(1) Number of pages 74

(2) Date of repair 30.10.87

(3) Initials of the Referencer [illegible]

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No.	2391 W	Press. Mis.
Dated	13.10.1885	~~198~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Archives —I 10,006-9 89

13th October 1885, No. 2391 W., Public Works.

2391/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

Letter from the Superintendent, Tank Maintenance Scheme, dated 30th June 1885, No. 1525 T.
„ Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated 30th June 1885, No. 271 E.W.
Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 6th July „ „ 248.
Letter from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 27th June „ „ 313 N.L.
From the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated September 1885.
Letter from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 8th Sept. 1885, No. 197 G.L.
„ „ „ III „ „ 31st August „ „ 1090.
„ „ „ IV „ „ 22nd „ „ „ 347 E.W.
„ „ „ V „ „ 28th „ „ „ 2295.
„ „ „ VI „ „ 4th „ „ „ 711 T.J.
„ „ „ Tank Maintenance Scheme, dated 24th August 1885, No. 2065 T.
„ Consulting Architect to Government, dated 4th August 1885, No. 691.
„ „ „ „ 26th „ „ „ 799.
Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, „ 6th October „ „ 525.

Order—dated 13th October 1885, No. 2391 W., Public Works.

The foregoing papers contain inquiries whether the provisions of paragraphs 806 and 809 of the Public Works Code are affected by the ruling in G.O., No. 1299 W., dated 4th June 1885, relative to the acceptance of fees by officers of the Public Works Department for work undertaken outside their regular duties ; also replies to circular No. 1274 C., dated 24th July 1885, calling for lists of cases in which subordinate employés of the department have received permission, under paragraph 809, to undertake private work out of office hours.

2. It is observed that paragraph 806 of the Public Works Code is based on Government of India rules, and is not affected by G.O., No. 1299 W., dated 4th June 1885.

3. From the replies received to circular No. 1274 C., dated 24th July 1885, it would appear that cases in which Public Works subordinates have taken advantage of the provisions of paragraph 809 of the code are not of frequent occurrence, and that there is no recorded case in which detriment has been caused to the public interests by the concession.

4. The Government see no objection to paragraph 809 of the Public Works Code remaining in force, notwithstanding the ruling contained in G.O., No. 1299 W., dated 4th June 1885 ; but they consider that, whenever a subordinate desires to avail himself of the provisions of the paragraph, permission to do so should be sought from the Executive Engineer of the division, or the Superintending Engineer, and that the sanction should be formally recorded. It will be left to the divisional or other superior officer to decide in each case whether permission can be given without inconvenience to the public service.

5. Cases falling under paragraph 815 of the Public Works Code should invariably be submitted for the sanction of Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Superintending Engineer, Tank Maintenance Scheme.
" Consulting Architect to Government.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

2391/2

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 13th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th Oct. 1885, No. 2391 W.

OCTOBER.

Private Work undertaken out of Office Hours

Orders on the subject of — by subordinate employés of the Public Works Department.

P.W.D.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read the following:

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

2391/3

29th October 1877, No. 2548.

Registration.

Read the following paper :—

No. 151. *From G. HAMNETT, Esq., Officiating Inspector-General of Registration, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 5th October* 1877, *No.* 235.

In 1872 certain reductions were carried out in the office of the Inspector-General of Registration whereby the services of translating clerks were dispensed with.

2. Colonel R. M. Macdonald, the then Inspector-General, considered it desirable to entrust the work of translating circular orders, forms, &c., to certain Registrars and Sub-Registrars in the provinces whom he deemed qualified for the duty, and agreed to remunerate them at the rate of 300 words (English) per rupee. "The charge thus incurred," as explained in the Hon. V. Ramiengar's letter to Government, dated 28th September 1876, No. 233, "is paid out of the Permanent Advance Fund and included in the contingent bills." The Government, in disposing of the letter in their Order, dated 5th October 1876, No. 2041, paragraph 2, authorized the Accountant-General "to admit similar charges in the contingent bills of the Inspector-General of Registration."

3. In conformity with the order charges for translating have been incurred, included in the contingent bill, and passed by the Accountant-General.

