

(1) Number of pages 24
(2) Date of repair 25.8.87
(3) Initials of the Referencer S

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	608 W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	13.3.1985	198

D.V.
Not to be folded or roughly handled.

13TH MARCH 1885, No. 608 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers:—

From R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., Consulting Architect to Government (on special duty), to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 12th February 1885, No. 34.

I have the honor to submit, herewith, through the Collector of Madura and the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, a plan and estimate for improving the existing sub-magistrate's cutcherry by adding a separate court-room 40 feet by 23 feet and for constructing in the adjacent ground a subsidiary jail to accommodate sixteen male and six female prisoners.

2. I should explain how this work came into my hands. The committee formed by Government in G.O. No. 1917 W., dated the 9th July 1884, for the purpose of reporting on the location of offices in Tirumal Naick's palace, state in paragraph 4 (see report dated 4th January 1884): "No provision has been made in the palace for the town sub-magistrate and subsidiary jail; this the committee have included in their proposals."

3. The proposals of the committee are that the sub-magistrate and his cutcherry be allowed to remain exactly where they are, in another part of the town distant from the palace, and that the sub-jail now existing on the west of this building should be condemned and another jail constructed on the east side.

4. Neither the sub-magistrate nor his subordinates are removed at all from the buildings they have occupied for years past, which are in no way connected with the palace; and, in my opinion, no money expended on these buildings can be fairly debited to the palace estimates.

5. As the work was marked emergent I thought it right, to avoid delay, to submit the estimates as part of the palace work, leaving Government to decide the head under which the expenditure is to be incurred.

Endorsement on the above.

The work is emergently required to be executed. No room could, by any possibility, be found in the palace, which is, moreover, not central enough for the location of a town police court. The existing site leaves nothing to be desired.

(Signed) C. S. CROLE,
Collector and District Magistrate.

18th February 1885.

From Lieutenant-Colonel H. R. MEAD, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Lower Anicut, 21st February 1885, No. 688 M.D.

I have the honor, as requested by Mr. Chisholm, to forward a plan and estimate for improving the existing building (now used as a sub-magistrate's cutcherry) and adapting it for the Madura sub-magistrate's cutcherry and adding a subsidiary jail. The whole estimated cost amounts to Rs. 17,889.

2. As Mr. Chisholm remarks, this work has little or nothing to do with the Madura palace estimates. The committee on the palace thought right to point out that a building of this sort was necessary and included it in their statements of requirements.

3. A plan and estimate for this work was sanctioned in G.O. No. 2988, dated 7th November 1876, and in G.O. No. 1408 W., dated 5th June 1884, it was ordered to be, if necessary, revised. This Mr. Chisholm has done, and the plan and estimate are recommended for sanction.

ORDER—dated 13th March 1885, No. 608 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 17,889, for improving the sub-magistrate's outcherry at Madura and constructing a subsidiary jail, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate referred to in G.O., No. 2988, dated 7th November 1876.

2. No provision has been made for the work in the Provincial budget of 1885-86, but as the improvements are stated to be emergently required, the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, will apply early in 1885-86 for the amount required for expenditure during the year.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 271 W. of 1885-86, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with three enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with copy of abstract estimate.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

608
2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 13th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 13th March 1885, No. 608 W.

MARCH.

Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry at
Madura

Estimates for improving the — and for a
sub-jail, sanctioned.

C. No. 1730

P. W. Secretariat.

Note by Budget Section.

Work *Improving the Sub Magistrate's* Remarks and References.
Cutcherry at Madura

Fund	<i>Provincial</i>
Service Head	<i>Civil Buildings</i>
Departmental Head	<i>Original Works</i>
Amount of Estimate	Rs. 17,889
Expenditure in previous year	" "
Allotment in current year	" "
Balance required to complete	" "

Total Rs. 17,889

Note of available funds.

*The work was not proposed by the Supty. Engr. VI
Circle for execution during 1885-86 and no provision is therefore
made in the Budget for that year. The estimate may be
sanctioned for execution in 1885-86.*

5th March 1885.

