(1) Number of pages 70.

(2) Date of repair 2. 11. 87

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public Works. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No:	2380 W	Press. Mis.
Dated	12-10-1885	~~198~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives 1--10,006 I -80.

12th October 1885, No. 2380 W., Public Works.

2380
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following paper:—

Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, dated 2nd September 1885, No. 989.

Order—dated 12th October 1885, No. 2380 W., Public Works.

The estimates for constructing first-class Tahsildars' offices at the undermentioned stations, in the Malabar district, are sanctioned for execution when funds are available:—

—	Amount of estimate.	Registered number.	Classification.
	RS.		
1. Badagara ...	31,000	Provincial No. 146 W. of 1885-86 ..	Civil Buildings, Original Works.
2. Perintalamanna.	34,070	Do. „ 147 W. of do. ...	Do. do.

(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, with thirteen enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with two duplicate abstract estimates.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1885 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 12th.

Encl.

Recd.

G.O., 12th Oct. 1885, No. 2380 W.

OCTOBER.

Tahsildars' Offices in the Malabar District

Estimates for —, sanctioned.

2380

2nd September 1885, No. 989, Revenue.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1885, Mis. No. 7316 :—

Read—the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Pálghat, 30th July 1885, No. 3497 :—

Referring to G.O., dated 28th May 1883, No. 1501 W., Public Works, I have the honor to submit, for the approval of the Board and Government, plans and estimates received from the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, relative to the construction of taluk cutcherries at Badagara and Perintalmanna at an estimated cost of Rs. 31,000 and Rs. 34,070, respectively.

2. I entirely agree with Colonel Beatty in thinking that new offices on the standard plan are required at both places, and that the improvement of the existing building at Badagara is not likely to secure sufficient accommodation or to be altogether satisfactory.

3. A copy of the Superintending Engineer's letter, dated 23rd June 1885, No. 189 M., is herewith submitted.

Enclosures.

Letter from Colonel J. BEATTY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, to the Collector of Malabar, dated Coimbatore, 23rd June 1885, No. 189-M.

Five plans.
Two site plans.
Three estimates.
Three duplicate abstract.
Two reports.

With reference to G.O., No. 1501 W., dated 28th May 1883, I have the honor to forward, for transmission to Government for sanction, the following plans and estimates for constructing first-class taluk cutcherries with out-houses at Perintalmanna and Badagara, together with site plans and reports.

		RS.
(1)	Estimate for a first-class taluk cutcherry at Perintalmanna ...	34,070
(2)	Do. do. at Badagara	31,000

2. These estimates have been prepared at your request as appears from a letter embodied in the above Government order.

3. The present building at Perintalmanna is ill-ventilated, badly situated and incapable of improvement; and it is therefore thought necessary to erect a new building on a site to the east of the present one.

4. With regard to the present cutcherry at Badagara, it is an ill-arranged building with limited accommodation; and the construction of a new building was suggested, as altering the existing one would be expensive and unsatisfactory; however I herewith also forward a plan and estimate, amounting to Rs. 5,000, for improving the present building.

5. The new buildings estimated for would be in accordance with the standard designs for first-class taluk cutcherries, but with certain modifications to suit the climate of the West Coast, which have already been approved by you.

Resolution—dated 8th August 1885, Mis. No. 7316.

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O., 28th May 1883, No. 1501 W.

2. The Board recommend that the estimates for new buildings at Badagara and Perintalmanna be sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and enclosures, in original, to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of Malabar.

Order—dated 2nd September 1885, No. 989, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Board's recommendation in paragraph 2 is approved.

2. The plans and estimates will be transferred to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

W. Wilson

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department, with 15 enclosures.
" the Board of Revenue, with 2 enclosures returned.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

70 Copies.

70 C/ will be sent to Records office

2380/3

G.O. A No 1501 W d/ 28-5-83
" " " 1055 W d/ 28-4-82
" " " 2440 W d/ 20-9-82

Incl 8153

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

REVENUE.

20 IC

15 SEP 85

Issued

Recd. 18/9/85

Encl. 15 (7 plans)

G.O., 2nd September 1885, No. 989.

SEPTEMBER.

Taluk Offices (new) at Badagara and Perintalmanna.

Board's recommendation that the — in the Malabar district be executed when funds are available, approved, and the plans and estimates transferred to the Public Works Department.

15/5/83

O 3/10 R 12/10/85

4

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

4th February 1878, No. 582 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following papers :—

No. 30. From Major J. BEATTY, R.E., Officiating Superintending Engineer, Godavery District, to the Chief Engineer for Buildings and Roads, dated Camp at Dowlaishweram, 21st December 1877, No. 3,001.

One Plan (will follow by banghy.)
" Site Plan.
" Estimate.
" Specification.

Vide also No. 54 W of 7th August 1877, No. 949 W of 9th October 1877, and No. 1,747 W of 21st November 1877.

As directed in G.O., No. 174, of 27th November 1876, I have the honor to submit herewith an estimate, amounting to Rupees 33,200, together with the survey plan of site and plan of buildings proposed for the new Court House at Rajahmundry, and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government; and if possible an allotment of funds in the Budget of 1878-79, as the old Court House is in a very decayed state, and some parts cannot now be used owing to their dangerous condition.

2. The site can now be had for Rupees 260, and as it is the best and only convenient site it ought to be secured at once. The present owner is anxious to part with it, and if he disposes of it to other parties the next owner may demand a larger price. I therefore strongly recommend that permission be given to make the purchase now.

No. 30-A. From T. WEIR, Esq., Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, to the Deputy Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 24th January 1878, No. 162.

I HAVE the honor to return duly countersigned the plan, estimates and specification of the new Court House proposed to be erected at Rajahmundry, forwarded to me with your letter No. 376, dated 21st instant, for that purpose.

No. 31. ORDER THEREON, 4th February 1878, No. 582 W.

THE plan and estimate of Rupees 33,200 for constructing a new Court House at Rajahmundry are sanctioned.

2. It is not practicable to provide funds for the work in the outgoing Budget. But the District Engineer will secure the site, paying for it early in April next from the grant which will be at his disposal under Minor New Works, Civil Buildings, Provincial.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 274 B of 1877-78.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Godavery.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS,
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1878) Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,
GODAVERY,
&c., &c., &c

Dated February 4th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 4th February 1878, No. 583 W.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 30-31.

