSURE.

*Proposition in Revision
of Establishment.*

*Points out some
discrepancy in the
printed in G.O. 279.W.
6-2-85*

R. No. 857.
12.3.85.

Chennai

12th Feby/85

No. 616D

To C

For remarks

The statement
was passed in your
office as correct.

Cy.

11.3.85

D.W.



No. 920 of 14th March/85.

Encs: —

To W.

Please see G.O. No. 793 W.

dated 24th. March 1884 in which
sanction was accorded to the
entertainment of 2 lascars at Rs. 7
per mensem. The Superintending
Engineer 4th. Circle in forwarding
a revised proposition statement
has apparently inadvertently
entered two lascars on an aggregate
pay of Rs. 12 per mensem. The issue
of an erratum to the G.O. is
necessary.

oc

29.10/3

From
The Supg. Engineer
IV Circle

Dated 6th
Desp^d 9th
Recd } March 1885.



No. 117
E.M.

Statement of Proposition
of Revision of Establish-
ment.

Requesting to have the
— appended to G.O. No. 279 W.
of 6th February/85 corrected
as pointed out in Barar
Project D^o Offr's letter No. 240
of 2nd March/85.

To

No. 696 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 19th March/85.

READ the following

Letter from the Supg. Engineer IV Circle. (H.E. No. 117 of 6th March 1885.)

Erratum

In the statement
printed with G.O. 279 W
of 6th February 1885.

For 2 lascars - Rs 12 - against
793 W of 23rd March 1884.

Read 2 lascars - Rs 14 -

Cy.

17.3.85

To

The Supg. Engineer IV Circle ✓
" Examiner S. W. Accounts ✓

19/3

R.S.

W

17.3.85

B. No. 696. May 19. Marshall Is.

B_W
1913

Id
1874
5/4

B_W
1913