

37

(1) Number of pages 54

(2) Date of repair 13-8-87

(3) Initials of the Referencer J

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	865	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	31-3-1884	198

12, 13
2

Ch. 6

27-2

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

31st MARCH 1884, No. 865 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

865
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General
Establishment.

READ—the following paper:—

Petition from T. Chinnasami Iyer, late sub-overseer, Public Works Department, Madras
Presidency, dated 5th March 1884.

ORDER—dated 31st March 1884, No. 865 W., Public Works.

Ordered that the memorial be transmitted to the Right Honorable the Secretary
of State for India.

(Here enter No. $\frac{7}{865 W.}$ dated 31st March 1884.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Petitioner.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 31st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 31st March 1884, No. 865 W.

MARCH.

T. Chinnasami Iyer

Ordering that memorial from —, late sub-
overseer, be forwarded to the Right Honor-
able the Secretary of State for India.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
24th February 1862.

No. 394. Read the following letter from Colonel W. I. BIRDWOOD, Deputy Chief Engineer, Southern Circle, to Lieutenant-Colonel W. H. HORSLEY, Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Chepauk, 19th February 1862, No. 10,740:—

SIR,

1 Letter dated 26th January 1862,
No. 1,413.
1 Do. dated 27th January 1862,
No. 1,461, with two accompaniments.
1 Do. dated 19th February 1862, from
Major Rawlins, with one accompani-
ment, dated 12th February 1862.

1. I have the honor to submit for the orders of Govern-
ment the accompanying letters from the District Engineer of
Madura, relative to the appointments which he recommends
should be made to enable him to carry out the arrangements
sanctioned in the Proceedings of Government, No. 1,926, dated
19th October 1861.

2. The subordinate establishment authorized in those Proceedings for the Madura District,
is as follows:—

2	Overseers.			
4	Assistant Overseers.			
1	Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 1st Grade.			
2	Do.	do.	2nd	do.
3	Do.	do.	3rd	do.
2	Do.	2nd	do.	1st

3. The establishment at present employed under the orders of Captain Ryves, is:—

2	Overseers.			
1	Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 3rd Grade.			
1	Do.	2nd	do.	1st
3	Do.	do.	do.	2nd
7	Do.	do.	do.	3rd

4. From this it will be seen that the number of Overseers now in the District is the same
as the sanctioned allotment of that grade, for the four appoint-
ments of Assistant Overseer Captain Ryves nominates two Sub-
Overseers of the 1st Class employed in the Malabar and Trichi-
nopoly Districts respectively, and two Office servants doing duty
in the Madura District, as there are no Assistant Overseers at
present employed under him.

5. As respects the qualifications and services of the two Sub-Overseers Captain Ryves
gives no information, but promises to send extracts from their registers of service from the
District Engineers to whom he has applied for them. Under ordinary circumstances therefore
I should not feel myself warranted in submitting the names of either of these two Sub-Overseers
for promotion to the Upper Subordinate grade until placed in possession of full particulars
regarding them, but as Captain Ryves distinctly states that the whole of the funds allotted for
expenditure in his District during the current year cannot be laid out to the best advantage
unless the subordinate establishment, sanctioned in the Proceedings of Government quoted in
paragraph 1, be appointed without further loss of time, I think it desirable to recommend that
Sub-Overseer Whitney of the Malabar District be appointed to act as Assistant Overseer until
he has passed the prescribed examination for that grade or the services of a passed Candidate
can be obtained.

Sub-Overseer Corporal Whitney, Ma-
labar.
Do. Pennoswami Pillai, Trichino-
poly.
Head writer Sahapathy and Account-
ant Vellooydan Pillais, Madura.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
24th February 1862.*

6. As regards however the other Sub-Overseer (Ponnooswami) named by Captain Ryves, I have to remark that his services cannot be spared from the Trichinopoly District. Captain Palmer has for some time past been in want of more subordinates for that District, and from a letter just received from him I learn that by the death of Mr. Supervisor Dick on the 5th instant, he has been deprived of the services of one of his best men; the want of additional subordinate agency will therefore be more than ever felt by him, and under these circumstances it does not seem desirable to withdraw from his District a man who I conclude must from the fact of his having been nominated for promotion by Captain Ryves be an efficient and experienced subordinate, I would accordingly recommend that instead of Ponnooswami Pillai, Sub-Overseer Yagambaram Pillai, 1st Class, 3rd Grade, at present doing duty at the Presidency should be appointed Assistant Overseer and transferred to the Madura District. Yagambaram Pillai who has now been connected with the Department, first as Maistry and subsequently as Sub-Overseer since 1850, is spoken of in the highest terms by Major Rawlins and other Officers under whom he has served for many years, and from my own personal observation I believe him to be well qualified and deserving of advancement to the Upper Subordinate grades, although therefore he has not served as a Sub-Overseer seven years, or passed the prescribed examination for appointment to the Upper Subordinate grades, yet under the strong recommendation which has now been made in his behalf I trust that Government will, as a special case, be pleased to authorize his promotion to the rank of Assistant Overseer.