Budget Clerk.

Note by Budget Section.

1720

D.W.

The accompanying
is a revised estimate
for improving the Sub-
Magistrate's butchery
at Madura, and amounting
to Rs. 17,889 - being Rs. 2,709⁵
in excess of the original
- As Mr. Blischolm
has omitted to submit
a comparative state-
ment, subject to your
approval, he should
be called on for one -
the estimate being of
importance.

B.H.

4/3/85

I think it will be sufficient
to sanction this estimate
without further reference.

Cy.

4.3.85

B.H.

5/3/85

CR 1730

I. Sub. Magistrate's Office
 am I to understand clearly that
 this work - was? Estimates for building
 Sub. Magistrate's Court. - from no
 part of the work - Estimates referred
 to in Sub. Magistrate's letter submitting
 the Estimates for Palace that within (Rs. 101500)?
 I take it that it does not - the 'it is
 mixed up with the Palace Estimates &
 location of Office. The whole business has
 got into a muddle it appears to me -

Cd.
 6.5

But this work is quite distinct
 from the ~~the~~ palace resto-
 rations & location therein
 of judicial offices.

It was at one time recom-
 mended that the Sub. Magistrate
 be located in the palace, but
 it was afterwards decided
 to construct a separate
 building for him, or rather
 to improve the existing building
 and add a gate yard &c. The
 existing building is in no
 way connected with the
 palace.

The revision of the estimate
 fell into Mr. Christie's
 hands because the matter
 formed a portion of the
 Palace Committee's proposals.

Cd.

6.3.75

connected with CR 7293

I do not understand the
 remark in para 1 of S.E.'s
 letter.

I do not see that G.O. of
 19.1.84 directed the
 construction on emergency
 of a Sub. Magistrate's Office
 at Madura.

W.

8.9.84

S.A.W.

Please look up the
 statements which accom-
 panied the Committee's
 report. I think it
 will be found that
 there is one giving the
 order of urgency of the
 different proposals.

L.S.

8.9.84

C.A.W.

Please see G.O. in Nos. 1108
 of 5.6.84, which by oversight
 was not sent to Mr. Christie
 with CR 7293.

Submitted. 10.9.84

Suptg: Engr has quoted
the wrong order. go.
1408 Wd/5 June 1884.

N.S.

11. 2. 84

1

Received 10. 9. 84
R. 10. 9. 84

Consq Archt to Govt
On special duty
Madras 12th February 1885

No 34

608/18

From

A. J. Chisholm Esq.

Consq Archt to Govt

On special duty

To

The Chief Engineer

Roads & Buildings

Madras

Sir

I have the honor to submit
herewith through the collector
of Madras and the Super-
intending Engineer, a circular
a plan and estimate for
improving the existing Sub-
Magistrates Cutcherry by
adding a separate court
room 40 feet x 23 feet and
for

constructing in the adjacent
ground a subsidiary jail
to accommodate 16 Male
and 6 Female prisoners
2 I should explain how
this work came into my
hands. The Committee
formed by Government in
L.O. No. 1914.M. dated the 9th
July 1882. for the purpose
of reporting on the location
of offices in Tirumal Naick's
Palace state in para 4 (see
Report dated 4th January 1884)
"No provision has been made
in the Palace for the Town
Sub Magistrate and Sub-
sidary Jail this the
Committee have included
in their proposals"

3. The proposals of the
Committee are that the sub

68/5/2
Sub Magistrate and his cut-
cherry be allowed to remain
exactly where they are in
another part of the Town
distant from the Palace and
that the Sub Jail now exist-
ing on the west of this build-
ing should be condemned
and another jail constructed
on the east side.

4. Neither the Sub Magistrate
nor his Subordinates are
removed at all from the
buildings they have occupied
for years past which are
in no way connected with
the Palace and in my
opinion no money expend-
ed on these buildings can
be fairly debited to the
Palace estimates.