4. In the bill for August last a charge of Rupees 12-14-6 was included for translating circular orders in Tamil and sent to the Accountant-General to be passed. The Officiating Assistant Accountant-General returned the bill for the amount with "audit slip," No. 792, dated 25th September 1877, stating "that the amount thereof should be recovered and credited, as under the orders of the Government of India, No. 1576, dated 21st March 1877, Government servants are not entitled to any remuneration for extra work."

5. In my reply, No. 223, dated 26th September, I intimated that the Order cited was not communicated to this department, and compliance with the Officiating Assistant Accountant-General's request was not practicable. I then contended that the charge was not an Imperial charge, and that I could not require Registrars and Sub-Registrars (not office clerks) to perform "extra work" not sanctioned by the Registration Act or by the rules framed under that enactment, adding—

> "The rules (circular orders) were translated out of office-hours—hours over which the Inspector-General has no sort of control. There is no order or regulation, so far as is known, which denies to a Government servant the privilege of employing his leisure hours on work which forms no part of his authorized duty, or of receiving therefor such remuneration as he may have agreed upon. The question is a very broad one, and it is important, in the interest of a very large number of public servants, that it should be submitted to Government for a clear exposition of their views on the subject."

Note.—Not communicated to this office.

6. The Officiating Accountant-General in his reply, No. 7053, dated 4th October 1877, refers "me to the well-known rule repeated in paragraph 25 *j* of Comptroller-General's Circular, No. 175, dated 19th May 1874, that no person in receipt of a salary

from Government can be paid for section-writing save with the special sanction of the Government.

"The orders of the Government of India quoted in my audit slip, No. 792, dated September 25th, distinctly declare that the Government has a right to require its officers to perform any public duty as part of their proper work without extra remuneration, and these orders, coupled with the rule referred to above, seem to prohibit such payments as have been now objected to without the sanction of the Government."

7. But it will be apparent from what I have stated that it was not the Government who required the "extra work" to be performed without remuneration, but the Accountant-General who insisted that a payment made under an order of this Government should be recovered and credited; not only thus ignoring the order, but citing certain orders of the Government of India not communicated to the Inspector-General, and referring to a well-known rule about "section-writing."

8. "Section-writing" and "translating" circular orders, &c., are not synonymous terms, and I am unable to understand upon what grounds an express order of this Government on the point at issue should be set aside, and a ruling of the Government of India, unknown to this office, insisted upon.

9. I respectfully request that the Accountant-General may be set right in this matter, and that he be directed to comply with Order of this Government, dated 5th October 1876, No. 2041.

10. I have also to request instructions for the future guidance of this office, whether the Accountant-General can insist upon compliance with an order of the Government of India (not communicated by the Madras Government to this office) which is at variance with orders expressly issued for the guidance of this department.

No. 152. ORDER THEREON, 29th October 1877, No. 2548.

The Government observe that the orders upon which the Account Department has objected to pass a charge incurred under the authority of this Government by the Inspector-General of Registration were not communicated to that officer, and that, even had they been communicated, there is nothing in them to prohibit the charge.

2. The resolution of the Government of India on which the Officiating Assistant Accountant-General required the amount (Rupees 12-14-6) paid for translating circular orders to be "recovered and credited" was issued in respect to fees for "compulsory examinations ordered by Government," and applies more to "superior officers in the service of Government, especially Covenanted Civilians." The same Resolution allows Government servants to conduct University Examinations and to "receive remuneration for their services."

3. The Comptroller-General's circular states:—"No charge may be made for section-writing, *i.e.*, for copying manuscripts by piece-work, without the previous sanction of the authority which would sanction employment of an establishment." In the case in question the needed sanction was given, although it was not for "section-writing."

4. To translate circular orders, rules, &c., from English into the Vernaculars requires a mastery of both languages, and the labor involved cannot be compared to that of "section-writing."

5. The Governor in Council is of opinion, therefore, that the charge objected to by the Account Department should be passed, and directs that this be done.

6. It would save much needless reference if the Accountant-General would invariably ascertain from Government what orders of the Government of India communicated to him direct should be made generally applicable to all departments. Upon obtaining their opinion, the orders should be [illegible]ed and circulated for general observance.