7. With regard to the two Office servants whom Captain Ryves desires to entertain as Assistant Overseers, one Sabapathy Pillai, will, that Officer remarks, be able shortly "if necessary" to satisfy the College requirements for Assistant Overseer," while the other, Velloydan Pillai, "has served long in the Department will make a very good Assistant Overseer, and be able to "get work executed rapidly and well," I have therefore also to recommend that sanction may be granted for the employment of these two men as Assistant Overseers on the same conditions as those recommended in the case of Sub-Overseer Whitney.

8. For the authorized appointments in the lower subordinate grades, Captain Ryves nominates several persons who are at present either Sub-Overseers or Office servants. The number of Sub-Overseers now employed in the Madura District is twelve, of this number four* will be transferred to the Coimbatore District to fill existing vacancies and to reduce the strength in Madura to the sanctioned allotment, four† Captain Ryves proposes to dismiss on the grounds of inefficiency, while of the remaining four he has promoted one‡ to a higher class and nominated three§ to continue to serve in their present rank.

9. As respects the dismissals, Captain Ryves was in December last requested to furnish certain information to enable the Chief Engineer to arrive at a just decision in each case, but this he has not done in the letters under notice. His attention will accordingly be called to the omission, and pending the receipt of the required information the recommendations he has made to fill up the places of the men he desires to remove from the Department will be held in abeyance.

10. The promotion which Captain Ryves has made in anticipation of sanction is in accordance with the Proceedings of Government, dated 15th October 1860, No. 2,143, and will be approved of.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
24th February 1862.*

11. The result of the arrangements above recommended for the sanction of Government, is as follows:—

Names.	Present rank.	Proposed rank.	Period of service in present rank.
Conductor Bywater ...	Overseer	Overseer	2 Years, 3 months.
Pulneyvaloo Mudali ...	Do.	Do.	4 Months.
Corporal Whitney	Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 2nd Grade, Malabar.	Temporary Assistant Overseer.	3 Years, 3 months.
Sabaputty Pillai	Head Writer District Engineer's Office, Madura.	Do.	Not given by the District Engineer.
Velloydan Pillai	Accountant No. 1 range Office, Madura.	Do.	5 Years, 5 months.
Yagambaram Naick ...	Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 3rd Grade, Presidency.	Assistant Overseer....	2 Years, 2 months, or 5 years and 2 months, as a Sub-Overseer.
Rungasawmy Pillai ...	Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 3rd Grade, Madura.	Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 3rd Grade.	1 Year, 10 months.
Mootooswami Pillai ...	Sub-Overseer, 2nd Class, 3rd Grade, Madura.	Do.	4 Years, 10 days.
Gopaul Pillai	Sub-Overseer, 2nd Class, 1st Grade.	Sub-Overseer, 2nd Class, 1st Grade.	4 Years, 10 days.
Subramania Pillai	Temporary Sub-Overseer, 2nd Class, 3rd Grade.	Sub-Overseer, 2nd Class, 3rd Grade.	14 Months.
Chinnasawmy Iyer.....	Sub-Overseer, 2nd Class, 3rd Grade.	These men the District Engineer proposes to dismiss from the Department.	3 Years, 4 months.
Tondya Pillai	Do.		1 Year 4 months.
Mr. A. Gaynor	Sub-Overseer, 2nd Class, 2nd Grade.		11 Months.
Velloydan Mudali ...	Do.		4 Years, 10 days.

12. It will be seen from this list, as well as from the preceding observations that several of the appointments proposed to be made are not in strict regard to the rules, but considering the desirableness of at once placing at the disposal of Captain Ryves an efficient Subordinate agency I trust that Government will not object to sanction them.

13. As respects paragraph 2 of Captain Ryves' letter, dated 20th January 1862, No. 1,413, I have in a separate letter recommended that pending the decision of Government on the subject of the abolition or otherwise of the Jail at Paumben, Mr. Overseer Bywater should be entrusted with the charge of that portion of the works of No. 3 Range on the main land, and the works at Paumben be left under the control of Captain Hankin with a separate Office establishment. By this arrangement I fully expect that if the promotions and appointments in the Subordinate establishment herein recommended are authorized, Captain Ryves will be enabled to carry on vigorously to completion the several works sanctioned for construction and repair in the Madura District during this year.

ORDER THEREON, 24th February 1862, No. 301.
No. 395.

1. From the foregoing letter the Government observe that the allotment and present strength of the Subordinate Establishment of the Madura District are as follows:—

Allotment.		Strength.	
2 Overseers.		2 Overseers.	
4 Assistant Overseers.		1 Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 3rd Grade.	
1 Sub-Overseer, 1st Class, 1st Grade.		1 Do. 2nd Class, 1st Grade.	
2 Do. do. 2nd Grade.		3 Do. 2nd Class, 2nd Grade.	
3 Do. do. 3rd Grade.		7 Do. 2nd Class, 3rd Grade.	
2 Do. 2nd Class, 1st Grade.			

