

RECEIVED
JAN 10 1881

been taken on
a lease?

W.D.
—

Received of Mr. J. B. Smith
the sum of \$100.00
for the purchase of
the land in the
County of ...
State of ...
this 10th day of January 1881.
J. B. Smith
Witness my hand and seal
this 10th day of January 1881.
J. B. Smith

No. D.
GOVT. } 188 . P. W.
OF MADRAS. } DEPT.
SECRETARY.

Chepâk, 188 .

OFFICE NOTE.

From *D.W.*

To *S. E. v. C.*

Your 925. 85. 6. 85.

Cannot you now
secure a cheaper
house in Banga.
-lore that we do
for the Divisional
Office? Rs. 100/. per
mensem seems a
high rent to pay.
I suppose "Trinity
House" has not

Saw
I doubt if Rs 100/- is too much
to pay for a proper Div^l office at
Bangalore, so I do not see object
of this reference. The S. E. is supposed
to look into these matters & it is
presumed he does so. He is responsible
that the recommendation made is a
proper one, & interfere with his action
is undesirable, or a mere suggestion.

Oct.
12. 6. 85

Draft order sanctioning
100/. submitted. That
rent was originally sanc-
tioned when there was
considerable difficulty in
obtaining a house for
the office, but that is 3
years ago, and probably
the difficulty has been
removed as Bangalore
has ^{grown} considerably during
that period. ~~and~~ Some
houses much ~~more~~
be vacant in ~~the~~
consequence of the withdrawal
of the British Infy Regt.
for service at ~~Quetta~~
advised *CH* *JB*
12. 6

No. 925

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
5TH CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Chepauk, *5 June* 1885.

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

5TH CIRCLE,

To

THE *Chief Engineer*
P. W. Department.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to request that
Sanction may be given for the rent of the
Divisional Office at Bangalore during
the current official year at Rs 100 per
month. This was originally sanctioned in
G. O. No. 3049 M. dated 17th November 1882. The
Engineer requires the sanction for its con-
-tinuance from year to year.
2. The Orders contained in G. O. No. 1804 M.
dated 15th July 1882, the Engineer thinks
relate only to the accommodation of the
Sub-Divisional Office and are therefore
not applicable to that of Divisional Office.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your Most Obedt Servant
W. H. Burton
Offy Supt Engineer
5th Circle

R. No. 177
8.6.85

No 1362 D & 8 June 1885

To
C

For reman R.

D.W.
6.6.85

No. 184 of 10th June 1885.

To W.

Encs: —

The charge on account of rent
for the Presidency Division Office
being in excess of the maximum amount
admissible under Code rules, will be
admitted, if sanctioned by Government
during 1885-86.

1879 W. 30.7.81.

15715 W. 20.6.81

1879 W. 30.7.81

1804 W. 15.7.82

2760 W. 23.10.82

3049 W. 17.11.82

3052 W. 12.12.82

41288 W. 3.6.83

41288 W. 3.6.83

41288 W. 3.6.83

41288 W. 3.6.83

41288 W. 3.6.83

41288 W. 3.6.83

41288 W. 3.6.83

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

5TH CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.

Dated

5th

Reed.

6th

June

1885.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 1474 Way 24-6-85

No. 925

Divisional Office at
Bangalore — Requests that
sanction may be given for the
rent of the — during 1885/86.

D.W.

C.

requested in para 1 of the
S. E. letter.

S. E. Circle

19-6-83

P.W. Code. para 996 seems
to limit the amount of rent
for a Divisional Office to A
45/-, & it appears strange
that so high a rent as 100/-
should be necessary.

There was a recent order
passed in the case of the
EE's Office at Waltari,
in which the limit to the
Code limit was enforced.

I am aware that in that
case the Officer resided in
the same building, — but
my reading of para 996
is to the effect that the
maximum limit of 45/-
is to apply in all cases,
whether the Officer resides
in the building, or not.

Please let me see again
the papers connected with
the Virayapattam case.

W

14.6.85

To W

Pres. No 1288 W & 3.6.85

To

note No. 1474W
24.6.83
relative to office rent
for the Vizagapatnam Div.
office, submitted.

Para 996 of the P.W. Code
governs cases in which Ex.
Supts &c provide accommo-
dation for their offices, as
in Mr Harris' case.

In their letter recorded
with L.O. No. 302 W & 3.2.81,
the G.O. recognised the
difference between such
cases, & the case of Govt.
hiring a house for a public
purpose - In the latter
case, the discretion of the
Local Govt is not interfered
with.

It is therefore within
the power of this Govt. to
hire a house at Rs 100
per mensem, for use as
an Ex. Supt's office at
Bangalore.

If the amount be
considered too high under
present circumstances, the
S.G. may of course be
directed to report whether
a cheaper house cannot
be found.

15/6/83

12/6/83
19-6/83
12/6/83
12/6/83

70