5. As the work was marked

marked ~~emergent~~ I thought
it right to avoid delay to
submit the estimates as
part of the Palace work
leaving Government to decide
the head under which the
expenditure is to be incurred

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedt Servant.

W. H. L. W. L.

Consy Archt to Govt

On special duty

The work is urgently required
The intended. No room could by any
possibility, be found in the Palace,
which is moreover, not central enough
for the location of a Town Police Court.
The existing site leaves nothing else
desired.

C. S. L. W. L.

Col. & F. May
18.2.85

Roads and Buildings.

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

The Chief Engineer
Roads & Buildings

Received

No. of ENCLOSURES. 3

Subject.

Submitting plan
and estimate for
improving the sub
Magistrates Catching
at Madeira.

no 688 h. G.

no 688 h. D. Sup: Smer's office b. k. mile

Lower ancient 21st Feby, 18

Depth: 210'

Learn.

The Sup^y Engineer

b: b viele.

160

The Chief Engineer

P. W. D.

quelo: 44

1. Letter re

34 with di.
12-2-85

are estimates

plan & spec- by Mr. Bishop to form and a
cification. plan and estimate for improv.

the existing building (now used
as a Sub Magistrates Butcher
and adopting it for the Maon
Sub Magistrates Butcheri an
adding a subsidiary stall;
the whole estimated cost amounts
to Rs 17,889.

As his Chicholm remarks this
work has little or nothing to do
with the Hindura Palace Setman
the Committee on the Palace thought

right to point out that a building
of this sort was necessary and
included it in their statements
of requirements.

A plan and estimate for
this work was sanctioned in
G.O. No. 2988 dated 7th Nov 1964
in G.O. No. 14085 of 5-6-64.
It was ordered to be if necessary
revised; this Mr. Krishnakumar has
done and the plan and estimate
are recommended for sanction.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedt. servt

M. M. Srinivasulu Reddy

Superintendent Engineer, B. Circle

From,

The Supt Engineer
to Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No 688 M. D.

Dated 21st February 1885

Encls: 4th
1/Plan

Sub-Magistrates
Butcheri at Madurai

Forwarded the plan
& estimate of Rs
17889 for improving
the —

No 2988 d/17.11.76 (origl)

" 170 Wd/17.1.80

" 1408 Wd/5.6.84

" 2275 Wd/15.9.84

" 2075 Wd/13.12.77

" 177 Wd/9.7.83

" 2040 Wd/20.8.82

Enc: 10/3/85

No 608 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 13 March 1885

Read the following

Letter from the Consulting Architect
to Govt on special duty } H.E. No 34 d/12th February 1885
Enclosurement thereon by the
Collector of Madurai } No — d/18th February 1885
Letter from the Supt Engineer
II Circle } No 688 M. D. d/21st Feb/85

The estimate amounting
to Rs 17,889, for improving
the Sub-Magistrates Butcheri
at Madurai, and constructing
a subsidiary jail, is
sanctioned in supersession
of the estimate referred
to in G.O. No 2988 d/7th
November 1876

2. No provision has
been made for the work
in the Provincial Budget
of 1885-86, but as the
improvements are stated
to

to be emergently required,
the Supg. Engineer VI Circle
will apply early in 1885-86,
for the amount required
for expenditure during the
year.

3. This sanction has
been registered as Prior
No 77 W of 1885-86, and
classified as Civil Build-
ings. Original works.

Cd

6.3.85

W

6.3.85

J - BH

7.

The Supg. Engr VI Circle
with 3 encls.

Exam. P. U. Accts with
Copy of abstr. estimate.

Judicial Department.

(Chief Secy. for Counterintelligence)

Cd Cmc 10/3/85

2/3/3

608
1210



1730

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

12/3/85

Dated

Recd.

G.O. 13 Nov 1885 No. 3004

The Magistrate, J. at
Chennai at Madras
Superintending
— & for the
oil sanctified

12/3

12/3/85