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
24th February 1862.*

2. To fill the four vacancies in the Grade of Assistant Overseer, the District Engineer recommends that Corporal Whitney and Yagambara Pillai at present Sub-Overseers of the 1st Class and Sabapathy and Velloydan Head Writer and Accountant respectively on his Office establishment may be appointed to that rank, Yagambara Pillai as a permanent measure and the other three either until they pass the prescribed examination or are relieved by duly qualified persons. These appointments are opposed to the Rules of the 2nd March 1860, but in the absence of passed Candidates, and under the peculiar circumstances mentioned in paragraph 5, the Government as a special case sanction the arrangement proposed, on the distinct understanding, however, that unless the requirements of the Rules just mentioned are fulfilled, the whole of the promotions are to be considered purely temporary.

3. Of the twelve Sub-Overseers at present in Madura, the District Engineer proposes to transfer four* to fill existing vacancies in the Coimbatore District, to dismiss four† whom he considers incompetent; to promote one (Sub-Overseer 2nd Class, 3rd Grade) to the rank of Sub-Overseer 1st Class, 3rd Grade, and to continue three‡ in their present grades. To fill the vacancies caused by the proposed dismissals and thus to equalize the strength of the

* 1 2nd Class, 2nd Grade.
3 Do. 3rd Grade.
† 2 2nd Class, 2nd Grade.
2 Do. 3rd Grade.
‡ 1 1st Class, 3rd Grade.
1 2nd Class, 1st Grade.
1 Do. 3rd Grade.

Lower Subordinate Establishment with the allotment, the District Engineer Madura recommends the undermentioned individuals for employment:—

Soobba Naick.....	2nd Accountant District Engineer's Office.
Mr. O'Doherty.....	Passed Candidate.
Lutchmanursoo Iyen.....	Temporary Sub-Overseer.
Durmalinga Mudali.....	Do.

4. The Government will defer passing orders on these nominations until the receipt of the information referred to in paragraph 9 of Colonel Birdwood's letter.

(Signed) W. H. HORSLEY, Lieut.-Colonel,
Secretary to Government.

285/14
ABSTRACT.

Complained that he was unjustly dismissed from his post by the District Engineer and that the sum of Rs 97-5-4 has been ordered to be recovered from him on the ground that this sum was misappropriated by him out of the money given for the execution of certain works.

Pray, that as this money is not at all misappropriated, Government may be pleased to call for the letter of the Committed Overseer to the District Engineer and that on a proper investigation of his case, he may be restored to his post, or that a certificate be granted exonerating him from all imputations.

Referred to the Superintending Engineer 8th Division for report.

15th October 1863.

18/3/501

Copy

Petition DEPARTMENT.

(P.M.D.)

No 2469 of 1863

From J. Chinmasey

Occupation Sub Quartermaster of
Village the 3rd Range of the
Patel

District of Madras now residing
at Madras.

Dated

Received 23rd September 1863

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

For

Please Turnover

8/1 (2)
No 1002

Super Engineer's Office 8th Division
Madras 28th October 1863

From,

40/1

Captain F. J. Moberly R.E.

Superintending Engineer 8th Division

To,

Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.

Secretary to Government D.O. W.

Sir,

Public

In reply to Government Order
No 2469 of the 15th instant, I have the honor to report
that Captain Payne proposed to dismiss Chinmasey
and others as useless: that the Chief Engineer
called for a report as to the grounds for dismissing
the men, which was furnished on the 11th January
1863, and that the Chief Engineer in March was sa-
tisfied as to their sufficiency. in the case of Chinma-
sey the reasons given were his utter idleness
and neglect of duty.

The petitioner appeared to the Chief Engineer
16th January 1863 and Captain Payne then District
Engineer repeated the above on the 31st January.

The petitioner appears to have waited for
a further change in the local headship of the
Department and appeared again direct to Govt.

The matter of fraud &c is not mention-
ed in Captain Payne's letter above quoted.

I have &c

F. Moberly.

Captain R.E.

Superintending Engineer 8th Division

17

Petitioner.

No 2976 of 166 of 1st Decr 66
Recd 5th Decr 66

865/6

From A. Chinnasamy
Sayer, Lake Sub Engineer
of Madura District.

States that on the receipt
of the Govt Endorsement
passed on his petition sub-
mitted to Govt in 1863, on
the subject of his unjust re-
moval from service, he sub-
mitted to Govt three petitions
in January 1864, 1865, and
February 1866, but he received
no reply & prays that on a
reference to the letter sent to
the District Engineer by Mr
Bywater, who was deputed
to examine the work executed
under the Superintendence of
the petitioner, justice may be
done to him.

No 2982 of 166 of
Recd 5th Decr 66

From Gopalakrishnan,
Sind

8/15

Referred to the Superintendent
ing Engineer of the 8th Division,
who is requested to submit
to Government the letter from
Mr Bywater alluded to by
the Petitioner, with any re-
marks which the Superintendent
ing Engineer may wish to
make thereon.

Wm

W.

over

17/3/67

17/3/67

The Superintendent Engineer
8th Division
The Secretary & Government
D.O. No.

