(1) Number of pages 38

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No: 260-W	Press. / Mis.
Dated 3-2-1885	198 .

V.S.M

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives 1--10,006 1 -80.

260

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following paper :—

G.O., dated 31st January 1885, Mis. No. 204, Financial.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Public Works Department, dated 15th December 1884, No. 2070 C.

From the Accountant-General, dated 26th January 1885, No. 228.

With reference to the endorsement No. 2824, dated 19th December 1884, Financial, referring for my opinion, a letter from the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, No. 653 E., dated 4th December 1884, in which that officer submits orders on the claim of Mr. Davidson, Executive Engineer, Coimbatore, for half the usual travelling allowance under section 11 of the Civil Travelling Code, disallowed by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, on the ground that the steamer by which Mr. Davidson travelled was not the property of Government, I have the honor to state that as, on the occasion of his journey from Calicut to Mangalore and back, Mr. Davidson travelled by the British Indian Steam Navigation Company's steamer, his case cannot be brought under section 11 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, but he is, under section 68, entitled to passage-money (first-class for self and deck for two servants) which the Examiner states has already been passed to him.

2. Section 11 applies, as the rule and exception under it denote, to the case of officers provided by Government with boats or elephants.

Order—dated 31st January 1885, Mis. No. 204, Financial.

The Government concur in the Accountant-General's remarks, which will be communicated to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) S. S. BENSON,
for Chief Secretary.

Order—dated 3rd February 1885, No. 260 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, for Mr. Davidson's information.

(True Extract.)

Madras, 3rd February 1885.

Under Secy. to Govt., P. W. D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
The Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Fwd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1885} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 3

Read. Encl.

G.O., 3rd Feb. 1885, No. 260 W.

FEBRUARY.

Mr. Executive Engineer
Davidson

Proceedings, in the Financial Department, communicating remarks of the Accountant-General with regard to the claim of — for half travelling allowance, communicated to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

14th January 1884, No. 98 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

G.O., dated 7th January 1884, No. 5, Financial.

Read—the following letter from J. F. FINLAY, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calcutta, 17th December 1883, No. 1865.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 2587, dated the 16th November 1883, inquiring whether it is proposed to lay down any principle for regulating the calculation of actual expense under rule 1, section 26, of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. This inquiry is made with reference to the view (in which the Local Government concurs) expressed by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts in Madras, that the term "actual expense" does not include such items as (1) interest on capital laid out for the provision of boats or other apparatus for moving a camp; (2) charge for the depreciation of such apparatus or for repairs thereto; and (3) cost of the upkeep of horses or bandies and bullocks.

2. In reply, I am directed to state that, in the opinion of the Government of India, the view taken by the Examiner is correct, and that it is unnecessary to lay down any general principle for calculating actual expense for the purposes of rule I of section 26. That rule empowers the Local Government to sanction in special cases the payment to an officer of the actual *expense of keeping up camp equipage* during halts at head-quarters. Both "actual expenses" and "camp equipage" being defined in the code [section 3 (*e*) and 3 (*m*)] they do not seem to require further explanation. The actual expense of keeping up camp equipage during halt at head-quarters is the difference between the actual outlay incurred by an officer in maintaining the equipage during that time and the outlay he would incur if he could discharge it and had nothing to do with it till he wanted it again. Interest on capital outlay, and charges on account of depreciation and repairs, as well as the upkeep of horses, palkies, &c., used only for the conveyance of the officer on his marches, cannot be reckoned in "actual expenses" of keeping up camp equipage.

3. It has been urged that the effect of this decision will be to discourage the acquisition of private boats, &c. The Governor-General in Council can hardly think that the allowance or disallowance of the constructive expenses referred to on the comparatively rare occasions for which rule 1, section 26, provides, will have the least influence in determining an officer's decision on the question, whether he will keep camp equipage as his own property or not. Such a question will be decided with reference to the whole circumstances of his probable expenditure, and not with reference to a rule of entirely exceptional application, which is meant to save officers from actual loss, if duty should call them away from travelling, while they are in reality bearing part of the cost of travelling.

2

Order—dated 7th January 1884, No. 5, Financial.

Communicated to the Accountant-General and to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Offg. Chief Secretary.

Order—dated 14th January 1884, No. 98 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the officers of the Public Works Department for information and guidance, with advertence to the undermentioned Proceedings of Government.