New Court House at Rajahmundry, God very District.—Sanctions with remarks th plan and estimate for constructing a —.

28th April 1882, No. 1055 W., Public Works.

2185
5

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

No. 93.

Read—again—

Resolution of the Government of India in the Department of Finance and Commerce, No. 4028, dated 4th November 1881, recorded with G.O., No. 77 W., dated 11th January 1882, on the subject of strong rooms for the storage of coins.

No. 94.

Order—dated 28th April 1882, No. 1055 W., Public Works.

The application of the rules laid down by the Government of India for the storage of coins in strong rooms has led to a proposal for the construction of new Huzur Treasuries throughout the country. While the Government cannot entertain a proposal of this character, it is thought that, with a view to safety, the two following general principles should be put in force in all cases, and the requisite measures will be taken as soon as possible for carrying them into effect:—

(1.) All strong rooms should be so exposed in all their parts to the sentry on duty that no one can enter them without being exposed to view.

(2.) The roofs or ceilings of such rooms should in all cases consist of solid terracing.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ Financial Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1882) Madr

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEERS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated April, 28th,

Issued

Recd. Encl

G.O., 28th April 1882, No. 1055 W.

APRIL
Nos. 93-94.

Strong Rooms for the storage of Coin.—Directs measures to be taken for putting in force certain general principles in respect to

—

O 3/6 / R2 10/84

2380
6

20th September 1882 No. 2440 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

No. 158.

Read—the following papers:—

From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Superintending Engineer, Second Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Camp, Bezváda, 5th August 1882, No. 440 N.L.

I have the honor to forward herewith a revised estimate for the Kandukúr sub-jail, amounting to Rs. 12,325, together with a comparative statement and explanatory memorandum by the Executive Engineer and two reports.

1 Estimate.
1 Abstract Estimate.
1 Comparative Statement.
1 Explanatory memorandum.
2 Reports.

2. If the Executive Engineer's proposition be accepted, and the unfinished latrine be converted into a ryots' shed, only Rs. 350 more than the present sanction will be required to complete the building.

3. As far as my experience goes numerous latrines have been constructed throughout the Presidency in connection with these Cutcherries which are never used, nor can they be used unless an establishment is maintained to keep them clean. There are still many Cutcherries on the standard plan to be built in various districts, and I would suggest district officers being called on to report whether it is necessary to continue building latrines which are never used.

No. 608 E.

Referred to the Judicial Department for approval, and for consideration whether the ryots' shed may be omitted as suggested; the latrine being finished off to serve as such.

(By Order.)

(Signed) ROSS-THOMPSON, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

21st August 1882.

No. 158-A.

G.O., dated 8th September 1882, No. 892, Judicial.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd September 1882, Miscellaneous No. 6818:—

Read—Office memorandum from the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, dated 25th August 1882, No. 810.

Resolution—dated 2nd September 1882, Mis. No. 6818.

The Board agree with the Superintending Engineer. The construction of latrines is generally unnecessary considering the small number of prisoners usually confined in sub-jails, and it is believed that such buildings are but rarely used for the purpose for which they are intended.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

Order—dated 8th September 1882, No. 892, Judicial.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department, with reference to endorsement in that Department dated 21st August 1882, No. 608 (returned).

(By Order.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Acting Under Secretary.

No. 159.

ORDER—dated 20th September 1882, No. 2440 W., Public Works.

The proposal to finish off the partly built latrine at the Kandukúr sub-jail, Nellore District, to serve as a ryots' shed, having been approved in the Judicial Department, the building provided for in the estimate for the latter purpose need not be constructed. The excess over the original estimate, sanctioned in G.O., Judicial Department, No. 1488, dated 11th August 1874, will thus be only Rs. 350, and this can be dealt with by the Superintending Engineer under paragraph 293, clause (*e*) of the Public Works Code.

2. The Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, communicated with the foregoing endorsement from the Judicial Department, will be communicated to all Superintending Engineers for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, ~~Sixth~~ 2nd Circle, with six enclosures.
To other Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, Judicial Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

2380/7

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 20th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 20th Sept. 1882, No. 2440 W.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 158-159.

Kandukur Sub-Jail, Nellore District.

Passing orders regarding the conversion of the latrine at the — to serve as a royts' shed.

1st December 1882, No. 2202, Judicial.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From T. WEIR, Esq., Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th December 1882, No. 3094.

I am directed to forward, for the information and orders of Government, a copy of a letter from the District Judge of the Godávari (dated 29th November 1882, No. 394), in which Mr. Kelsall asks permission to vacate, before the end of the year, the building hitherto occupied by the District Court and to occupy a building formerly occupied by the abolished Subordinate Court of Rajahmundry.

2. Mr. Kelsall also asks that Government may be moved to provide funds at once for the construction of a new District Court-house either at Rajahmundry or Cocanada as may hereafter be determined.

3. The dilapidated and insecure state of the Rajahmundry District Court-house has been brought to the notice of Government in several previous communications from this Court (*vide* letters embodied in G.O., dated 8th February 1876, No. 209, and 24th October 1876, No. 2190).

4. In paragraph 4 of Mr. Kelsall's letter it is stated that an estimate of over Rs. 33,000 was sanctioned in February 1876 for building a new Court-house at Rajahmundry, but the Order of Government (4th February 1878, No. 582 W.,) does not appear to have been communicated to the High Court.

5. Mr. Kelsall's present letter, read in the light of the previous correspondence on the subject, leaves no room for doubting that the Rajahmundry District Court-house is now in so dangerous a condition that its abandonment is a matter of absolute necessity, and the High Court accordingly proposes, with the concurrence of the Government, to direct the District Judge at once to vacate the present Court-house and to occupy the building formerly used for the Subordinate Court of Rajahmundry. The latter building has, it appears, been occupied permissively by the Sub-Collector since the abolition of the Sub-Court.

6. In paragraph 7 of his letter Mr. Kelsall refers to the question whether the District Court of the Godávari should hereafter be situated at Rajahmundry or at Cocanada, but refrains from expressing any decided opinion in the matter.