Dated 28th
Decr 66

Reports that the dis-
missal of Chinnasamy
from as being weak in the
D.O. is not already known
confirmed by Mr Lake C.E. &
Engineer. and that he has
again applied to Govt & Govt
waiting for a further change
in the local hierarchy of the
Department with Mr. O. S. &
Mr. S. S. & Mr. S. S.

17/3

Order that the following
endorsement be passed on the
petition from Chinnasamy
Sayer:

"From the report received from
the Superintendent Eng 28th Decr, the
Govt observe that the petitioner
was dismissed from the public
works department for idleness
and neglect of duty and that
they therefore decline to interfere
in his case."

Copy to the District Engineer
8th Division. Dated 28th Decr 66
Copy to the District Engineer
8th Division. Dated 28th Decr 66
Copy to the District Engineer
8th Division. Dated 28th Decr 66

17
 No 2976 of 1668 / 1st March 66
 Read 5th March 66

865/6

From S. Chinnao army
 Ayer, late Sub Quarter
 of Madras District.

States that on the receipt
 of the Govt Endorsement
 passed on his petition sub-
 mitted to Govt in 1863, on
 the subject of his unjust re-
 moval from service, he sub-
 mitted to Govt three petitions
 in January 1864, / 65, and
 February 1866, but he received
 no reply & prays that on a
 reference to the letter sent to
 the District Engineer by the
 Byemaster who was deputed
 to examine the work executed
 under the Superintendence of
 the petitioner, justice may be
 done to him.

8/15
 Referred to the Superintendent
 ing Engineer of the 3rd Division
 who is requested to submit
 to Government the letter from
 Mr Byemaster alluded to by
 the Petitioner, with any re-
 marks which the Superintendent
 ing Engineer may wish to
 make thereon.

No 2982 of 1668 /
 Read 5th March 66
 From Gopal Krishna Senan,
 Sina

15/3/64

1000

The Superintendent Engineer
 8 Division
 To
 The Secretary to Government
 P.O. No.

Called 28th
 Received 3rd October 1863

Reports that the dis-
 missal of Chinnao was
 done as being wrong in the
 P.O. No. 28th
 confirmed by the late Chief
 Engineer, and that he has
 again applied with 5 feet
 waiting for a further change
 in the local hierarchy of the
 Department with the P.O. No. 28th
 dated 10th October 1863

Copy to a notice of 1863
 of the P.O. No. 28th
 dated 10th October 1863
 Copy to the P.O. No. 28th
 dated 10th October 1863
 Copy to the P.O. No. 28th
 dated 10th October 1863

Ordered that the following
 endorsement be placed on the
 petition from S. Chinnao army
 Ayer.
 "From the report received from
 the District Engineer on 28th Decr. the
 Govt observe that the petitioners
 were dismissed from the public
 works department for idleness
 and neglect of duty and that
 they were for a while to interfere
 in his case."

Sinarani & other Muzassis
dars of Munnalam & Salug,
Sanyase District.

Complain that the Muzassat
works are not properly car-
ried on, & that the Super-
vision & others submitted
false estimates to the extent
of 1000 Rs instead of the
actual sum of Rs 500, do
not allow anyone to irri-
gate their fields by the
water of the canal unless
a certain amount is paid
to them as a bribe & that
they do not repair the Canals
at proper time & that the
Suptt appealed to on the
subject rendered them no
redress & that one Sadasiva
Dillay & Manager Mr John
son are the cause of all those
evils & that their appeals on
the subject to Mr. Bates were
of no avail.

Pray for a minute enquiry
& redress -

Referred to Superin-
tending Engineer 6th Division
for report.

WON

M.

No 3067 of 16/7/13th Mour/66

Recd 11th "

From Ramasamy Naikem
Varayana & others, the ryots of
Alidanaithum Tiruvanna-
malai Pookadi South
District.

Represent that their tank
is supplied with water by
a water course running
through a dilapidated
Tangul at Hoornakuppam
for a long time; that this
tank has no other sources
of supply of water; that
now on the application of
the ryots of Hoornakuppam
the Head Assistant Collector
on the false report of Saha-
Sildar gave permission to
repair the said Tangul
at their own expense & to
get the supply of water to
it from the said water
course prejudicial to their
long enjoyment of the same.

Pray

The petitioners are de-
rected to bring the circum-
stances set forth in this
petition to the notice of the
Superintending Engineer,
5th Division, & of the Re-
venue Authorities, by whom
the point in dispute will
be settled.

WON

M.

865/7

Pray that their right to
the water course may be
ascertained by holding an
inquiry into this case and
a just order be passed.
Submits herewith an unau-
thenticated copy of the Sah-
ildan's Sakid to the vil-
lage officer.

Fort St. George
23rd Nov 1866

AB

Despatch

23 November 1866
3867

Petition

Cariboo 10/11/66

Sup's Eng's Office & D.
No. 1494 Madras 30th November 1866
From

Captain H. C. Pendergast R.E.
Offg. Superintending Eng & D.

