(1) Number of pages 70.

(2) Date of repair 19.8.87

(3) Initials of the Referencer [illegible]

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No.	508 W	Press. Mis.
Dated	6.3.1885	198 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Archives —I 10,006-9 80

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ again—

G.O., dated 9th October 1884, No. 2502 W., Public Works.

READ—also the following papers :—

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 21st October 1884, No. 85 W.
" " " " 7th November 1884, No. 96 W.
" " " " 18th " " " 99 W.
Office note from Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 20th December 1884, No. 710.
" " " " 14th January 1885 " 733.
" " " " 11th February " " 800.

ORDER—dated 6th March 1885, No. 508 W., Public Works.

The following rules in connection with the employment of unpaid convict labor in the construction and repair of jails are issued for guidance in supersession of those laid down in G.O., No. 2502 [illegible] ed 9th October 1884 :—

I. Estimates for t[illegible]truction and repair of jail works on which it is intended to use prison labor free of charge will be framed as provided in paragraph 1092 of the Public Works Code, *i.e.*, they will provide for the full market value of the work to be done, a note of the reduction to be effected by the use of prison labor being made at foot of the abstract of the estimate.

II. On receipt of the sanctioned abstract of estimate, prepared as above, for a work which is to cost over Rs. 2,500, the Examiner will obtain from the Executive Engineer a detailed statement (*vide* paragraph 1550 of the Public Works Code) of the proposed application of the convict labor and of its value, and will draw up a form of work voucher for the use of the Executive Engineer as follows :—

ESTIMATED.					EXECUTED.	
Sub-heads.	Quantity.	Cost.	*Less* cost of convict labor.	Balance to be incurred by Public Works Department.	Quantity.	Cost.

III. The accounts will exhibit the actual quantity of work done, for comparison with the estimated quantity; and the cost incurred by the department compared with the reduced estimated cost arrived at after deducting the estimated value of convict labor. No initial accounts of convict labor need be maintained.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of 1885 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 6th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 6th March 1885, No. 508 W.

MARCH.

Convict Labor

Orders issuing rules in connection with the employment of unpaid — in the construction and repair of jails.

No 63 D d/10th Jany 85

To C.

Your Office Note No 710 of 19th December, 84.

508/5/3

Would not the procedure recommended in your para 2 necessitate keeping nominal muster rolls of the convicts, distributing their numbers daily over several sub-heads, and the posting of same into 10 day reports? The object of the suggested rules was to avoid burthening the Public Works accounts with these details. If the procedure specified in paras 1092 & 1550 will allow of same object being attained I see no objection to it.

2. In the estimate case you quote the work carried out by unpaid convict labour alone, would appear in the Estimate under "quantities", but the rates & cost would be "nil". The quantities executed would appear in the accounts, & if costing anything the expenditure thereon not being sanctioned would be held as objectionable, not for want of estimate, but for excess over the estimate or excess over the rates.

CS
9.1.85

9.1.85

W for initials (if approved) before despatch of fair copy.
CS

followed. i.e. the estimate must

No 2305 Day 19-12-84
~~[illegible] 84~~
" 1878 C ay 18-11-84.
" 1855 C ay 15-11-84
G.O. 2502 W ay ?-10-84
" 1574 D ay 5-9-84
" 1020 C ay 19-5-84
G.O. 3132 W ay 15-11-83.
" 3040 W ay 26-10-83-
" 3042 W ay 16-11-82

Pr C 10615

508/5

No. 2305 D.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1884. P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepâk, 19th Dec 188

OFFICE NOTE. R. No. 6?0 19/12 . 12 . 84 Secy

From
W
No 63 Day 10-1-84

To
C

The accompanying draft rules & notes are forwarded for favor of your opinion

CS

Dec 19.12.84

To No. 710 of 20th Decr 84

W

your Office Note No 2305 D of 19 December 1884

To arrive at the result proposed in your Rule I, the procedure described in Code paras 1092 and 1550 must necessarily be followed i.e. the estimate must

must first be got out at ordinary rates, and then the modifications caused by the estimated value of Convict Labor must be distributed on the subheads.