7. The Government are not prepared to lay down any hard-and-fast rule to prohibit subordinate servants from earning additional means of livelihood out of office-hours when their services are not demanded by the Head of the Department or by the Government.

(True Extract.)

C. G. MASTER,
for *Chief Secretary.*

To the Inspector-General of Registration,
with audit slip, bill, and letter from Accountant-General.
" the Accountant-General.
" all Heads of Departments.

Order thereon 8th November 1877 No 1557 W.

Communicated to the officers of the Public Works Department.

(True Extract)

Colonel
Under Secy to Govt. P.W.D.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

To
The Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

No. 1525 T.

OFFICE OF THE SUPT. OF WORKS,
TANK MAINTENANCE SCHEME,
Chepauk 30th June 1886.

2891/6

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS,
TANK MAINTENANCE SCHEME,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Enclosures.

SIR,

I have the honor to request information as to whether the permission to accept fees granted by note (4) to para 806 of the Code is to be considered as cancelled by G.O. No. 1299 W of 4th Instant.

The Code is supposed to represent the "existing rules" on the subject, and does not in this case "prohibit the acceptance of fees" without the prior sanction of the Government of India".

The

The wording of the order would seem to imply that the note in question is intended to be cancelled, but if so it would seem desirable that the fact should be specifically stated.

I have the honorable
Sir
Your most obedt servt

Wm Penny Lieut Col
Supdt of Wks
F.M.S.

Exd. A.P. 3/76

2391/4

lending Cunnues W Co

188 . Incl 5750

(15)

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS,

Tank Maintenance Scheme,

To

THE

No.

Dated

Despatched

Received 1/7/85.

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Go 1274 Ca 24-7-85

OyS. 11/7.

Cs 2-7-85 R. 13-7-85

No 271/E.W.

Supg. Engineer's Office IV Circle
Coimbatore. 30th June 1885.

From

The Superintending Engineer 2391/4
IV Circle

To.

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether, under G.O. No 1299 W of 4th instant, Subordinates of this Department are prohibited from accepting fees, or if para 809 of the Code still holds good.

2. I ask this because I have had a reference on the subject from the Executive Engineer Nilgiris, but without specifying particular instances that have led to the enquiry.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.,

L. Beatty. Col: R.E.
S[illegible]tending Engineer IV Circle

2391/8

Del. 5775

From

The Supg. Engineer
Fourth Circle.

No. 1274 Cay 26-7-85

Dated 30th June
Despd: 1st July } 1885.
Rec 2

No. 271/G.W.

Enc:—
vide Cho. 8750-1/7/85
from S.E. Land Dt on
same subject
S.E.
2-7-85

Fees:—

Enquiring if subordinates of the Department are prohibited from accepting—, or if para 809 of the Code still holds good.

Regd. 11/7

[Form No. viii.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1885. 2391/G { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 6th JULY 1885.

OFFICE NOTE No. 248.

To

Y. Engr.

YOUR OFFICE NOTE NO.284 E.
DATED 29TH JUNE 1885.

PLEASE INFORM ME IF YOU CONSIDER PARAS 806 AND 809 ARE TO BE CANCELLED WITH REFERENCE TO THE ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA QUOTED.

KEEP WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

C.

49
4/7

R. No. 261
14.7.85.

No. 1588 D dd. 14th July 1885

To C

Para 806 of the P.W. Code is based on Govt. of India rules, and is not affected by G.O. No. 1299 W dd 4th June 1885

Para 809 is a local rule, and before submitting the question for the orders of

Government, I shall thank you to furnish me from the records of the Code branch, with the authority on which the order was based.

W
9.7.85

W.

CJ.
12.7.85

Dl 6.87

G.O. 1551 W of 8-11-77.

Rd 6/7/85.

Re 1276 Cey 24-7-

7.85

P.W. 3577.

No. 313 N.L.

Superintending Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Buckingham Canal
27th June 1885.

2391/B

From
The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor [illegible] subjoin for your orders, Copy of a letter from the Executive Engineer, Nellore Division, regarding the acceptance of fees for work done by Public Works Subordinates at the request of a Court.