No. 1749 W., dated 22nd June 1883.
,, 2104 W., ,, 1st August ,,
,, 2476 W., ,, 4th Sept. ,,

2. All claims for "actual expenses," under section 26, rule 1, Civil Travelling Allowance Code, will, in future, be determined in accordance with the ruling contained in the letter from the Government of India, dated 17th December 1883, No. 1865.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, Consulting Architect to Government.
,, General Superintendent, Public Works Stores.
,, Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Consulting Engineer for Railways.
,, Examiner, Railway Accounts.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

260

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 14th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 14th Jan. 1884, No. 93 W.

JANUARY.

"Actual Expenses"

Re *settlement of claims for—.*

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

From A. C. CREGEEN, Esq., M.I.C.E., Engineer-in-Chief, Vizagapatam State Railway, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Waltair, 22nd May 1883, No. 303.

Chapter XI, paragraph 58 *et seq.*, of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code of 1883, provides for the rates of travelling allowance for journeys by sea.

2. For the journey from Madras to Vizagapatam, the Examiner defines passage by sea to mean the passage in a steamer, and therefore objects to pass the charges for landing or embarking, under the new rules.

3. The Examiner states that mileage can be drawn from or to the steamer. This I consider an anomaly. Mileage by road cannot, I think, be held to cover a part journey by sea, when the continuing portion of the journey is made by means of a steamer.

4. Under the rules, I hold that a free passage by sea means that the expenses incurred from the moment of leaving the shore on one side to arrival on shore on the other end of the journey, is the definition of passage by sea at the public expense.

5. And therefore that the cost of embarking and disembarking at the rates prevailing in each port (whether for Sundays or night) should be borne by Government and not by the officer making the journey. The rate for night or Sunday landing or embarking is Rs. 4 and As. 12.

6. I should be glad of a ruling on the point.

From G. H. W. WALKER, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 25th July 1883, No. 1028 G.

In reply to your letter, No. 1756 W., dated 22nd June 1883, pointing out that no provision has been made in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code for officers travelling on duty by sea being recouped any expenses they may incur in embarking and disembarking, I am directed to state that when the rules of that Code were framed this question was discussed, and it was considered unnecessary to make any such provision.

G.O., dated 21st January 1884, Mis. No. 144, Financial.

Read—the following letter from the Government of India, dated 30th October 1883, No. 4049 :—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1995, dated 8th September 1883, and, in reply, to state that His Excellency the Governor-General in Council regrets that he is unable to modify the order conveyed in the letter from the Public Works Department, No. 1028 G., dated the 25th July 1883, regarding the payment of charges incurred in embarking and disembarking by officers proceeding on duty by sea.

Order—dated 21st January 1884, Mis. No. 144, Financial.

Communicated to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secretary.

ORDER—dated 8th February 1884, No. 332 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the officers of the Public Works Department for information.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Examiner, Railway Accounts.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" General Superintendent, Public Works Stores.
" Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

260
5

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 8th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 8th Feb. 1884, No. 332 W.

FEBRUARY.

Embarkation and Disembarkation Charges

Proceedings, Financial Department, communicating the refusal of the Government of India to modify their decision not to recoup the — incurred by officers proceeding on duty by sea, communicated to the officers of the Public Works Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

G.O., dated 10th May 1884, Nos. 1050, 1051, Financial.

Read—the following letter from J. F. FINLAY, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Simla, 30th April 1884, No. 613:—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 669, dated the 26th March 1884, requesting a reconsideration of the order contained in my letter, No. 4049, dated the 30th October 1883, regarding the payment of charges incurred in embarking and disembarking by officers proceeding on duty by sea.

2. In reply, I am directed to state that, in consideration of the importance the Government of Fort St. George now attaches to the matter, the Government of India will not any longer withhold sanction from the proposal made in your letter above quoted. The Governor-General in Council is accordingly pleased to rule that officers travelling on duty by sea or in a river steamer shall, in addition to passage money, be reimbursed the actual expenses incurred in embarking and disembarking at ports.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant-General, Madras, for information and guidance.

Order—dated 10th May 1884, No. 1050, Financial.

Communicated to all heads of departments.

2. Copy of these proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India with request that retrospective effect may be given to the present sanction, and that it may count from the date of publication of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

Endorsement—dated 10th May 1884, No. 1051, Financial.

Copy to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

G.O., dated 17th May 1884, No. 1101, Financial.

Read—the following letter from J. F. FINLAY, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Simla, 8th May 1884, No. 841:—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsements, Nos. 774 A and 790, dated respectively the 7th and 14th April 1884, and in reply to state that the question of the payment of charges incurred in embarking and disembarking by officers

proceeding on duty by sea has been disposed of in my letter, No. 613, dated the 30th April 1884. I am also to say that His Excellency the Governor-General in Council has been pleased to authorise the Government of Fort St. George to give retrospective effect to the orders therein contained.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant-General, Madras.