7. The High Court will now call upon Mr. Kelsall to draw up a succinct statement of the advantages and disadvantages of the concentration of the [illegible] at Cocanada and to state his own opinion on the subject. On this point the High Court will again address the Government after the receipt of Mr. Kelsall's report. Meantime I am to urge that Government will be pleased to direct that provision be made by the Public Works Department in the budget for 1883-84 for the erection of a new Court-house at whichever station (Rajahmundry or Cocanada) it may hereafter be resolved to locate it.

Enclosure.

From J. KELSALL, Esq., District Judge, Godávari, to the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side, dated Rajahmundry, 29th November 1882, No. 394.

I have the honor to recall to your recollection the letters* of Mr. Brandt on the subject of providing a new Court-house for the District Judge.

2. In [illegible] letters Mr. Brandt has given a graphic account of the state of the building and of its eminently dangerous condition. It stands on an earthen bastion of the old fort; the bastion is mined with galleries and passages, and is slowly, but not less certainly, giving way. The walls are cracked from top to bottom; several of the rooms we dare not inhabit, and others the Department of Public Works have fenced off with the notice that it is not safe to go beyond the paling.

3. Mr. Brandt's opinion was supported by letters from Colonel Beatty, R.E., Superintending Engineer; Mr. Larminie, Executive Engineer; and Dr. Bilderbeck, Superintendent of the Jail.

4. After some correspondence the Government were pleased to sanction (G.O., dated 4th February 1878, No. 582 W.,) an estimate for something over Rs. 33,000 for building a new Court-house and promised to provide funds at some future date. This shelved the matter for the time, and nothing more has been done. I now come back to Rajahmundry and find the building worse than it ever was. I have not any doubt that it is most dangerous to occupy and that, if the Court continues to sit there, there will, at no distant date, be a catastrophe probably causing a serious loss of life.

I have not again invited the opinions of the Department of Public Works Officers on the subject, because the letters from them, which I have already referred to, sufficiently indicate what they think of the building.

5. I say nothing of the scandal of the Chief Civil Court of this large district being located in such a building; on the ground of danger to human life it must be vacated at once.

6. I request therefore that the High Court will—

(a) Ask the Government to provide funds for, and set in hand at once, the new Court-house sanctioned more than four years ago.

(b) Permit the present building to be at once vacated.

7. If this is sanctioned, I would propose as a temporary measure, and while the new Court is building, to use the building which was once the Subordinate Court here. As reported by Mr. Brandt, it is not big enough for the Court permanently, but for temporary occupation it would do very well.

When the Court of the Subordinate Judge of Rajahmundry was closed in 1876, the building was transferred (by a local arrangement) to the Revenue Department for use as the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry. One of the conditions of the transfer was that it was to be restored to the Judicial Department again if wanted. It was not supposed it would ever be wanted for the District Court, but it was thought that some time or other a Subordinate Judge might again be appointed. The Sub-Collector will have to go back to the house he rented as an office before this transfer. I have told the Collector that we want the Sub-Court-house retransferred, and now have the honor to ask sanction for occupying it.

The Christmas holidays will be a good time for changing from one building to another with the least interference with Court work. It will not be necessary to move everything; the bulk of the old records now being sorted might, for instance, remain behind for the present. The two buildings are not 200 yards apart. The High Court would probably permit me to arrange details of this sort. But the gumastas' rooms and the Court room proper must be entirely given up.

I request that early orders may be given me about this.

8. If, as I trust, early sanction is given for building a new Court-house, I think it should be considered once for all whether for the future the District Court should be here (Rajahmundry) or at Cocanada. The Chamber of Commerce and a large body of people have suggested that it should be at Cocanada. The Bar generally (who have their houses here and find in the College exceptional advantages for the education of their children) are against any such transfer. Rajahmundry is no doubt a central town and one of much importance; but if the district is subdivided (like Bellary) as I have heard is in contemplation, then the argument derived from its present central position loses, or will lose, its force. On this point I at present express no opinion, but am prepared, if the High Court wish it and consider the question one deserving of consideration, to state my views.

9. To meet the present difficulty, it will be sufficient if the High Court will authorize me to occupy the old Sub-Court and to vacate the present District Court-house.

---

* No. 272, 9th December 1875.
,, 309, 14th ,, ,,
,, 319, 22nd ,, ,,
,, 286, 13th October 1876.

Order—dated 21st December 1882, No. 2202, Judicial.

The action proposed to be taken in paragraph 5 is approved, and will be reported at once to the Revenue Department.

2. This correspondence will be communicated to the Public Works Department with request that, as the matter is represented to be one of pressing urgency, provision should be made in the next budget for the funds sanctioned in G.O., 4th February 1878, No. 582 W., for the erection of a Court-house for the District Judge, Godávari.

(True Extract.)

C. G. MASTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras.
,, Revenue Department.
,, Public Works Department.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 21st December 1882, No. 2202.

DECEMBER.

Court-houses.

Approving of the action taken by the High Court in permitting the Godávari District Judge to vacate the present Court-house and to occupy the building formerly used for the Rajahmundry Sub-Court, and requesting that funds may be provided in the Public Works Budget for the erection of a new Court-house.

Disposed of by G.O. No. 261/262 of 23-1-83 - Item 25 on page 4/5 of Budget - SP.

2284/10

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 223.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Suptg. Engr., First Circle, dated 19th October 1882, No. 349 G.I.
" " Second " " 22nd September " " 248 "
" " Third " " 4th October " " ——.
" " Fourth " " 28th September " " 410.
" " " " " 20th October " " 445.
" " Fifth " " 2nd " " " ——.
" " Sixth " (Tinnevelly District) dated 29th Sept. 1882, No. ——.
" " " " (Tanjore ") " 30th " " " ——.
" " " " (Trichinopoly ") " 29th " " " ——.
" " " " (Madura ") " 17th Oct. " " ——.
" " " " dated 26th October 1882, No. 399 M.D.
" Private Secretary to the Governor, dated 18th September 1882, No. 264.
" Financial Department, dated 27th September 1882, No. 1305.
" Judicial " " 14th November " " 1830.
" Financial " " 23rd December " " 2040, Mis.
" " " " 11th " " " 1921.
" Judicial " " 21st " " " 2202.
" President, Madras Municipal Commission, dated 8th September 1882, No. 652.
" Financial Department, dated 23rd December 1882, No. 2024.
Telegram from Suptg. Engr., First Circle, dated 23rd December 1882, No. ——.
Letter from " Sixth " " 27th " " " 684 T.N.
" " " " " 27th " " " 1737 T.J.
Telegram from " Fourth " " 23rd " " " ——.
Office Note from Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 20th December 1882, No. 807.
" " " " " " 21st " " " 811
" " Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 10th January 1883, No. 9.
Proceedings, Financial Department, dated 22nd January 1883, No. 131.

