GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—File of Returns from Covenanted Civil Servants, Military Officers in civil employ, &c., of lands, &c., held by them in British India and Native States.

ORDER—dated 14th October 1885, No. 2437, Public.

Recorded. In future *Nil* Statements should be furnished in the following form :—

Return of lands and shares in Registered Companies held by . . . . . .
in the year . . . . . .

Nil.

(Signed)

[*Official Designation.*]

2. It is not reported whether the Articles of Association of the Tulliar Valley Company have actually been altered, so as to obviate the objections taken by the Government of India in their letter, G.O., 23rd September 1884, No. 2085, Public No. 1086, dated 10th July 1884, or whether the Articles of the Aneimudi Company have been amended in the manner considered necessary by G.O., 16th February 1885, No. 409.

3. Of the gentlemen required by G.O., No. 409, dated 16th February 1885, to divest themselves of land held in their own right, Messrs. Garstin and Turner have transferred their interest therein to trustees for the benefit of their wives and families, Mr. H. J. Stokes has altogether divested himself of his, while Mr. G. Stokes has yet to report what action he has taken.

4. Colonels Sherman and Campbell Walker will report,—the latter through the Board of Revenue,—under what provision of the orders of the Secretary of State and the Governments of India and Madras, they consider themselves entitled to hold the lands entered against their names in the above statement.

(True Extract.)

W. Wilson

for *Chief Secretary.*

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Members of the Covenanted Civil Service.
,, the Military Department.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, Military Officers in Civil Employ.
,, the Inspector-General of Registration.
,, the Chief Presidency Magistrate.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

16-5-85

Rec'd 9444

Govt. of {1885} Madras.

PUBLIC.

Communicated to officers
the P. W. Department
cerned for information,
d for guidance with
erence to para 1.

1.11.85

P.W.D.
I.G.
Post.
Mint.
Rly Accts

Issued

Recd. 30/10/85.

Encl.

G.O., 14th October 1885, No. 2437.

R. No 2088 W of 11th Nov/85

OCTOBER.

3 - Copies to Genl Office
6-2-86

Return of lands held by Covenanted Civil Servants and Military Officers in Civil Employ.

P.S.C.

Rep. Sent for P.S.C
11-11-85

P.S.C

No. 2737 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the November 16. 1885

READ the following

From the Government Solicitor No. 460 of 12 Nov 21 85

The Collector of the Nilgiris will be requested to pursue with the Government Solicitor with a view to the deed No. 101 dated 4 February 1882 available.

2. Meanwhile the Govt. Solicitor will proceed to convey the property to the

Date taken out.	Department.	C. No.	Year.	the paper or of attender.	Date returned.

to obtain a secure title.

3. It will probably not be difficult for me deemed to obtain a properly attested declaration from the Dadabhoy Edulji, to the effect that the latter & his father, Edulji Manackji, were the "Edulji & Son" who purchased the property from Ellick Sait.

No. 2737 Wdf Nov. 16. 1885

14.11.85

DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press / Miscellaneous / Routine No. 268, dated 11-4-08 190 .

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
5/8	Telephone [illegible]			[illegible]	5/8/08.	[illegible]
	R & C. W.					
16-5-08	Do	4079	/08	[illegible]	5-8-08	[illegible]

7. Since the reconveyance of 24th June 237
to Mr. De Ineder he has twice mortgaged
property, but the Mortgagees are prep
to join with him in conveying the pro
to Government if under the circumsta
above stated Government is prepar
Complete the purchase -
8. Awaiting your further instruc

I am Sir
Your obedient

Lewis Barclay

Government Solic

Rec 983

13/11/85

13 NOV 85
EXHIBITED

Rec'd 13/11/85.

1878 but the names of the houses are
or very nearly so, and the area is nearly
same in both deeds. From the signature
the memorandum of registration of this
-veyance of 2nd June 1852 of Dadabhoy Ed
it appears that he is the son of Eduljee Ma
Parsee merchant Ootacammund; but whethe
Eduljee Manackji and Dadabhoy Eduljee
the "late Eduljee's Son" who purchased from E
Sant does not appear; but one is rather i
the conclusion that this was so.-
4 By another deed also dated 2nd June
Mr. De Kreder mortgaged the property
Dadabhoy Eduljee to secure the repay
on 1st June 1883 of Rupees 5,000 with
at 12 per cent per annum, and, by an
endorsement on the last mentioned deed and
24th June 1884 Dadabhoy Eduljee recon
the property to Mr. De Kreder. This re
recites that the mortgage debt of Rupe
and interest had been paid off.-
5. I cannot say that the title is abs
in consequence there is no deed relati

6

...th February 1842 in favour apparent-
...Hoosha Sait. Then again, there is nothing
...pport the statement contained in the ver-
...ulan deed of 16th August 1878 that the pro-
...ty came to Elliah Sait on a division of his
...is property, and further, there is nothing to
...of whom the firm of Eduljee & Son, to
...m Elliah Sait sold, consisted, though as
...ady mentioned, one is somewhat led to conclude
...the firm consisted of Eduljee Manackjee and
...abhoy Eduljee, seeing that the latter is the son
...e former and we find the latter dealing with
...property. Presumably from this latter fact
...ljee Manackjee was dead at the date (2d
...re 1882) of the sale to Mr De Rieder, -
...ugh this does not appear.

Though I cannot for the above reasons
, that the title to the property is absolutely
...r, I should not think Government would
...n any great risk in purchasing from
...r De Rieder, for the deeds show apparently
disputed dealings with the property
...1878, and it is hardly likely, though,
...rwise, not impossible, that the property
...l have passed from hand to hand
...has done for the last seven years