

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

5th February 1885, No. 610

Accounts.

Read the following paper —

No. 70 From Colonel G CHESNEY, Secretary to the Government of India,
Military Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Military Department, dated Fort William, the 20th January 1885,
No. 74-M.W

Accounts

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of the copy of Bombay Government Resolution, No 5212, dated 16th December 1884, together with Bombay Government Resolution, No 4308, dated 16th October, and the Controller's report referred to therein, which show that the Government of Bombay have decided that the cost of erecting certain machinery in the arsenal at Bombay shall be charged against the grant for Military Works

2 It appears from the 1st para of the Resolution, dated 16th December 1884, that this decision of the Government of Bombay is based upon the ruling of the Government of India conveyed in my letter, No 222-M W, dated 8th March 1883, viz, that the cost of erecting a shed in the Bombay arsenal and putting up the machinery which the shed was built to contain must be a charge against the Military Works grant, and that from this has been deduced a general rule that the work of erecting machinery, &c, appertains to the Public Works Department, and the cost must be defrayed from the Military Works grant

3 I am to explain, however, that this was not the intention of the Government of India In the case of this particular shed and its machinery the principal cost arose in the construction of the shed and of the beds of the boiler and engine and of the machines, a work which properly appertains to the Public Works Department It would have been inconvenient and would have served no useful purpose in that particular case to have divided the total cost between two departments, and it was ruled accordingly that the whole should be a Military Works charge

4 It was, however, not intended by this to establish a general principle, and the Government of India consider that the practice which has usually obtained hitherto should be continued, viz, that machinery should usually be set up by, and be in the charge of, the department using it, and that the services of the Public Works Department should only be called in for work which cannot properly be executed by the establishment of the factory or arsenal using the machinery

No 74-M W

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department

(By Order)

FORT WILLIAM,
20th January 1885.

(Signed) J. E. BROADBENT, Captain,
Asst. Secy. to the Govt of India

No 91. ORDER THEREON, 5th February 1885, No 610

Communicated to departments concerned for information and guidance with reference to para 4

(True Extract)

(Signed) A KENNEY-HERBERT, Lt-Col,
Offg Secretary to Government

To the Inspector-General of Ordnance
" Commissary-General
" Controller of Military Accounts
" Public Works Department

Exd E C Shunker.

P.W.D.
Govt. of (1885) Madras.

MILITARY.

To
THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF
ORDNANCE,
&c, &c, &c

3rd Feb 1885
Dated February, 5th

Issued

Recd Encl

G.O., 5th February 1885, No. 610.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 90-91.

Accounts.

*S. E.
C. A. to Govt
Govt P. W. Accts*
Machinery — Decision of the Government of India that — should usually be set up by, and be in charge of, the department using it, as heretofore, the service of the Public Works Department being called in only when necessary for the proper execution of the work, communicated to departments concerned.

By Order

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

5th February 1885, No 610

Accounts.

Read the following paper —

No 90 From Colonel G CHESNEY, Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Military Department, dated Fort William, the 20th January 1885, No 74-M W

Accounts

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of the copy of Bombay Government Resolution, No 5212, dated 16th December 1884, together with Bombay Government Resolution, No 4308, dated 16th October, and the Controller's report referred to therein, which show that the Government of Bombay have decided that the cost of erecting certain machinery in the arsenal at Bombay shall be charged against the grant for Military Works

2 It appears from the 1st para of the Resolution, dated 16th December 1884, that this decision of the Government of Bombay is based upon the ruling of the Government of India conveyed in my letter, No 222-M W, dated 8th March 1883, viz, that the cost of erecting a shed in the Bombay arsenal and putting up the machinery which the shed was built to contain must be a charge against the Military Works grant, and that from this has been deduced a general rule that the work of erecting machinery, &c, appertains to the Public Works Department, and the cost must be defrayed from the Military Works grant

3 I am to explain, however, that this was not the intention of the Government of India In the case of this particular shed and its machinery the principal cost arose in the construction of the shed and of the beds of the boiler and engine and of the machines, a work which properly appertains to the Public Works Department It would have been inconvenient and would have served no useful purpose in that particular case to have divided the total cost between two departments, and it was ruled accordingly that the whole should be a Military Works charge

4 It was, however, not intended by this to establish a general principle, and the Government of India consider that the practice which has usually obtained hitherto should be continued, viz, that machinery should usually be set up by, and be in the charge of, the department using it, and that the services of the Public Works Department should only be called in for work which cannot properly be executed by the establishment of the factory or arsenal using the machinery

No 74-M W

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department

(By Order.)

FORT WILLIAM,
20th January 1885

(Signed) J. E. BROADBENT, Captain,
Asst. Secy to the Govt of India

No 91. ORDER THEREON, 5th February 1885, No 610

Communicated to departments concerned for information and guidance with reference to para. 4

(True Extract)

Alkenney Herbert Lt-Col,
Offg Secretary to Government

To the Inspector-General of Ordnance
" Commissary-General
" Controller of Military Accounts
" Public Works Department



To

&c, &c, &c

21

Issued

Encl

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 90-91.

Machinery—Decision of the Government of India that—should usually be set up by, and be in charge of, the department using it, as heretofore, the service of the Public Works Department being called in only when necessary for the proper execution of the work, communicated to departments concerned

loittoriden