Order—dated 17th May 1884, No. 1101, Financial.

Recorded in continuation of G.O., No. 1050, dated 10th May 1884, Financial.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. REES,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

Order—dated 2nd June 1884, No. 1368 W., Public Works.

Communicated to officers of the Public Works Department with reference to Proceedings of Government, No. 332 W., dated 8th February 1884.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Superintendent of Works, Tank Maintenance.
" Engineers-in-Chief, State Railways.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Examiner, Railway Accounts.
" Consulting Architect to Government.
" General Superintendent, Public Works Stores.
" Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

Extract Note by Secy

Fwd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 2nd.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 2nd June 1884, No. 1368 W.

JUNE.

Embarkation and Disembarkation Charges

Proceedings, Financial Department, communicating the sanction of the Government of India to the payment of the — incurred by officers proceeding on duty by sea, &c., and the proceedings embodying authority for giving retrospective effect to the sanction, communicated to officers of the Public Works Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper:—

G.O., dated 4th August 1884, No. 1788, Financial.

Read—the following letter from J. F. FINLAY, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Simla, 21st July 1884, No. 2537:—

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 1417, of 27th June 1884, regarding the question whether retrospective effect may be given to the orders contained in my letter, No. 613, of 30th April 1884, sanctioning the payment of charges incurred in embarking and disembarking.

2. You point out that my letter, No. 841, of 8th May 1884, authorised the Government of Madras to give retrospective effect to those orders, while my letter, No. 1690, of 13th June, intimated that the Governor-General in Council was unable to sanction the proposal of His Excellency the Governor of Madras that retrospective effect should be given.

3. In reply, I am directed to express regret that a mistake should have occurred in issuing the orders conveyed in letter, No. 841, of 8th May. It was the intention of the Government of India that retrospective effect should be given to the orders of 30th April in the cases referred to in your endorsements, No. 774-A and No. 790, of 7th and 14th April, and not that retrospective effect should be given generally; but, owing to an oversight, the decision of the Government of India was not correctly conveyed in the letter of 8th May.

4. I am now directed to request that my letter, No. 841, of 8th May 1884, may be considered as modified in accordance with the explanation above given of the intentions of the Government of India.

Order—dated 4th August 1884, No. 1788, Financial.

Communicated to the Accountant-General for guidance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

Order—dated 29th August 1884, No. 2145 W., Public Works.

Communicated to officers of the Public Works Department, in continuation of proceedings of Government, No. 1368 W., dated 2nd June 1884.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Consulting Engineer for Railways.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Superintendent of Works, Tank Maintenance Scheme.
,, Engineers-in-Chief, State Railways.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, Do. State Railway Accounts.
,, Do. Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
,, Consulting Architect to Government.
,, General Superintendent, Public Works Stores.
,, Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

Exd. E. Fernander.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 29th.

Read. *Read.*

G.O., 29th Aug. 1884, No. 2145 W.

AUGUST.

Embarkation and Disembarkation Charges

Orders of the Government of India authorising retrospective effect being given to their orders sanctioning —.

Financial Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the P.W. Dept, No 2070, dated 15th December 1884.

From the Accountant General, dated 24th January 1885, No 228

With reference to Lieutenant No 2824 dated 19th Dec: 1884, Sub: Expenses for Boy Option & letter from the Superintending Engineer (Electric), No 533/EW dated 6th Decr 1884, in which that officer details the reasons on the claim of Mr Davidson, Executive Engineer, Coimbatore, for Rs 48 as actual travelling allowance under Rule 11 of the Civil Travelling Code, disallowed by the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, on the ground that the steamer by which Mr Davidson travelled was not the property of Govt. I have the honor to state that as on the occasion of his journey from Calicut to Pougadee

10

and been for duration treated by the P.O.A.H. Companyatam, his case cannot be brought under section 11 of the Civil Jurisdiction Act but he is, under section 58, entitled to passage money (first class for self and wife for two servants) which Examiner states has already been paved to him

2. Section 11 applies, as the Sub-section under it deals with the case of officers posted by post with boat or Lighter.

Order, as Secretary for Arabia
The Government Concur in the Accountant General's remarks, which will be communicated to the Public Works Department.

/ Vice Consul /

for Chief Secretary

Public Works Dept.

x178 Copy sent with the Despatch

Endorsement to No. 185 dated 9 December 1884.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department for favour of disposal.