2. Would it not, therefore, be much simpler to require the estimates submitted for sanction to be framed as directed in para 1092. When sanctioned, it would be the business of this office to take action under para 1650. Rules II and III seem unnecessary.

3. Taking an extreme case under Rule I as it stands, a structure or enclosure, of earth, mud or sun-dried bricks, to be carried out by Convict Labor would not appear at all in an estimate. If, in course of erection, free labor were to be employed on it, expenditure would come into the accounts which would be held objectionable for want of estimate &c &c. If the proposal made in para 2 above be adopted, this office would be able to settle the matter without any trouble.

D.E.

A.G. 24/12

C.

A. all. 26/12

No. 146 - D. 508/8

322

508/6

C. A. W.

No. 632 of 10-1-85 and file regarding mode of accounting for Convict labor employed on P. W. are marked as sent to "Dy. W. 10-1-85" and have not since returned to the office.

D.C.
15/1/85

To D.W.

Please see the above.

R.S. 15.1.85

DY

No. 146 – D. 50/8

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1885. { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepák, 21st January 1885.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W.

To

C

Your Office Note No. 733 of 14th January 1886

Please draft rules in accordance with para 2 of your Office Note No. 210, when the same will be fully considered by W

They should be simple & such as to avoid all unnecessary details in the accounts, without of course impairing full and efficient audit

by C.B.

Dy.

No. 63 D a/ 10-1-84
" 2305 D a/ 19-12-84
" 1878 C a/ 18-11-84
" 1855 C a/ 15-11-84
G.O. A/2502 W a/ 9-10-84
No. 1574 D a/ 5-9-84
" 1020 C a/ 19-5-84
G.O. B/3132 W a/ 5-11-83
" 3040 W 26-10-83
" 3042 W a/ 16-11-82

No. 146 D a/ 4-1-85

508/10

J. A. W. 508/a

Only my note of 18th
is of course to be forwarded
and the whole file

C.W.

D.W. It was proposed to send
only the papers pinned to the
office note to C — but I am
not sure now whether you
intend Col. Filgate's note to go?
J.A.W.

Yes - Col Filgate's note may go & the papers you allude to. C.W.

C.W.
19-12-84

No. 2305 D.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. } 1884. { P. W.
DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepauk, 19th Decr. 1884.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To C.

Col. Filgate's letter
of 26/11/84.
Draft Rules.
Draft Note D.W.

The accompanying draft rules & notes are forwarded for favour of your opinion

19/12 C.B.
D.W.

Despd 19/12.

508/11

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

Madras, 21st October 1884.

No. 85/W.

Sir,

I have the honor to request the favor of further instructions in regard to the wishes of Government in the practical application of the rules published in G.O. No. 2502 W. dated 9th. October 1884.

2. Rule I is opposed to Code paras 1092 and 1550 (which correspond with the Government of India Code, VII - 1 - 20)

3. Rule II prescribes no count of the convicts by the Public Works staff. It orders enquiry to be made of the Jail Authorities of the number of convicts that may be available for a certain period, but requires no [illegible] of the number actually sent on the works each day.

4. Rule III. Instructions are required as to the details to be entered in Work Abstract, Register of Works, and Work Voucher. The definite items will be:-

Measurements of sub heads.
Charges for free labor
Charges for materials etc.

The

The indefinite items will be:-

Cost of sub heads.
Rate of sub-heads.
Value of Convict Labor.
Cost of work.
Possible savings.
Possible excesses.

5. Rule IV. The same instructions are required as in Rule III. The definite items are :-

Charges for materials.
Measurements of sub-heads.

The indefinite items are as before, with the extra hazard as to soundness of the P.W. Subordinates " valuing" of the work turned out.

6. It seems to me that ,under the published rules, what would ordinarily constitute a saving on an Estimate will be an exaggeration of an arbitrary amount assiged to Convict Labor; while what would ordinarily be an excess over Estimate will result in no value at all for Convict Labor;at the same time, neither possible savings nor possible excesses will be ascertainable.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. P.