2. If the Government of India order is absolute, of course no such work, as is referred by Captain Henderson, can be undertaken. There cannot however be any "touting" for extra work, in a case of this kind, and if application is made to the Executive Engineer he will, of course, see that

Government work is not neglected for the sake of a fee being obtained.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obdt. Servant.

J. W. Rundall

Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

Copy of letter No. 143 of 20th June 1885 from the Executive Engineer of Nellore to the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Circle.

Sir,

I have the honor to enquire, with reference to G. O. No. 1299 W: dated 4th June 1885, if there is any objection to the acceptance of fees for work done at the request of a Magistrate. My Subordinates are some times asked by the Court to make small surveys, or to take levels in connection with some suit, and I have hitherto allowed them to undertake the work and accept the fee ordered by the Court.

I have &c

(Signed) C. B. Henderson Captain R.E.
Executive Engineer
Nellore Division.

J. W. R.

Compd. J.W.

2391/11

Nell 6090

From The Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.
Madras

Dated 27th June 1885
Despd 5th July -
Recd 11 "
Ro 1274 Cu/24-7-85

No 313 N.Z

Fees for Work done by Public Works Subordinates at the request of a Court - Forwards for orders a copy of Nellore Ex. Engrs letter regarding the acceptance of -
5750+
5775-a

+From Tank Dn & from S-E IV - both on the same subject & sent to O.S. 4 2-7-85 - D.P-11-7-85 -

---

First Circle

List of Cases which have occurred since 1st January /84 in which subordinate Employes of the Public Works Department have received permission under para 809 of the Code to undertake private work out of Office hours.

Divisions	Name and Rank of Subordinate	Remarks.
Ganjam	Nil	
Rushikulya	Nil	
Vizagapatam	Nil	
Godavari Central Dn	Nil	
do Western Dn	Nil	
Do Eastern Dn	P. Narasimharow overseer 3d Grade & 2d Grade temporary	The Dnl Officer states that the District Munsiff of Peddapur having in his No 19 of 8th May /85 desired to permit this overseer, who is stationed at Peddapur, to make measurements and survey a channel head which has been in dispute in suit No 121 on the file of his Court, he accordingly granted permission to the overseer to do it, at his convenient time and that this employment did not interfere with the overseers official duties.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P. W. Dept with reference to his Circular No 1274 C dated 24th July /85.
2391/12

W. A. Mathews Asst Engr
for Supdg Engineer 1st Circle

Doulaishweram
September /85.

JK

Superintendent of Works
in Charge of II Circle

No. 1976 C

Superintending Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Camp Bezwada
8th September 1885

From
The Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

2391
13

To
The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department
Madras

Sir,

Adverting to your Circular No. 1274 C. dated 24th July 1885, I have the honor to inform you, that none of the Subordinate employés in this Circle have been permitted to undertake private work out of Office hours. No attempt has however been made to control them after leaving Office

2. On the whole I think it would be unwise to allow a departure from the usual practice.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servant.

J. L. L. Morant

Lieut. Colonel R.E.
Superintendent of Works
in Charge of the Circle

Inch 8143

(7)

From The Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.
Madras

Dated 8th Septr 1885
Desp 12th do
Recd [illegible]

No. 197 G.D.

Subordinate Employés of the P. W. D. – Informs that none of the — in this circle, have been permitted to undertake private work out of Office hours.

Replies, all cir.
18/9

No. 1090

Bellary ~~Madras~~,
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
~~Chepauk~~, 31 August 1885.

From
Lt Col H. M. Vibart R.E.
~~The~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
3rd ~~1st~~ CIRCLE,

2391/14

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D
Madras

Enclosures.

SIR,

With reference to Circular No. 1274 C dated 24th July/85, I have the honor to report that only the following two Cases of Subordinate Employes having undertaken private work, with the permission of their superiors, has occurred in this circle and they in no way proved inconvenient or detrimental to the public interests.

1. C. K. Venkatachellum Pillay clerk of the Bellary Division office is holding the appointment of Secretary in a Hindu Mutual Benefit Fund office, and is attending to its duties out of office hours.

2. A Draftsman in the Cuddapah Division office, at the Executive Engineer's request, fair copied a Design for a church at Kalasapad.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant
H. M. Vibart Lt Col R.E.
Superintending Engineer
3rd Circle

From Indt. 7641

The Superintending Engineer,
3d ~~1st~~ Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 31 } August 1885
Recd. 1/9/85

Enclosures.