No. 224.

Order—dated 23rd January 1883, No. 261 W., Public Works.

In Orders in the Financial Department, No. 2040 (Miscellaneous), of the 23rd December 1882, the Accountant-General was instructed to adopt the figures given below as the Public Works Provincial Grant for 1883-84, and the Public Works Budget was originally framed for the sum thus assigned, viz. :—

	RS.
1. For Public Works under Provincial	23,00,000
2. For Irrigation and Navigation under Provincial	5,50,000
Total ...	28,50,000

These grants have, however, now been reduced as follows :—

	RS.
1. Ordinary Public Works	21,50,000
2. Irrigation and Navigation	3,50,000
Total ...	25,00,000

2. The following distribution is made of the grant for Public Works. The grant for Irrigation and Navigation Works will appear as usual in the Irrigation Budget :—

		RS.
Original Works.		
Civil Buildings	7,86,748	
Communications	1,14,630	
Miscellaneous Public Improvements	55,400	
Reserve at the disposal of Government including Minor Works	95,983	
		10,52,761
Repairs.		
Civil Buildings	1,26,000	
Communications	37,000	
Miscellaneous Public Improvements	3,000	
		1,66,000
Establishment		8,39,457
Tools and Plant		64,282
Stock and Suspense		27,500
	Total ...	21,50,000

3. The following works have not as yet been provided with duly sanctioned estimates. The special and early attention of the Superintending Engineers concerned is called to the matter. Meanwhile expenditure must be deferred on the works in question :—

Item No. 23. Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Kodaikánal.
" " 2. Town Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry at Negapatam.
" " 36. New Court House at Calicut.
" " 43. District Jail, Kurnool.
" " 51. Out-patients' Dispensary and Mortuary at the General Hospital.
" " 69. Road from Ootacamund to Naduvatam.

4. A provision of Rs. 50,000 is made for the road from Ootacamund to Naduvatam, and the money will be formally placed at the disposal of the Local Fund Board on a definite decision being arrived at as to whether the agency of that body is to be employed in the execution of the work.

5. Works are divided into two classes, A and B. For the former specific grants are made, and the works can be put in hand if the estimates are sanctioned. No expenditure can be incurred on Class B Works, unless specific appropriations are hereafter made.

6. Early application should be submitted for the funds to meet sanctioned charges under temporary establishment. Funds required for incomplete and minor works should also be applied for by the date* laid down in Circular No. 126, dated 13th January 1883.

*15th May.

7. With these remarks the Preliminary Issue of the Provincial Budget Estimate for 1883-84 is circulated for the information and guidance of the officers of the department, and four copies are forwarded for the information of the Government of India.

(Here enter No. 262 W., dated 23rd January 1883.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Financial Department.
" Judicial do.
" Revenue do.
" Board of Revenue.
" Accountant-General.
" Inspector-General of Jails.
" do. of Police.
" Consulting Architect to Government.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" General Superintendent, Public Works Stores and Workshops.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 23rd January 1883, No. 262 W., Public Works.

Copy of the above forwarded to the Government of India.

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. C. Howarth.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 23rd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 23rd Jan. 1883, No. 262 W.

JANUARY.
Nos. 223—225.

Provincial Budget Estimate for 1883-84.

Passing orders on the Preliminary Issue of the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From T. WEIR, Esq., Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 20th February 1883, No. 484.

In paragraph 7 of the High Court's letter, dated 14th December 1882, No. 3094 (G.O., 21st December 1882, No. 2202, Judicial), it was stated that the High Court would call upon Mr. Kelsall to draw up a succinct statement of the advantages and disadvantages of the concentration of the District and Subordinate Courts at Cocanada, and would, after receiving Mr. Kelsall's report, again address the Government on the point.

2. The High Court has now received and considered Mr. Kelsall's report as well as the memorial of the Cocanada Chamber of Commerce which accompanied the same.

3. The Court has also had before it several petitions from persons who advocate, on various grounds, the retention of the District Court at Rajahmundry.

4. After careful consideration of the arguments on both sides, the High Court, as at present advised, is distinctly of opinion that the District Court should remain at Rajahmundry.

5. The town of Rajahmundry is in the centre of the Godávari District, and is more generally accessible than any other town in the district. The District and Central Jails are also located at Rajahmundry. Rajahmundry has also the advantage of a perennial water-supply.

6. The work arising at Cocanada is not more than can be readily disposed of by an industrious Subordinate Judge.

7. If, as is urged by the District Judge, suitors in important commercial or Zemindari cases procure the transfer of the suits in which they are concerned to the file of the District Judge, the necessary attendance of a few suitors of this class at Rajahmundry involves less hardship than would the compulsory attendance of the more numerous and poorer inhabitants of the inland taluks at Cocanada, while the costs of the administration of Criminal justice would be increased by the larger sums paid to witnesses detained for a longer period from their homes and by the expense of the removal of prisoners.

8. The High Court accordingly recommends that the District Court of the Godávari be held as hitherto at Rajahmundry, and that the work of building a suitable Court-house be undertaken with all possible despatch.

9. The question of the site for the new Court-house, in regard to which Mr. Kelsall submits certain suggestions, is, in the opinion of the High Court, a matter which can best be settled on the spot by the District Judge and the chief local officer of the Public Works Department.