To
Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.
Secretary to Government D. & W.

Circular 4 To

Petition Department

In reply to reference No. 2976
of the 23rd Instant, I have the honor
to submit Mr. Rywaters's letter,
alluded to in the petition of Chimacany
Iyer, formerly a Sub. Engineer in
Madras District, and a copy
of a letter from the District
Engineer to the Deputy Chief Engineer
to be used with an extract from the
Service Register. The Government
have already declined to interfere
on behalf of the petitioner, in a
letter from the Superintending Engineer.

No 1264, 31st January
1863

No 2469, 14th November
1863

10/11/66

No 1002 of the 28th October 1863. and
I have no remarks to make, except
that after a perusal of the papers
quoted, I should be sorry to have
the petitioner employed under me.

I have &c
Lieut. Sandercock Captain R.B.
Off^r Superintending Engineer
8th Division

From Chinasaumydyen & Sub Quersoon 3rd Range Madras
Dated 16th Received 19th January 1863 Praying to be restored
to his post as Sub Quersoon

No 1264 District Eng^r Office
Camp Chuthapally 31st Jan'y 1863
From 8/7 855/9
The As District Engineer
Madras
To The Dy Chief Engineer
Southern Circle
Sir

In returning to you the petition as per
margin forwarded to me for report, I have the
honor to annex an Extract relative to the
character & capabilities of Chinasaumydyen
from which I should infer that the man is a
good riddance - I know nothing of the man
myself.

Para 10 of letter No 1384 ¹⁴⁻¹⁻⁶² from Captain Rymer
to the Deputy Chief Engineer Southern Circle.

"Chinasaumydyen is a worthless
useless man & his pay is a dead loss to the
state. I should have dismissed him - 2 or
3 months ago but I deemed it necessary to
continue him in pay, to assist in the recovery
of considerable outstanding balances on the
works under his charge. I ought to have dismissed
him before I went to the Kistna early in 1860.

I have &c
Lieut. Sandercock Captain R.B.
Off^r Superintending Engineer
8th Division
/ True copy /
As District Engineer

1872 No. 4 November 1872

205

Enclosure No 2 in a
letter from the Office
Superintending Engineer
8th Dec. No 1494
Dated 30th Nov 1866

To
The Secy to Govt
D. P. Off.

Extracted from
Register of China
Sawmy Egan

Enclosure No 1 in a
letter from the Office
Superintending Engineer
8th Dec. No 1494
Dated 30th Nov 1866

To
The Secy to Govt
D. P. Off.

Copy of letter from
the Secy to Govt
Dated 30th Nov 1866
Chief Engineer

Chief Inspector

15/3/54

11/3/54

P.W. Petition 1866 Sep 11.9

From
The Off. Sec. Lupton
8th Division
To
The Secy to Govt
D.P. Off.

Dated 30th November 1866
Received 3

The facts are that
from late 1866
Chinnaswamy

C. M. D. 1866

With an order
of 10th Dec 1866

104 of 1872 D. 1st January 1872
Recd 9th

From J. Chinnaswamy
Exr Late Sub-Overseer, D.
W. Mylapore, Madras.
Prayer for re-

consideration of his case &
to reversal of the former
order of Court on his appeal or in the enclosure to his letter
No 2469 of 1863 on the ground to cause them to modify
that he is innocent as may the order passed on his
be found on the perusal of former appeal & accordingly
to enclosure.

Fort St George 3rd 29th January 1872

865/12

104

The Court finds nothing
in the present statement
of J. Chinnaswamy Exr
order of Court on his appeal or in the enclosure to his letter
No 2469 of 1863 on the ground to cause them to modify
that he is innocent as may the order passed on his
be found on the perusal of former appeal & accordingly
to enclosure.

Order

25 Jan

No 10740

Deputy Chief Engineers Office,
S. C. D. W. Chepauk,

19th February 1862. 865
14

From

Colonel W. J. Birdwood

Public.

Deputy Chief Engineer S. C.

To

Lieutenant Colonel W. H. Horsley

Chief Engineer and Secretary to
Government S. C. D.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit

for the orders of Government the accom-

Enclosure 6.

1 Letter 7/26th January
1862. 12/13

1 22nd Feb 27th Jan
1862. 12/14 with

2 accompanying

1 22nd July 12th Sep

Major R. W. L. L.

with one accompa-

niment dated 12th

Feb 5/62.

panying letters from the District Engi-
neer of Madras relative to the appoint-

ments which he recommends should be

made to enable him to carry out the

arrangements sanctioned in the Proceed-

ings of Government. No 1926, dated 19th

October 1861.

The

29th January 1862.

Endorsed on No 10740
29/1/62

W. J.

26/1/62
29/1/62

2.

The Subordinate Establishment authorized in these Proceedings for the Madras District is as follows.

2—Overseers—

4—Assistant Overseers—

1—Sub Overseer, 1st Class, 1st Grade.

2—1st—1st—2nd—1st—

3—1st—1st—2nd—1st—

2—1st—2nd—1st—1st—

3. The Establishment at present employed under the Orders of Captain Ryres, is,

2—Overseers—

1—Sub Overseer 1st Class 3rd Grade.