Examiner P.W. Accounts.

[illegible] 21/10

8818

From,

The Examiner P. W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

P. W. Department.

No. 85 W.

Dated 21st October 1884.

Recd. 22 ——

No. 1790 Ca / 5-11-84

Convict Labor. Asking further instructions in regard to the wishes of Government in the practical application of the rules about———

8818 508/13

To D.W.

Letter from the Exr. No. 85 W d/ 21.10.84.

For orders.

In my note of 24.9.84, attached to Pros. No. 2302 W d/ 9.10.84, I touched on much the same points as the Exr. refers to in paras 2 & 6 of his present letter.

Ch
22.10.84

S.a.w.

Please draft for W's consideration reply as per accompanying memo. I confess I utterly fail to grasp what E means by the "indefinite items" he enumerates. The rules seem simple & clear enough.

C.S.
22.10

1092 and 1550 of the
P.W. Code, quoted by

From,

To,

No. 8

Date

Rec

fur
wis
pre
abo

S.a.W.

Reply may be sent to C to following effect:—

1. The circular order deals only with Jail works to which under a recent resolution convict labour is to be given free of all charge. The Codal rules quoted apply to ordinary works on which convict labour is employed & paid for by the Dept.

2 – The accounts will be framed in the same manner as for ordinary work carried out by ordinary labour, but the convicts employed will not be shown therein nor will their services be ~~also~~ a charge to the work.

3 – The reference to the "extra hazard as to soundness of the P.W. Subordinates "valuing" of the work turned out" is not understood. It is usual for P.W. Subordinates to measure & value ordinary work, subject of course to check by the D.t Officer. In this respect the course apparently objected to is one of ordinary procedure.

C.S.

22.10.94

From,

To,

No. 8

Date

Recd

fu
wi
pr
ab

4

No. 1790 C of 5th November /84

P. W. Dept.

8/5/6

Memorandum.

Adverting to letter from the Examiner P.W. accounts No 85 W dated 21st October 1884, the undersigned has the honour to state that the rules published in G.O. No: 2502 W dated 9th October 1884, deal only with jail works to which, under G.O. No: 1504 W dated 25th April 1884, Convict labour is to be given free of all charge. Paras 1092 and 1550 of the P.W. Code, quoted by the requirements, apply to ordinary works on which convict labour is employed and paid for by the Public Works Deptt.

2. The accounts will be framed in the same manner as for ordinary work carried out by ordinary labour, but the convicts employed will not be shown therein nor will their services be a charge to the work.

3. The reference to the "extra hazard" as to soundness of the

"Public Works Subordinates valuing of the work turned out" is not understood. It is usual for Public Works Subordinates to measure and value ordinary works, subject of course to check by the Division Officer. In this respect the course apparently objected to is one of ordinary procedure.

C.W.
27.10.84

To
The Exr P.W. A.

5/11

7.11.84

G.O. A/2502 W d/ 9-10-84
No. 1020 C d/ 19-5-84
G.O. B/3132 W d/ 15-11-83
" 3040 W d/ 26-10-83
" 3042 W d/ 16-11-82
No. 1574 D d/ 5-9-84

P.C.
1855 C, 15-11-84

38/17

FROM

THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

NO. 96 W. MADRAS 7th NOVEMBER 1884.

SIR,

IN CONTINUATION OF MY LETTER NO. 85 W. DATED 21ST. OCTOBER 1884, AND WITH REFERENCE TO MEMORANDUM NO. 1790 C DATED 5TH. NOVEMBER 1884 FROM THE CHIEF ENGINEER PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, I HAVE THE HONOR TO OFFER THE FOLLOW- REMARKS FOR CONSIDERATION OF GOVERNMENT IN ISSUING THE INSTRUCTIONS ALREADY SOLICITED.