10. I am to enclose a copy of Mr. Kelsall's report, omitting paragraphs 2 and 3, in which Mr. Kelsall referred to the circumstance that the building occupied by the Sub-Collector could not, owing to the objections of the Collector, be rendered available for the temporary accommodation of the District Court. In a subsequent

letter (dated 27th January), Mr. Kelsall reports that the Collector had, under the order of Government, withdrawn his objection to surrender the building, and that the District Court and its establishment would at once be transferred to the new quarters thus placed at their disposal. This being so, the High Court assumes that Mr. Kelsall's application for sanction to construct a temporary leaf-pavilion for the accommodation of the District Court (paragraph 9) need not be considered.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. KELSALL, Esq., District Judge, Godávari, to the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side, dated Rajahmundry, 26th January 1883, No. 32.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G.O., dated 21st December 1882, No. 2202, authorizing me to vacate the present Court-house and to occupy the building which was formerly the Subordinate Court, but is now used as the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry.

2. * * * *

3. * * * *

4. After giving the subject the fullest consideration, and after having consulted with most of those likely to be immediately affected by the change, I recommend that it should be at Cocanada:—

(*a*) Cocanada is the chief town of the district, and is increasing in importance every year. Next to Madras it is the most important port and commercial centre on this coast. It is not in the centre of the district, but this consideration has not prevented District Courts being at Waltair, Masulipatam, Cuddalore, &c. And it can be easily and speedily reached from any part of the district. A canal boat will take any one down from here for two annas in a few hours. And if at any some future date, more or less remote, this district be divided (as Bellary has been, and as I have heard this is to be) Rajahmundry will not be a central situation.

(*b*) The European firms would, I understand, prefer to have their cases tried by a European Judge, not from any race feeling but because he is more likely to understand them. I refer to cases turning on mercantile customs and phrases; cases of underwriting, salvage, collision, &c. I enclose copy of a letter I have received on this point from the Chamber of Commerce.

(*c*) All the most important Zemindaries (Pithápuram, Peddápuram, &c.) are on the Cocanada side of the district. The claims to succession, inheritance, &c., arising in these Zemindaries should, if possible, be tried in the District Court. From a money point of view they are the most important cases.

(*d*) I think it would be for the public advantage if the Judge and Sub-Judge were at the same station. If this is not thought desirable I would still place the District Court at Cocanada and the Sub-Judge not at Rajahmundry but at Ellore. The river would then divide their jurisdictions. The District Judge would take the left bank with four Munsifs' Courts (Cocanada, Rajahmundry, Amalápuram, and Peddápuram), and the Sub-Judge on the other side of the river would have the Narsápur, Ellore, and Tanuku Courts.

5. In favor of retaining the Court at Rajahmundry it is urged that it will be more trouble for some people to go to Cocanada, that there are exceptional educational advantages here in the Provincial College, that there is an unfailing water-supply, that many of the Bar and of the Court Gumastas have houses here which they are reluctant to have to leave. Some of these views are stated in an article in the local paper which I forward.

The argument about distance I have already alluded to. Cocanada is thirty-two miles from here, and if people had to walk that distance or even go by bandy, it would be a serious consideration. But, with canal communication cheaper, more comfortable and almost as rapid as trans-service would be, I do not attach very much weight to it.

6. I have not overlooked criminal cases. Here, pending trial, prisoners are kept in the District Jail; at Cocanada they could be kept either in the spacious cells attached to the Magistrate's Cutcherry, or provision for their accommodation could be made in the new Court-house. But practically this is of little importance, the sessions are very light in this district. Since I came here in November there have been only four prisoners to try.

7. I think that the advantages outweigh the disadvantages, and that the new Court-house should be built at Cocanada.

8. If this be sanctioned, I should have to rent a house there till the new building was ready for occupation. There is a good one available, once a Masonic Lodge, at a rent of Rs. 50 per mensem. It is conveniently situated, and, as a temporary measure, would do very well.

9. If the District Court is to remain at Rajahmundry, it will none the less be necessary to make some temporary arrangement, for we cannot continue long in the present building. There is no suitable house available. I have looked at all. I propose therefore to build under some trees on the river bank close to the present Court a leaf-shed or pavilion (locally known as a "paka") and in this to hold Court. I could easily arrange for storing the records, &c., elsewhere. I am told this was done about sixteen years ago when extensive repairs were made to the present building. It might cost Rs. 350.

10. And finally, and if the new Court is to be built at Rajahmundry, I think it should be built on the site of the present one. When the estimate was sanctioned in 1876, a triangular piece of ground near Mr. Cumming's house was selected. Colonel Beatty, R. E., did not approve of that site; it is the old fort moat filled in and levelled, and he did not wish to build on it. It lies low, and there is a noisy village just behind it.

The present site is by far the best, and if the Court is held temporarily in a leaf-pavilion, the present building can be pulled down and the earthen bastion on which it stands levelled. It is this foundation that is the cause of the insecurity of the building. This would necessitate a revision of the estimate, though probably in any case, as it was made in 1877, this would have to be done. I do not think it would add to the expense much if at all. Gangs of convicts from the Central Jail would do the work. There would be no difficulty in selling the gravel of which the mound is largely composed. And without considering the local tradition that treasure in large quantities is buried there that would come to light, there is plenty of valuable cut-stone which could be used for the new building or sold, and the proceeds set off against the increased estimate. In short the removal would pay for itself.

ORDER—dated 2nd March 1883, No. 596, Judicial.

The Government agree with the opinion expressed by the Honorable the Judges in paragraph 4 of the foregoing letter.

2. Paragraphs 8 and 9 will be communicated to the Public Works Department, in continuation of paragraph 2 of G.O., 21st December 1882, No. 2202.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Registrar, High Court.
" Public Works Department.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of (1883) Madras

JUDICIAL.

R/c

Issued

Recd. 7/3/83 Encl.

G.O., 2nd March 1883, No. 596.

No 780 Wd/10-3-83

MARCH.

[illegible]

District Courts.

Agreeing with the opinion expressed by the High Court that the Godávari District Court should remain at Rajahmundry, and communicating to the Public Works Department extract from the Registrar's letter regarding the construction of a suitable Court-house and the selection of a site for the same.

28TH MAY 1883, No. 1501 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th May 1883, Mis. No. 3891.

Read—the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 26th April 1883, No. 1471:—

In forwarding, herewith, rough plans showing the accommodation available in the Taluk Offices at Badagara and Perintalmanna, I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board the unsuitability of these two buildings to present requirements. The health of the establishments has been seriously affected by the crowded state of the offices and defective ventilation. I beg therefore to recommend that new Taluk Offices be built at the above places, and request that orders may be issued to the Department of Public Works to submit plans and estimates for new buildings on the standard plan.