D.C. Cameron

Examining Architect
Madras

Chupak
No. 2070 15th December 1884

The Supdg Engineer's letter is referred to the Financial Dept for a ruling on the question raised. The bulky enclosures will be returned

Manu Kurup
Colt
11.12.84.
Secretary to Govt
PWD

To the Financial Dept

1884.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 10256

From

Xee Exam[r] P.W. Dept

Enclos—

No. 185 dt 11
Dated 9th Dec[r] 1884
Received 10 ——

Subject.

Sets for disposal letter from the Supdg Engr II Circle claiming half travelling allowance to Mr J.P. Davidson by Supt Coimbatore on the 19th to 27th June last having travelled by steamer on the West Coast on tour

Disposal.

No. 2970 Dated 11 December

Xee Supdg Engineer's letter is referred to the Financial Dept for a ruling on the question raised. The bulky enclosures will be returned.

12

Esq. Engineer Offr, Nilgiris

Steamer Ly 573 of 28th Augt 82
" " 1952 Ly 22 - 7 - 82
" " 8
" A Ly 1870 of 7th - 8 - 82
" " 2145 of 29 - 8 - 82
" " 1368 M Ly 2 - 6 - 82
" " 332 M Ly 8 - 8 - 82

Copy of the above to the Surveyor in Charge forwarded to the Supt of Circle

Supt of Circle

No 533
Ex

Supg. Engineers Office Circle
Coimbatore 5th December 1882.

From,

The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

thro' Examiner P.W. Accounts.

Sir,

Section 11 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code States that, when an Officer is provided with the means of locomotion at the expense of the State," × × × "he or" × × × "entitled to only half the travelling allowance ordinarily admissible to him" × ×.

2. Mr. Davidson travelled by steamer on the West Coast on the 17th and 27th January 1884, and claimed half travelling allowance on those days.

3. The Examiner disallowed the claim on the ground that the steamer

Enclosure 1

17 was

does not Government foresee any case remarked that the case arose, if the Executive Engineer wished, be referred to Government for orders.

4. I have therefore the honor to forward for your information the Examiner's Pay allowance List of January 1881, and to request orders on the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient
Servant
Assistant Colonel R.
Supdg. Engineer & Surveyor.

2-0
[illegible]

EXAMINED
10 DEC 1884
RECEIVED

From.
The Supt Engineer
IV Circle.

No. 533
Sir.

Dated 4th Decr 84.

Enclosed.

Half Year allowance &
Mr Davidson —

Forwards Claims
Disallowance Lr No 379
1883/84, regarding claims
— ante 79 and 37 Jengha
for orders.

260
16

P. W. 188 4 DEPARTMENT.

No. 5822

From The Executive Engr

No. 271
Dated 12-7-84
Recd. do

SUBJECT.

Requesting Sanction to the
Allowance Disallowance Lr
No. 437 of 1883-84, 436 of 1884-8
forwarded Superintending Engr
Division as 5 vakeels, ack
reference to Application from
the Surveyor Engr to the Govt
Claim to Actual expenses
preferred by Lieut-W. C West
can be admitted

No 1275 Dated 22nd July 1884

Forwarded to the
Supdtg Engr IV Circle, with
request that he will
review the whole case
giving special attention
to
Dec 22/7

260

to the views of the Govt of India, as expressed in their letter recorded in Pros: of Govt No. 786 dated 16th January 188 and report their opinion whether Lieut. Wentz's claim is fair and reasonable and whether it fulfils the conditions laid down by the Govt of India in their letter above quoted in respect to the admission of factual evidence.

(Sd)
Reg Lib
Offg

Jr. A 484 Wapl 16—1—83

S. a. cl.

In the reference to the matter of actual expenses claimed by Lt Wentz, W. directs that I be requested to peruse the whole case, paying special attention to the views of Govt as expressed in their letter of 17th December, 1883, No 1885, and report his opinion whether Lt Wentz's claim is fair & reasonable and whether he has fulfilled the conditions under which his expenses are allowable, as expressed & set out in their letter above quoted.

EG
17-7-84.

The Govt may be kept for my initials on return from hunting.

15

160

15

160

No. 2070. Ca
" 573. Ca/28-8-85
G.O. 2145 W a/29-8-85
" 1368 W a/2-6-85
" 332 W a/8-2-85
" 78 W a/16-1-85 Genl Endorst

No. 260. W a/3rd February 85

Communicated to the Supdg: Engineer IV. Circle, for Mr. Davidsons information.

C.J.
3-2-85

W.
3.2.85

Ex. W. 4/M

C. W.
2/2

A.E. IV Circle
Exe. W. Accts.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No.

Govt. of Tamil Nadu.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Dept.

Dept.

G.O. 3rd July 1868, No. 2 Pub

50
3