2. IN PARA 1092 THE REDUCTION OF THE PRISON LABOR AT FOOT OF THE ABSTRACT ESTIMATE, AND IN PARA 1550 THE ALLOWANCE TO BE MADE IN AN ESTIMATE, ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE PRISON LABOR BEING GIVEN FREE OF ALL CHARGE, AND THOSE RULES APPLY TO CASES COMING UNDER G.O. NO. 1504 W. OF 25TH. APRIL 1884.

3. THE ACCOUNTS CANNOT BE KEPT IN THE SAME MANNER AS FOR ORDINARY WORK, FOR AS SHOWN IN PARAS 4 AND 5 OF MY PREVIOUS LETTER WHAT WOULD BE DEFINITE ITEMS UNDER OR-

DINARY

508/14

DINARY WORKS ARRANGEMENTS ARE ABSOLUTELY INDEFINITE ITEMS UNDER THE PUBLISHED RULES.

4. I DO NOT THINK IT IS USUAL FOR P.W.SUBORDINATES TO VALUE ORDINARY WORK; SINCE ACTUAL CHARGES FOR LABOR EMPLOYED AND MATERIALS ISSUED ARE DEBITED TO SUCH WORKS THE COST IS ARRIVED AT AT ONCE WITHOUT ANY VALUATION.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT,

EXAMINER P.W.ACCOUNTS.

[illegible] 7/11

7/11

No 1790 C d/ 5-11-84
G.O. A 2502 W d/ 9-10-83
No 1574 D d/ 15-9-84
" 1020 C d/ 19-5-84
G.O. B 3132 W d/ 5-11-83
" 3040 W d/ 26-10-83
" 3042 W d/ 16-11-82

FROM

THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

MADRAS.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

8 NOV 84

No 1855 C d/ [illegible]-11-84

No. 96 W.

DATED 7th NOVEMBER 1884.

RECD.

CONVICT LABOR. OFFERS CERTAIN REMARKS FOR CONSIDERATION OF GOVERNMENT IN ISSUING THE INSTRUCTIONS ALREADY SOLICITED REGARDING-------

---

To W.

508/19

In his No 85 W of 21st October, 84, C. raised objections to the rules published in G.O. No 2502 W, of 9th idem, on the subject of jail works executed by convict labour given free of cost.

Reply was sent to C. in Memorandum No 1790 C. of 5th November.

C now asks for further instructions.

It seems to me that the accounts branch is needlessly raising difficulties which do not exist, at least so far as I can see.

It was ruled that the Deduction at foot of Estimate on account of the contribution by prison labour need not be entered, as the value of the convict labour to be given cannot be definitely known beforehand. In this view you concurred and Jail Depmt agreed, but C apparently thinks otherwise, and insists that the Deduction must be shown.

Much is made of alleged "indefinite items" in the accounts, but I altogether fail to follow the Examiner in his reasoning.

C. holds that it is not usual for Subordinates to value work as described in Rule III, and does not accept the explanation given him in para 3 of Memorandum.

Perhaps it may be sufficient to reply that if the rules are found in practice to involve confusion, or to afford insufficient check, or to give rise to financial irregularities, the Examiner may bring the same to notice when the subject will be re-considered.

I apprehend, however, that he will

not accept this, but will harp still more on alleged infringements of rules, and it is likely he will eventually send the whole question up to the Acct. General.

C.J.

12-11-84

No. 1855 Col. 15th November 84. P.W.D.

508/70

Memorandum.

Adverting to letter from the Examiner P.W. Accounts No 96 W dated 7th November 1884, the undersigned has the honour to state that if the rules embodied in G.O. No. 2802 W dated 9th Octr 1884 are found in practice to involve confusion, or to afford insufficient check, or to give rise to financial irregularities, the Examiner may bring the same to notice, when the subject will b reconsidered.

2. If however the Examiner is of opinion that the rules involve no infringement of Code rules, or do not meet financial requirements, he is at liberty to refer the whole question to the Accountant General P.W. Deptt., and pending the receipt of a reply to such reference, ~~from that officer~~ the orders in question will be held in abeyance.