No. 1090

Subordinates in private engagement

Reporting the two cases of ⌊ ⌋ in the 3d Circle

Compted
CH.
1.9.85

19.9.85

No. 1396

Nilgiri Division Office
Ootacamund 18th August 1885.

From 2371/15

The Executive Engineer
Nilgiri Division

To

The Superintending Engineer
IVth Circle

Sir

With reference to your endorsement on Chief Engineer's Circular No. 1274.C of 24th July 1885, I have the honor to report as follows: ——

The only instance that has officially come under my notice in which provisions of Code para 809 have been sought to be availed of, is that of Mr. Demonte my Head Draughtsman, whose case was submitted for orders in the correspondence marginally noted. I know however that my Sub: Divisional Officers, Messrs. Lord and Bragg are

Letter to Suptg: Engr. No. 1104 of 21st July/85

frequently

frequently asked by Residents here either to estimate for their private work or to advise them professionally in such matters, and even to supervise the execution of repairs or improvements as well as to value buildings.

As cases in point, I would instance Mr Brown's New Assembly Rooms, and Spencer and Co's new place of business.

I am not aware that their doing so has been in any way inconvenient or detrimental to the Public interests, and would add that it would certainly be highly conducive to the interests both of the Subordinate employes of the Department as well as of the public in Ootacamund, if the former were allowed to avail themselves of the privilege granted in para 809 of the P.W. Code, viz of "earning additional means "of livelihood out of Office hours when their "Services are not demanded by the Head of the "Department or by Government, or when "the nature of the employment does not inter: ":fere with their duties"

I

G 19.4.85

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most Obdt. Servt.
A L Smith Major R.E.
Executive Engineer.

23/10

then

From

The Executive Engr.

Nilgiri Divn.

No. 1396. Du/7456/85

Dated 18th Augt/85.

Enclosure

697 No.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE
20 AUG 85
P.W.D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

Private Earnings— Submits instances & reports regarding the

19.9.85

No. 347/E.W.

Supg. Engineer's Office, IV Circle,
Coimbatore, 22nd August 1885.

2391/17

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department.

Sir,

Enclosure 1.
Letter from the Ex: Engr. Nilgiri Dn. No. 1396 dated 18th August /85.

With reference to your Circular No. 1274 C of 24th Ulto., I have the honor to inform you that the only Division of this Circle in which cases of Public Works employes undertaking private work occur, is the Nilgiri Division; and I send for your information the Executive Engineer's letter on the Subject.

2. Apparently no regular list of such sanctions has been kept; and I think that this should be done in future, and all such cases be recorded and formally sanctioned. I am of opinion that it may safely be left to the Division Officers to settle in each case whether

permission can be given without loss to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.,

J. Beatty Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer IV Circle

Ap.

2391/18

G. E. No 2190 . 1.8.65
" " 2024 . 27.6.66.
" " 1661 20.5.69
" " 2272 . 12.7.69
" " 2616 . 9.8.69.
" " 1341 . 9.8.69.
" " 109 . 23.5.72.
11.11.73
" " 344 . 18.2.73.
" " 1863/1864 22.7.76
" " 761 W. 21.9.77
" 1145 W. 6.5.82 Ord.
B. No 3015 W. 24.10.83.
No 2288 C. 22.11.83
No 2528 C. 17.12.83
" 2536 C. 19.12.83
B. No 219 W. 24.1.84
G. E. No 1196 W. 12.5.84.
" " 1299 W. 4.6.85
No 236 E. 17.6.85
B. No 1117 W. 5.5.84
" 2898 W. 19.11.84
" 209 W. 23.1.84
Nos 521/522 C. 13.3.85
G. E. No 2193 W. 5.9.84
" " 1196 W. 12.5.84
B. No 661 W. 17.3.85.
G. E. No 1299 W. 4.6.85
No 1079 C. 19.6.85
" 284 E. 29.6.85
" 1588 D. 14.7.85
" 1690 D. 23.7.85
" 1274 C. 24.7.85.

Rec 7456

From (7)

The Superintending Engineer
Fourth Circle

Dated 22nd } August 1885.
Despd 24th }
Rd 25

No. 347/E.W.