1—1st—2nd—1st—1st—

3—1st—1st—1st—2nd—1st—

7—1st—1st—1st—3rd—1st—

4. From this it will be seen that the number of Overseers now in the District

865/15 District is the same as the sanctioned allotment of that Grade for the four appointments of Assistant Overseer

Sub Overseer Corporal Captain Ryres nominates two Sub Overseers of the 1st Class employed in the

1st Ponnasamuney seers of the 1st Class employed in the Malabar and Trichinopoly Districts

Head writer Subasingh and Asst. Vellayutham respectively, and two office servants doing

Pillay Madras. duty in the Madras District, as there are no Assistant Overseers at present employed under him.

5. As respects the qualifications and Services of the two Sub Overseers

Captain Ryres gives no information, but promises to send Extracts from

their registers of Service from the Dist Engineers to whom he has applied for them.

Under ordinary circumstances therefore I should not feel myself warranted

in submitting the names of either of these

1. Class 3. Grade at present doing duty at
the Presidency should be appointed. Asis-
tant Overseer and transferred to the
Madura District. Yagambaram Pillay
who has now been connected with the
Department first as Maistry and Sub-
sequently as Sub. Overseer since 1850, is spoken
of in the highest terms by Major Rawlin-
son and other Officers under whom he has
served for many years, and from my
own personal observation I believe him
to be well qualified and deserving of
advancement to the Upper Subordinate
Grades, although therefore he has not
served as a Sub. Overseer seven years, or
passed the prescribed Examination for
appointment to the Upper Subordinate
Grades, yet under the strong recommenda-
tion which has now been made in his
behalf

vide accompany-
ing letter from
Major Rawlin-
son and its accompa-
niments.

865/17
behalf I trust that Government will
as a special case be pleased to authorize
his promotion to the rank of Assistant
Overseer.

7. With regard to the two Officers
servants whom Captain Ryves desires to
entertain as Assistant Overseers, one Sab-
apathy Pillay, will, that Officer remarks,
be able shortly if necessary to satisfy
the College requirements for Assistant
"Overseer" while the other, Veloydum Pillay,
"has served long in the Department will
"make a very good Assistant Overseer,
"and be able to get work executed rapidly
"by and well." I have therefore also to
recommend that sanction may be granted
for the employment of these two men
as Assistant Overseers on the same con-
ditions as those recommended in the case
of

these two Sub Overseers for promotion to
the Upper Subordinate Grade until
placed in possession of full particulars
regarding them, but as Captain Ryres
distinctly states that the whole of the
Funds allotted for expenditure in his
District during the Current year cannot
be laid out to the best advantage unless
the Subordinate Establishment sanctioned
in the Proceedings of Government quoted
in Para one be appointed without further
loss of time, I think it desirable to
recommend that Sub Overseer Whitney
of the Malabar District be appointed
to act as Assistant Overseer until he
the prescribed examination for that grade or the service of ^{or passed} ~~or passed~~
has passed, Candidate can be obtained.

6. As regards however the other
Sub Overseer ~~Ponnoosamy~~ named by Captain
Ryres I have to remark that his services
cannot

865
16

cannot be spared from the Trichinopoly
District. Captain Palmer has for some
time past been in want of more Subor-
dinates for that District, and from a
letter just received from him I learn
that by the death of Mr. Supervisor Dick
on the 5 Instant he has been deprived
of the services of one of his best men; the
want of additional Subordinates Agency
will therefore be more than ever felt
by him and under these circumstances it
does not seem desirable to withdraw from
his District a man who I conclude
must from the fact of his having been
nominated for promotion by Captain
Ryres be an efficient and experienced.

Subordinate, I would accordingly re-
commend that instead of Ponnoosamy
Pillay, Sub Overseer Yagambaram Pillay

1664

of Sub Overseer Whitney.

8. For the authorized appointments in the Lower Subordinate Grades, Captain Pyres nominates several persons who are at present either Sub Overseers or Office Servants. The number of Sub Overseers now employed in the Madurai District is twelve, of this number four will be transferred to the Coimbatore District to fill existing vacancies and to reduce the strength in Madurai to the same as a Colonel; four Captain Pyres proposes to dismiss on the grounds of inefficiency, while of the remaining four he has promoted one to a higher class and nominated three to continue to serve in their present rank.

9. As respects the dismissals, Captain Pyres was in December last requested

* Sundaragari
Pillay Sub Overseer
2 Cl. 2 1/2 p.

* Dargajur Sub Overseer
26. 3 1/2 p.

* Shanubai Ram
26. 3 1/2 p.
* Agaran Kathan
26. 3 1/2 p.

* Chinnaiah
Sub Overseer
26. 3 1/2 p.

* Pandya Pillay
26. 3 1/2 p.
* A. Pyres
26. 2 1/2 p.
* Kalladum
26. 2 1/2 p.

* Muthusamy
Sub Overseer
26. 3 1/2 p.