Resolution—dated 12th May 1883, Mis. No. 3891.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The plans forwarded do not afford sufficient information regarding the character of the buildings described by the Collector as unsuitable to present requirements; but accepting Mr. Logan's opinion on the point, the Board recommend that the necessary orders be issued with a view either to new buildings being erected or the present offices enlarged and improved, as may be deemed best by the Public Works Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

Mis. No. 2134, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

OOTACAMUND,
23rd May 1883.

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Secretary to Government.

ORDER—dated 28th May 1883, No. 1501 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle, for inquiry in the direction indicated in the latter part of paragraph 2 of the Revenue Board's Proceedings, and for submission of the requisite plans and estimates.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fourth Circle.
" Board of Revenue.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall,
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 28th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 28th May 1883, No. 1501 W.

MAY.

Taluk Offices at Badagara and Perintalmanna

Passing orders on Proceedings of the Board of Revenue regarding the erection, or otherwise, of new buildings for the —,

---

2180
16

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following letter from Captain C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Executive Engineer, in charge Eastern Division, Godávari, to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated Dowlaishweram, 30th August 1885, No. 395 :—

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to sanction the transfers of funds as proposed below from one work to another under Provincial, Original Works, Civil Buildings. The necessity for the transfer is to complete the new court-house during the current official year as the building is urgently required :—

Name of work.	Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to end of last official year.	Grant for 1885-86.	Proposed		Final grant as it will stand after reduction or increase.
				Increase.	Decrease.	
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Proposed water-supply, &c., for improvements to the existing drainage of the Central Jail at Rajahmundry	30,000	17,329	11,844	..	5,000	6,844
Constructing a new court-house at Rajahmundry	33,200	28,985	3,640	5,000	..	8,640

Endorsement on the above.

No. 1263 G.D.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, who is requested to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the transfers of grants herein proposed.

Dowlaishweram,
5th September 1885.

(Signed) W. A. MATHEWS,
Assistant Engineer,
for Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

Order—dated 19th September 1885, No. 2196 W., Public Works.

The following transfer of funds is sanctioned :—

Provincial.
Civil Buildings.
Original Works.

From		*To*	
Proposed water-supply and improvements to the existing drainage of the Central Jail at Rajahmundry.	Rs. 5,000	Constructing a new court-house at Rajahmundry ...	... Rs. 5,000

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. J. Henrious.

Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 19th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 19th Sept. 1885, No. 2196 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Funds

Certain transfer of —, sanctioned.

C. No. 3315 *P. W. Secretariat.*

2280/11

Note by Budget Section.

Work District Court House at Rajahmundry Remarks and References.

Fund

Service Head ... ...

Departmental Head ... ...

Amount of Estimate ... ... Rs. 33,200

Actual Expenditure ~~in previous year~~ up to 1883-84 ,, 13,560

Allotment in ~~current year~~ 1884-85. ,, 16,000

Balance required to complete ... ,, 3,640

Total Rs... 33,200

Note of available funds.

The balance Rs 3,640 has been provided for the work during 1885-86 in the Provincial Budget for that year

13th April 1885.

W

Budget Clerk.

*stood why the work

Note by Budget Section.

D. 14.4.85.

1885. 238/18

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3315

From A. L. Lister Esquire
District Judge
Godavery.

Enclos. —

No. 95

Dated 6-4-85

Received 13-4-85

B

SUBJECT.

Informing that great difficulty is experienced in carrying on the work of the District Court, owing to the house now occupied being too small and requesting that the new building or at least the Court room may be made available for use as soon as possible

DISPOSAL.

~~No.~~ ~~Dated~~

No. 821 C of 21st April

Referred to the Superintending Engineer I Circle for early report on Mr Lister's suggestion

2. The balance to be expended on the building during 1885-86 is Rs 3640/ out of an estimate of Rs 3320/ and it is not understood why the work

cannot be completed
before 31st March/86
(B.O)
/July 6.83

D.W.

G.O. A/2487 W a/ 8-10-84.
B/ " 780 W a/ 10-3-83
A/ " -262 W a/ 23-1-83
582 W - 4-2-/8

D.74.4.883.

240E a/17-6-8

2380/19

The S.E. does not
seem to deal with
Lister's suggestions
respecting the early
completion of the
"New Court Room"
only —

16.6.85

befo

G.

D. M. 4. 88?

C. No. 8153.
P. W. Secretariat.

2180
20

Note by Budget Section.

Work (1) First class tahsildar's office at Badagara Remarks and References.
(2) —— Do —— at Perintalamanna

Fund Provincial
Service Head Civil Buildings
Departmental Head Original Works
Amount of Estimate ... ... Rs. (1) 31,000
(2) 34,070
Expenditure in previous year ... " "
Allotment in current year ... ... " "
Balance required to complete ... " "
Total Rs... "

Note of available funds.

No funds are available. The Revenue Department recommend that these estimates may be sanctioned for execution when funds are available

2nd October 1885.

Budget Clerk.

Note by Budget Section.

Cp/

Urgent

No. 240 Ed/ June 17th 1885

2180
21

P. W. Department

Memo:

Adverting to endorsement from the Suptg: Eng I. Circle No. 524 G. D d/ 8th June 1885, the undersigned has the honor to return the letter from the District Judge d/ 6th April 1885, and to draw attention to the previous memorandum dated 21st April 1885, No. 221 G, with the ~~to~~ request that a report reply may be submitted on ~~the feasibility of~~ Mr. Listers' suggestion that the completion of the new court room be pushed forward, and that room only be handed over for occupation before the rest of the building finished.

This point has not

n noticed in the Suptg.

ngineer's communication

nder acknowledgment.

The S.E. will

rrange for the early

submission of the revised

estimate.

17.6.85

No. 240 Ed/ June 17th 1885

S.E. I. circle ~~superd~~ 17/6/85

with 1 encl.

Cn

E. Edl.

Before [illegible]

there reference [illegible]

like [illegible]

the revised estimate

should go to Judicial

Dept. before being

dealt with.