C.S.
14.11.84

3 - The favour of an early reply is requested.

14.11.84

No. 1855 C. of 15-11-84

Exr P.W.A.

182:184

FROM

THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

NO. 99 W   MADRAS 15th NOVEMBER 1884.

SIR,

IN REPLY TO YOUR MEMORANDUM NO. 1855 C. OF 15TH INSTANT, I HAVE THE HONOR TO STATE THAT, IN MY LETTER TO GOVERNMENT NO. ~~96~~ 85 W. DATED ~~3RD NOVEMBER~~ 25 October 1884 I SHOWED THE ITEMS WHICH WERE IN MY OPINION INDEFINITE AND WHICH IN THE CASE OF WORKS CARRIED OUT BY ORDINARY LABOR WOULD BE DEFINITE. I ALSO POINTED OUT THAT, INASMUCH AS THE COST AND RATE OF WORK COULD NOT BE RECORDED, POSSIBLE EXCESSES OR SAVINGS IN ESTIMATES WOULD BE UNASCERTAINABLE AND THAT THERE COULD BE NO COMPARISON OF ACTUAL WITH ESTIMATED COST.

G.O.
19/11/84

2. THE CODE RULES 1835, 1841, 2250, 2296, 2306, 2351, 2360, 2363-65 SHOW WHAT IS EXPECTED IN THE CASE OF ORDINARY WORKS, AND IF MY VIEW OF THE RULES PUBLISHED IN G.O. NO. 2532 W. OF 9TH OCTOBER 1884 IS CORRECT, THE CODE PROCEDURE CANNOT BE FOLLOWED.

3. THE REMEDY, IN MY OPINION, IS PROVIDED IN CODE RULES

CODE

1092 AND 1500, OR IN THE PROPOSAL ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED BY ME FOR ACCOUNTING FOR WORKS ON WHICH CONVICT LABOR IS EMPLOYED FREE OF CHARGE.

4. COLONEL FILGATE R.E. WILL, I HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE BE IN MADRAS ON THE 26TH. INSTANT, AND MAY POSSIBLY BE DISPOSED TO CONSIDER THE MATTER, SHOULD YOU WISH TO CONSULT HIM. IF THIS BE IMPRACTICABLE, I shall, SHOULD GOVERNMENT STILL SEE NO REASON TO MODIFY THEIR ORDERS, AND AS YOU SUGGEST, FORWARD THE PAPERS TO THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FOR DISPOSAL.

5. I MAY HOWEVER POINT OUT THAT CONVICT LABOR IS BEING EMPLOYED, AND THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS NO ORDERS TO GUIDE IT IF THOSE ISSUED ARE HELD IN ABEYANCE WITHOUT OTHERS BEING MEANWHILE ISSUED.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT,

EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

508 / 22

Ml. Ch. 9608

FROM THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SECRETARIAT TO GOVT.
18 NOV 84
P.W. DEPARTMENT

NO. 99th

DATED 18th NOVEMBER 1884.

RECD. 18

I am leaving Madras & shall not be back till the 29th but have asked Major Rowen to see Col. Filgate & discuss the points with him.

CONVICT LABOR. - STATING THAT THE INSTRUCTIONS ALREADY ISSUED REGARDING ~~THE PAYMENT OF~~ ---- WILL BE REFERRED TO THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT ON HIS VISIT TO MADRAS AND FURTHER THAT NO RULES ARE IN FORCE TO GUIDE THE DEPARTMENT

15/11/4 11

Urgent

58/23

Please draft reply, to C.A. in terms of my pencil notings.

18.11.84

Pray J.S.W.

18/11/84

P W Deptt
Madras November 1884

No 1878 C

508/24

To
The Treasurer, P W Accts
Madras

Sir

I have the honour to inform you, with reference to your letter No 9974 dated 18th November 1884 that, as I leave Madras immediately and shall not be back till the 29th instant, I shall not be in a position to discuss with Col: Filgate the questions raised in regard to accounting for convict labor. I have however asked Major Bowen to see Col: Filgate on arrival and discuss the points with him.