* Ranganatha
Sub Overseer
26. 3 1/2 p.

* Gopal Pillay
26. 3 1/2 p.
* Subraminia
26. 3 1/2 p.

86-
18

requested to furnish certain information to enable the Chief Engineer to arrive at a just decision in each case, but this he has not done in the letters under notice. His attention will accordingly be called to the omission, and pending the receipt of the required information the recommendations he has made to fill up the places of the men he desires to remove from the Department will be held in abeyance.

10. The promotion which Captain Pyres has made in anticipation of sanction is in accordance with the Proceedings of Government dated 15th October 1860, No. 2143, and will be approved of.

11. The result of the arrangements above recommended for the sanction

sanction of Government is as follows:

Names.	Present Rank.	Proposed Rank.	Period of Service in present Rank.
Conductor Bywater	Overseer	Overseer	2 years, 5. Months.
Pulneyvalar Madelly	Overseer	Overseer	2. Months
Corporal Whitney	Sub Overseer 1 st Class	Temporary 1 st Overseer	3 years, 3. Months.
Sahapathy Pillay	Head Writer Dist. Eng's Office Madurai	do	not given by the Dist Engineer.
Velloidum Pillay	Accountant 1 st range office Madurai	do	5 years, 5. Months.
Yagamcharan Naick	Sub Overseer 1 st Class 3 rd Grade Presidency	Assistant Secy	2 years, 2. Months, or 5. 2. 2. Months, as Sub Overseer.
Rangaswamy Pillay	Sub Overseer 1 st Class 3 rd Grade Madurai	Sub Overseer 1 st Class 3 rd Grade	1 year, 10. Months.
Motocswamy Pillay	Sub Overseer 2 nd Class 3 rd Grade Madurai	do	1, 4 years, 10 days.
Gopal Pillay	Sub Overseer 2 nd Class 1 st Grade	Sub Overseer 2 nd Class 1 st Grade	4 years, 10 days.
Subramania Pillay	Temporary Sub Overseer 2 nd Class 3 rd Grade	Sub Overseer 2 nd Class 3 rd Grade	11. Months.
Chinnaswamy Iyer	Sub Overseer 2 nd Class 3 rd Grade	do	3 years, 4. Months.
Jondya Pillay	do	do	1 year, 4. Months.
Mr. A. Gagnier	Sub Overseer 2 nd Class 2 nd Grade	do	11. Months.
Velloidum Madelly	do	do	4 years, 10 days.

12. It will be seen from this list, as well as from the preceding observations that several of the appointments proposed to

to be made are not in strict regard to the rules, but considering the desirableness of at once placing at the disposal of Captain Pynes an efficient subordinate agency I trust that Government will not object to sanction them.

13. As respects para 2 of Captain Pynes' letter dated 20th January 1862, N^o 4/13, I have in a separate letter recommended that pending the decision of Government on the subject of the abolition or otherwise of the Jail at Paumkew, Mr. Overseer Bywater should be entrusted with the charge of that portion of the works of N^o 3 range on the Main land, and the works at Paumkew be left under the control of Captain Hankin with a separate Office Establishment. By this arrangement I fully expect that if

if the promotions and appointments in the Subordinate Establishment herein recommended are authorized Captain Pynes will be enabled to carry on vigorously to completion the several works sanctioned for construction and repair in the Madura District during this year.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.

[Signature] Colonel

Deputy Ch. Engrs
Office S. C. E. P. W.
Ch. Engrs
19th Feb. 1862.

Deputy Chief Engineer
S. C.

To
Lieut. Colonel W. A. Horsley
Chief Engr. and Secy. to Government
[Signature]

[Signature]

865
20

To fill existing vacancies in the Coimbatore District, to promote 11 Sub Assistant Engineer 1st Class 3rd grade and to continue them in their present grades. To fill the vacancies caused by the proposed despatches and thus to equalize the strength of the Lower Subordinate Establishment with the allotment the District Engineer Madras recommends the undermentioned individuals for employment.

1. 2nd class 2nd grade
2. 3rd class 3rd grade
3. 3rd class 3rd grade
4. 2nd class 3rd grade
5. 2nd class 3rd grade
6. 2nd class 3rd grade
7. 1st class 3rd grade
8. 1st class 3rd grade
9. 1st class 3rd grade

1. Sub. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
2. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
3. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
4. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
5. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
6. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
7. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
8. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office
9. Asst. Engr. 2nd Class District Office

The Government will defer the passing order on the nominations until the receipt of the information referred to in para 9 of Colonel Birdwood's letter.

1862, April 1st, George
24th Feb. 1862
The Asst. Ch. Engr. to Govt.
Civil Engineer

PETITION from

$$\frac{865}{21}$$

(By Order.)

GOVERNMENT HOUSE
Ootacamund,

1882

Colonel W. J. Andrews

Wm. Lloyd Garrison

Book 19 is 4.

Em 6/10/33-267

have a boat ~~at~~ of row-
 ings and afterwards be
 proposed to be near the
 the according to what best.

to carry out the above program
although I am the Director
of the Institute of Statistics.
Wm. L. B. 26.
with one order.