2180/281

21.5.83

W

Under Code para 1168 A, the estimate should have been submitted through the High Court & the Judicial Dept.

The Comparative Statement however shows that the excess over the original estimate is due chiefly to increases in rates & quantities, & not to provision of additional accommodation or structural alterations.

It will apparently be sufficient therefore

Godavari District

East

dated 21 April

herewith

if the draft order sanctioning the revised estimate be sent to the Chief Secy for counter-initials.

Noted
CM
21.7.85

HS
21/7

2374

CM

E-Ed

Godavari District
Eastern Division Office
Dowlaishwaram 19th May 1885

No. 122.

2380
23

From,

The Executive Engineer
Eastern Division Godavari

To,

The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle

Sir,

With reference to your No. 294 G.D. dated 8th May 1885, I have the honor to inform you that a revised Estimate is necessary for the new court house in Rajahmundry as the building cannot be completed for the existing Estimate in which the rates were in some cases too low.

2. Taking this into consideration I do not think the building can be completed before the date named.

3. The enclosure of the above is herewith returned.

I have &ca
(Signed) C. C. Rawson Captn R.E.
Executive Engineer.

No. 524 G.D.

Copy submitted to the Secretary to Government Public Works Department with reference to his No. 821 C dated 21st April 1885, herewith

Enclosure one

rewith returned with its enclosure. No 95 d/6

W. S. Matthey Asstt Engineer

for Superintending Engineer 1st Circle

G.O. No 582 W. 4. 2. 78.
B. No 3112 W. 2. 11. 83.
G.O. No 262 W. 23. 1. 83
B. No 780 W. 10. 3. 83
G.O. No 2487 W. 8. 10. 84
No 821 C. 21. 4. 88

Rich 5257

From
The Supg Engineer
I Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
D.P.W.
Madras

Dated 8th }
Despd 9th } June 1885
Recd 15 }

No 524 G.D.
Enclo. one

No 240 Ed/ June 17th 1885

Rajahmundry Civil Court house.

Submits copy of Godavari Eastern Dn Officer's letter reporting the circumstances under which the — cannot be completed in four months as suggested by the District Judge.

The receipt of the revised Estimate must ~~[illegible]~~ be awaited

2. The S. E. will arrange for its Early submission to Govt.

Cd.
15.6.85

S. E. I C.
The District Judge of Godavary thro' Judicial dept.

Ay S. 5576.
O. 15.6.85

No. 2

Public Works Department, 1885-86.

238/85

Current No. 8153
Letter No. G.O. No. 989
Dated the 2nd Sept./85
From the Revenue Department

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. In obedience to G.O. No. 1501 W. of the 25th May/83 the accompanying plans and estimate are submitted for constructing a first-class tahsildar's office (cutcherry) at Perintalmanna in the Malabar district. The estimate amounts to Rs. 34,070 — thus divided:- the main building – Rs. 30,510; the ryots' shed – Rs. 1,050; the cook house – Rs. 550; the public latrine – Rs. 1,050; the jail latrine – Rs. 690; and a well – Rs. 220.

2. The design of the proposed main building is almost in exact accordance with that adopted for the office at Badagara – the main, if not the only, difference lies in the Perintalmanna

office having received a concrete sub-stratum, 2 feet thick, to its foundation — But, subject to approval, even in the present instance a reconsideration of the foundation appears very desirable.

3. Except that a concrete sub-stratum is added to the foundation in each case, the out buildings are to be constructed in exact accordance with those provided for the new office at Badagara.

4. The plans and estimate have been prepared with care. The rates are fair.

5. The plans and estimate have been countersigned by the Collector of the Malabar district, and have been approved in the Revenue department.

30th Sept/85

The design appears very suitable to the West Coast & sanction recommended

AH

Sep. 30. 1885

No. 1

Public Works Department, 1885-86.

2280/86

Current No. 8153

~~Letter No.~~ [illegible] No. 989

Dated the 2nd Sept. 85

From the Revenue Department

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. In obedience to G.O. No. 1501 W. of the 28th May/83 the accompanying plans and estimate are submitted, for constructing a first-class tahsildar's office (cutcherry) at Badagara in the Malabar district. — the estimate amounts to Rs. 31,000 — thus divided: — the main building — Rs. 28,150; the ryots shed — Rs. 900; the cook-house — Rs. 440; the public latrine — Rs. 880; and the jail latrine — Rs. 630.

2. The design of the proposed main building is based chiefly on the standard design sanctioned in G.O. No. 3552 of the 18th Nov./67, and partly on the standard design sanctioned (in supersession of that sanctioned in Nov./67) in G.O. No. 795 of the 23rd March/74, subject to modifications rendered

necessary by the climate of Badagara.— The locality is excessively wet, and the verandah which runs round the office, or clerks' rooms, the court and the post office is widened to 8 feet; an additional verandah 8 feet wide, has been provided to run on three sides of the record room; and the treasury and the two rooms adjoining it, the inspector's and the arms, receive a double roof — a terraced and a tiled.— The terrace is provided in obedience to G.O. No. 1055 W. of the 28th April/82, but as terracing does not answer as a defence against the weather of the western coast the tiled roof is added.

3. The masonry of the main building, including archwork, is to be laterite stone-in-mortar. The flooring of the record room and prison wards is to be of concrete, 6 inches thick, coated with asphalte; of the rest of the building it is to be of square paving tiles. The whole

structure, including verandahs, is to be roofed in with Mission tiles on teak reepers, rafters, and trusses, with a ceiling of venteak planking ½ inch thick. The three rooms already named receiving in addition a terraced roofing.

4. Relieving arches are provided and the scantlings of all the roof timbers are correct, with the exception of the bres-summers which should receive a cross section of at least 5"×7" — the cross section adopted is 5"×5". Perhaps 6 inches square would be a better cross section for the posts than that now allowed, 5 inches square.

5. It is probable that the soil on which the proposed building is to be erected is exceptionally favourable for the purpose but, in the absence of any distinct statement to that effect, it seems advisable, subject to approval, to call S.E.IV.'s attention to the fact that walls 26 feet high

and intended to carry a terrace roof are allowed a foundation but 2 feet deep, and 4 feet wide in the lowest step.— Indeed, a reconsideration of the dimensions allowed the foundations of all the walls of the main building appears very desirable.