Enclosure 1.

Public employes undertaking Private work out of Office hours.

Informs that cases of occur only in Nilgiri Division of the IV Circle & forwards Ex: Engineer's letter on the subject.

Compile
CE
Dw.

E. 19.9.85

No. 2295

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
5TH CIRCLE, MADRAS,
~~Chepauk~~ Pondicherry, 23 August 1885.

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
5TH CIRCLE,

2391/19

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Enclosures.

SIR,

With reference to your Circular No. 1274 C dated 24th July 1885, I have the honor to report, that there have been very few cases of Employes in the 5th Circle being engaged on private work out of Office hours.

Draftsman S. Manikam Pillay of the P.W. Workshops was engaged during 1883 and 1884 in checking work done to the Lawrence Asylum Press building at Madras.

Sub Engineer Mr Francis of

2371/20

the P.W. Workshops has been employed sometimes in surveying and giving Certificates, as to the Soundness or otherwise, of Condensers &c on board Emigrant Ships.

One of my Draftsman was employed for 13 days by the Consulting Architect on some work that he required to get done quickly.

2. The employment of these men did not interfere with the performance of their regular duties in the Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

Alexr Sanders Lt Colll R.E.

Superintg Engr
5th Circle

From (7) Dec 7647

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

5TH CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Dated 28" } August 1885.
Recd. 1/9/85

ENCLOSURES.

No. 2295

Private work out of Office hours — Reports the cases of employes in the 5th Circle who were engaged on —

Compile —

CS
1.9.85

19.9.85.

Superintending Engineer's Office
6th Circle

August
No. 711 T.Jo Trichinopoly. 4th July 1885

From

The Superintending Engineer
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your circular No. 1274 C dated 24th July /85, I have the honor to report that I know of no instance in this circle of subordinate employes receiving permission under para 809 of the code to undertake private work out of Office hours.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt

Lt Col RE
Superintending Engineer 6th Cir:

(7)

From,

The Supdg Engineer
6th Circle

To, The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 711 T.D.

Dated 14th August /85

Reports that none of the subordinate employés of the P.W.D. have received permission under para 500 of the Code to undertake private work out of office hours—

19.9.85

19.9.85.

OFFICE OF THE SUPT. ~~OF WORKS~~ g Engineer,
TANK MAINTENANCE SCHEME,
Chepâk 26th Augt 1885.

No. 2065 T

2371/22

From

THE SUPERINTEND~~ENT~~ing ~~OF~~ ~~WORKS~~ Engineer,
TANK MAINTENANCE SCHEME,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Enclosures.

SIR,

With reference to your Circular No 1274 of 24th July 1885, I have the honor to state that since the 1st Jany/84 no permission has been given for the execution of private work out of office hours to any person employed in this office or in those of the Divisions subordinate thereto.

Whether such work has in any case been undertaken without special permission, I have no means of knowing, but at all events no inconvenience to the public service has resulted therefrom.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obdt servt

Jn Pennycuick

Lieut Col Mg

Supdg Engineer

by J M Scheme

2391/23

188 File 7471

(7)

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS,

Tank Maintenance Scheme,

To

THE

No.

Dated

Despatched

Received 25/8/85

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Compile

C.W.

25.8.85

P. W. D.

Consulting Architect's Office,
Chepauk, Madras,

4th August 1885

No. 691

2391/24

From

The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

Enclosures.

Sir,

I have the honor to state in reply to Circular No. 1274 C. dated the 24th ultimo that no subordinate in this division has earned any gratuity for work ~~prepared~~ performed out of office hours.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obdt. Servant
R. Chisholm
Consg. Archt. to Govt.

2391
25

Ecc 6872 ✓

P. W. D.

Roads and Buildings.

(71)

From

The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Dept.

No. 691

Dated 4th August 1885
Received

No. of Enclosures.

Subject.

Inform that no subordinate in this division has earned any gratuity for work performed out of office hours.

Compile CH

Co. 19.9.85

P. W. D.

Consulting Architect's Office,
Chepauk, Madras,
26th August 1885.

No. 799

2371/24

From

The Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

Enclosures.

