

Govt. of 1881 Madras.

To

The P.W. Dept.

Proceedings, dated 21 / 11 / 1885
 No. 732
 Subject.

No. 2809 Wd. Nov. 24. 1885

Declining to exempt
 V. Sivaramiah from
 passing the prescribed
 examination for admission
 to the Public Service

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Memorandum, from the Public Works Department,
 dated 5 November 1885 No. 1757C.

Order thereon 21 November 1885 No. 732.

The Government in this Department regret
 that they are unable to comply with the
 recommendation of the Public Works Department
 that V. Sivaramiah should be exempted from
 passing the prescribed examination for admission
 to the public service. His case presents no
 special circumstances, nor any which
 could not be advanced on behalf of nearly
 every applicant for exemption.

(True Extract)

W. Hills

By Chief Secretary

To

The Public Works Department

with Encl. ret'd

No. 2809 Wd. Nov. 24. 1885

Communicated
 to the S.E. V. Circle
 with reference to his
 No. 3008 d/14 Octo
 1885

23/11/85

W.S.
 23-11-85

S.E. V. Circle
 & P.W. Dept.

25-11-85

757C 7/57/85
 187 57/85-
 54 77/8/84
 94 729/7/80

12/11/85
 12/11/85

No 300 R

North Arcot Division office

Vellore 16th October 1885

From

The Executive Engineer

North Arcot Division.

To.

The Superintending Engineer

5th Circle

Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to my letter
No. 263d/12.9.85, I have the honor to bring to your
notice the case of Sivaramiah Superintendent
of Kaveripak Tanks, and to request that you
will address Government with a view to this
man being allowed to benefit by G. O. No. 1809

of 29th July 80, which permits unpassed Candidates
who were thrown out of employ in the reductions to
hold posts the Salaries of which do not exceed ^{those} formerly
drawn by them even should the latter exceed Rs 20.

2. The facts of the case are these.

In July last the appointment of Superintend-
ent Cheyar Channel fell vacant. the incumbent
obtaining an appointment in my office; I there-
upon decided to promote Sivaramiah to the vacant

Encl 1

1 Copy of
Encl 1

No 263 R 5th

31-7-85

X
not recd in the
Adm Dept

vacant post; but as the Salary attached to it was Rs 20, and Sivaramiah was an unpassed Candidate. I referred the matter to the Examiner, and requested that officer ^{inquire} whether Sivaramiah could hold the appointment and draw Rs 19-8-0 under G.O. No 1809 W dated 29th July 80.

3. The Examiner ruled against Sivaramiah, and I attached Copy of his reply.

4. I would explain that the Examiner's assumption that Sivaramiah left the Office Establishment at his own request is incorrect; he was, as it were, promoted to Sub overseer in the D.P.W. in July 75 as this gave him slightly better pay, and as all Sub overseers were really on the Local Fund Establishment, he was transferred to the Local Fund Board when the D.P.W. and L.P. Establishments were separated.

5. The man has done good Service, and is deserving of Encouragement, and I am of opinion that as he was allowed to hold a post of Rs 20 in 1872, and was later on thrown out of Employ owing to reductions in the Local Fund Board, a department into which he had been transferred without any expressed wish on his side, he is in the spirit, if not in the letter, entitled to benefit by G.O. No 1809 W dated

29th July 1880.

6. I add a brief sketch of the Superintendent's Service.

(a) Sivaramiah was first entertained in the D.P.W. in August 1857, when he held the post of Naistiy in the Temporary Establishment in Div. 2 Mount Range.

(b) In September 1862 he was transferred to the Imperial Petty Establishment, still as a Naistiy, but on increased pay, viz Rs 15 instead of Rs 10 per mensem; and this Salary was subsequently raised to Rs 25.

(c) In December 1872 he was appointed Copyist in the office of the District Engineer, North Arcot, on a Salary of Rs 20, but being an unpassed Candidate he only drew Rs 19-8-0.

(d) In July 1875, he was appointed a Sub. overseer on Rs 20, and on the transfer of all Local Fund Establishment to the Local Fund Board, he was likewise so transferred; he held this appointment till January 1884, when on account of reductions in the Local Fund Board Establishment, he lost it.

(e) He was then given an appointment in this Division as Superintendent on the Conservancy Establishment on a Salary of Rs 12 Since raised

raised to Rs 15.

(f) Sivaramiah bears very good testimonials from all the officers under whom he has served, and only so lately as December 1884 he proved the truth of these testimonials by the good work he did at Kaveripats Sanku, when it was feared that tank was going to break; Lt. West the Sub. Divisional officer speaks very highly of him, and Colonel Asstid in his note of inspection printed with G.O. No. 1. I dated 5th January 1885. makes mention of him.

I have the honor to be
Sir.

Your most obedient Servant.

Executive Engineer
North Arcot Dist.

2/2
9044/15
10/32

253.S.C. 5.
From.

The Examiner.
Public Works Accounts
Madras.

To.
The Executive Engineer.
North Arcot Division.
Madras 31st July 1885.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. $\frac{219}{m}$ of the 16th July 1885 and with reference to the query raised in your letter No. $\frac{196}{m}$ dated 7th July 1885; to state, that under the circumstances explained Sivaramiah cannot be appointed to a situation on the Conservancy Establishment, the pay of which is Rs 20/- per mensem and allowed to draw at Rs 19-8-0 per mensem.

2. Sivaramiah was appointed a Sub Overseer apparently at his own request and allowed to draw pay at Rs 20/- per mensem, as the appointment of Sub overseer does not necessarily require to be filled by a passed Candidate. The fact of his Services having been

2. 25-788
1

I have K. K.

Examiner, P. W. accounts

(True Copy)

H Davidson

Greenwich Engineer

Q. Veraniam was appointed a sub Divisional Officer on 1st July 1885. Is that correct?

by a French Candidate. The fact of his leaving having
the number does not necessarily require to be filled
up and Mr. D. has succeeded in the appointment of
apparently at his own request and allowed to the

$\frac{2}{\sqrt{10000}} = \frac{2}{100} = 0.02$
 10/32