

S. a. w.

On subject of this proposed
Standing Order, i.e. supply &
repair of matting, there was a deal
turn up some correspondence. It
was connected I think with the
last Supdt's new residence at
Vellore. —

Please look it up.

Cy.

26.6

Submitted

HB.



From

No.

594
M

D. P. W.

NORTH ARCOT, DIVISION OFFICE,

Camp Vellore 31 January 1885.

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

North Arcot Division,

To

The Examiner of P.W. Accounts

Madras

SIR,

Enclosure

I have the honor to enquire whether
there is any objection to this Department providing
chicks or mats for the Bungalow newly constructed
for the use of the Superintendent, Vellore Central
jail. There are savings on the Estimate sufficient
to provide for the work in question.

2. Under para 1158 of the Code, the supply
of chicks &c. by this Department, is prohibited; but
I am doubtful whether this prohibition is absolute
in all cases, or whether the supply may be made
when savings on the sanctioned Estimate permit
of it.

3. The Superintending Engineer has informed
me that he would be inclined to sanction the pur-
chase of chicks & mats in this case, from the savings
on the Estimate, provided no objections are raised by
you.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

W. Marudam

Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division.

D. P. W.

[Form No. I.]

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted.

From

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

North Arcot Division.

From

No. 176 W

THE EXAMINER,

PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

To

The Chief Engineer,

Public Works Department.

Madras, 24 February

1885.

Dated

Despatched

Received

188

ENCLOSURE.

No.

Subject.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for favor of your opinion a letter in original No. 594 of 31st. Ultimo from the Executive Engineer North Arcot Division inquiring whether there is any objection to this Department providing chicks and mats for the Jail Superintendent's residence at Vellore. The Code rule (para 1158) on the point is not very clear, and I do not think it can apply to buildings newly constructed by this Department, especially to residences, which must be matted to make them habitable. The first supply of mats and chicks might at any rate be made by the P.W. Department.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

J. C. Lumsden

Examiner P.W. Accounts.

AP. 24/2

Encs: One

17/2/85

P.W. Department,
Proceedings of the Madras Government,
Under date the
Read the following:—

From,
The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras.
To,
The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department.

N^o 279 W of 25. 1.83
" 2208 W of 6.9.84

Letter from the Ex^r P.W. Accounts (P.H. N^o 17 E.W. of Feb'y 24th 1885)
giving cover to letter from the
Ex^r Eng^r: North Arcot DC No. 596 W of 31st Jan'y 1885.

No. 17 E.W.

Dated 24th February 1885.
Recd. 25th Feb'y

It is observed that the
supply of chicks and mats
by the P.W. Dept^t is distinctly
barred by paras 1156 & 1158
of the P.W. Code; and there
seems no sufficient reason
for altering the rules.

In the case of the
Vellore Jail Superintendent's
house, the Government have
already been committed to
an expenditure out of all
proportion to the object in
view; ~~Mr. Northman~~ was

and in their Pro: dated
6.9.84 W^o 2208 W, it was
ordered that "all savings
which may still be feasible,
should be effected on the work
remaining to be done."

Enclo. 1.

Chicks and mats for the Jail
Superintendent's Residence at
Vellore. Forwards letter from the
Executive Engineer North Arcot
Division for opinion as to whether
----- may be supplied.

Enc: 13/3/85 R1613

not therefore justified, —
particularly with ^{those} Proceedings
of Gvt. of the 6th Sept.
1884 No 2208 before him,
in raising the question in
connection with this building.
His action in the matter
is disapproved.

CLB.

7.3.85

LL

7.3.85

The Hon. P. A. S. S. S. S.
S. E. IV Circle.
Ex² P. W. Sects.
Judicial Dept.
To,
The Chief Secretary
for favour of counterinitials.

8:680 P. W. Department,
Proceedings of the Madras Government,
Under date the 18th March 1885
Read the following.

Letter from the Examiner P. W. Accounts (N. E. No 17 E. W. of Feb. 24th 1885)
giving cover to letter from the Ex. Eng. 3 (N. E. No 596 Madras Jan. 31st 1885)
N. Arcot Division

It is observed that the
supply of chicks and mats
by the P. W. Department is
distinctly barred by paras
1156 & 1158 of the P. W. Code, and
there seems no sufficient
reason for altering the rules.
In the case of the Vellore
Jail Superintendents' house,
the Gvt. have already been
committed to an expenditure
out of all proportion to the
object in view; and in their

Proceedings dated 6th Sept
1884 N^o 2208 W, it was ordered
that "all savings which may
"still be feasible should be
effected on the work remaining
to be done." Mr. Nordmann
was not therefore justified,
with those Proceedings
before him, in raising the
question in connection with
that building. His
action in the matter is
disapproved.

U.S.
7.3.83

11/3

23/3
19/3

13/3/83



S.E. IV Circle
Ex. P.W. Secy.
Judicial Dept.
To
The Chief Secretary
for purposes of counterintelligence

It seems to me that sections
1156 & 1158 of the P.W. Code
are perfectly clear, and that
under them the supply of cliques
& gangs should not be made by
the P.W. Dept.

I can see no good object
in altering the Code in these
points.

In the case of the Vellore
Jail Supt's house, Mr. Nordmann
should not have raised the
question, with S. D. of 6.9.84
before him. His action
in the matter should be
noticed unfavourably.

U.S.
6.3.83

Z. W.

E. E., hwtte avest, has asked
Examiner whether he can provide
chicks or mats for the new buildings
for the depd^t, Ullava fort.

C. forwards the reference to E. W.
for opinion, &c.

I have no doubt that the wording
of para 1158 of Code - "furniture,
screens, pendules, or Tatties" - was
meant to include "chicks" & "mats",
tho' it seems to me that new buildings
should certainly be supplied with
"mats", and perhaps too with suitable
"chicks". On the other hand it may
be urged that the P. W. D. need have
nothing to say to small matters of
this kind, which almost come under
the designation "furniture".

A definite ruling on the point, to
apply to all buildings, is desirable.

In the case in point, it would have
been better, in my opinion, if Mr
Hardman had not referred or raised
the question, after G.O. 220 & W of 6 Sept 84.
It is stated therein explicitly, that
"all savings which may still be feasible
should be effected on the work remaining
to be done". Notwithstanding this, it
is proposed to utilise the savings for
work not contemplated in either the
original or revised estimate. The proposal
to do this provided Examiner raised
no objection to the items, is distinctly
against the spirit of the G.O. quoted.)

Ed.

26. 2. 85

altered. It would
be better to do this
than to strain the
rule in the manner
suggested by C.

R.S.

25. 2. 85.

C. a. W.

was not objection found
with the entry already inserted
in this document. If I remember
aright, the work originally provided
was exceeded without sanction &
a revised estimate was submitted.

C.S.

26. 2. 85.

I

B.W.

The original estimate
was largely exceeded.
vide 4.0.2208 of a/
6 Sep. 84.

R.S.

26. 2. 85.

J. B. W.

C. No. 1706

Para 1156 of the
P. W. Code lays down
what the Ex. Eng.
should supply to
new buildings con-
structed by him.
viz "all necessary
fixtures" and para
1158 says that
"the Ex. Eng." will
not supply and
repair furniture,
screens, purdahs
or tatties."

The Examiner
says this is "not
very clear". I can
scarcely be much
clearer.

The only question
seems to be whether
the rule should be