Sir,

I have the honor to state in continuation of my letter No. 691 dated 4th August 1885 that Col. Morant paid, Mr Lewis and some others in the office for work performed out of office hours and I myself have paid Mooroogasum my head Draftsman an honorarium for work performed out of office hours. I read the G.O. as ~~referring~~ relating to work performed for outsiders or I would have mentioned the above in my original letter.

2 So far from this indulgence

proving detrimental to the public intent in my opinion it stimulates a subordinate to greater exertions in the public service.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obdt. Servant

R Chisholm.

Consg. Archt. to Govt

2391/22

7520

7

P. W. D.

Roads and Buildings.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Dept

No. 799

Dated 26 August 1885
Received 27 "

NO. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Regarding Subordinate employes of P. W. Dept. who had received permission to undertake private work out of office hours.

[illegible]

C.J.
27.8

No. 674 E.

Government of Madras,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Ootacamund, September 30 1885.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

R.K. 490
2-10-85

To C

The enclosed copy of a G.O. which is proposed to issue, is forwarded for favor of remarks whether you see any objection to its terms

W.
29.9.85
W

Pw/Pur 1/8762/85

[Form No. viii.]

2381/29

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1885. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 6th OCTOBER 1885.

OFFICE NOTE No. 525

To (Exr No 2)

W.

Keep-with Genl. No.

dated 188 .

THE DRAFT APPEARS CORRECT, EXCEPTING PARA 4. UNDER PARA 815 SANCTION OF GOVERNMENT IS NECESSARY FOR ANY INCREASE OF PAY NOT EXCEEDING RS.250 FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF ANY SPECIAL DUTY OUTSIDE THE DUTIES OF ? HIS REGULAR APPOINTMENT, AND IT WOULD APPEAR THEREFORE THAT SANCTION OF THE GOVERNMENT IS ALSO NECESSARY FOR PERMISSION TO AVAIL ? HIMSELF OF THE PROVISIONS OF PARA 809.

C.

6/10

6/10

Incl 8742

Recd 7/10/85.
[illegible] 2.

P.L.S 48/10
D 7/10/85

Typed

B/

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 2391/W

READ the following

Letter from the Supdt. T. M. Scheme (H. E. No. 1525 I d/ 30 June 1885
Do. Supt Engineer IV Circle (" " 271 E.W. d/ 30th June 1885.

Addendum to G.O. No. 2229 W d/ 4th June 1885

× × × ×

4. These orders do not affect paras 806 and 809 of the P. W. Code.

Chief Engineer for Irrigation
Consg Engr for Railways
Supdg Engineers
Consg Architect to Govt.
Supt of Works T. M. Scheme
Exr P. W. Accounts

Abstract of replies to Circular No. 1274 C of 24th July 1885.

2341/31

The S.E. I Circle gives one instance in which an Overseer was permitted to undertake private work without inconvenience or detriment to the public interests.

The S.E. II Circle says that none of the subordinates in his Circle have been permitted to undertake private work out of office hours, and that no attempt has been made to control them after leaving office, but he is of opinion that it would be unwise

the provisions of paras

to allow a departure
from the usual practice.

The S.E. III Circle reports
that only two cases have
occurred in which a
clerk and a draftsman
undertook private work,
with the permission of
their superiors, without
inconvenience or detriment
to the public interests.

The S.E. IV Circle forwards
letter from the Ex: Engr.
Nilgiris giving instances
in which Public Works
employes have undertaken
private work without in-
convenience or detriment
to the public interests,
well observing that it
would certainly be highly
conducive to the interest

both of the subordinate
employes as well as
of the public in Ootaca-
mund, if the former
were allowed to avail
themselves of the privilege
granted in para: 809
of the P. W. Code.

The S.E. is of opinion
that all such cases
should be recorded and
formally sanctioned, the
Dn. Officers being left
to settle in each case
whether permission can
be given without loss
to Govt.

The S.E. V Circle gives
3 cases of employes
in the Circle being
engaged on private
work out of office hours,
and says that the em-
ployment of these men

the provisions

did not interfere with the performance of their regular duties in the Deptt.

The S.E. VI Circle reports that he knows of no instance in his Circle of subordinate employes receiving permission under para: 809 of the Code to undertake private work out of office hours.