I have &c
(Sd) J.L.S.
W

Desp 18/11/84

Convict Labor

Convict Labour

508/23

W.

I have drafted accompanying proposed rules on the subject of convict labour given free of charge to jail works. They are, to the best of my judgment, in accordance with the views expressed by Col: Filgate, with whom I discussed the subject, but I cannot say these views accord with my own.

You will observe that Col: Filgate thinks they should be introduced tentatively & should be carefully examined after fair trial, in view to modification or improvement.

I would propose to forward them in the rough to C for his opinion before introducing them, as they may require some enlargement to make them clearer.

I had thought too of taking the opinions of the S.E's as to their feasibility, but it is hardly worth while troubling them about it.

C.S.
18.12.84

Yes. I think C had better be consulted ~~for~~ before the rules are issued.

18.12.84

[Form No. viii.]

508/26

R 2x2/106 15/58

[Form No. viii.]

In supersession of the rules for accounting for unpaid prison labour employed on jail works, promulgated in G.O. No 2502 W. of 9th October, 1884, the following procedure will be adopted until further orders.

I. The Estimate for Jail works to be executed wholly or in part by convict labour, given free of charge, will be framed at rates less than the normal rates by the value of the convict labour that the Exe. Engineer calculates will be available for employment on each sub-head.

Thus, if on any sub-head convict labour solely is to be employed, it follows that the rate for that sub-head will represent merely the cost of materials and supervision. And if on brick-work for instance, convict labour is to replace the ordinary coolies who assist the bricklayers, the rate of the Estimate will represent the cost of materials and the pay of skilled labour only.

II. Convict-labour so employed, not being paid for, and not being included in the sanctioned Estimate, need not be accounted for in the Departmental Returns.

III. Materials used and ordinary free labour, skilled or unskilled, will be paid and accounted for in the usual forms.

508/27

Madras
Dec 25. 02

My dear Bowen

I do not see how [illegible] rules
regarding convict labour employed on
jail garden works as they now stand, as they
do not allow of any check being
exercised over the convict labour employed.
consequently I do not see how they can be
introduced on plantations —
I would suggest that the A-X system
should be introduced on plantations & that
this should be carefully examined after
it has been in use for some few months.
then it can if necessary be continued
or modified —
It is necessary that whatever rules are

[illegible] should be allowed of the
rest of [illegible] being condemned with the
[illegible] & [illegible] clear that the value
as they stand were not allowed of these
[illegible] report. [illegible] that the [illegible]
I do not think that [illegible]
[illegible] worth

[illegible]
A. Filgate

588/28

Re No 3 / 10.6.85

To C.

Your O.N. No 733 of 14th Jany.

Please draft rules in accordance with para 2 of your O.N. No 210, when the same will be fully considered by W.

They should be simple & such as to avoid all unnecessary details in the accounts, without of course impairing full & efficient audit.

CA.
20.1.85

Ag 26/11 1911

[Form No. viii.]

508/30

Refer (i)

GOVT. OF MADRAS} 1884. {P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, ~~14~~ 14 January 1885.

OFFICE NOTE No. 733

15 JAN 85

To

W. Encs:—

Your O.N.No.63 D.dated 10th. January 1885.

The procedure specified in para 2 of my last O.N. will allow of the same object being attained.

Keep with Genl. No.

dated 188 .

C.

29 14/1

No 146 D/21-1-85

508/31

[Exr: Wks. Form No. 111.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1885. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

11th February 1885

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 11 FEB 85

Office Note No. 800

To

W

Esq.

Your Office Note No. 1060 dated 21st January 1885.

Herewith draft rules for your consideration.

The following rules in connection with the employment of unpaid convict labor in the construction and repair of Jails, are issued for guidance in supersession of those laid down in G.O. No. 2502 W. dated 9th October 1884.