1890

2 Quarts

4. *Apfel-Quarstein*

1 with Guernsey 1
9 " 195

10

2

Will the 4 reasons

ms. J. Circle room

William at present Sub

Spencer & Co.

1. In order to find

... and the

modified. Provisions

of the

to March 1860

11/1/11

1-AD

para 2, The Govt

and proposed, on

1890

and are frequently

Sept 19. Fish Pond

of the

(1883) DEPARTMENT.

From
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE RIGHT HON. THE GOVERNOR,

To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT.

Dated 7th March 1884
Recd. 10

ENCLOSURE.

No. _____

Subject.

Transfers a petition from Chinnasa-
sami Iyer praying
for restoration to
his post. —

Chief Secy.

His Excellency the Governor
in Council.
Fort. Saint George.
Madras.

The humble petition of
J. Chinnasami Iyer late
Sub. Overseer in the Depart-
ment of Public Works residing
at Puttur, Trichinopoly.

May it please your Excellency.

That petitioner most
humbly begs to request your
Excellency in Council will graciously
be pleased to cause the enclosed
Memorial transferred to Her
Majesty's Secretary of State for
India, which he submits for redress
for his unjustified dismissal after
struggling for, & sustained a long time in
vain in India.

And petitioner as in duty bound
shall ever pray.

He begs to remain
your Excellency's most obedt. & humble servant.

J. Chinnasami

Late Sub. Overseer D.P.W. Madras.

Trichinopoly }
5th March 1884

We have the honor to

3/5/88
865 W

Mk. 2196
Public Works
Dept

Rec 11/3/84
En 2

14 10 March/84

865 W

Ar 22/3 R31/3/84

865 W
865/24
865/24
P. W. Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

under date the
March 31 1884
Read the following.

Petition from J. Chinna-
Sami Iyer late sub-
overseer P. W. D., Madras
Presidency

A. E. N. of 5 March 1884

Ordered that the
Memorial be transmitted
to the Right Honble the
Secretary of State for India.

(A. E. N. of 7 Wapmanish)
865 31. 1884

To
The Right Honble the
Secy. of State for India
London

The Petitioner

19 7/8

My Lord,

We have the honor to

forward for consideration
the accompanying Memo-
rials from J. Chinasaunni
Iyer, at one time a Sub-
overseer in the Depart-
ment of Public Works
of this Presidency, in
which he prays for res-
toration to his appoint-
ment or for other suit-
able employment under
Government.

2 Your Lordship will
observe from the papers
quoted in the margin
that the Petitioner was
dismissed by the District
Engineer of Madura in
February 1862, for "idleness
and neglect of duty"; that
his appeals for restoration
were duly considered by

* Endt. No. 2469 of 15th Oct/63 to
the Suptg. Engr. 8th B.
Reply of the Suptg. Engr. 8th B.
No. 1002 of 28th October/63
Endt. by Govt. thereon of 14th
November/63
Rt. No. 2976 of 1st Nov/66 with
Govt. Endt. thereon (to be
extracted)
Reply of Suptg. Engr. 8th B. No.
1494 of 30th Nov/66
Govt. Endt. thereon of 13th Dec/66
Rt. No. 104 of 4th January/72
Govt. Endt. thereon of 24th Jan/72

865/
25

the several officers to whom
they were addressed, and
that, on a review of the
case in November 1863 &
again in December 1866,
the Government saw no
ground to interfere on
the Petitioner's behalf.
In January 1872, a further
order was passed decli-
ning to modify previous
decisions.

We consider that the
Memorialist has ^{shown} no valid
ground for reopening his
case.

Cy.
22-3-84

III
22-3-84

10710
with 1 memorial
and 1 printed
collection of
papers.

R.S.

The Hon. R. C. Sullivan

List of papers to be printed to ac-
company despatch to the Secy. of State
No. 1 of 31 March /64.
805

Endt. No. 2469 of 15 October /63 to the
Suptg. Engr. 8th Div. 805

Reply of the Suptg. Engr. 8th Div. No. 1002
of 28 October /63.

Endt. by Govt. thereon of 14 Nov /63

Petition No. 2976 of 1st Nov /66 with
Govt. Endt. thereon (to be
extracted)

Reply of Suptg. Engr. 8th Div. No.
1494 of 30 November 1866

Govt. Endt. thereon of 13 Dec /66

Petition No. 104 of 4 January /72

Govt. Endt. thereon of 29 Jan /72

Ar 22/3 R 31/3/84

L.S.
22.3.84

865
27



ca 22/3 R3/3/84

2196

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

(27)

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 31 Mar. 1884 No. 865. H.

7
865. H.

Nos.

J. Chinassami Iyer.
=

Ordering that memorial
from — late Sub Overman
be forwarded to the Right
Honble. the Secy. of State
for India.

Forwarding a memorial
from — late Sub Overman
R.M.D. appealing against
his dismissal.
Submitted to the Secy.

3/1/84
K. J. S. S. S.