The Supdt. of Works Tank Maintenance Scheme reports that no permission has been given for the execution of private work out of office hours to any person employed in his office or in those of the Divisions subordinate thereto. Whether such work has in any case been

2.7.55 A. 13.7.55

undertaken without special permission he cannot say; but no inconvenience to the public service has resulted therefrom.

The Consulting Architect states that some of the draftsmen in his office have been paid for work done out of office hours for himself and Colonel Morant, and he is of opinion that so far from the indulgence proving detrimental to the public interest it stimulates a subordinate to greater exertions in the public service.

EAS.

C..
22.7.55

the provisions of paras

Genl Establt

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 2391 W

2391/34

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 13th October 1885

READ the following

Letter from the Supt: I. M. Scheme N.E. No. 1525. I d/ 30th June/85
" from the S. E. IV. Circle " " 271/E.W d/ 30th June/85
Office note from the Ex: P.W. Accts. " 248. d/ 6th July/85
Letter from the S. E. II. Circle " 313 N. L d/ 27th June/85
From the S. E. I. Circle ——— " ——— d/ September/85
Letter from the S. E. II. Circle " 197 G. L d/ 8th Sept./85
" from the S. E. III. Circle " 1090 d/ 31st Augt./85
" from the S. E. IV. Circle " 347/E.W d/ 22nd Augt./85
" from the S. E. V. Circle " 2295 d/ 28th Augt./85
" from the S. E. VI. Circle " 711 T.J. d/ 4th Augt./85
" from the S. E. I. M. Scheme " 2065. T d/ 24th Augt./85
" from the Consg: Archt: to Govt: " 691 d/ 4th Augt./85
" from the ——— Do ——— " 799 d/ 26th Augt./85
Office note from the Exr P.W.A. " 525 d/ 6th Octr 1885

I think this is all right

The foregoing papers contain inquiries whether the provisions of paras

806 and 809 of the P.W. Code, are affected by the ruling in G.O. No. 1299 W a/ 4th June 1885, relative to the acceptance of fees by officers of the P.W. Dept. for work undertaken outside their regular duties; also replies to circular No. 1274 C dated 24th July 1885 calling for lists of cases in which Subordinate employes of the Department have received permission under para 809 to undertake private work out of office hours.

2391/85

2. It is observed that para 806 of the P.W. Code is based on Government of India rules, and is not affected by G.O. No. 1299 W a/ 4th June 1885.

3. From the replies received to circular No. 1274 C a/ 24th July 1885, i would appear that case in which P.W. Subordina have taken advantage o the provisions of para 809 of the Code, are not of frequent occurrence,

and that there is no recorded case in which detriment has been caused to the public interests by the concession.

4. The Government see no objection to para 809 of the P.W. Code remaining in force, notwithstanding the ruling contained in G.O. No. 1299W d/ 4th June 1885, but they consider that, whenever a subordinate desires to avail himself of the provisions of the paragraph,

2391/3C

permission to do so should be sought from the Ex: Engineer of the division, or the Supdg: Engineer, and that the sanction should be formally recorded. It will be left to the divisional or other superior officer to decide in each case whether permission can be given without inconvenience to the publ service.

Cases falling under para 815 of the P.W. Code should invariably be submitted for the sanction of Govt.

Chief Engr: for Irrgn: ✓
Consg: Engr: for Rys. ✓
Suptg: Engineers ✓
Suptg: Engr: T. M. Scheme ✓
Consg: Archt: to Govt: ✓
Ex: P.W. Accts: ✓

24.9.86

20/5

2391
37

27 SEP 85

No. 1274 Ed/24-7-85
" 1588 Ed/14-7-85
" 236 Ed/17-6-85
" 284 Ed/29-6-85
" 674 E a/30-9-85
G.O. 1209. W d/4-6-85
" 1196 W d/12-5-84

Off
Oct: 12th 1885 [illegible]

[illegible] No. 3/07. Giw 24/9
[illegible]

Gobt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

14/10/85

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 13 Oct 1885, No. 2391 W

Private work undertaken

out of office hours

Orders on the subject

of —— by subordinate

employés of the P.W. Dept.

190/14