6. With the exception of a few slight changes in the foundations, the ryots' shed, the cookhouse and the latrines are to be built, each on the lines of its respective standard design sanctioned in G.O. No. 1014 of the 20th April/74.— The masonry of the outbuildings is to be laterite stone-in-mortar. The roofing in each case is to be of Mission tiles on jungle wood rafters and reepers.— With reference to G.O. No. 2440 W. of the 20th Sept./82, S.E.IV. has not stated his reasons for providing two latrines.— Probably the weather renders the provision of two latrines necessary.

7. The plans and estimate have been prepared with care. The rates are fair.

8. The plans and estimate have been countersigned by the Collector of the Malabar district, and have been approved in the Revenue department.

An additional Note

S.E.IV. has submitted a plan and an "alternative" estimate (Rs.5,000) for improving the existing tahsildar's office at Badagara with, however, an expression of his opinion, with which the Collector of the district and the Board of Revenue fully concur, that carrying out the work would prove "expensive and unsatisfactory."

In a letter of the 23rd June Col Beatty states that the modifications in the standard design are to suit the climate of the West Coast. Sanction recommended.
30th Sept/85
JBH

WH
Sept. 30, 1885

1/ No. 572 E / A of September 8 1885

Deferred.

P.W.D.

2380/29

Telegram.

To the Supdg Engr I Circle

Rajahmundry

Immediate reply required to my 240 E of seventeenth June & Rajahmundry Court-house _ & wire date of despatch &

8.9.85

Telegram despatched 8/9/85

Push work on to completion, in anticipation of allotment.

8/9.

No. 572. E d/ Septr. 6. 1883

No. 780 W Public Works Department.

2385
30

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

Under date the 10th March/83.

Read the following

Pros. of the Judicial dept. H.E. No. 596 d/ 2d March/83

Communicated to the Supty. Engr. First Circle for information and guidance. A sum of Rs 10,000 has been provided in the Provincial Budget of 1883-84 for the construction of a District Court House in the Godavari. The building will, under the present orders, be erected at Rajahmundry.

D 4/3 8/3.

S.E. First Circle ✓
with a copy of G.O. 2202 d/ 21st Decr/82 (Jud: Dept)

Judicial. Dept. with G.O. 596. Jud.l d/ 2nd Mar/83 ✓

No 780 W d/ 10-3-83

Entd. in Receipt Regr

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2380
31

A

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Proceedings of Govt. Revenue Deptt. N. E. No: 989 d/ 2nd September 1885

The estimates for constructing first class tahsildar's offices at the undermentioned stations in the Malabar District, are sanctioned for execution when funds are available, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(1) Badagara - Rs. 31,000, Proml No: W of 1885-86

(2) Perintalamanna Rs. 34,070 - Do No: - Do.

Ord

Oct. 2. 1885

To The Suptg. Engr IV Circle with 13 enclos.
" Examiner P. W. Accts with 2 duplicate abstract estimates
" Revenue Department.

Cir 4/10/85

2/10

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

PARA. 1820.

985 81
25 OCT 83

D.W.Ch. 9499

P. W. D. Madras
Form No. M 73.

original

CIRCLE First
DIVISION Godavari Eastern
FUND Provincial ordinary

Recd 31/10/83 in duplicate

Application for a Re-appropriation of Budget Grants.

No. 203996 Dated 20th October 1883.

FORWARDED to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, through the Examiner of P. W. Accounts, for sanction, if approved.

Offg Superintending Engineer,
1st Circle.

No. 985 81/83 Dated Madras, 30th October 1883.

FORWARDED to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

The transfer proposed may be sanctd by the local Govt

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

30/10

Proceedings of Madras Government.

No. 3112W Dated 2nd November /83

ORDER THEREON.

Sanctioned.

Under *Secretary to Government, P.W.D.,*
Madras.

The S.E. First Circle
thro the Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras

Original

2380/33

APPLICATION TO THE Chief Engineer P. W. Department FOR A RE-APPROPRIATION OF BUDGET GRANT.

PROPOSED NEW GRANT.

Departmental and Service Head.	Item No. of Budget.	WORKS.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed increase.	Grant as now proposed.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Provincial Ordinary Original works Civil Buildings	25	Constructing a new Court-house at Rajahmundri	33,200	"	10,000	10,000	5000	15000

PROPOSED REDUCTION.

Departmental and Service Head.	Item No. of Budget.	WORKS.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed reduction.	Amount at which grant for work will stand after reduction.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Provincial Ordinary Original works Civil Buildings		Proposed water supply and for improvements of the existing drainage of the Central Jail Rajahmundri	22,164	285	10,000	15,100	5,000	10,100

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

It is not considered likely that the increased allotment given in PO 2532 10/9/83 will be expended as there are considerable unexpected delays about [illegible] castings under pulleys from England & revised estimate has only just gone to the Chief Engineer

C. M. Ewart
Offg. Supg. Engineer [illegible] circle Signature.

Station Dowlaishweram
Dated 20th October 1883

A

B.T.R.
Civil works

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

234
34

No. 2380 W

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 12th Oct/85

READ the following

Write

Attach spare copies of Rev: G.O. to copies for S.E. C.

Pro: of Govt: Revenue Dept: H.E. No. 989 d/ 2d September/85.

The estimates for constructing first class tahsildar's offices at the undermentioned stations in the Malabar District, are sanctioned for execution when funds are available:—

	Amount of estimate	Register No.	Classifn
1. Badagara	Rs 31,000	Provl: No. 146 W of 1885-86	Civil Buildings, Origl Works.
2. Perintalamanna	Rs 34,070	Provl: No. 147 W of 1885-86	Do

7.10.85

S.E. IV. Circle with 13 enclos: ✓

Ex: P.W. accts with 2 duplicate abstract estimates ✓

Rev: Dept:

D 16/10/85

CEAS.

2381/35

Govt. of {1885} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 13/10/85

Recd. Encl.

G.O. /2 Octr 1885, No. 2300

Tahsildars' Offices

=

in the Malabar D

=

Ests. for — ; Sanction

50/6

Jd 13/10 4-15