Estimates for the construction and repair of Jail works on which it is intended to use prison labor free of charge will be framed provided in para of the Code, i.e. they will vide for the full ma t value of the work to be done, a note of the redu tion to be effected by the u e of prison labor, being m de at foot of the abstract of the estimate.

On receipt of the sanctioned abstract of estimate prepared as above for work which is

to

Genl. No.

18

to cost over Rs 2500/. the Examiner will obtain from the Executive Engineer a detailed statement - vide para 155 of the P. W. D. Code - of the proposed application of the Convict labor, and of its value, and will draw up a form of work voucher for the use of the Executive Engineer as follows:-

Estimated					Executed	
Subheads	Quantity	Cost	Approx. value of Convict labor	Balance to be incurred by Dept.	Quantity	Cost

iii The accounts will exhibit the actual quantity of work done for comparison with the estimated quantity, and the cost incurred by the Department compared with the reduced estimated cost arrived at after deducting the estimated value of Convict labor. No initial accounts of Convict labor need be maintained.

6

A.A.B.
10/2

To W.

Convict Labour. 508/B2

Examiner now submits draft rules on this subject.

The main point is that he now waives the keeping of initial accounts for the convicts employed.

As regards the Estimate & the statement of application of the convict labour (rules i + ii) I can see no advantage in it, & my original proposals were simpler & easier. However as the initial accounting for convict labour is given up, & as the whole question turns out to be of little consequence — from the very few convicts available at all — these draft rules may perhaps be accepted and issued.

CA.
13 . 2 . 85

Very well. — W.

13 . 2 . 85

C.A.W.

The rules may issue

CA
14 . 2 . 85

508.W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

to cost over Rs 2,500/- the Ex-
aminer will obtain from the
[illegible] tive Engineer [illegible] detailed
[illegible] 185 of

A/

No. 508 W — PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

508/33

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 6th March/85

READ again ~~the following~~

G. O. P. W. Dept. M. E. No. 2512 W d/9th Oct/84

Read also the following

From the Examr. P. W. Acct. — No. 85 W d/21st Oct 1884
Do ——— Do — No. 96 W d/7th Novr. 1884.
Do ——— Do — No. 97 W d/18th Novr. 1884
Office Note from ——— Do — No. 710 d/20th Decr. 1884
Do ——— Do — No. 733 d/14th January 1885
Do ——— Do — No. 800 d/11th February/85

The following rules in connection with the employment of unpaid convict labor in the construction and repair of jails, are issued for guidance, in supercession of those laid down in G.O. No. 2502 W dated 6

9th October 1884:—

(I) Estimates for the construction and repair of jail works on which it is intended to use prison labor free of charge will be framed as provided in para 1092 of the P. W. Code, i.e. they will provide for the full market value of the work to be done, a note of the reduction to be effected by the use of prison labor being made at foot of the abstract of the estimate.

(II) On receipt of the sanctioned abstract of estimate, prepared as above for a work which is to cost over Rs 2500/, the [illegible] will obtain from the Executive Engineer a detailed statement (vide para 1530 of the P. W. D. Code) of the proposed application of the convict labor, and of its value and will draw up a form of work voucher for the use of the Executive Engineer as follows:-

	Estimated				Executed	
Subheads	Quantity	Cost	Less cost of convict labor	Balance to be incurred by P.W.D.	Quantity	Cost

(III) The accounts will exhibit the actual quantity of work done, for comparison with the estimated quantity, and the cost incurred by the Department, compared with the reduced estimated cost arrived at after deducting the estimated value of convict labor. No initial accounts of convict labor need be maintained.

19.2.85

5.3.85

To

The Supdg: Engrs.
" Consg: Archt to Govt
" Accountt P.W. Accts
" Judl Dept.

17/3/85

Govt. of 1885 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated / Press 2-3-85

Recd.

G.O. 9 Novr 1885 No. 5084

Convict Labor

Scheme of [illegible] Jails
in connection [illegible]
to employing [illegible]
of inmates [illegible]
the Construction [illegible]
upon the Tanks

10

10